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Abstract. Let $\Lambda$ be a connected left artinian ring with radical square zero and with $n$ simple modules. If $\Lambda$ is not selfinjective, then we show that any module $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ is projective. We also determine the structure of the artin algebras with radical square zero and $n$ simple modules which have a non-projective module $M$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Xiao-Wu Chen [C] has recently shown: given a connected artin algebra $\Lambda$ with radical square zero then either $\Lambda$ is self-injective or else any CM module is projective. Here we extend this result by showing: If $\Lambda$ is a connected artin algebra with radical square zero and $n$ simple modules then either $\Lambda$ is self-injective or else any module $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ is projective. Actually, we will not need the assumption on $\Lambda$ to be an artin algebra; it is sufficient to assume that $\Lambda$ is a left artinian ring. And we show that for artin algebras the bound $n+1$ is optimal by determining the structure of those artin algebras with radical square zero and $n$ simple modules which have a non-projective module $M$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

From now on, let $\Lambda$ be a left artinian ring with radical square zero, this means that $\Lambda$ has an ideal $I$ with $I^{2}=0$ (the radical) such that
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$\Lambda / I$ is semisimple artinian. We also assume that $\Lambda$ is connected (the only central idempotents are 0 and 1 ). The modules to be considered are usually finitely generated left $\Lambda$-modules. Let $n$ be the number of (isomorphism classes of) simple modules.

Given a module $M$, we denote by $P M$ a projective cover, by $Q M$ an injective envelope of $M$. Also, we denote by $\Omega M$ a syzygy module for $M$, this is the kernel of a projective cover $P M \rightarrow M$. Since $\Lambda$ is a ring with radical square zero, all the syzygy modules are semisimple. Inductively, we define $\Omega_{0} M=M$, and $\Omega_{i+1} M=\Omega\left(\Omega_{i} M\right)$ for $i \geq 0$.

Lemma 1. If $M$ is a non-projective module with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ (and $d \geq 1$ ), then there exists a simple non-projective module $S$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. The proof is obvious: We have $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}(\Omega M, \Lambda)$, for all $i \geq 2$. Since $M$ is not projective, $\Omega M \neq 0$. Now $\Omega M$ is semisimple. If all simple direct summands of $\Omega M$ are projective, then also $\Omega M$ is projective, but then the condition $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M, \Lambda)=0$ implies that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M, \Omega M)=0$ in contrast to the existence of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega M \rightarrow P M \rightarrow M \rightarrow$ 0 . Thus, let $S$ be a non-projective simple direct summand of $\Omega M$.

Lemma 2. If $S$ is a non-projective simple module with $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, \Lambda)=0$, then $P S$ is injective and $\Omega S$ is simple and not projective.

Proof. First, we show that $P S$ has length 2. Otherwise, $\Omega S$ is of length at least 2 , thus there is a proper decomposition $\Omega S=U \oplus U^{\prime}$ and then there is a canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow P S \rightarrow P S / U \oplus P S / U^{\prime} \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0
$$

which of course does not split. But since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, \Lambda)=0$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, P)=0$, for any projective module $P$. Thus, we obtain a contradiction.

This shows also that $\Omega S$ is simple. Of course, $\Omega S$ cannot be projective, again according to the assumption that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, P)=0$, for any projective module $P$.

Now let us consider the injective envelope $Q$ of $\Omega S$. It contains $P S$ as a submodule (since $P S$ has $\Omega S$ as socle). Assume that $Q$ is of length at least 3. Take a submodule $I$ of $Q$ of length 2 which is different from $P S$
and let $V=P S+I$, this is a submodule of $Q$ of length 3 . Thus, there are the following inclusion maps $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ :


The projective cover $p: P I \rightarrow I$ has as restriction a surjective map $p^{\prime}: \operatorname{rad} P I \rightarrow \Omega S$. But $\operatorname{rad} P I$ is semisimple, thus $p^{\prime}$ is a split epimorphism, thus we obtain a map $w: \Omega S \rightarrow P I$ such that $p w=v_{1}$. We consider the exact sequence induced from the sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega S \rightarrow P S \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ by the map $w$ :


Here, $N$ is the pushout of the two maps $u_{1}$ and $w$. Since we know that $u_{2} u_{1}=v_{2} v_{1}=v_{2} p w$, there is a map $f: N \rightarrow V$ such that $f u_{1}^{\prime}=v_{2} p$ and $f w^{\prime}=u_{2}$. Since the map $\left[\begin{array}{ll}v_{2} p & u_{2}\end{array}\right]: P I \oplus P S \rightarrow V$ is surjective, also $f$ is surjective.

