

ON THE HOMOGENIZATION OF CONTROL SYSTEM WITH NON-REGULAR CONSTRAINTS

© P.I. KOGUT

Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine

This paper is devoted to the homogenization problem of a control objects all components of mathematical description of which may depend on some small parameter ε . It is assumed that the control object is described by a linear elliptic equation subject to control constraints. As it is well known there is a huge amount of literature on various aspects and methods in homogenization of partial differential equations and operator equations in Banach spaces (see, e.g., [1-7]). While only few papers deal with the homogenization of control systems. That's why the aim of this paper is to study the passing to the limit in such objects as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We will try to find out what happens to the control object as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, does there exist a limit, and, if so, can it be determined? In order to do it we note that each of the control system can be characterized by its own set of admissible pairs "control-state". Therefore we will study the homogenization problem as identification of the (Painleve-Kuratowski) topological limit [8] of the collection of sets of admissible pairs.

Let Ω be a bounded open set of R^n with Lipschitz boundary. We define the control object as follows

$$-\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla y) = b_\varepsilon u + f_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$y = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u \in U_\varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Let us denote by $w_{H_0^1}$ the weak topology of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, w_{L^2} the weak topology of $L^2(\Omega)$, $s_{H^{-1}}$ the strong topology of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and let us begin with the following assumptions:

- (1) $\{U_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is a family of weakly closed convex subsets of $L^2(\Omega)$ such that there exists a non-empty topological limit $(w_{L^2})\text{-Lm } U_\varepsilon$ in the Kuratowski's sense;
- (2) the sequence $\{f_\varepsilon \in H^{-1}(\Omega)\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is compact with respect to the weak topology of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$;
- (3) the sequence $\{b_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is compact with respect to the strong topology of $L^\infty(\Omega)$;
- (4) $A_\varepsilon \in [L^\infty(\Omega)]^{n^2}$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, and there are two positive constants $0 < \beta_0 \leq \beta_1$ satisfying $\beta_0 |\xi|^2 \leq (\xi, A_\varepsilon \xi)_{R^n} \leq \beta_1 |\xi|^2$, a.e. in Ω for any $\xi \in R^n$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$;

(5) boundary problem (1)–(2) is the uniformly regular, i.e. for every ε

$$\Xi_\varepsilon = \left\{ (u, y) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \left| \begin{array}{l} -\operatorname{div}(A_\varepsilon \nabla y) = b_\varepsilon u + f_\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega, \\ y = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ u \in U_\varepsilon, \end{array} \right. \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

It is well known that under above conditions there exists unique solution $y_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ of original system (1) for every admissible control $u \in U_\varepsilon \subset L^2(\Omega)$. Our aim is to establish the sufficient conditions under which the topological limit of the sets $\{\Xi_\varepsilon\}$ in the $\mu = w_{L^2} \times w_{H_0^1}$ -topology for the product space $L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ can be recovered. In order to do it we will use the following result.

LEMMA 1. A set E is the topological limit of the sequence

$$\{E_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]} \subset X$$

in some topology τ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for every $x \in E$ there exist an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$ and a sequence $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ converging to x in X such that $x_\varepsilon \in E_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$;
- (ii) if H is any index set of \mathbf{H}^\sharp , $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ is a sequence converging to x in X such that $x_\varepsilon \in E_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$, then $x \in E$.

Here \mathbf{H} is a filter on $(0, \varepsilon_0]$, and \mathbf{H}^\sharp is the grill associated with the filter \mathbf{H} , i.e., the family of subsets of $(0, \varepsilon_0]$ that meet all sets H in \mathbf{H} .

Let us consider the sequences of operators $\{A_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ and $\{B_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ such that:

- (a) $\langle B_\varepsilon u, \varphi \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega b_\varepsilon u \varphi dx$, $\forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, i.e. B_ε are linear continuous operators from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$;
- (b) $\langle A_\varepsilon y, \varphi \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega (\nabla \varphi, A_\varepsilon \nabla y)_{R^n} dx$, $\forall y, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Then original control system (1)–(2) can be rewritten in the form

$$A_\varepsilon y = B_\varepsilon u + f_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega), \quad u \in U_\varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

DEFINITION 1. We say that a collection of control constraints $\{U_\varepsilon\}$ is the non-regular if $(s_{H^{-1}}) - \operatorname{Ls} Q_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, where by Q_ε denote the images of the sets U_ε in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ by the maps $F_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Here $F_\varepsilon u = B_\varepsilon u + f_\varepsilon$.

