# NONHOMOGENEOUS DIFFUSION PROCESSES IN A HALFSPACE WHOSE BEHAVIOUR ON THE BOUNDARY IS DESCRIBED BY GENERAL WENTZEL BOUNDARY CONDITION 


#### Abstract

Using analytical methods, we consider the problem of constructing a nonhomogeneous multidimensional diffusion process in a halfspace with given diffusion characteristics at the inner points and general Wentzel boundary conditions.


1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider the problem of constructing a multiplicative operator family that describes a multidimensional nonhomogeneous diffusion process in a domain with general Wentzel boundary conditions [1]. Analytical methods are used to solve this problem. Within these methods, the desired operator family can be determined using the solution of the corresponding boundary-value problem, where the boundary conditions as well as the equation in the domain is described by a second-order parabolic linear partial differential equation with a term that contains the directional derivative on the normal to the boundary. In turn, we have obtained the classical solvability of the Wentzel parabolic problem by using a simple-layer potential [2]. Here, we will confine ourselves to a model problem, where the diffusion process is given in a domain that is the upper half-space in an Euclidian space. Note that the stated problem with its special cases previously was studied using different approaches mostly for homogeneous processes [3-7]. As for the Wentzel parabolic boundary problem, it was studied, besides [2], also in [8-10], by using different methods.
2. Basic notations and definitions. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be the $n$-dimensional Euclidian space; $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n+1}=[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0<t \leq T, T>0$ is fixed; $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}=[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; x=$ $\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ a point in $\mathbb{R}^{n} ; x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ a point in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} ;(s, x)$ a point in $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n+1} ;\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)$ a point in $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} ;|x|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} ;\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i}^{2} ;(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} ;$ $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i} y_{i}$.

We will use the following notations for the differential operators: $D_{s}^{r}$ and $D_{x}^{p}$ are the partial derivatives with respect to $s$ of order $r$ and any partial derivative with respect to $x$ of order $p$, respectively, where $r$ and $p$ are integer nonnegative numbers; $D_{t}^{1}=D_{t}$, $D_{i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, D_{i j}=D_{j i} \equiv \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}, i, j=1, \ldots, n ; \nabla^{\prime}=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n-1}\right)$.

Similarly as in [11, p. 16] $H_{s^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}}, k+\lambda}^{x}(\bar{B}) \equiv H^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}, k+\lambda}(\bar{B})(k=0,1,2, \lambda \in(0,1), B$ is a domain in the space $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}, \bar{B}$ is the closure of $B$ ) mean the respective Hölder spaces; $\underset{0}{H^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}}, k+\lambda}(\bar{B})$ is a set of functions from $H^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}, k+\lambda}(\bar{B})$ that (in case $k=2$ also with the derivative with respect to $s$ ) vanishes when $s=t$.

[^0]$\mathrm{By}\|w\|_{H^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}, k+\lambda}(\bar{B})}$, we denote the norm of a function $w$ in $H^{\frac{k+\lambda}{2}, k+\lambda}(\bar{B})$. Also we use the Hölder spaces $H^{k+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), H^{k+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$, aggregates of continuous functions $C^{k}(B)$, $C^{1,2}(B)$, and the Banach space of bounded measurable functions $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the norm $\|\varphi\|=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|\varphi(x)|$.

In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we consider the domain $D=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{n}>0\right\}$ with the boundary $S=\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and, in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}$, we consider $\Omega_{t}=\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n+1} \mid x_{n}>0\right\}$ with the side boundary $\Sigma_{t}=\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}$. By $\nu\left(x^{\prime}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote the inner unit normal vector to $S$ at $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Everywhere below, $C$ and $c$ are positive constants that do not depend on $(s, x)$, and their specific values are not interesting for us.
3. Parabolic potentials. Regularizer. In a layer $\mathbb{R}_{T}^{n+1}$, let us consider a second-order uniformly parabolic operator with real coefficients,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(s, x) D_{i j} u(s, x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(s, x) D_{i} u(s, x)+D_{s} u(s, x), \quad(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n+1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the coefficients of the operator $L$ are defined in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n+1}$, and the following assumptions are true:

A1) $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(s, x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geq \delta_{0}|\xi|^{2}, b_{i j}=b_{j i}, \delta_{0}>0, \forall(s, x) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n+1}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
A2) $b_{i j}, a_{i} \in H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}\right), i, j=1, \ldots, n$.
Assumptions A1), A2) guarantee the existence of a fundamental solution (f.s.)

$$
g(s, x, t, y), \quad 0 \leq s<t \leq T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

for the operator $L([4,11])$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s, x, t, y)=g_{0}(s, x, t, y)+g_{1}(s, x, t, y), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0}(s, x, t, y) & =g_{0}^{(t, y)}(t-s, x-y) \\
& =(2 \pi(t-s))^{-n / 2}(\operatorname{det} b(t, y))^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left(b^{-1}(t, y)(y-x), y-x\right)}{2(t-s)}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$b(t, y)=\left(b_{i j}(t, y)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}, b^{-1}(t, y)=\left(b^{i j}(t, y)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ is the inverse matrix to $b(t, y), g_{1}$ is the integral term with a "weaker" singularity than that of $g_{0}$ at $s \rightarrow t$, and $g \equiv 0$ if $s \geq t$. A function $g(s, x, t, y)$ is nonnegative continuous with respect to the aggregate of the variables, with fixed $t \in(0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, as a function of the arguments $(s, x) \in[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it satisfies the equation $L u=0$ and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \uparrow t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, t, y) \varphi(y) d y=\varphi(x), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in(0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and a bounded continuous function $\varphi(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Among other properties of f.s. $g$, we also note the following ones:

1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, t, y) d y=1$ for all $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
2) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, t, y) g(t, y, u, z) d y=g(s, x, u, z)$ for all $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
3) for $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the next assumptions hold:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(y-x, \Theta) g(s, x, t, y) d y=\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(a(\tau, y), \Theta) g(s, x, t, y) d y,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(y-x, \Theta)^{2} g(s, x, t, y) d y=\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, \tau, z)(b(\tau, z) \Theta, \Theta) d z+ \\
& +2 \int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, \tau, z)(a(\tau, z), \Theta)(z-x, \Theta) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

4) there exist the positive constants $C$ and $c$ such that, for the functions $g$ and $g_{1}$ with $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the estimations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|D_{s}^{r} D_{x}^{p} g(s, x, t, y)\right| \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n+2 r+p}{2}} \exp \left\{-c \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t-s}\right\}, \quad 2 r+s \leq 2  \tag{4}\\
\left|D_{s}^{r} D_{x}^{p} g_{1}(s, x, t, y)\right| \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n+2 r+p-\lambda}{2}} \exp \left\{-c \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t-s}\right\}, \quad 2 r+s \leq 2 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold.
Let us consider the integral representing a parabolic simple-layer potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(s, x, t)=\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g\left(s, x, \tau, z^{\prime}\right) V\left(\tau, z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, V\left(t, z^{\prime}\right)$ is a bounded measurable function given on $\Sigma=\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}$. From properties of f.s. $g$ and (4), it follows that $u_{1}(s, x, t)$, as a function of the arguments $(s, x) \in[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is continuous for $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and satisfies the equation $L u_{1}=0$ in the domain $(s, x) \in[0, t) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S\right)$ and the zero initial condition $\lim _{s \uparrow t} u(s, x, t)=0$.

Let, for $\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}$, the conormal vector $N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(N_{1}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right), \ldots, N_{n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
N_{i}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \nu_{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

be defined. Then if $V \in \underset{0}{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$, then $u_{1} \in \underset{0}{H^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}, 1+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$ [12]; and, for the conormal derivative of the function $u_{1}$, a jump formula holds ([4, p. 59], [11, p. 459]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}\left(s, t, x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow x^{\prime} \\
x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}} \frac{\partial u_{1}(s, t, x)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}=-V\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial g\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)} V\left(\tau, z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}  \tag{7}\\
\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integral on the right-hand side of (7) is called the direct value of the conormal derivative of a simple-layer potential. Its existence follows from the inequality ( $0 \leq s<$ $\left.t \leq T, x^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial g\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}\right| \leq C(\tau-s)^{-\frac{n+1-\lambda}{2}} \exp \left\{-c \frac{\left|x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\tau-s}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will define a boundary operator $\mathcal{E}$ that further will be used as the regularizer of a first-kind Volterra integral equation equivalent in some sense to the boundary-value problem formulated below in Section 4. To this end, in $\mathbb{R}_{T}^{n}$, we consider the parabolic operator

