

UDC 519.21

ALEXANDER V. IVANOV

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF L_p -ESTIMATORS

Some sufficient conditions for consistency and asymptotic normality of a non-linear regression parameter L_p -estimator are presented for a continuous time regression model with Gaussian stationary noise possessing the long-range dependence or weak dependence property.

INTRODUCTION

Consider a regression model

$$X(t) = g(t, \theta) + \varepsilon(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $g : [0, \infty) \times \Theta^c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is a continuous function, Θ^c is a closure in \mathbb{R}^m of an open bounded convex set Θ , $\theta \in \Theta$. It is supposed that

A₁. $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ is a real measurable mean-square continuous stationary Gaussian process defined on the complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , $E\varepsilon(0) = 0$.

Definition. Any random variable (r.v.) $\hat{\theta}_T$ having a property

$$Q_{pT}(\hat{\theta}_T) = \inf_{\tau \in \Theta^c} Q_{pT}(\tau), \quad Q_{pT}(\tau) = \int_0^T |X(t) - g(t, \tau)|^p dt, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

is said to be an L_p -estimator of the unknown $\theta \in \Theta$.

It follows from [1–3] that our assumptions provide the existence of the L_p -estimator.

L_p -estimators belong to a wide class of M -estimators [4] and use the loss function $\rho(x) = |x|^p$. Least squares estimators ($p = 2$) and least moduli estimators ($p = 1$) are the most studied L_p -estimators [5,6]. The description of the asymptotic properties of L_p -estimators for $p \in (1, 2)$ is a challenging theoretical problem. For linear and nonlinear regression models with discrete time and independent identically distributed observation errors, the consistency and asymptotic normality of l_p -estimators were considered in [4, 6–10].

1. CONSISTENCY OF L_p -ESTIMATORS

Suppose $g(t, \cdot) \in C^1(\Theta^c)$; $g_i(t, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} g(t, \theta)$;

$$d_{iT}^2(\theta) = \int_0^T g_i^2(t, \theta) dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad d_T^2(\theta) = \text{diag}(d_{iT}^2(\theta))_{i=1}^m;$$

$$\varliminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} d_{iT}^2(\theta) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

2000 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62J02; Secondary 62J99.

Key words and phrases. L_p -estimator, regression model.

Let $U_T(\theta) = T^{-\frac{1}{2}}d_T(\theta)(\Theta - \theta)$; $\hat{u}_T = T^{-\frac{1}{2}}d_T(\theta)(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta)$; $f(t, u) = g(t, \theta + T^{\frac{1}{2}}d_T^{-1}(\theta)u)$;

$$f_i(t, u) = g_i(t, \theta + T^{\frac{1}{2}}d_T^{-1}(\theta)u), \quad \Phi_{pT}(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^T |f(t, u_1) - f(t, u_2)|^p dt,$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) = Q_{pT}(\theta + T^{\frac{1}{2}}d_T^{-1}(\theta)u), \quad u \in U_T^c(\theta);$$

$$v(r) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|u\| < r\}, \quad \mu_p = E|\varepsilon(0)|^p.$$

B₁. For any $R > 0$, there exist $k^i(R) < +\infty$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \cap v^c(R)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |g_i(t, \theta + T^{\frac{1}{2}}d_T^{-1}(\theta)u)| d_{iT}^{-1}(\theta) \leq k^i(R)T^{-1/2}.$$

C₁ (contrast condition). For any $r > 0$, there exists $\Delta(r) > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \geq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) + \Delta(r),$$

and $\Delta(R_0) = \rho_0 \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Delta_0$ for some $R_0 > 0$, where $\rho_0 > 2$ and $\Delta_0 > 0$ are some numbers.

A₂. $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, is a strongly dependent process, namely: $B(t) = E\varepsilon(t)\varepsilon(0) = \frac{L(|t|)}{|t|^\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where $L(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ is a function slowly varying at infinity, $B(0) = 1$.

A₃. $B \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $B(0) = 1$.

