UDC 515.12 Avik De (Univ. Calcutta, India)

## A NOTE ON INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF $(k, \mu)$ -CONTACT MANIFOLDS

## ПРО ДЕЯКІ ПІДМНОГОВИДИ (k, μ)-КОНТАКТНИХ МНОГОВИДІВ

The object of the present paper is to study invariant submanifolds of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold and to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold to be totally geodesic.

Метою статті є вивчення інваріантних підмноговидів  $(k, \mu)$ -контактного многовиду та встановлення необхідних і достатніх умов для того, щоб інваріантний підмноговид  $(k, \mu)$ -контактного многовиду був цілком геодезичним.

**1. Introduction.** It is well known [1, 2] that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ , where R is the curvature tensor. On the other hand, on a manifold M equipped with a Sasakian structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , one has

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$
(1)

As a generalization of both  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$  and the Sasakian case (1), Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou [3] introduced the case of contact metric manifolds with contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  which satisfy

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY)$$
(2)

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where k and  $\mu$  are real constants and 2h is the Lie derivative of  $\phi$  in the direction  $\xi$ . A contact metric manifold belonging to this class is called a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold. In fact, there are many motivations for studying  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifolds: the first is that, in the non-Sasakian case (that is, for  $k \neq 1$ ) the condition (2) determines the curvature completely; moreover, while the values of k and  $\mu$  change, the form of (2) is invariant under D-homothetic deformations [3]; finally there is a complete classification of these manifolds, given in [4] by Boeckx, who proved also that any non-Sasakian  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold is locally homogeneous and strongly locally  $\phi$ -symmetric [5, 6]. There are also non-trivial examples of  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifolds, the most important being the unit tangent sphere bundle of a Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature with the usual contact metric structure.

An odd dimensional invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold is a submanifold for which the structure tensor field  $\phi$  maps tangent vectors into tangent vectors. Such a submanifold inherits a contact metric stucture from the ambient space and it is in fact a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold [16]. In [11] Kon proved that an invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold is totally geodesic, provided the second fundamental form of the immersion is covariantly constant. Generalising this result of Kon the authors [16] proved that if the second fundamental form of an invariant submanifold in a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold is covariantly constant then either k = 0 or the submanifold is totally geodesic.

Motivated by these works we have studied the possible necessary and sufficient conditions of an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold to be totally geodesic. In this paper we have generalized the results of [16]. In the present paper we have proved that the recurrency, 2-recurrency and generalised 2-recurrency of the second fundamental form of an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold are equivalent. And any one of these three conditions can be taken as a necessary and sufficient condition of the submanifold to be totally geodesic. Since N(k)-contact metric manifold is a special case of  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold, therefore the above results also hold in any N(k)-contact metric manifold. Finally we have studied the semiparallelity of an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold.

**2. Preliminaries.** An *n*-dimensional manifold  $M^n(n \text{ is odd})$  is said to admit an almost contact structure [1, 15, 18] if it admits a tensor field  $\phi$  of type (1, 1), a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$
(3)

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0. \tag{4}$$

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold  $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J\left(X, f\frac{d}{dt}\right) = \left(\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X)\frac{d}{dt}\right)$$

is integrable, where X is tangent to M, t is the coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}$  and f is a smooth function on  $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let g be the compatible Riemannian metric with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , that is,

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Then  $M^n$  becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . From (3) it can be easily seen that

$$g(X,\phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \qquad g(X,\xi) = \eta(X),$$

for any vector fields X, Y on the manifold. An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if  $g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$ , for all vector fields X, Y.

Let  $f: (M, g) \longrightarrow (\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  be an isometric immersion of an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) into (n + d)-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}), n \ge 2, d \ge 1$ . We denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connections of M and  $\overline{M}$  respectively, and by  $T^{\perp}M$  its normal bundle. Then for vector fields  $X, Y \in TM$ , the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is given by the formula  $\sigma(X, Y) = \overline{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$ . Furthermore, for  $N \in T^{\perp}(M), A_N: TM \longrightarrow TM$  will denote the Weingarten operator in the direction of  $N, A_N X = \nabla_X^{\perp} N - \overline{\nabla}_X N$ , where  $\nabla^{\perp}$  denotes the normal connection of M. The second fundamental form  $\sigma$  and  $A_N$  are related by  $\overline{g}(\sigma(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y)$ , where g is the induced metric of  $\overline{g}$  for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M. The covariant derivative  $\overline{\nabla}^{\sigma} \sigma$  and second covariant derivative  $\overline{\nabla}^{2} \sigma$  of  $\sigma$  are defined by

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^{\perp}(\sigma(Y, Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$
(5)  
$$(\overline{\nabla}^2 \sigma)(Z, W; X, Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) =$$
  
$$= \nabla_X^{\perp}((\overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W)) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(\nabla_X Z, W) =$$
  
$$-(\overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Z, \nabla_Y W) - (\overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} \sigma)(Z, W),$$
(6)

respectively, where  $\overline{\nabla}\sigma$  is a normal bundle valued tensor of type (0,3) and  $\overline{\nabla}$  is called the *van der Waerden–Bortolotti connection* of M.

