

1. . . . : [ ] / . . . . - : . . . . , 2009. - 592 .
2. / . . . . // . . . . . - 2011. - . 191. - 322 .
3. . . . / . . . . , . . . . -2- . - . : . . . . , 1960. . 23: . - 1960. - 907 .
4. . . . [ ] . - : <http://gendocs.ru/v11056/?page=10>.
5. // . . . . . - 2008. - 6, . 1(112). - . 114-116.
6. . . . / . . . . . - . : . . . . , 2001. - . 158.
7. . - : [http://www.nasledie.ru/oboz/12\\_04/12\\_01.HTM](http://www.nasledie.ru/oboz/12_04/12_01.HTM).

332.144

«

»,

*The basic methods of economic valuation of forest resources, analyze their uses and disadvantages. The prospects of using costly and rental concepts of economic evaluation of forest resources.*

*Key words: forest resources, economic evaluation, cost-concept annuity concept.*

[ 1].

16,9,

- 18,7,  
43,7%,

- 0,4

6

, 32  
, 524

- 47,5%,

0,3% [2].

13

3

[3].

10,8

- 5,9%.

- 43,9,

, 4

, 45

, 15,7%

- 11,7,

1

3

, 1217



---

, ) , ( , , ,  
 ( ) , . ,  
 , [5]. ,  
 , [6],  
 ( , ),  
 [7]. ,  
 , [5].  
 , ( )  
 ( ) ,  
 ( ) )  
 [5]. : ,  
 , - ( ) .  
 , [8].  
 : [9]. ,  
 :  
 , ,  
 .  
 , [10],  
 . . [11] . ,  
 .  
 , ,  
 .  
 ,



[ 12].

[13].

[1].

( , : , ).

[1].

(

[ 1].

:

:

•

;