Theorem 1. For any $r > 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$:

1) under assumptions **A₁**, **A₂**, **B₁**, and **C₁**,

$$(2) \quad P\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} = O(B(T));$$

2) under assumptions **A₁**, **A₃**, **B₁**, and **C₁**,

$$(3) \quad P\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} = O(T^{-1}).$$

We will give an outline of the proof of statement (2). The proof of (3) is similar. Let

$$h_T(\theta, u) = \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) - E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u).$$

By the definition of L_p -estimator,

$$\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\hat{u}_T) \leq h_T(\theta, 0) + E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Therefore, by condition **C₁** for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} &= P\left\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r, \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\hat{u}_T) \leq h_T(\theta, 0) + E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0)\right\} \leq \\ &\leq P\left\{\inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \leq h_T(\theta, 0) + E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0)\right\} \leq \\ &\leq P\left\{-\inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} h_T(\theta, u) + T^{-\frac{1}{p}} h_T(\theta, 0) \geq \Delta(r)\right\} \leq \\ &\leq P\left\{\sup_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u)| \geq \gamma \Delta(r)\right\} + \\ &\quad + P\left\{T^{-\frac{1}{p}} h_T(\theta, 0) \geq (1 - \gamma) \Delta(r)\right\} = \\ (4) \quad &= P_1 + P_2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate P_2 , we set

$$\xi(t) = |\varepsilon(t)|^p - \mu_p, \quad \eta_T = T^{-1} \int_0^T \xi(t) dt.$$

Using the expansion of the function $|x|^p$ in the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^1, \varphi(x) dx)$, $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$, in Hermite polynomials, one can obtain the inequality (see, for example, [5, 11])

$$(5) \quad E\eta_T^2 \leq D\xi(0) \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^T B^2(t-s) dt ds.$$

Applying the standard argument [11, 12], it can be shown from \mathbf{A}_2 and (5) that $\eta_T \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0$ a.s. If so, then

$$(6) \quad \zeta_T = T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^T |\varepsilon(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ a.s.}$$

On the other hand, $E\zeta_T^p = \mu_p$ for any T . Therefore ([13], p. 105),

$$(7) \quad E\zeta_T = ET^{-\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and, for $T > T_0$ and some $0 < C_0 < (1 - \gamma)\Delta(r)$,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_2 &= \{\zeta_T \geq (1 - \gamma)\Delta(r) + E\zeta_T\} \leq \left\{ \zeta_T \geq (1 - \gamma)\Delta(r) + \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} - C_0 \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ \eta_T \geq \left(\mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + (1 - \gamma)\Delta(r) - C_0 \right)^p - \mu_p \right\} = O(B^2(T)), \end{aligned}$$

as follows from (5).

To estimate P_1 , one obtains, by the triangle inequality,

$$(9) \quad \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, u) - \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) \leq \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \leq \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, u) + \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0),$$

and, taking the expectations,

$$(10) \quad -E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) - \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, u) \leq -E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \leq E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) - \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, u).$$

The addition of inequalities (9) and (10) leads to the majorant

$$|h(\theta, u)| \leq \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0) + E\tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0).$$

Therefore,

$$(11) \quad P_1 \leq P \{ \zeta_t + E\zeta_T \geq \gamma\Delta(r) \}.$$

Having taken in (11) $r = R_0$ from condition \mathbf{C}_1 and $\gamma = \frac{2}{\rho_0}$, we arrive at the inequality

$$(12) \quad P_1 \leq P \left\{ \zeta_T \geq \left(\mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} - E\zeta_T \right) + \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{2\Delta_0}{\rho_0} \right\}.$$

Relation (6) shows that, for $T > T_0$,

$$(13) \quad P_1 \leq P \left\{ \zeta_T \geq \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{\Delta_0}{\rho_0} \right\} = P \left\{ \eta_T \geq \left(\mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{\Delta_0}{\rho_0} \right)^p - \mu_p \right\} = O(B^2(T)).$$

Taking bound (8) for $r = R_0$ and bound (13) into account, one has, for any $r \in (0, R_0)$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} P \{ \|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r \} &\leq P \{ R_0 \geq \|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r \} + P \{ \|\hat{u}_T\| \geq R_0 \} \\ &= P \{ R_0 \geq \|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r \} + O(B^2(T)). \end{aligned}$$