By $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ we denote the set of all admissible triplet for the problem (3), i.e.

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon = \left\{ (u, g, y) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \left| \begin{array}{l} (g, y) \in \operatorname{gr}(A_\varepsilon)|_{Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1}, \\ g = B_\varepsilon u + f_\varepsilon, \\ u \in U_\varepsilon, \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (4)$$

where the graph restriction $\operatorname{gr}(A_\varepsilon)|_{Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1}$ of the operator A_ε is defined as the set

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{gr}(A_\varepsilon)|_{Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1} &= \operatorname{gr}(A_\varepsilon) \cap [Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)], \\ \operatorname{gr}(A_\varepsilon) &= \{(g, y) \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \mid g = A_\varepsilon y\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to prove the following result.

LEMMA 2. For every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sets Ξ_ε and Λ_ε .

Now it is easy to see that the problem of topological convergence of the sets of admissible pairs $\{\Xi_\varepsilon\}$ in the μ -topology can be reduced to the identification of topological limit in $\tau = s_{H^{-1}} \times w_{H_0^1}$ -topology of the graph restriction sequence $\left\{ \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) |_{Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1} \right\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$. However, under our initial assumption (with respect to the non-regular constraints) it is not possible to recover the topological limit of this sequence in the τ -topology, because by virtue of the properties in the Kuratowski's sense, we have the following inclusion

$$\tau\text{-Ls gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) |_{Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1} \subseteq \tau\text{-Ls gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \cap [(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Ls } Q_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)] = \emptyset.$$

Consequently, we should choose more weaker topology on $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ than the τ -topology. With this aim we will consider this problem with respect to τ^* -topology, which is defined as the product of the weak topology for $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and the weak topology for $H_0^1(\Omega)$. To this we introduce the following hypotheses:

- (A1) there exist subsets $L^\varepsilon \subset H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that $Q_\varepsilon \subseteq L^\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$;
- (A2) for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there is a real reflexive separable Banach space Y_ε with norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ and a continuous linear mapping P_ε of Y_ε into $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]} \|P_\varepsilon\| = c_0 < \infty;$$

- (A3) for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there exists a linear mapping R_ε^+ of Y_ε^* into $L^\varepsilon \subset H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that if $g \in Y_\varepsilon^*$, then $P_\varepsilon^*(R_\varepsilon^+g) = g$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$;
- (A4) for every strongly converging sequence $\{q_\varepsilon\}$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ we have $\{R_\varepsilon^+P_\varepsilon^*q_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded.

Now we introduce the following concepts.

DEFINITION 2. We say that the collection of real reflexive separable Banach spaces $\{Y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is coordinated with the control object (3) if hypotheses (A1)–(A4) hold true and there is a sequence of convex closed subsets $\left\{ \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \subseteq H^{-1}(\Omega) \right\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ such that $R_\varepsilon^+P_\varepsilon^* : \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \rightarrow Q_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, and $s_{H^{-1}}\text{-Li } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$, whereas $s_{H^{-1}}\text{-Ls } Q_\varepsilon = \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 3. For control object (3) with a coordinated collection of spaces $\{Y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ the sets

$$\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) = \{(f, y) \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon y = R_\varepsilon^+P_\varepsilon^*f\}$$

are called the prototypes of the operator graphs $\text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$.

DEFINITION 4. Suppose $\mathbf{A}_* \in L(H_0^1(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))$ is a coercive operator. We say that the sequence of operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \in L(H_0^1(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ G^* -converges to the operator \mathbf{A}_* (in symbols, $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$), if

$$\tau\text{-Lm Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) = \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*),$$

where $\tau = s_{H^{-1}} \times w_{H_0^1}$.

We note that definition of the G^* -limit of the operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}$ is defined in the terms of the product of the strong topology for $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and the weak topology for $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, if we put $Y_\varepsilon = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $P_\varepsilon y = y$, $R_\varepsilon^+ g = g$ for every $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, then $\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon$ and each of the graph prototypes $\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$ coincides with the corresponding graph $\text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$. Therefore Definition 4 reduces to the well known definition of G -convergence. Now we give the following important results.

