

THE HARDY'S INEQUALITY AND POSITIVE INVERTABILITY OF ELLIPTIC OPERATORS

© PAVEL E. SOBOLEVSKII

1⁰. We will consider the simplest elliptic boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u(x) - a(x)u(x) = f(x)(x \in \Omega); u(x) = 0(x \in \partial\Omega) \quad (1)$$

and correspondent initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial v(t, x)/\partial t - \Delta v(t, x) - a(x)v(t, x) &= 0(t \geq 0, x \in \Omega); v(t, x) = 0 \\ (t \geq 0, x \in \partial\Omega); v(0, x) &= v^0(x)(x \in \bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here Ω is a bounded open set in R^n of points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with boundary $\partial\Omega \in C^2$; $a(x)$, $f(x)$ and $v^0(x)$ are given (continuous) functions, defined on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Let A be the acting in the space $L_p(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < +\infty$) linear operator, defined by formula

$$(Au)(x) = -\Delta u(x) - a(x)u(x) \quad (3)$$

on domain

$$D(A) = \overset{0}{W}_p^2(\Omega) \equiv \overset{0}{W}_p^1(\Omega) \cap W_p^2(\Omega). \quad (4)$$

It is well-known (see, for example, [1]), that $-A$ is the generator of analytic semigroup $\exp\{-tA\}$ ($t \geq 0$) of bounded in $L_p(\Omega)$ operators, i.e. estimates

$$\|\exp\{-tA\}\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p}, \|tA \cdot \exp\{-tA\}\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \leq M(p) \cdot e^{\omega(p)t} (t > 0) \quad (5)$$

hold for some $1 \leq M(p) < +\infty$, $-\infty < \omega(p) < +\infty$. We will consider boundary value problem (1) as operator problem

$$Au = f \quad (6)$$

in some functional Banach space. We will say, that $u = u(x)$ is the solution in L_p of problem (1), if $u \in D(A)$, and (operator) equation (6) is fulfilled. If there exists such solution, then, evidently,

$$f \in L_p. \quad (7)$$

We will consider initial boundary value problem (2) as (operator) Cauchy problem

$$dv(t)/dt + Av(t) = 0(t \geq 0), v(0) = v^0. \quad (8)$$

We will say, that $v(t) = v(t, x)$ is the solution in L_p of problem (2), if $v(t), dv(t)/dt, Av(t) \in C([0, T], L_p)$ for any number $T < \infty$, and (operator) ordinary differential equation and initial condition (8) are fulfilled. If there exists such solution $v(t) = v(t, x)$, then, evidently,

$$v^0 \in D(A). \quad (9)$$

It is well-known [1], that property (9) is not only necessary, but also sufficient condition for the existence of unique solution $v(t)$ in L_p of problem (2), which is defined by formula

$$v(t) = \exp\{-tA\}v^0. \quad (10)$$

Let following condition be satisfied:

$$a(x) \leq 0 \quad (x \in \bar{\Omega}). \quad (11)$$

Then (see [2]), from maximum principle it follows, that estimates (5) are true for some

$$\omega(p) < 0. \quad (12)$$

Therefore there exists the bounded inverse A^{-1} , which is defined by formula

$$A^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-tA\} dt. \quad (13)$$

From maximum principle also it follows, that

$$\exp\{-tA\} \cdot v^0(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{if } v^0(x) \geq 0 (x \in \bar{\Omega}). \quad (14)$$

and therefore, in virtue of formula (13),

$$(A^{-1}f)(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{if } f(x) \geq 0 (x \in \bar{\Omega}). \quad (15)$$

It is well known [2], that these statements are valid under less restriction

$$a(x) < \lambda_1 \quad (x \in \bar{\Omega}) \quad (16)$$

on coefficient $a(x)$. Here $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the minimal eigenvalue of operator $-\Delta$.