But recall that we assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, \Lambda)=0, \operatorname{thus} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S, P I)=0$. This means that the lower exact sequence splits and therefore the socle of $N=P I \oplus S$ is a maximal submodule of $N$ (since $I$ is a local module, also $P I$ is a local module). Now $f$ maps the socle of $N$ into the socle of $V$, thus it maps a maximal submodule of $N$ into a simple submodule of $V$. This implies that the image of $f$ has length at most 2 , thus $f$ cannot be surjective. This contradiction shows that $Q$ has to be of length 2 , thus $Q=P S$ and therefore $P S$ is injective.

Lemma 3. If $S$ is a non-projective simple module with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, then the modules $S_{i}=\Omega_{i} S$ with $0 \leq i \leq d$ are simple and not projective, and the modules $P\left(S_{i}\right)$ are injective for $0 \leq i<d$.

Proof. The proof is again is obvious, we use induction. If $d \geq 2$, we know by induction that the modules $S_{i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ are simple and not projective, and that the modules $P\left(S_{i}\right)$ are injective for $0 \leq i<d-1$.

But $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{d-1} S, \Lambda\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{d}(S, \Lambda)=0$, thus Lemma 2 asserts that also $S_{d}$ is simple and not projective and that $P\left(S_{d-1}\right)$ is injective.

Lemma 4. Let $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{b}$ be simple modules with $S_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(S_{0}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq b$. Assume that there is an integer $0 \leq a<b$ such that the modules $S_{i}$ with $a \leq i<b$ are pairwise non-isomorphic, whereas $S_{b}$ is isomorphic to $S_{a}$. In addition, we asssume that the modules $P\left(S_{i}\right)$ for $a \leq i<b$ are injective. Then $S_{a}, \ldots, S_{b-1}$ is the list of all the simple modules and $\Lambda$ is self-injective.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subcategory of all modules with composition factors of the form $S_{i}$, where $a \leq i<b$. We claim that this subcategory is closed under projective covers and injective envelopes. Indeed, the projective cover of $S_{i}$ for $a \leq i<b$ has the composition factors $S_{i}$ and $S_{i+1}$ (and $S_{b}=S_{a}$ ), thus is in $\mathcal{S}$. Similarly, the injective envelope for $S_{i}$ with $a<i<b$ is $Q\left(S_{i}\right)=P\left(S_{i-1}\right)$, thus it has the composition factors $S_{i-1}$ and $S_{i}$, and $Q\left(S_{a}\right)=Q\left(S_{b}\right)=P\left(S_{b-1}\right)$ has the composition factors $S_{b-1}$ and $S_{a}$. Since we assume that $\Lambda$ is connected, we know that the only nontrivial subcategory closed under composition factors, extensions, projective covers and injective envelopes is the module category itself. This shows that $S_{a}, \ldots, S_{b-1}$ are all the simple modules. Since the projective cover of any simple module is injective, $\Lambda$ is self-injective.

Theorem 1. Let $\Lambda$ be a connected left artinian ring with radical square zero. Assume that $\Lambda$ is not self-injective. If $S$ is a non-projective simple module such that $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, then the modules $S_{i}=\Omega_{i} S$ with $0 \leq i \leq d$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple and non-projective modules and the modules $P\left(S_{i}\right)$ are injective for $0 \leq i<d$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3, the modules $S_{i}$ (with $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) are simple and non-projective, and the modules $P\left(S_{i}\right)$ are injective for $0 \leq i<d$. If at least two of the modules $S_{0}, \ldots, S_{d}$ are isomorphic, then Lemma 4 asserts that $\Lambda$ is self-injective, but this we have excluded.

Theorem 2. Let $\Lambda$ be a connected left artinian ring with radical square zero and with $n$ simple modules. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Lambda$ is self-injective, but not a simple ring.
(ii) There exists a non-projective module $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.
(iii) There exists a non-projective simple module $S$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. First, assume that $\Lambda$ is self-injective, but not simple. Since $\Lambda$ is not semisimple, there is a non-projective module $M$. Since $\Lambda$ is self-injective, $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for all $i \geq 1$. This shows the implication (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). The implication (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) follows from Lemma 1. Finally, for the implication (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) we use Theorem 1. Namely, if $\Lambda$ is not selfinjective, then Theorem 1 asserts that the simple modules $S_{i}=\Omega_{i} S$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$ are pairwise non-isomorphic. However, these are $n+1$ simple modules, and we assume that the number of isomorphism classes of simple modules is $n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Note that the implication (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 2 asserts in particular that either $\Lambda$ is self-injective or else that any CM module is projective, as shown by Chen [C]. Let us recall that a module $M$ is said to be a CM module provided $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(\operatorname{Tr} M, \Lambda)=0$, for all $i \geq 1$ (here $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the transpose of the module); these modules are also called Gorenstein-projective modules, or totally reflexive modules, or modules of G-dimension equal to 0 . Note that in general there do exist modules $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for all $i \geq 1$ which are not CM modules, see [JS].