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that for the original control object there is a coordinated collection of Banach spaces $\{Y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$. Let $\mathbf{A}_* \in L(H_0^1(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))$ be a coercive operator, $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \in L(H_0^1(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ be a G^* -compact set of uniformly bounded and uniformly coercive operators. Then the sequence $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ G^* -converges to \mathbf{A}_* if and only if*

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} f \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega)$$

for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$. Then, by Definition of G^* -convergence, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} f \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and the "only if" part of the statement is proved.

Let us prove the "if" part. Suppose that $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} f$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. By G^* -compactness of the set $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$, there exists an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}^\sharp$ and a subsequence $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that $\mathbf{A}_{\varepsilon \in H} \xrightarrow{G^*} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*$ is a linear bounded coercive operator from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Consequently for $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*$ there exists an invertible bounded operator $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*^{-1}$. The definition of G^* -convergence implies that $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*^{-1} f = \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} f$ for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Therefore $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_*^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_*^{-1}$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_* = \mathbf{A}_*$. Thus $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the following conditions hold true:*

- (i) $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \in L(H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is a sequence of uniformly coercive and uniformly bounded operators;
- (ii) the collection of Banach spaces $\{Y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is coordinated with the original control object (3) in the sense of Definition 2.

Then there exist an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}^\sharp$, a subsequence $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$, and a coercive linear operator \mathbf{A}_* of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$, i.e.

$$\tau\text{-Lm Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) = \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*).$$

Proof. Since the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is separable and reflexive, there exists a metric d such that for any sequence $\{y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $y_\varepsilon \rightarrow y$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$;
- (2) $\{y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is bounded and $d(y_\varepsilon, y) \rightarrow 0$.

We denote by σ the topology associated to the metric d on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This topology has a countable base. Since the topology $s_{H^{-1}} \times \sigma$ has a countable base, by Kuratowski compactness theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$, where $H \in \mathbf{H}^\sharp$, such that the one converges to a set $C \subset H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ in the $s_{H^{-1}} \times \sigma$ -topology.

Now we prove that $C = \tau\text{-Lm Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$. With this aim it is enough to show that

$$\tau\text{-Ls Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \subseteq C, \quad (5)$$

$$C \subseteq \tau\text{-Li Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon). \quad (6)$$

Firstly, let us verify (5). Suppose $(f, y) \in \tau\text{-Ls Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$. Then there exist an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}^\sharp$ and a sequence $\left\{ \left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \right\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ converging to (f, y) in the topology τ such that $\left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$. Since (1) implies (2), we see that $\left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right)$ converges to (f, y) with respect to the topology $s_{H^{-1}} \times \sigma$. Hence, $(f, y) \in C$.

Now we prove (6). Let $(f, y) \in C$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{ \left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \right\}$ converging to (f, y) in the topology $s_{H^{-1}} \times \sigma$ such that $\left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$ for all ε small enough. Since $\left\{ \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \right\}$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ we deduce that the sequence $y_\varepsilon = \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* \widehat{f}_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as well (by Definition 2). Then the equivalence between conditions (1) and (2) yields weak convergence of $\{y_\varepsilon\}$ to y . Hence, $\left\{ \left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \right\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)}$ converges to (f, y) in the τ -topology, which implies (6).

Finally, we prove that there exists an invertible linear bounded operator $\mathbf{A}_* : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that $C = \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*)$. Using Proposition 1, we see that there exists a linear operator $C_* : H^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall f \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad y_\varepsilon = \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f \longrightarrow C_* f \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then by analogy with [9] (see Proposition 1.7) it can be proved that there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that the inequalities

$$\|f - g\|_{H^{-1}}^2 \leq \alpha \|C_* f - C_* g\|_{H_0^1}^2, \quad (7)$$

$$\langle f - g, C_* f - C_* g \rangle \geq \alpha^{-1} \|C_* f - C_* g\|_{H_0^1}^2. \quad (8)$$

hold for every $f, g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Therefore from (7)–(8) we deduce that for any $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$

$$\|f\|_{H^{-1}}^2 \leq \alpha \|C_* f\|_{H_0^1}^2, \quad \langle f, C_* f \rangle \geq \alpha^{-1} \|C_* f\|_{H_0^1}^2. \quad (9)$$