$$
L^{\prime} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} h_{i j}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{i j}-D_{t}, \quad\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{T}^{n}
$$

whose coefficients are defined by the relation

$$
h_{i j}=b_{i j}-\frac{b_{i n} b_{j n}}{b_{n n}}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

From assumptions A1), A2), it follows that the operator $L^{\prime}$ is uniformly parabolic, the functions $h_{i j}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)$ are Hölder with respect to two variables. Moreover, for the operator $L^{\prime}$, there exists f.s.
(9) $h\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)=h_{0}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)+h_{1}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,
where $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$, as in (2), mean, respectively, the main and additional (integral) terms of f.s. $h$.

For f.s. $h$, properties 1)-4) of f.s. $g$ from (2) hold with obvious changes.
Note also a connection between the functions $g\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $h\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)$. Using formula (2) and the definition of f.s. $h$, we easily obtain the equality

$$
g\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(2 \pi b_{n n}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right)(t-s)\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[h\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)-h_{1}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)\right]+g_{1}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider an integro-differential operator $\mathcal{E}: \Psi_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \Psi_{0}$ that acts on functions $\Psi_{0}$ from $\mathbb{R}_{T}^{n}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{E}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right) \Psi_{0}=\left.\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{s}^{t}(\tau-s)^{-1 / 2} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\hat{s}, x^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{0}\left(\tau, y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{\hat{s}=s} \\
\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

From results obtained in [12], it follows that $\mathcal{E}$ is a linear bounded operator that acts from $H_{0}^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}, 1+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$ into $H_{0}^{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$, for which there exists an inverse operator $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$. Additionally, we determine that the operator $\mathcal{E}$ converts the functions from $H_{0}^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}}, 2+\lambda\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$ into $H_{0}^{H^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}, 1+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$. In addition, $\mathcal{E}$ is a regularizer in the case of the first boundaryvalue problem [12]. Namely, by using relations (10), (11), and (3), properties 1)-3), and inequalities (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right) u_{1}=-\tilde{V}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} R\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) V\left(\tau, y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}, \quad \forall V \in \underset{0}{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{V}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} V\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)$, and estimation (8) holds for the kernel $R$.
Using f.s. $g$ from (2), we can determine two more potentials that are used to solve the Cauchy problem for a generalized second-order parabolic equation. There are the Poisson potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(s, x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, t, y) \varphi(y) d y, \quad(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

, and the volume potential

$$
u_{3}(s, x, t)=\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(s, x, \tau, z) f(\tau, z) d z, \quad(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}
$$

where $\varphi(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f(\tau, z),(\tau, z) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n+1}$, are the given functions.
Assume that $\varphi$ is bounded and continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f \in H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}\right)$. Then one can affirm (see [11, Ch. IV, §14]) that the functions $u_{i}, i=2,3$, are continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}$ and satisfy the equation $L u_{2}=0, L u_{3}=-f$ in the domain $(s, x) \in[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$
also as the initial conditions $\lim _{s \uparrow t} u_{2}(s, x, t)=\varphi(x), \lim _{s \uparrow t} u_{3}(s, x, t)=0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Also $u_{3} \in H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}, 2+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}\right)$ and, in the case where $\varphi \in H^{2+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u_{2} \in H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}, 2+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1}\right)$.
4. Problem statement and its solution. Assume that, in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}=\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}$, a generating second-order differential operator of some nonhomogeneous diffusion process that acts on the set of all twice continuously differentiable functions with compact carriers $C_{k}^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(s, x) D_{i j} \varphi(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(s, x) D_{i} \varphi(x), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i j}(s, x), a_{i}(s, x)$ are bounded continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}_{T}, b(s, x)=\left(b_{i j}(s, x)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ is a symmetric nonnegative definite matrix. Assume also that the Wentzel boundary operator [1] is given, i.e., a mapping from $C_{k}^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ into the space of all continuous functions on $\Sigma_{T}=\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}$ has the form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0} \varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}(s, x) D_{k l} \varphi(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k}(s, x) D_{k} \varphi(x)+q(s, x) \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_{n}}-\rho(s, x) \mathcal{L} \varphi(x)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s, x) \in \Sigma_{T} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{k l}(s, x), \alpha_{k}(s, x), q(s, x), \rho(s, x)$ are bounded continuous functions on $\Sigma_{T}$ such that $\beta(s, x)=\left(\beta_{k l}(s, x)\right)_{k, l=1}^{n-1}$ is a symmetric nonnegative definite matrix, $q(s, x) \geq 0$, $\rho(s, x) \geq 0$.