It turns out, that these statements take place for some functions $a(x)$, which can have very large positive values near boundary $\partial\Omega$ and small (positive) values inside of Ω . Usually the statements for equations with variable coefficients are true, in particular, for equations with constant coefficients. In our case it is not true. In fact for $a(x) = \text{const.} = \lambda_1$ operator $A = -\Delta - a(x)I$ does not have inverse.

Such statements were discovered in [3] for general elliptic operators of the second order and for the correspondent parabolic operators. In this paper, for partial cases of elliptic operators, some amplification of results from [3] is obtained. This amplification is based on exact values of generalized Hardy's constants.

2^o. Let us define generalized Hardy's functional

$$\ell_{p,\Omega}(u) = \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \cdot \left[\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-p} dx \right]^{-1/p} \quad (17)$$

on the set $\{u \in \overset{0}{W}_p^1(\Omega), u(x) \not\equiv 0\}$. Here $|\nabla u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\partial u / \partial x_i|^2$, $\rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ is the Euclidean distance from point $x \in \Omega$ to boundary $\partial\Omega$. It turns out, that value

$$H_{p,\Omega} = \inf_{u \in \overset{0}{W}_p^1(\Omega), u \not\equiv 0} \ell_{p,\Omega}(u) \quad (18)$$

is positive. The proof of this statement is based on partition of unity and classical one-dimensional Hardy's inequality [4].

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$H_{2,\Omega}^{-1} \cdot \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{ [a(x)]_+^{1/2} \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x) \} < 1 \quad (19)$$

Then, for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$ there exists the bounded positive inverse A^{-1} in L_p .

Here and in what follows

$$[\psi(x)]_+ = \max[0, \psi(x)]. \quad (20)$$

Scheme of proof. Let us consider boundary value problem with parameter $\lambda \geq 0$

$$-\Delta u(x) - a(x)u(x) + \lambda u(x) = f(x) (x \in \Omega); \quad u(x) = 0 (x \in \partial\Omega) \quad (21)$$

as operator problem in L_2 . From maximum principle it follows, that operator $A + \lambda I$ has bounded inverse, when

$$\lambda \geq \max[a(x)]_+. \quad (22)$$

Further, in virtue of Friedrichs inequality

$$M_{F(\Omega)} \cdot \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad u \in \overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega) \quad (23)$$

for some $0 < M_F(\Omega) < +\infty$ ($\bar{\Omega}$ is bounded set in R^n), functional $\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ defines the equivalent norm in the space $\overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega)$. Therefore the norm of the space $W_2^{-1}(\Omega)$ [of the space of bounded linear functionals on $\overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega)$] can be define by formula

$$\|\varphi\|_{W_2^{-1}(\Omega)} = \sup_{\psi \in \overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega), \psi \neq 0} \left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(x) dx \right| \cdot \|\psi\|_{\overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega)}^{-1}. \quad (24)$$

Then from (21) it follows, that ($\lambda \geq 0$)

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} [a(x)]_+ u^2(x) dx \leq \|f\|_{W_2^{-1}(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (25)$$

Further, in virtue of condition (19),

$$H_{2,\Omega}^{-2} \cdot \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{ [a(x)]_+ \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}^2(x) \} = 1 - \varepsilon \quad (26)$$

for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. It leads us to inequality

$$\varepsilon \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{W_2^{-1}(\Omega)}, \quad (27)$$

in virtue of definitions (17) and (18). Finally, we apply the Friedrichs inequality (23) and obtain the first a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} \leq M_1 \cdot \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad M_1 = [1 + \varepsilon^{-2} \cdot M_{F(\Omega)}^{-2}]^{1/2}, \quad (28)$$

uniformly with respect to $\lambda \geq 0$.

Further, from identity (21) (under integration by parts) it follows, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{-2}(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \max_{x \in \Omega} |a(x)| \cdot \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\Delta u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \\ &+ \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\Delta u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We use estimate (28) and coercive inequality [2] for operator $-\Delta$ and obtain the second a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(\Omega)} \leq M_2 \cdot \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad (30)$$

uniformly with respect to $\lambda \geq 0$. It permits us to establish, that for any $\lambda \geq 0$ operator $A + \lambda I$ has bounded positive inverse $(A + \lambda I)^{-1}$ in $L_2(\Omega)$.