As far as

$$(15) \quad \inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \cap (v^c(R_0) \setminus v(r))} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \geq \inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u),$$

condition \mathbf{C}_1 is fulfilled also for the left-hand side of inequality (15). So, as previously, we obtain an inequality similar to (4) for $\gamma' \in (0, 1)$:

$$(16) \quad P \{R_0 \geq \|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} \leq P \left\{ - \inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \cap (v^c(R_0) \setminus v(r))} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} h_T(\theta, u) \geq \gamma' \Delta(r) \right\} + P \left\{ T^{-\frac{1}{p}} h_T(\theta, 0) \geq (1 - \gamma') \Delta(r) \right\} \leq P_3 + O(B^2(T)),$$

$$P_3 = P \left\{ \sup_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \cap v^c(R_0)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u)| \geq \gamma' \Delta(r) \right\}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R > 0$, condition \mathbf{B}_1 yields the existence of $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, R) > 0$ such that

$$(17) \quad \sup_{u_1, u_2 \in U_T^c(u) \cap v^c(R), \|u_1 - u_2\| < \delta} T^{-1} \Phi_{pT}(u_1, u_2) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(l)}$ be closed sets of diameters less than δ that corresponds to the number $R = R_0$ and $\varepsilon = \left(\frac{c_1 \Delta(r) \gamma'}{2} \right)^p$ from inequality (17), and let $c_1 \in (0, 1)$ be some

number, $\bigcup_{i=1}^l F^{(i)} = v^c(R_0)$. If the points $u_i \in F^{(i)} \cap U_T^c(\theta)$, $i = 1, \dots, l_0$, $l_0 \leq l$ are fixed, then

$$(18) \quad P_3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l_0} P \left\{ \sup_{u', u'' \in F^{(i)} \cap U_T^c(\theta)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u') - h_T(\theta, u'')| + T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u_i)| \geq \gamma' \Delta(r) \right\}.$$

For $u', u'' \in F^{(i)}$, one has, by inequality (17),

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u') - h_T(\theta, u'')| &\leq \\ &\leq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left| \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u') - \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u'') \right| + T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \left| \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u') - \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u'') \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u', u'') < c_1 \gamma' \Delta(r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(19) \quad P_3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l_0} P \left\{ T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u_i)| \geq (1 - c_1) \gamma' \Delta(R) \right\}.$$

For any $u \in v^c(R_0)$, one obtains further

$$(20) \quad |h_T(\theta, u)| \leq \left| \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) - \left(E \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right| + \left(E \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) = a_1(u) + a_2(u).$$

Taking the expectation of both parts of the inequality

$$(21) \quad \left| E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) - \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \left(E \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u),$$

we derive the bound

$$(22) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} a_2(u) \leq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \left| E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) - \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(T^{-2} D \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}.$$

Let us use the notation

$$\Delta f(t, u) = f(t, 0) - f(t, u), \quad \xi(t, u) = |\varepsilon(t) + \Delta f(t, u)|^p.$$

Then \mathbf{B}_1 yields

$$(23) \quad \sup_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \cap v^c(R_0)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Delta f(t, u)| \leq R_0 \|k(R_0)\|,$$

$k(R_0) = (k^1(R_0), \dots, k^q(R_0))$, and consequently,

$$E\xi^2(t, u) \leq 2^{2p-1} (\mu_{2p} + (R_0 \|k(R_0)\|)^{2p}) = c_2 < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$(24) \quad \text{cov}(\xi(t, u), \xi(s, u)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_m(t, u)C_m(s, u)}{m!} B^m(t-s)$$

with

$$C_m(t, u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x + \Delta f(t, u)|^p H_m(x) \varphi(x) dx,$$

where $H_m(x)$, $m \geq 1$, are Hermite polynomials.

With regard for the relation

$$(25) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_m^2(t)}{m!} = D\xi(t, u) \leq c_2,$$

we arrive at the bound [11]

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} T^{-2} D\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) &= T^{-2} \int_0^T \int_0^T \text{cov}(\xi(t, u), \xi(s, u)) dt ds \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(T^{-2} \int_0^T \int_0^T C_m^2(t, u) B^m(t-s) dt ds \right) \leq \\ &\leq c_2 T^{-2} \int_0^T \int_0^T B(t-s) dt ds = O(B(T)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(27) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} a_2(u) = O(B^{\frac{1}{2p}}(T)).$$

On the other hand,

$$(28) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} a_1(u) \leq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left| \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) - E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Due to (26)-(28) for any number $0 < c_3 < (1 - c_1)\gamma'\Delta(r)$ and $u \in v^c(R_0)$ for $T > T_0$,