We will set up the problem to construct a multiplicative operator family $T_{s t}, 0 \leq s<$ $t \leq T$, that describes a continuous nonbreaking Feller process at $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ such that it coincides with a diffusion process controlled by the operator $\mathcal{L}$ at the inner points of $\mathcal{D}$, and its behaviour on the boundary $S$ is determined by the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0} \varphi(x)=0, \quad x \in S \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use analytical methods to solve the problem. It means (see [3, 4]) that the required operator family will be determined using a solution of the following parabolic boundary-value problem with respect to $T_{s t} \varphi(x)=u(s, x, t)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u=\mathcal{L} u+D_{s} u=0, \quad(s, x) \in \Omega_{t},  \tag{17}\\
\lim _{s \uparrow t} u(s, x, t)=\varphi(x), \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n},  \tag{18}\\
L_{0} u=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}(s, x) D_{k l} u+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k}(s, x) D_{k} u+q(s, x) D_{n} u+\rho(s, x) D_{s} u=0,  \tag{19}\\
(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving the Wentzel parabolic boundary-value problem using the potential method. We will study the classical solvability of problem (17)-(19) assuming that, for the coefficients of the operator $L$ from (17), assumptions A1), A2) hold. Moreover, for the coefficients of the operator $L_{0}$ from (19), excepting the mentioned assumptions, the following assumptions hold:

B1) $\sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \xi_{k} \xi_{l} \geq \mu_{0}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}, \mu_{0}>0, \forall\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}, \forall \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$;
B2) $\beta_{k l}, \alpha_{k}, q \in H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{T}^{n}\right), \rho \equiv 1, \inf _{s, x^{\prime}} q\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)>0$.

Also we assume that the function $\varphi$ from (18) is smooth enough and satisfies the fitting condition