We also draw the attention to the generalized Nakayama conjecture formulated by Auslander-Reiten $[A R]$. It asserts that for any artin algebra $\Lambda$ and any simple $\Lambda$-module $S$ there should exist an integer $i \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda) \neq 0$. It is known that this conjecture holds true for algebras with radical square zero. The implication (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 2 provides an effective bound: If $n$ is the number of simple $\Lambda$-modules, and $S$ is simple, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda) \neq 0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n$. Namely, in case $S$ is projective or $\Lambda$ is self-injective, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{0}(S, \Lambda) \neq 0$. Now assume that $S$ is simple and not projective and that $\Lambda$ is not self-injective. Then there must exist some integer $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda) \neq 0$, since otherwise the condition (iii) would be satisfied and therefore condition (i).

Theorem 1 may be interpreted as a statement concerning the Extquiver of $\Lambda$. Recall that the Ext-quiver $\Gamma(R)$ of a left artinian ring $R$ has as vertices the (isomorphism classes of the) simple $R$-modules, and if $S, T$
are simple $R$-modules, there is an arrow $T \rightarrow S$ provided $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(T, S) \neq 0$, thus provided that there exists an indecomposable $R$-module $M$ of length 2 with socle $S$ and top $T$. We may add to the arrow $\alpha: T \rightarrow S$ the number $l(\alpha)=a b$, where $a$ is the length of soc $P T$ and $b$ is the length of $Q S / \operatorname{soc}$ (note that $b$ may be infinite). The properties of $\Gamma(R)$ which are relevant for this note are the following: the vertex $S$ is a sink if and only if $S$ is projective; the vertex $S$ is a source if and only if $S$ is injective; finally, if $R$ is a radical square zero ring and $S, T$ are simple $R$-modules then $P T=Q S$ if and only if there is an arrow $\alpha: T \rightarrow S$ with $l(\alpha)=1$ and this is the only arrow starting at $T$ and the only arrow ending in $S$.

Theorem 1 assert the following: Let $\Lambda$ be a connected left artinian ring with radical square zero. Assume that $\Lambda$ is not self-injective. Let $S$ be a non-projective simple module such that $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and let $S_{i}=\Omega_{i} S$ with $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then the local structure of $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is as follows:

such that there is at least one arrow starting in $S_{d}$ (but maybe no arrow ending in $S_{0}$ ). To be precise: the picture is supposed to show all the arrows starting or ending in the vertices $S_{0}, \ldots, S_{d}$ (and to assert that the vertices $S_{0}, \ldots, S_{d}$ are pairwise different).

Let us introduce the quivers $\Delta(n, t)$, where $n, t$ are positive integers. The quiver $\Delta(n, t)$ has $n$ vertices and also $n$ arrows, namely the vertices labeled $0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and arrows $i \rightarrow i+1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ (modulo $n$ ) (thus, we deal with an oriented cycle); in addition, let $l(\alpha)=t$ for the arrow $\alpha: n-1 \rightarrow 0$ and let $l(\beta)=1$ for the remaining arrows $\beta$ :


Note that the Ext-quiver of a connected self-injective left artinian ring with radical square zero and $n$ vertices is just $\Delta(n, 1)$. Our further interest lies in the cases $t>1$.

Theorem 3. Let $\Lambda$ be a connected left artinian ring with radical square zero and with n simple modules.
(a) If there exists a non-projective simple modules $S$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, or if there exists a non-projective module $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is of the form $\Delta(n, t)$ with $t>1$.
(b) Conversely, if $\Gamma(\Lambda)=\Delta(n, t)$ and $t>1$, then there exists a unique simple module $S$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, namely the module $S=S(0)$ (and it satisfies $\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(S, \Lambda) \neq 0\right)$.
(c) If $\Gamma(\Lambda)=\Delta(n, t)$ and $t>1$, and if we assume in addition that $\Lambda$ is an artin algebra, then there exists a unique indecomposable module $M$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, namely $M=\operatorname{Tr} D(S(0))$ (and it satisfies $\left.\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}(M, \Lambda) \neq 0\right)$.

Here, for $\Lambda$ an artin algebra, $D$ denotes the $k$-duality, where $k$ is the center of $\Lambda$ (thus $D=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(-, E)$, where $E$ is a minimal injective cogenerator in the category of $k$-modules); thus $D \operatorname{Tr}$ is the Auslander-Reiten translation and $\operatorname{Tr} D$ the reverse.