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} P \left\{ T^{-\frac{1}{p}} |h_T(\theta, u)| \geq (1 - c_1)\gamma'\Delta(r) \right\} &\leq P \left\{ T^{-1} \left| \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) - E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right| \geq c_3^p \right\} \leq \\ &c_3^{-2p} T^{-2} D\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) = O(B(T)) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(30) \quad P_3 = O(B(T)).$$

Relations (16) and (30) yield (2). ■

Sometimes, it is sufficient to check a simpler modification of condition \mathbf{C}_1 . For example, if

$$(31) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Theta^c} |g(t, \tau_1) - g(t, \tau_2)| \leq g_0 < \infty,$$

then, to obtain (2) and (3) instead of (1), one can use the contrast inequality

$$(32) \quad \inf_{u \in U_T^c(\theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(E \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Delta(r).$$

Assuming

$$d_{iT}(\theta) \asymp T^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

one can take the normalization

$$T^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_T(\theta) = \mathbb{I}_m$$

without loss of generality. Then $U_T(\theta) = \Theta - \theta$, $\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) = Q_{pT}(\theta + u)$ and so on.

Instead of the differentiability of g and assumption \mathbf{B}_1 , we suppose

\mathbf{B}_2 . Inequality (31) is valid, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Theta^c: \|\tau_1 - \tau_2\| < \delta} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |g(t, \tau_1) - g(t, \tau_2)|^p dt < \varepsilon.$$

Instead of \mathbf{C}_1 , we assume

\mathbf{C}_2 (contrast condition). For any $r > 0$, there exists $\Delta(r) > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{u \in (\Theta - \theta) \setminus v(r)} T^{-1} \int_0^T (g(t, \theta + u) - g(t, \theta))^2 dt \geq \Delta(r).$$

Theorem 2. *If Θ is a bounded set, then under assumptions \mathbf{A}_1 , \mathbf{A}_2 , \mathbf{B}_2 , and \mathbf{C}_2 for any $r > 0$,*

$$P\{\|\hat{\theta}_T - \theta\| \geq r\} = O(B(T)) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

A similar statement can be formulated for the process $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ with integrated covariance function.

To prove the theorem, one has to check contrast conditions \mathbf{C}_1 or (32). They can be written now in the form of the following assumption:

For any $r > 0$, there exists $\Delta^*(r) > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\tau \in \Theta^c: \|\tau - \theta\| \geq r} T^{-1} E Q_{pT}(\tau) \geq \mu_p + \Delta^*(r).$$

Write

$$g_0(t) = |g(t, \theta) - g(t, \tau)|.$$

The validity of \mathbf{C}_1 follows from the inequalities

$$(33) \quad T^{-1} E Q_{pT}(\tau) - \mu_p \geq \frac{p}{2} T^{-1} \int_0^T g_0^2(t) \int_{g_0(t)}^{\infty} x^p \varphi(x) dx dt \geq \frac{p}{2} G_0 \Delta(r) = \Delta^*(r) > 0,$$

where $\|\tau - \theta\| \geq r$, $\Delta(r)$ is taken from \mathbf{C}_2 ,

$$G_0 = \int_{g_0}^{\infty} x^p \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}},$$

and g_0 is defined in (31).

In fact, inequality (33) is true for any bounded, even continuously differentiable density function on \mathbb{R}^1 which is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0]$, and $\mu_p < \infty$ [6].

Suppose

$$(34) \quad g(t, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t) \theta_i.$$

Then $d_{iT}^2 = \int_0^T g_i^2(t) dt$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $d_T = \text{diag}(d_{iT})$. Condition \mathbf{B}_1 is transformed into

B₃. For some $k^i < +\infty$, $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} |g_i(t)| d_{iT}^{-1} \leq k^i T^{-1/2}.$$

Set

$$J_T^{il} = d_{iT}^{-1} d_{lT}^{-1} \int_0^T g_i(t) g_l(t) dt, \quad i, l = 1, \dots, m;$$

$J_T = (J_T^{il})_{i,l=1}^m$, and $\lambda_{\min}(J_T)$ is the least eigenvalue of a positive definite matrix J_T .

B₄. $\lambda_{\min}(J_T) \geq \lambda_* > 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let the regression function g be of the form (34) and satisfy assumptions **B₃** and **B₄**. Then, for any $r > 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$:*

- 1) $P\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} = O(B(T))$, if the process $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, is subjected to **A₁**, **A₂**;
- 2) $P\{\|\hat{u}_T\| \geq r\} = O(T^{-1})$, if the process $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, is subjected to **A₁**, **A₃**.