The basic equation of Gauss is given by [7]

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) =$$
  
=  $R(X, Y, Z, W) - g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) + g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)).$ 

However, for a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $M^n$  of dimension n, we have [2]

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX),$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{2} \pounds_{\xi} \phi$ . From the above equation we also have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h(X).$$

Now from the Gauss formula we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \sigma(X,\xi).$$

Since the submanifold M is invariant, we have from the above two equations,

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(X,\xi) = 0. \tag{7}$$

**3. Immersions of recurrent type.** We denote by  $\nabla^p T$  the covariant differential of the *p*th order,  $p \ge 1$ , of a (0, k)-tensor field  $T, k \ge 1$ , defined on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . According to [14], the tensor T is said to be *recurrent* and 2-*recurrent*, if the following conditions hold on M

$$(\nabla T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X)T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = (\nabla T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X)T(X_1, \dots, X_k),$$
(8)  
$$(\nabla^2 T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y)T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = (\nabla^2 T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y)T(X_1, \dots, X_k),$$
(9)

respectively, where  $X, Y, X_1, Y_1, \ldots, X_k, Y_k \in TM$ . From (8) it follows that at a point  $x \in M$  if the tensor T is non-zero, then there exists a unique 1-form  $\theta$ , respectively, a (0, 2)-tensor  $\psi$ , defined on a neighborhood U of x, such that

$$\nabla T = T \otimes \theta, \qquad \theta = d(\log ||T||),$$
(10)

respectively

$$\nabla^2 T = T \otimes \psi,$$

holds on U, where ||T|| denotes the norm of T.

ISSN 1027-3190. Укр. мат. журн., 2010, т. 62, № 11

The tensor T is said to be generalized 2-recurrent if

$$(\nabla^2 T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) - (\nabla T \otimes \theta)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y)T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) =$$
  
=  $(\nabla^2 T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y) - (\nabla T \otimes \theta)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y)T(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ 

holds on M, where  $\theta$  is a 1-form on M. From this it follows that at a point  $x \in M$  if the tensor T is non-zero then there exists a unique (0,2)-tensor  $\psi$ , defined on a neighborhood U of x, such that

$$\nabla^2 T = \nabla T \otimes \theta + T \otimes \psi,$$

holds on U.

The notion of generalized 2-recurrent tensors in Riemannian spaces is introduced by Ray [13].

J. Deprez defined the immersion to be *semiparallel* if

$$\bar{R}(X,Y).\sigma = (\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y \overline{\nabla}_X - \overline{\nabla}_{[X,Y]})\sigma = 0,$$

holds for all vector fields X, Y tangent to M. J. Deprez mainly paid attention to the case of semiparallel immersions in real space forms [8, 9]. Later, Lumiste showed that a semiparallel submanifold is the second order envelope of the family of parallel submanifolds [12]. In [10] H. Endo studied semiparallelity condition for a contact metric manifold. He showed that a semiparallel contact metric manifold is totally geodesic under certain conditions.

**4. Recurrent submanifolds of**  $(k, \mu)$ **-contact manifolds.** To prove the main theorem we first state two lemmas.

**Lemma 1** [19]. Let M be a submanifold of a contact metric manifold  $\overline{M}$ . If  $\xi$  is orthogonal to M, then M is anti-invariant.

**Lemma 2** [17]. We know that if  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a contact Riemannian manifold and  $\xi$  belong to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, then  $k \leq 1$ . If k < 1, then  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits three mutually orthogonal and integrable distributions D(0),  $D(\lambda)$ ,  $D(-\lambda)$ , defined by the eigenspaces of h, where  $\lambda = \sqrt{1-k}$ .

*Now, if*  $X \in D(\lambda)$ *, then*  $hX = \lambda X$  *and if*  $X \in D(-\lambda)$ *, then*  $hX = -\lambda X$ *.* 

**Theorem 1.** Let M be an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold, with  $k \neq 0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *M* is totally geodesic;

(ii) the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is recurrent;

(iii) the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is 2-recurrent;

(iv) the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is generalized 2-recurrent.

**Proof.** Suppose M is totally geodesic, then (ii), (iii) and (iv) are trivially true. Now suppose  $\sigma$  is recurrent, then from (10), we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \theta(X)\sigma(Y, Z),$$

where  $\theta$  is a 1-form on *M*. Then in view of (5), we obtain

$$\nabla_X^{\perp}(\sigma(Y,Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y,Z) - \sigma(Y,\nabla_X Z) = \theta(X)\sigma(Y,Z).$$
(11)

By Lemma 1,  $\xi \in TM$ . So, taking  $Z = \xi$  in (11), we have

ISSN 1027-3190. Укр. мат. журн., 2010, т. 62, № 11

1558

$$\nabla_X^{\perp}(\sigma(Y,\xi)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y,\xi) - \sigma(Y,\nabla_X \xi) = \theta(X)\sigma(Y,\xi).$$

Then using (7), we obtain

$$\sigma(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = 0.$$

Using (7) we get

$$\sigma(Y,X) - \sigma(Y,hX) = 0.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2 yields  $(1 \pm \lambda)\sigma(Y, X) = 0$ , which implies  $\sigma(Y, X) = 0$ , provided  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , or  $k \neq 0$ .