For $p \geq 2$ we use identity $[u \in \overset{0}{W}_2^2(\Omega)]$

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x) \cdot u |u(x)|^{p-2} dx = (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \cdot |u(x)|^{p-2} dx, \quad (31)$$

imbedding theorems for the spaces $W_q^2(\Omega)$ ($q > 1$) (see [1, 2]) and come to a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)} \leq M_p \cdot \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad (32)$$

which permits to show, that operator $A + \lambda I$ has the bounded positive inverse for all $\lambda \geq 0$ in $L_p(\Omega)$. Finally, in the case $1 < p < 2$ we consider operator $\lambda I + A^* = \lambda I + A$, acting in the space L_q , $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

The parabolic problem (2) can be investigated in the same manner. Analogously to inequality (27) differential inequality

$$1/2 \cdot d[\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2]/dt + \varepsilon \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0 \quad (33)$$

for solutions of Cauchy problem (8) is established.

Since, evidently,

$$\|\nabla\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \geq \lambda_1^{1/2} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \psi \in \overset{0}{W}_2^1(\Omega), \quad (34)$$

then from (33), it follows, that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq e^{-\varepsilon \lambda_1 t} \cdot \|v^0\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (35)$$

It means, that following estimate

$$\|\exp\{-tA\}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq e^{-\varepsilon \lambda_1 t} (t \geq 0) \quad (36)$$

is true. Further the application of semigroup property and imbedding theorems leads us to following result:

THEOREM 2. Under condition of Theorem 1 for any $1 < p < +\infty$ estimate

$$\|\exp\{-tA\}\|_{L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega)} \leq M_p \cdot e^{-\varepsilon\lambda_1 t} (t \geq 0) \quad (37)$$

is true for some $1 \leq M_p < +\infty$ and

$$\varepsilon = 1 - H_{2,\Omega}^{-2} \cdot \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{[a(x)]_+ \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}^2(x)\}. \quad (38.)$$

It is easy to see [see formula (13)], that Theorem 1 is the consequence of Theorem 2. 3⁰. Let us consider general elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} - a_0(x)u(x) &= f(x) (x \in \Omega), \\ u(x) &= 0 (x \in \partial\Omega); \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and correspondent parabolic initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v(t,x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x_i} - a_0(x)v(t,x) = 0 \quad (t \geq 0, x \in \Omega); \\ v(t,x) &= 0 (t \geq 0, x \in \partial\Omega) \quad v(0,x) = v^0(x) \quad (x \in \bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

We will suppose, that functions

$$a_{i,j}(x), \partial a_{i,j}(x)/\partial x_i, \partial^2 a_{i,j}(x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j, a_i(x), \partial a_i(x)/\partial x_i, a_0(x) \quad (41)$$

are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, and ellipticity condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \gamma_i \gamma_j \geq \lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^2 \quad (x \in \bar{\Omega}) \quad (42)$$

is fulfilled for all real numbers $\gamma_i (i = \overline{1, n})$ and some $0 < \lambda_0 < +\infty$. It is possible to show, that in this general nonselfadjoint case the analogous of Theorems 1 and 2 statements are true, if condition

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 a_{i,j}(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i(x)}{\partial x_i} + a_0(x) \right]_+ \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x) \right\} < \lambda_0^{1/2} \cdot H_{2,\Omega} \quad (43)$$

is fulfilled.