Consequently the operator C_* is invertible, i.e. we may set $\mathbf{A}_* = C_*^{-1}$. Moreover, we obtain for the operator \mathbf{A}_* the properties of boundedness and coerciveness taking arbitrary $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and substituting $f = \mathbf{A}_* y$ into (9). The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that the following conditions hold true:

- (i) $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \in L(H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is a sequence of uniformly coercive and uniformly bounded operators;
- (ii) for the original control object (3) there exists a coordinated collection of Banach spaces $\{Y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$;
- (iii) there are an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$ and a τ -converging sequence $\left\{ \left(\widehat{f}_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \right) \in \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* \widehat{f}_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \in H.$$

Then there exist a set $H \in \mathbf{H}^\#$, and a coercive bounded linear operator $\mathbf{A}_* \in L(H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))$ such that $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$ and

$$\tau\text{-Lm} \left[\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \Big|_{\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)} \right] = \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*) \Big|_{(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm}[\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon] \times H_0^1(\Omega)}. \quad (10)$$

To prove this theorem we first make use the following result (see [10]).

LEMMA 3. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, η be the product topology for $X \times Y$. Let $\{W_\varepsilon\}$ and $\{R_\varepsilon\}$ be some sequences of η -closed convex subsets of $X \times Y$ for which the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\Pi_Y W_\varepsilon = Y$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, where by $\Pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the projection operator;
- (b) the sets R_ε have representation $R_\varepsilon = X \times C_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$;
- (c) there exist topological limits $\eta\text{-Lm} W_\varepsilon$ and $\eta\text{-Lm} R_\varepsilon$;
- (d) $\eta\text{-Li}(W_\varepsilon \cap R_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$.

Then for the sequence of subsets $\{W_\varepsilon \cap R_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ there exists a topological limit in the η -topology such that

$$\eta\text{-Lm}(W_\varepsilon \cap R_\varepsilon) = \eta\text{-Lm} W_\varepsilon \cap \eta\text{-Lm} R_\varepsilon.$$

Proof. In accordance with Lemma 3 we need verify conditions (a)–(d) for the sets $W_\varepsilon = \text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon)$ and $R_\varepsilon = \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, where \widehat{Q}_ε are defined in Definition 2. Conditions (a)–(b) follow immediately from initial assumptions. Since the sequence of operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ is compact with respect to G^* -convergence and the strong topology for $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ has a countable base, by the Kuratowski compactness theorem [11] there exist an index subset $H \in \mathbf{H}^\#$, a set $\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and a coercive bounded operator $\mathbf{A}_* \in L(H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\text{-Lm} \text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) &= \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*), \varepsilon \in H; \\ \tau\text{-Lm} \left[\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right] &= \left[(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm} \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore condition (c) of Lemma 3 holds. Finally, condition (d) follows immediately from supposition (iii). Hence, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\text{-Lm} \left[\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \Big|_{\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)} \right] &= \tau\text{-Lm} \left(\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \cap \left[\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right] \right) \\ &= \tau\text{-Lm} \left[\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \right] \cap \left[(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm} \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies immediately (10).

Now, turning to the original homogenization problem, we introduce the following assumption (in addition to suppositions (1)–(5)):

(6) there exist linear mappings $J_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ and a family of closed subsets $\{\widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]} \subseteq L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$U_\varepsilon = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid u = J_\varepsilon v, v \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon \right\} \text{ for every } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0];$$

(7) there exists an invertible linear operator $J_0 : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ such that $J_\varepsilon \rightarrow J_0$ in the weak operator topology, i.e. $\langle u, J_\varepsilon v \rangle_{L^2} \rightarrow \langle u, J_0 v \rangle_{L^2}$ for every $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, and the following inclusion holds $(w_{L^2})\text{-Ls } \widehat{U}_\varepsilon \subseteq J_0^{-1} [(w_{L^2})\text{-Lm } U_\varepsilon]$, where by $(w_{L^2})\text{-Ls } \widehat{U}_\varepsilon$ is denoted the upper topological limit of the sequence $\{\widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}$;

(8) for every control sequence $\{u_\varepsilon \in U_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ weakly converging in $L^2(\Omega)$ there can be found a sequence of prototypes $\{v_\varepsilon \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ satisfying the conditions: $u_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u = J_0 v$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$, where $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ is the weak limit of $\{v_\varepsilon \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$;

(9) for control object (3) hypotheses (A1)–(A4) hold true;

(10) for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there exist a linear continuous operator $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon$ from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and an element $\widehat{f}_\varepsilon \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that:

$$R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* \left(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \right) = b_\varepsilon J_\varepsilon v + f_\varepsilon \text{ for every } v \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon;$$

$$\widehat{f}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \widehat{f}_0 \text{ strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega);$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 \in L(L^2(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega)) \text{ in the uniform operator topology,}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon - \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 \right\|_{L(L^2(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))} = 0.$$

We begin with the following result.