Thus M is totally geodesic, provided  $k \neq 0$ .

Proceeding in a similar manner we can prove that if  $\sigma$  is 2-recurrent or generalized 2-recurrent, then also M is totally geodesic.

Theorem 1 is proved.

**Theorem 2.** Let M be an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold  $\overline{M}$ . Then M is totally geodesic if and only if M is semiparallel, provided  $k \neq \pm \mu \sqrt{1-k}$ . **Proof.** We have

$$(R(X,Y).\sigma)(V,W) =$$
  
=  $R^{\perp}(X,Y)(\sigma(V,W)) - \sigma(R(X,Y)V,W) - \sigma(V,R(X,Y)W).$ 

Suppose M is semiparallel. Then  $\bar{R}(X,Y).\sigma = 0$ , that is,  $\bar{R}(X,\xi).\sigma = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$R^{\perp}(X,\xi)(\sigma(V,W)) = \sigma(R(X,\xi)V,W) + \sigma(V,R(X,\xi)W).$$

Putting  $V = \xi$ , and using (7) we obtain

$$\sigma(R(X,\xi)\xi,W) = 0.$$
(12)

Using (2) in (12) we obtain

$$(k \pm \mu \sqrt{1-k}) \,\sigma(X, W) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma(X, W) = 0$ , provided  $k \neq \pm \mu \sqrt{1 - k}$ . Hence M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The corollary follows immediately:

**Corollary.** Let M be an invariant submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold  $\overline{M}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *M* is totally geodesic;

(ii) 
$$\overline{R}(X,\xi).\sigma = 0;$$

(iii)  $\overline{R}(X,Y).\sigma = 0$ , where X and Y are arbitrary vector fields on M.

Acknowledgement. The author is thankful to the referee for his valuable comments towards the improvement of the paper.

- 1. Blair D. E. Two remarks on contact metric structure // Tohoku Math J. 1977. 29. P. 319-324.
- 2. Blair D. E. Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds // Progr. Math. 1979. 203.
- Blair D. E., Koufogiorgos T., Papantoniou B. J. Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition // Isr. J. Math. - 1995. - 91. - P. 189-214.
- 4. Boeckx E. A full classification of contact metric (k, µ)-spaces // Ill. J. Math. 2000. 44. P. 212 219.

ISSN 1027-3190. Укр. мат. журн., 2010, т. 62, № 11

1559

- Boeckx E. A class of locally φ-symmetric contact metric spaces // Arch. Math. (Basel). 1999. 72. P. 466–472.
- Boeckx E. Contact-homogeneous locally φ-symmetric manifolds // Glasgow Math. J. 2006. 48. P. 93–109.
- 7. Chen B. Y. Geometry of submanifolds // Pure and Appl. Math. 1973. № 22.
- 8. Deprez J. Semi-parallel surfacess in the Euclidean space // J. Geom. 1985. 25. P. 192-200.
- Deprez J. Semi-parallel hypersurfaces // Rend. Semin. mat. Univ. e politecn. Torino. 1986. 44. P. 303–316.
- 10. Endo H. Certain submanifolds of contact metric manifolds // Tensor (N. S.). 1988. 47, № 2. P. 198-202.
- Kon M. Invariant submanifolds of normal contact metric manifolds // Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 1973. -27. - P. 330-336.
- Lumiste U. Semi-symmetric submanifolds as the second order envelope of symmetric submanifolds // Proc. Eston. Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. – 1990. – 39. – P. 1–8.
- Ray A. K. On generalised 2-recurrents tensors in Riemannian spaces // Accad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. - 1972. - 5, № 58. - P. 220-228.
- 14. Roter W. On conformally recurrent Ricci-recurrent manifolds // Colloq. Math. 1982. 46, № 1. P. 45–57.
- 15. Sasaki S. Lecture notes on almost contact manifolds. Pt I. Tohoku Univ., 1965.
- Tripathi M. M., Sasahara T., Kim J.-S. On invariant submanifolds of contact metric manifolds // Tsukuba J. Math. - 2005. - 29, № 2. - P. 495-510.
- 17. Tanno S. Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds // Tohoku Math. J. 1988. 40. P. 441 448.
- 18. Yano K., Kon M. Structures on manifolds // Ser. Pure Math. Singapore: World Sci., 1984.
- 19. Yano K., Kon M. Anti-invariant submanifolds // Lect. Notes Pure and Appl. Math. 1976. 21.

Received 05.02.10, after revision – 30.06.10

1560