Proof of Theorem 3. Part (a) is a direct consequence of Theorem 1, using the interpretation in terms of the Ext-quiver as outlined above. Note that we must have $t>1$, since otherwise $\Lambda$ would be self-injective.
(b) We assume that $\Gamma(\Lambda)=\Delta(n, t)$ with $t>1$. For $0 \leq i<n$, let $S(i)$ be the simple module corresponding to the vertex $i$, let $P(i)$ be its projective cover, $I(i)$ its injective envelope. We see from the quiver that all the projective modules $P(i)$ with $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ are injective, thus $\operatorname{Ext}^{j}(-, \Lambda)=\operatorname{Ext}^{j}(-, P(n-1))$ for all $j \geq 1$. In addition, the quiver shows that $\Omega S(i)=S(i+1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$. Finally, we have $\Omega S(n-1)=S(0)^{a}$ for some positive integer $a$ dividing $t$ and the injective envelope of $P(n-1)$ yields an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow P(n-1) \rightarrow I(P(n-1)) \rightarrow S(n-1)^{t-1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\right.$ namely, $I(P(n-1))=I(\operatorname{soc} P(n-1))=I\left(S(0)^{a}\right)=I(S(0))^{a}$ and $I(S(0)) /$ soc is the direct sum of $b$ copies of $S(n-1)$, where $a b=t$; thus
the cokernel of the inclusion map $P(n-1) \rightarrow I(P(n-1))$ consists of $t-1$ copies of $S(n-1)$ ).

Since $t>1$, the exact sequence $(*)$ shows that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S(n-1)$, $P(n-1)) \neq 0$. It also implies that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S(i), P(n-1))=0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, and therefore that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S(0), P(n-1)) & =\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i-1} S(0), P(n-1)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S(i-1), P(n-1)) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
Since $\Omega_{n-i-1} S(i)=S(n-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}(S(i), P(n-1)) & =\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n-i-1} S(i), P(n-1)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(S(n-1), P(n-1))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\neq 0
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus, on the one hand, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}(S(0), \Lambda) \neq 0$, this concludes the proof that $S(0)$ has the required properties. On the other hand, we also see that $S=S(0)$ is the only simple module with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. This completes the proof of (b).
(c) Assume now in addition that $\Lambda$ is an artin algebra. As usual, we denote the Auslander-Reiten translation $D \operatorname{Tr}$ by $\tau$. Let $M$ be a nonprojective indecomposable module with $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The shape of $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ shows that $\Omega M=S^{c}$ for some simple module $S$ (and we have $c \geq 1$ ), also it shows that no simple module is projective. Now $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(S, \Lambda)=0$ for $1 \leq i<n$, thus according to (b) we must have $S=S(0)$. It follows that $P M$ has to be a direct sum of copies of $P(n-1)$, say of $d$ copies. Thus a minimal projective presentation of $M$ is of the form

$$
P(0)^{c} \rightarrow P(n-1)^{d} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

and therefore a minimal injective copresentation of $\tau M$ is of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \tau M \rightarrow I(0)^{c} \rightarrow I(n-1)^{d}
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{soc} \tau M=S(0)^{c}$ and $(\tau M) /$ soc is a direct sum of copies of $S(n-1)$.

Assume that $\tau M \neq S(0)$, thus it has at least one composition factor of the form $S(n-1)$ and therefore there exists a non-zero map $f: P(n-1) \rightarrow$ $\tau M$. Since $\tau M$ is indecomposable and not injective, any map from an injective module to $\tau M$ maps into the socle of $\tau M$. But the image of $f$ is not contained in the socle of $\tau M$, therefore $f$ cannot be factored through an injective module. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M, P(n-1)) \simeq D \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}(P(n-1), \tau M) \neq 0
$$

which contradicts the assumption that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M, \Lambda)=0$. This shows that $\tau M=S(0)$ and therefore $M=\operatorname{Tr} D S(0)$.

Of course, conversely we see that $M=\operatorname{Tr} D S(0)$ satisfies $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(M, P(n-1))=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}(M, P(n-1)) \neq 0$.

## Remarks.

(1) The module $M=\operatorname{Tr} D S(0)$ considered in (c) has length $t^{2}+t-1$, thus the number $t$ (and therefore $\Delta(n, t)$ ) is determined by $M$.
(2) If $\Lambda$ is an artin algebra with Ext-quiver $\Delta(n, t)$, the number $t$ has to be the square of an integer, say $t=m^{2}$. A typical example of such an artin algebra is the path algebra of the following quiver

with altogether $n+m-1$ arrows, modulo the ideal generated by all paths of length 2 . Of course, if $\Lambda$ is a finite-dimensional $k$-algebra with radical square zero and Ext-quiver $\Delta\left(n, m^{2}\right)$, and $k$ is an algebraically closed field, then $\Lambda$ is Morita-equivalent to such an algebra.

Also the following artin algebras with radical square zero and Extquiver $\Delta\left(1, m^{2}\right)$ may be of interest: the factor rings of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m-1}\right]$ modulo the square of the ideal generated by some prime number $p$ and the variables $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m-1}$.
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