4⁰. Condition (19) of Theorems 1 and 2 depends on value $H_{2,\Omega}$, but the partition of unity is not explicit approach for the calculation of this value. However there exists the wide class of domains $\Omega \subset R^n$, for which value $H_{p,\Omega}$ is defined by explicit formula. Namely [5], if Ω is (bounded or unbounded) convex domain, then

$$H_{p,\Omega} = \frac{p-1}{p}. \quad (44)$$

In this case condition (19) has form

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} \{[a(x)]_+^{1/2} \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)\} < 1/2. \quad (45)$$

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are based also on the Friedrichs inequality (23). There are convex unbounded domains in R^n , for which inequality (23) is valid. For such domains the statements of Theorems 1 and 2 are true under condition (45). For example, in R^2 for stripe

$$\Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2) / 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1, -\infty < x_1 < +\infty\} \quad (46)$$

the Friedrichs inequality is true.

For any convex unbounded domain $\Omega \in R^n$, for example for $\Omega = R^n$, operator $-\Delta$ does not have the bounded inverse, and therefore the Friedrichs inequality is not valid. Only for any $\gamma_0 > 0$ operator

$$-\Delta + \gamma_0 I \quad (47)$$

has the bounded inverse. It leads us to condition

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \{[a(x) + \gamma_0]_+^{1/2} \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)\} < 1/2 \quad (48)$$

for any convex domain $\Omega \in R^n$ Condition (48) means, that function $a(x)$ must tend to $-\gamma_0$, when $\rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ tends to $+\infty$, i.e. we suppose, that maximum principle is fulfilled on the infinity.

5⁰. The condition of type

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \{[a(x) + \gamma_0]^{1/2} \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)\} < H_{2,\Omega} \quad (49)$$

has the sense, if

$$H_{2,\Omega} > 0. \quad (50)$$

It is true, when Ω is bounded domain, or Ω is unbounded convex domain. However there exists the wide class of unbounded domains $\Omega \in R^n$, for which

$$H_{2,\Omega} = 0. \quad (51)$$

Namely, let

$$\Omega = R^n \setminus \omega, \quad (52)$$

and ω is bounded convex domain. Then [6]

$$H_{p,\Omega} = \min\left\{\left|\frac{p-1}{p}\right|, \left|\frac{p-2}{p}\right|, \dots, \left|\frac{p-n}{p}\right|\right\} (1 < p < +\infty). \quad (53)$$

It means, that such domains have property (51).

Now we will write problem (2) in form

$$A_0 u - [a(x) + \gamma_0] u = f \quad (54)$$

where

$$A_0 u = -\Delta + \gamma_0 I, \gamma_0 > 0. \quad (55)$$

It is evident, that for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ operator $A_0 + \lambda I$ has the bounded (positive) inverse in $L_p(\Omega)$, and following estimate

$$\|(A_0 + \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega)} \leq (\lambda + \gamma_0)^{-1} \quad (56)$$

is true. It means (see [1]), that A_0 is positive operator in Banach space $L_p(\Omega)$ and therefore any powers A_0^α ($-\infty < \alpha < +\infty$) are defined, $A_0^{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$) are bounded operators and A_0^α ($\alpha > 0$) are unbounded operators with dense domains $D(A_0^\alpha)$. Further [7]

$$D(A_0^\alpha) = \overset{0}{W}_p^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1) \quad (57)$$

and following estimates

$$\|A_0^{-\alpha}\|_{L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow \overset{0}{W}_p^{2\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq M_s(\alpha, p) \quad (58)$$

are true with some $1 \leq M_s(\alpha, p) < +\infty$. Equation (21) with parameter $\lambda \geq 0$ we can write in form

$$A_0 u - [a(x) + \gamma_0]u + \lambda u = f. \quad (59)$$

It, evidently, leads us to inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_0^{1/2} u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{[a(x) + \gamma_0]_+ + \rho_{\partial\Omega}^2(x)\} \cdot \|u \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \\ & \leq \|A_0^{-1/2} f\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \|A_0^{1/2} u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

for its solutions. Therefore substitution

$$z = A_0^{1/2} u \quad (61)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z\|_{L_2(\Omega)} - \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{[a(x) + \gamma_0]_+ + \rho_{\partial\Omega}^2(x)\} \cdot \|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \cdot \|z\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \\ & \leq \|A_0^{-1/2} f\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