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{k l} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{k} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+q\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{n} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{L} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
s=t, \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 1. Let, for the coefficients of the operators $L$ and $L_{0}$ from (1) and (19), conditions A1), A2) and B1), B2) hold, respectively. Then, for every function $\varphi \in$ $H^{2+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ from (18) that satisfies the fitting condition (20), problem (17)-(19) has the unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}, 2+\lambda}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{t}\right), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}, 2+\lambda}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{t}\right)} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{H^{2+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true.
Proof. We will look for a solution of problem (17)-(19) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s, x, t)=u_{1}(s, x, t)+u_{2}(s, x, t) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are defined by formulas (6) and (13), respectively, and the density $V$ from the simple-layer potential (6) is unknown. In order to find it, we will use the boundary condition (19). Consider $V$ to be a function of the arguments $s, x, t$ and also consider a priori that, as a function dependent on $(s, x)$, it belongs to the Hölder class $H_{0}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$. Separating the conormal derivative in the formula for $L_{0} u$ in (19) and executing simple transformations, we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{\prime} u=\sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{k l} u+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k}^{(0)}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) D_{k} u+D_{s} u=-\Theta^{(0)}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right), \quad\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{k}^{(0)}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)=\alpha_{k}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)-q\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \frac{b_{k n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}{b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}, k=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
& \Theta^{(0)}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)=\frac{q\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}{b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial u\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}= \\
& =\frac{q\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}{b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}\left[\frac{\partial u_{2}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}-V\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)+\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial g\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)}{\partial N\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)} V\left(\tau, z^{\prime}, t\right) d z^{\prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further we will consider equality (24) to be an independent parabolic equation in $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}$ for the function $u\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)$. As follows from the conditions of Theorem 1, additional assumption about $V$, and mentioned properties of the potentials (see Section 3) in this equation, its coefficients and the right-hand side $\Theta^{(0)}$ belong to the Hölder class $H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \lambda\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$. The conditions of the theorem guarantee the existence of f.s. for the operator $L_{0}^{\prime}$. We will denote it by $\Gamma\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right)\left(0 \leq s<t \leq T, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$. Hence, we may conclude that there exists a unique classical solution of Eq. (24) that satisfies the boundary-initial condition $\lim _{s \uparrow t} u\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}, 2+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this solution can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}+\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right) \Theta^{(0)}\left(\tau, z^{\prime}, t\right) d z^{\prime}  \tag{26}\\
\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, we have two different expressions for the function $u\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)$ : relation (23), where one must substitute $(s, x)=\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}$, and relation (26). Equating the right-hand sides of these equations, we obtain the integral equation for $V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left[g\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)+K_{0}\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)\right] V\left(\tau, z^{\prime}, t\right) d z^{\prime}=\Psi_{0}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right), \quad\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, y\right) \varphi(y) d y+ \\
& +\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right) \frac{q\left(\tau, z^{\prime}\right)}{b_{n n}\left(\tau, z^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial u_{2}\left(\tau, z^{\prime}, t\right)}{\partial N\left(\tau, z^{\prime}\right)} d z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the kernel $K_{0}$, whose explicit form can be easily calculated when $0 \leq s<t \leq T$, $x^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{0}\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C(\tau-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp \left\{-c \frac{\left|x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\tau-s}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Considering the expression for the function $\Psi_{0}$ from (27), relation (20), and properties of parabolic potentials (see Section 3), we establish that $\Psi_{0} \in \underset{0}{H^{\frac{2+\lambda}{2}}, 2+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$.

Equation (27) is a Volterra equation of the first kind. Applying the operator $\mathcal{E}$ from (11) to both sides of it and taking (12) and (28) into account, one can easily ascertain that this equation will transform into an equivalent second-type integral Volterra equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)+\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, z^{\prime}\right) V\left(\tau, z^{\prime}, t\right) d z^{\prime}=\Psi\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right), \quad\left(s, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{t}^{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)=\left(b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \mathcal{E}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right) \Psi_{0}$, therewith $\mathcal{E} \Psi_{0} \in \underset{0}{H^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}, 1+\lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right), \Psi \in$ $H_{0}^{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$, and the kernel $K$ satisfies inequality (8), when $0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Solving Eq. (29) by the convergence method, we find $V$. At this, we check that $V \in H_{0}^{H^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$, and estimation (22) holds for the norm $\|V\|_{H_{0}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n}\right)$.

It remains only to validate condition (21) for the constructed solution and the statement of Theorem 1 considering the uniqueness. To prove this, it is enough to notice that the solution of problem (17)-(19) constructed with the use of formulas (23) and (29) can be interpreted as a solution of the first boundary-value parabolic problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
L u(s, x, t)=0, \quad(s, x) \in \Omega_{t}, \\
\lim _{s \uparrow t} u(s, x, t)=\varphi(x), \\
u(s, x, t)=v(s, x, t), \quad(s, x) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n},
\end{gathered}
$$

under the fitting condition

$$
\left.v(s, x, t)\right|_{s=t}=\varphi(x),\left.\quad D_{s} u(s, x, t)\right|_{s=t}=\left.D_{s} v(s, x, t)\right|_{s=t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}
$$

where the function $v(s, x, t),(s, x) \in \Sigma_{t}$ is defined using relation (26). Then (see, e.g., [11, Ch. IV, §5]) the conditions of Theorem 1 together with conditions (20) and (25) guarantee the existence of the unique solution of the problem that belongs to class (21) and satisfies estimation (22). Theorem 1 is proved.