LEMMA 4. *If assumptions (1)–(10) hold true, then*

$$\emptyset \neq (s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon = \left\{ g \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \mid g = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \widehat{f}_0 \forall u \in (w_{L^2})\text{-Lm } U_\varepsilon \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where \widehat{f}_0 is a limit of $\{\widehat{f}_\varepsilon\}$ in the strong topology of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and \widehat{Q}_ε are the convex closed subsets which are defined by the rule

$$\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon = \left\{ g \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \mid g = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \forall v \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let $g^* = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u^* + \widehat{f}_0$ be any element of the set

$$\left\{ g \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \mid g = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \widehat{f}_0 \quad \forall u \in (w_{L^2})\text{-Lm} U_\varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then since $u^* \in (w_{L^2})\text{-Lm} U_\varepsilon$, it follows that there exist an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$, a sequence $\{u_\varepsilon^*\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ converging to u^* in the weak topology of $L^2(\Omega)$, and a sequence of prototypes $\{v_\varepsilon^*\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ weakly converging to v^* in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon^* = J_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^* \in U_\varepsilon, \quad v_\varepsilon^* \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon \in H \quad \text{and} \quad u^* = J_0 v^*.$$

Therefore, by property (10), $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^* + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \in \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$. At the same time we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^* - \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 v^* \right\| &\leq \left\| (\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon - \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0) v_\varepsilon^* \right\| + \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 (v_\varepsilon^* - v^*) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon - \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 \right\| \cdot \|v_\varepsilon^*\| + \sup_{\|\phi\|_{H_0^1}=1} \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0^* \phi, v_\varepsilon^* - v^* \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^* + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 v^* + \widehat{f}_0 = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u^* + \widehat{f}_0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, if H be any index set of \mathbf{H}^\sharp and $\{g_\varepsilon \in \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ is a sequence converging to g in the strong topology of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, then there is a sequence of control prototypes $\{v_\varepsilon \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that $g_\varepsilon = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$. Since the sequence $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and the operators $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon$ are compact with respect to the uniform operator topology, it follows the the sequence $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ is bounded as well. Hence we may assume that there is an element $v_0 \in (w_{L^2})\text{-Ls} \widehat{U}_\varepsilon$ such that $v_\varepsilon \longrightarrow v_0$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varepsilon &= \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \in \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon && \text{for every } \varepsilon \in H; \\ g_\varepsilon &\longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 v_0 + \widehat{f}_0 = g_0 && \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

But by property (7) there can be found an element u_0 in $(w_{L^2})\text{-Lm} U_\varepsilon$ satisfying $v_0 = J_0^{-1} u_0$. Therefore $g_0 = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u_0 + \widehat{f}_0$. Thus, by Lemma 1, we obtain the required.

Now we are in a position to state the main result of our paper.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that conditions (1)–(10) hold true and there is an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$ and some μ -converging sequence of admissible pairs $\{(u_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in \Xi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ for original control problem (1)–(2). Then for the sequence of sets of admissible pairs $\{\Xi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ there exists a topological limit in the μ -topology and one has the following representation*

$$\mu\text{-Lm} \Xi_\varepsilon = \mathbb{X}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathbb{X} = \left\{ (u, y) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A}_* y = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \widehat{f}_0, \\ u \in (w_{L^2})\text{-Lm } U_\varepsilon. \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{A}_* \in L(H_0^1(\Omega); H^{-1}(\Omega))$ is the G^* -limit of the sequence of operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}$ in the sense of Definition 4.