Outline the proof of 1). By the triangle inequality,

$$(35) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \geq T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u, 0) - T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0).$$

Using (7), we conclude that condition **C₁** will be fulfilled if

(i) there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that, for $\|u\| \geq R_0$ and $T > T_0$,

$$(36) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u, 0) \geq 2\mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Delta(R_0),$$

where $\Delta(R_0)$ has the same property as that in **C₁**;

(ii) for any $0 < r < R_0$ and $r \leq \|u\| < R_0$,

$$(37) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} E \tilde{Q}_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \geq \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Delta(r, R_0)$$

for some $\Delta(r, R_0) > 0$.

To check (36), we will use the representation

$$(38) \quad T^{-1} \Phi_{pT}(u, 0) = T^{-1} \int_0^T \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t) T^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{iT}^{-1} u_i \right|^2}{\left| \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t) T^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{iT}^{-1} u_i \right|^{2-p}} dt.$$

It follows from **B₃** that

$$(39) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t) T^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{iT}^{-1} u_i \right|^{2-p} \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} k^i \right)^{2-p} m^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \|u\|^{2-p}.$$

On the other hand, we have, by **B₄**,

$$(40) \quad T^{-1} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t) T^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{iT}^{-1} u_i \right|^2 dt = \sum_{i,l=1}^m J_T^{il} u_i u_l \geq \lambda_* \|u\|^2,$$

and, therefore,

$$(41) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \Phi_{pT}^{\frac{1}{p}}(u, 0) \geq c_4 \|u\|,$$

where

$$c_4 = \lambda_*^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} k^i \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \cdot m^{\frac{p-2}{2p}}.$$

It is clear from (41) that inequality (36) can be satisfied by the proper choice of $\|u\|$.

As follows from (7) and (27), condition (37) will be fulfilled for $R_0 > \|u\| \geq r_0$, if

$$(42) \quad T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \mu_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Delta_1(r, R_0)$$

or

$$(43) \quad T^{-1}E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \geq \mu_p + \Delta_2(r, R_0),$$

where $\Delta_1(r, R_0)$ and $\Delta_2(r, R_0)$ are some positive constants.

Similarly to (8),

$$(44) \quad T^{-1}E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) - \mu_p \geq \frac{p}{2}T^{-1} \int_0^T \Delta^2 f(t, u) \int_{|\Delta f(t, u)|}^{\infty} x^p \varphi(x) dx dt.$$

If $\|u\| < R_0$, then we have, by inequality (23),

$$(45) \quad \int_{|\Delta f(t, u)|}^{\infty} x^p \varphi(x) dx \geq \int_{R_0 \|k(R_0)\|}^{\infty} x^p \varphi(x) dx = G_0 > 0.$$

Thus, (44), (45), and (40) yield

$$(46) \quad T^{-1}E\tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) - \mu_p \geq \frac{p}{2}G_0 \lambda_* r^2 = \Delta_2(r, R_0) > 0.$$

2. ASYMPTOTIC UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION TO A SYSTEM OF NORMAL EQUATIONS

If $\rho(x) = |x|^p$, then $\rho'(x) = \psi(x) = p|x|^{p-1} \text{sgn} x$, $\rho'' = \psi' = p(p-1)|x|^{p-2}$, $x \neq 0$, and $\psi'(0) = +\infty$.

The L_p -estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ is a solution to the system of "normal" equations

$$(47) \quad \text{grad} \left(\gamma T^{-1} Q_{pT}(\tau) \right) = 0, \quad \gamma = (E\psi'(\varepsilon(0)))^{-1} > 0$$

or

$$(48) \quad \text{grad} \left(\gamma T^{-1} \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right) = 0, \quad u = T^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_T(\theta)(\tau - \theta).$$

Assume $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to be an open bounded set and $g(t, \cdot) \in C^2(\Theta^c)$. Write

$$g_{il}(t, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_i \partial \tau_l} g(t, \theta), \quad d_{il, T}^2(\theta) = \int_0^T g_{il}^2(t, \theta) dt, \quad i, l = 1, \dots, m.$$

B₅:

- 1) $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{\tau \in \Theta^c} |g_i(t, \tau)| d_{iT}^{-1}(\theta) \leq k^i T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$;
- 2) $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{\tau \in \Theta^c} |g_{il}(t, \tau)| d_{il, T}^{-1}(\theta) \leq k^{il} T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$;
- 3) $\sup_{\tau \in \Theta^c} d_{il, T}(\tau) d_{iT}^{-1}(\theta) d_{lT}^{-1}(\theta) \leq \tilde{k}^{il} T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$;
- 4) $T d_{iT}^{-2}(\theta) d_{lT}^{-2}(\theta) \int_0^T \left(g_{il}(t, \theta + T^{\frac{1}{2}} d_T^{-1}(\theta) u) - g_{il}(t, \theta) \right)^2 dt \leq k_{il} \|u\|^2, \quad i, l = 1, \dots, m.$

Theorem 4. *Suppose $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$. Then, under assumptions **A**₁, **A**₂, **B**₄, **B**₅, and **C**₁, the system of equations (47) (or (48)) has a unique solution with probability $1 - O(B(T))$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

The idea of the proof consists in the comparison of two matrices

$$H_T(u) = \text{Hessian} \left(\gamma T^{-1} \tilde{Q}_{pT}(u) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J_T(\theta).$$

Using the inequality for symmetric matrices [14]

$$|\lambda_{\min}(H_T(u)) - \lambda_{\min}(J_T(\theta))| \leq m \cdot \max_{1 \leq i, l \leq m} |H_T^{il}(u) - J_T^{il}(\theta)|,$$

one can prove that $H_T(u)$ is a positive definite matrix in some neighborhood of zero with probability $1 - O(B(T))$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

3. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF L_p -ESTIMATORS

Assume further that there exist the limits $\Lambda(\theta) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} J_T^{-1}(\theta)$ and

$$\sigma(\theta) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} D_T^{-1}(\theta) \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\nabla g(tT, \theta) \nabla^* g(sT, \theta)}{|t-s|^\alpha} \right) D_T^{-1}(\theta),$$

$$D_T^2(\theta) = T^{-1} d_T^2(\theta).$$

It follows from Theorem 4 that one can apply the Brouwer fixed-point theorem to prove

Theorem 5. *Under assumptions of Theorem 4, the normalized L_p -estimator*

$$B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(T) T^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_T(\theta) (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta)$$

is asymptotically normal $N(0, \Lambda(\theta) \Sigma(\theta) \Lambda(\theta))$ r.v.

The details of the proof can be found in [11].

The results similar to Theorems 4 and 5 can be obtained for the process $\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ satisfying the weak dependence condition.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Jennrich R.I., *Asymptotic Properties of Non-Linear Least Squares Estimators*, Ann. Math. Statist. **40** (1969), 633-643.
2. Pfanzagl J., *On the measurability and consistency of minimum contrast estimates*, Metrika **14** (1969), 249-272.
3. Schmetterer L., *Einführung in die Mathematische Statistik*, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
4. Huber P., *Robust Statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
5. Ivanov A.V., Leonenko N.N., *Statistical Analysis of Random Fields*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
6. Ivanov A.V., *Asymptotic Theory of Nonlinear Regression*, Kluwer AP, Dordrecht, 1997.
7. Ronner A.E., *Asymptotic Normality of p -Norm Estimators in Multiple Regression*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete **66** (1984), 613-620.
8. Ivanov A.V., *On consistency of l_α -estimators of regression function parameter*, Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics **42** (1990), 42-48. (in Russian)
9. Bardadym T.O., Ivanov A.V., *Asymptotic normality of l_α -estimators of nonlinear regression model parameter*, DAN USSR **A** (1988), no. 8, 68-70. (in Russian)
10. Bardadym T.O., Ivanov A.V., *On asymptotic normality of l_α -estimators of nonlinear regression model parameter*, Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics **60** (1999), 1-10. (in Ukrainian)
11. Ivanov A.V., Orlovsky I.V., *L_p -estimates in nonlinear regression with long-range dependence*, Theory of Stochastic Processes **7(23)** (2002), no. 3-4, 38-49.
12. Cramer H., Leadbetter M.R., *Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York, 1967.
13. Gikhman I.I., Skorokhod A.V., *Introduction to the Theory of Random Processes*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965. (in Russian)
14. Wilkinson J.H., *The algebraic eigenvalue problem*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF UKRAINE "KPI", 37, PEREMOGY AVE., KYIV, UKRAINE
E-mail: ivanov@paligora.kiev.ua