## Remark.

If it is unnecessary to satisfy the fitting condition (20) for initial function $\varphi$ from (18) in the statement of Theorem 1, then the solution of problem (17)-(19) determined by formulas (23) and (29) satisfies the condition

$$
u \in C^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega}_{t}\right),
$$

therewith the function $u$ with its possible derivatives are bounded with respect to the variable $x$.

Construction of the process. From Theorem 1, it follows that, over functions $\varphi$ from the class $H^{2+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, a multiplicative operator family $T_{s t}, 0 \leq s<t \leq T$ is defined, whose analytical representation is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s t} \varphi(x)=T_{s t}^{(1)} \varphi(x)+T_{s t}^{(2)} \varphi(x), \quad(s, x) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{t}^{n+1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{s t}^{(1)} \varphi(x)=u_{1}(s, x, t), T_{s t}^{(2)} \varphi(x)=u_{2}(s, x, t), u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are defined by formulas (6) and (13), respectively, the function $V(s, x, t)$ is from the simple-layer potential; and $T_{s t}^{(1)} \varphi(x)$ is a solution of the integral equation (29). Notice that the fulfilment of the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s t}=T_{s u} T_{u t} \quad(s<u<t) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operators $T_{s t}$ is a simple corollary from the statements of Theorem 1 considering the uniqueness of a solution of problem (17)-(19).

Now we prove that the operators $T_{s t}$ can be applied to functions $\varphi$ from the space $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then the existence of the second addend in (30) follows from the obvious inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{s t}^{(2)} \varphi(x)\right| \leq C\|\varphi\| \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that holds in every domain of the form $0 \leq s<t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with some constant $C$. To prove the existence of the function $T_{s t}^{(1)} \varphi(x)$ from (30), we will study, at first, the integral equation (29). In this equation, its right-hand side $\Psi\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)$ up to the factor $\left(b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ is a result of the action of the operator $\mathcal{E}$ from (11) on the function $\Psi_{0}$ from (27). Combining the first two terms in the expression for $\Psi_{0}$ into a single one, we denote it by $\Psi_{01}$. Then, using properties 1 ) -3 ) applied to f.s. $h$ from (9), we can easily obtain the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b_{n n}\left(s, x^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \Psi\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)  \tag{33}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t}(\tau-s)^{-3 / 2} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h\left(s, x^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left[\Psi_{01}\left(\tau, y^{\prime}, t\right)-\Psi_{01}\left(\tau, x^{\prime}, t\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}, \nabla_{x^{\prime}}^{\prime} \Psi_{01}\left(\tau, x^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\right] d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t}(\tau-s)^{-3 / 2}\left[\Psi_{01}\left(\tau, x^{\prime}, t\right)-\Psi_{01}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)\right] d \tau+(t-s)^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{01}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
+\int_{s}^{t} d \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} & \frac{q\left(\alpha, z^{\prime}\right)}{b_{n n}\left(\alpha, z^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial u_{2}\left(\alpha, z^{\prime}, t\right)}{\partial N\left(\alpha, z^{\prime}\right)} d z^{\prime} \\
& \times\left.\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{s}^{\alpha}(\tau-s)^{-1 / 2} d \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\hat{s}, x^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\tau, y^{\prime}, \alpha, z^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{\hat{s}=s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In turn, the expression in the brackets in the last term can be written in the form similar to the representation of the function $\mathcal{E}\left(s, x^{\prime}, t\right) \Psi_{01}$. Consequently, using the basic inequalities for f.s. $g, \Gamma$, and $h$, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(s, x, t)| \leq C\|\varphi\|(t-s)^{-1 / 2}, \quad 0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that the same estimate also holds for the solution of Eq. (29) found by the convergence method. Applying inequalities (4) and (34) to f.s. $g$ and the density $V$, respectively, we prove the existence of the function $T_{s t}^{(1)} \varphi(x)$ and, therefore, the existence of the function $T_{s t} \varphi(x)$, as well as the fulfilment of estimation (32) for them.