Proof. First of all we note that by initial assumptions there is some sequence of admissible pair $\{(u_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in \Xi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that $(u_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\mu} (u^0, y^0)$. However, by property (8) there can be found a sequence of control prototypes $\{v_\varepsilon \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ satisfying the conditions: $u_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u^0 = J_0 v^0$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$, where $v^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ is the weak limit of $\{v_\varepsilon \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$. Therefore in view of condition (10) instead of the original sequence of admissible pairs we may consider the sequence of their prototypes $\{(v_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in \widehat{\Xi}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$, where the sets $\widehat{\Xi}_\varepsilon$ are defined by the rule

$$\widehat{\Xi}_\varepsilon = \left\{ (v, y) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon y = R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* (\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon), \\ v \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon. \end{array} \right\}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4 and condition (8), we have

$$\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \ni \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u^0 + \widehat{f}_0 \in (s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \quad \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega),$$

i.e. all suppositions on Theorem 2 hold true. Therefore for the topological limit of prototype graph restrictions $[\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \mid_{\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)}]$ representation (10) holds.

Let $(\widehat{u}^*, \widehat{y}^*)$ be any pair of \mathbb{X} . Then, by Lemma 4, we have

$$\widehat{g}^* = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} \widehat{u}^* + \widehat{f}_0 \in (s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon,$$

where the sets \widehat{Q}_ε are defined in (12). Using Theorem 2 we deduce that

$$(\widehat{g}^*, \widehat{y}^*) \in \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*) \cap \left[(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right].$$

Here \mathbf{A}_* is the G^* -limit of the operators sequence $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$. Then in accordance with Theorem 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{g}^*, \widehat{y}^*) &\in \tau\text{-Lm Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \cap \left[(s_{H^{-1}})\text{-Lm } \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega) \right] \\ &= \tau\text{-Lm} \left[\text{Gr}(\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon) \mid_{\widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by properties of topological limits (see Lemma 1), there exist an index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$, and sequences $\{\widehat{y}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$, $\{\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$, and $\{\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widehat{y}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{y}^* & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \widehat{U}_\varepsilon \ni \widehat{v}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{v}^* & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ U_\varepsilon \ni J_\varepsilon \widehat{v}_\varepsilon = \widehat{u}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{u}^* = J_0 \widehat{v}^* & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ \widehat{Q}_\varepsilon \ni \widehat{g}_\varepsilon = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon \widehat{v}_\varepsilon + \widehat{f}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} \widehat{u}^* + \widehat{f}_0 = \widehat{g}^* & \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \widehat{y}_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* \widehat{g}_\varepsilon = b_\varepsilon \widehat{u}_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon & \text{for every } \varepsilon \in H. \end{array}$$

Thus for the pair (\hat{u}^*, \hat{y}^*) we have found the index set $H \in \mathbf{H}$ and constructed the sequence $\{(\hat{u}_\varepsilon, \hat{y}_\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ such that

$$(\hat{u}_\varepsilon, \hat{y}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\mu} (\hat{u}^*, \hat{y}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{u}_\varepsilon, \hat{y}_\varepsilon) \in \Xi_\varepsilon \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \in H,$$

i.e. condition (i) of Lemma 1 holds.

Now we consider any index set H of $\mathbf{H}^\#$. Let $\{(\hat{u}_\varepsilon, \hat{y}_\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ be a sequence μ -converging to some pair (u, y) such that $(\hat{u}_\varepsilon, \hat{y}_\varepsilon) \in \Xi_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon \in H$. We have to show that $(u, y) \in \mathbb{X}$. Indeed, in this case there can be found a sequence of prototypes $\{\hat{v}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in H}$ weakly converging to v in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\hat{u}_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon \hat{v}_\varepsilon \in U_\varepsilon, \quad \hat{v}_\varepsilon \in \hat{U}_\varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon \in H \quad \text{and} \quad u = J_0 v.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_\varepsilon = \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\varepsilon \hat{v}_\varepsilon + \hat{f}_\varepsilon &\longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \hat{f}_0 = \hat{g}_0 && \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \\ \hat{y}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* \hat{g}_\varepsilon &\longrightarrow y && \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and by virtue of Theorem 2 we have

$$(\hat{g}_0, y) \in \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}_*) \Big|_{(s_{H^{-1}}) \text{-Lm } \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore $y = \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} \hat{g}_0 = \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \hat{f}_0)$, i.e. we have the following inclusion

$$(u, y) \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 1, we deduce that the set \mathbb{X} is the topological limit of the sequence of sets of admissible pairs $\{\Xi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$. The proof is complete.