So, we must estimate value

$$\|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (63)$$

Of course, we can apply here the implicit approach, which is based on the partition of unity, but we will use here other method. Let $1 < p_1 < 2 < p_2 < +\infty$. From M. Riesz interpolation theorem [8] it follows, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq M_R(p_1, 2, p_2) \cdot \|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_{p_1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p_1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_1 \cdot (p_2 - 2)}{2 \cdot (p_2 - p_1)}} \times \\ & \times \|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_{p_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p_2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_2 \cdot (2 - p_1)}{2 \cdot (p_2 - p_1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Further we will suppose, that numbers p_1 and p_2 do not coincide with integers $2, 3, \dots, n$. Then from formula (53) it follows, that

$$H_{p_i, \Omega} > 0 (i = 1, 2). \quad (65)$$

Therefore from definition (18) it follows, that

$$\|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_{p_i}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p_i}(\Omega)} \leq H_{p_i, \Omega}^{-1} \cdot \|\|\nabla A_0^{-1/2}\|\|_{L_{p_i}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p_i}(\Omega)} (i = 1, 2). \quad (66)$$

Finally, we apply estimate (58) and obtain

$$\|\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \cdot A_0^{-1/2}\|_{L_{p_i}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p_i}(\Omega)} \leq H_{p_i}^{-1} \cdot M_s(1/2, p_i) (i = 1, 2). \quad (67)$$

Then from (64) and from (59)-(62) it follows, that operator $A + \lambda I$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$ has bounded positive inverse in $L_2(\Omega)$, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{[a(x) + \gamma_0] \cdot \rho_{\partial\Omega}^2(x)\} \cdot M_R^2(p_1, 2, p_2) \times \\ & \times [H_{p_1}^{-1} \cdot M_s(1/2, p_1)]^{\frac{p_1 \cdot (p_2 - 2)}{p_2 - p_1}} \cdot [H_{p_2}^{-1} \cdot M_s(1/2, p_2)]^{\frac{p_2(2 - p_1)}{p_2 - p_1}} < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

It turns out, that condition (68) permit also to establish the exponential decreasing of norm $\|\exp\{-ta\}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)}$. Finally, the embedding theorems permit to prove the analogous statements in the space $L_p(\Omega)$ for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

References

- [1] M. A. Krasnoselskii et al; Integral operators in spaces of summable functions, Noordhoff international publishing Leyden, 1976; p. 520.
- [2] O. A. Ladyzenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Uralceva; Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type; Nauka-Moskow; 1967; p. 736 (Russia).
- [3] I. F. Lezhenina, P. E. Sobolevskii; Elliptic and Parabolic boundary value problems with singular estimate of coefficient; Dokl. Acad. Nauk Ukrain, SSR, Ser A, 1989, No. 3, pp. 27–31 (Russia).
- [4] G. H. Hardy; Note on a theorem of Hilbert; Math. Zeitsch. 6 (1920); pp. 314–317.
- [5] T. Matskewich, P. E. Sobolevskii; The best possible constant in generalized, Hardy's inequality for convex domain in R^n ; Elliptic and Parabolic P. D. E.'s and Applications, Capri, September 19-23, 1994, Summaries.
- [6] T. Matskewich, P. E. Sobolevskii; The sharp constant in the Hardy's inequality for complement of bounded domain; American Mathematical Society-Israel Mathematical Union; Joint Meeting May 24-26, 1995; Jerusalem, Israel; Summaries.
- [7] Seeley, R; Fractional powers of boundary problems; Actes Congres Intern. Math., t. 2 (Nice). Paris, Ganhier-Villars, 1970, pp. 203–205.
- [8] M. Riesz; Sur les maxima des forms bilineaires et sur les fonctionelles linéaires; Acta Math. 49 (1927); pp.465–497.

Institute of Mathematics
Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Givat Ram Campus,
91904 Jerusalem, Israel