Further, with regard for relation (33), it is easy to verify that if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(x)=\varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\left.\sup _{n, x}\left|\varphi_{n}(x)\right|<\infty\right)$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, \varphi_{n}\right)=\Psi\left(s, x^{n}, t, \varphi\right)$, consequently $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, \varphi_{n}\right)=V\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, \varphi\right)$ for all $0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Together with estimation (34) for $V$, it allows us to state that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{s t} \varphi_{n}(x)=T_{s t} \varphi(x) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (35), the necessary properties of the operator $T_{s t}$ can be verified only for smooth $\varphi$. In particular, it is easy to conclude by using (35) that the operators $T_{s t}$ have property (31) also in the case where they act on functions $\varphi$ from the class $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Now we will prove that the operator family ( $T_{s t}$ ) defined by formulas (29) and (30) has the property such that it transforms the nonnegative functions into nonnegative functions.

Lemma. If properties A1), A2) and B1), B2) hold for coefficients of the operators $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ from (14) and (15), then, for every nonnegative function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the function $T_{s t} \varphi$ is nonnegative.
Proof. Taking (35) into account, it is enough to prove Lemma only in the case where a function $\varphi$ belongs to the class $H^{2+\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and is finite. From Theorem 1, it follows that, in this case, the function $T_{s t} \varphi(x)$ satisfies Eq. (17) in the domain $(s, x) \in[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, initial condition (18), and boundary condition (19). Set some $0<t \leq T$ and denote, by $\gamma$, the minimum of the function $T_{s t} \varphi$ in a domain $(s, x) \in[0, t] \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}$. Assume that $\gamma<0$. Since $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ and $T_{s t} \varphi \rightarrow 0$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and due to the maximum principle (see [13, Ch. II]), there exist $s_{0} \in[0, t)$ and $x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $T_{s_{0} t} \varphi_{n}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma$.

Because the function $T_{s t} \varphi(x)$ obviously is not a constant, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of the point $\left(s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $T_{s_{0} t} \varphi\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)>\gamma$ for $(s, x) \in U \cap\left\{[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$. But then, as it follows from Theorem 14 [13, p. 69], the inequality $D_{n} T_{s t} \varphi\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)>0$ holds at the point $\left(s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. In addition, at the point $\left(s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, the equalities $D_{i} T_{s_{0} t} \varphi\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=0$, $i=1, \ldots, n-1,\left.D_{s} T_{s t} \varphi\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{s=s_{0}}=0$ and the inequality

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k l}\left(s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) D_{k l} T_{s_{0} t} \varphi\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0
$$

obviously hold. Consequently, we obtain that, at the point $\left(s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, the function $T_{s t} \varphi(x)$ does not satisfy the boundary condition (19). So, our assumption that $\gamma<0$ is false. Lemma is proved.

Noticing that $V\left(s, x^{\prime}, t, \varphi_{0}\right) \equiv 0$ for the function $\varphi_{0}(y) \equiv 1$ and, consequently,

$$
T_{s t} \varphi_{0}(x) \equiv 1
$$

we conclude that the operator family $\left(T_{s t}\right)_{0 \leq s<t \leq T}$ determines some nonhomogeneous nonbreaking Feller process on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}$. If we will denote its transition probability by

$$
P(s, x, t, d y)
$$

then we can write the relation

$$
T_{s t} \varphi(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P(s, x, t, d y) \varphi(y), \quad 0 \leq s<t \leq T, \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}
$$

Finally, we prove that trajectories of the constructed process are continuous. This statement is a corollary from the inequality

$$
\sup _{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|y-x|^{4} P(s, x, t, d y) \leq C(t-s)^{2}, \quad s \in[0, t)
$$

which can be verified by straight computations.
Hence, the following theorem holds.
Theorem 2. Let conditions A1), A2) and B1),B2) hold for coefficients of the operators $\mathcal{L}$ from (14) and $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ from (15). Then there exists a multiplicative operator family $\left(T_{s t}\right)_{0 \leq s<t \leq T}$ that is defined by formulas (30), (29) and describes a diffusion process in a closed domain $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}$ such that, in inner points of the domain, it is controlled by the operator $\mathcal{L}$, and its behavior on the boundary is determined by the boundary condition (16).
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