We have proved that under initial assumptions (1)–(10) there exists the homogenized control object for (1)–(2) and this one can be presented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_* y &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}_0 J_0^{-1} u + \hat{f}_0 \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ u &\in (w_{L^2}) \text{-Lm } U_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion we give the example which shows that in the general case the G^* -limit \mathbf{A}_* of the operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}$ may not coincide with G -limit \mathbf{A}_0 of such a sequence.

Let Ω be an open bounded domain of R^n , and let $\{\Omega_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ be a sequence of open domains of R^n which are contained in Ω . Let $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ be a sequence of linear uniformly coercive and uniformly bounded operators from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. For every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ we put

- (i) L^ε be the closure in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ of the set of all functions $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp } f$ contained in Ω_ε ;
- (ii) $Y_\varepsilon = H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$;
- (iii) $P_\varepsilon : H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the extension operator defined for every $y \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ by $(P_\varepsilon y)|_{\Omega_\varepsilon} = y$, $(P_\varepsilon y)|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} = 0$. Since P_ε is linear continuous operator, the conjugate operator $P_\varepsilon^* : H^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ is defined;
- (iv) $R_\varepsilon^+ : H^{-1}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (L^\varepsilon \subset H^{-1}(\Omega))$ be the extension operator defined for every $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ by $(R_\varepsilon^+ f)|_{\Omega_\varepsilon} = f$, $(R_\varepsilon^+ f)|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} = 0$.

Assume that Kovalevsky's hypothesis holds: each of operators $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ has the following representation

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} = P_\varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} P_\varepsilon^*,$$

where $\Lambda_\varepsilon \in L(Y_\varepsilon; Y_\varepsilon^*)$ are some invertible operators and if $y \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ then there exist a constant $\nu > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_\varepsilon \in K_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ such that $y_\varepsilon \rightarrow y$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and such that, for every closed cube $S \subset \Omega$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_S |\nabla y_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq \nu \int_S (|\nabla y|^2 + y^2) dx,$$

where by K_ε we denote the closure in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the set of all functions $y \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with support contained in Ω_ε .

Then $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{G^*} \mathbf{A}_*$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^{-1} R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f = [P_\varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} P_\varepsilon^*] R_\varepsilon^+ P_\varepsilon^* f \equiv P_\varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} P_\varepsilon^* f \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*^{-1} f \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega)$$

for every $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Therefore in view of Kovalevsky's theorem (see [11]) we deduce that for the G^* -limit operator \mathbf{A}_* the following representation holds:

$$\mathbf{A}_* = \mathbf{A}_0 + F_\mu,$$

where \mathbf{A}_0 is the G -limit of $\{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]}$ in the usual sense, and the operator $F_\mu : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\langle F_\mu y, z \rangle = \int_\Omega \mu(x) y z dx.$$

REFERENCES

1. Bakhvalov N. and Panasenko G., *Homogenization: Averaging Processes in Periodic Media*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.
2. Ciorenescu D. and Murat F., *A strange term coming from nowhere*, Topic in the Mathematical Modelling of Composite Materials. Collège de France (A. Cherkaev and R. Kohn, eds.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997, pp. 45–93.
3. Dal Maso G., *An Introduction to Γ -Convergence*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
4. Pankov A.A., *G-Convergence and Homogenization of Nonlinear Partial Differential Operators*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
5. Sanchez-Palencia E., *Nonhomogeneous Media and Vibration Theory*, Lecture Notes in Phys., Vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
6. Skrypnik I.V., *Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, B.G. Teubner Verlages, Leipzig, 1986.
7. Zhikov V. and Kozkol S. and Oleinik O., *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
8. Kuratowski K., *Topology I, II*, PWN, Warszawa, 1966.
9. Kovalevsky A. A., *G-convergence and homogenization of nonlinear elliptic operators in divergence form with variable domain*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **44** (1995), no. 3, 431–460.
10. Kogut P. I. and Mizerny V. M., *On homogenization of extremal problems for linear Gammmerstain's operator equations*, Problemy upravleniya i informatiki (2002) (to appear). (Russian)
11. Kovalevsky A. A., *An effect of double homogenization for Dirichlet problems in variable domains of genera! structure*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I, Math. **328** (1999), no. 12, 1151–1156.

ACAD. LAZARJAN STR., 1/9,
49010 DNIPROPETROVSK, UKRAINE
E-mail address: evm@diit.dp.ua