

# Order Parameters in XXZ-Type Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Quantum Models with Gibbsian Ground States

Wolodymyr SKRYPNIK

*Institute of Mathematics, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str., Kyiv 4, 01601 Ukraine*

E-mail: [skrypnik@imath.kiev.ua](mailto:skrypnik@imath.kiev.ua)

Received October 19, 2005, in final form January 16, 2006; Published online January 24, 2006

Original article is available at <http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/2006/Paper011/>

**Abstract.** A class of general spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  lattice models on hyper-cubic lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , whose Hamiltonians are sums of two functions depending on the Pauli matrices  $S^1$ ,  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ , respectively, are found, which have Gibbsian eigen (ground) states and two order parameters for two spin components  $x$ ,  $z$  simultaneously for large values of the parameter  $\alpha$  playing the role of the inverse temperature. It is shown that the ferromagnetic order in  $x$  direction exists for all dimensions  $d \geq 1$  for a wide class of considered models (a proof is remarkably simple).

*Key words:* Gibbsian eigen (ground) states; quantum spin models

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 82B10; 82B20; 82B26

## 1 Introduction and main result

The existence of several long-range orders (lro's) and order parameters in quantum many-body systems is an important problem which is the first step towards a description of their phase diagrams.

In our previous paper [1] we found a class of quantum spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  XZ-type systems on the hyper-cubic lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with a Gibbsian ground state, characterized by the classical spin potential energy  $U_0(s_\Lambda)$ , in which two lro's can occur for the spin operators  $S^1$  and  $S^3$  in a dimension greater than one. In such the systems there is always the ferromagnetic lro for  $S^1$  even for  $d = 1$  if a simple condition for  $U_0$  holds. The Hamiltonians, determined as symmetric matrices in the  $2^{|\Lambda|}$  dimensional complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^{2^{|\Lambda|}}$  with the Euclidean scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , were given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_\Lambda &= \sum_{A \subset \Lambda, |A| > 0} J_A P_A, & J_A &\leq 0, & P_A &= S_{[A]}^1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(S_\Lambda^3)}, & S_{[A]}^1 &= \prod_{x \in A} S_x^1, \\
 W_A(S_\Lambda^3) &= U_0(S_\Lambda^{3A}) - U_0(S_\Lambda^3), & S_\Lambda^{3A} &= (S_{\Lambda \setminus A}^3, -S_A^3).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$J_A$  are real numbers,  $S_x^1, S_x^3, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  are the 'unity' Pauli matrices,  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  is a hypercube with a finite cardinality  $|\Lambda|$  (number of sites).  $S^1$  is diagonal and  $S_{1,1}^3 = -S_{2,2}^3 = 1$ .  $S^1$  has zero diagonal elements and  $S_{1,2}^1 = S_{2,1}^1 = 1$ . The matrices at different sites commute.  $S_{[A]}^l$  is the abbreviated notation for the tensor product of the matrices  $S_x^l, x \in A$  and the unity matrices  $I_x, x \in \Lambda \setminus A$ .

The Gibbsian non-normalized state  $\Psi_\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Psi_\Lambda = \sum_{s_\Lambda} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda)} \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{2}$$

where the summation is performed over  $(\times(-1, 1))^{|A|}$ ,

$$\Psi_{\Lambda}^0(s_{\Lambda}) = \otimes_{x \in \Lambda} \psi_0(s_x), \quad \psi_0(1) = (1, 0), \quad \psi_0(-1) = (0, 1).$$

These systems differ from the XZ spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  systems, which admit Gibbsian ground states considered in [2]. The potential energy of the associated classical Gibbsian system, which generates the ground state, is found there in the form of a perturbation expansion in a small parameter (an analog of  $\alpha$ ). The authors proved that there is the ferromagnetic lro for  $S^3$  in the ground state in some of their ferromagnetic systems. Our proof of the  $S^1$ -lro is a simplified analog of their proof. Uniqueness of a Gibbsian translation invariant ground state is established in the thermodynamic limit for general XZ models with a sufficiently strong magnetic field in [3].

In [4] the classical Gibbsian states are identified with ground states of quantum Potts models. The structure of the considered Hamiltonians are close to the Hamiltonians of XZ spin systems which are represented as a sum of a diagonal and non-diagonal parts.

In this paper we consider the Hamiltonians

$$H_{\Lambda} = H_{0\Lambda} + V_{\Lambda}, \quad H_{0\Lambda} = \sum_{\substack{A, A' \subseteq \Lambda, \\ A \cap A' = \emptyset}} \phi_{A, A'} S_{[A]}^1 S_{[A']}^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi_{A, A'}$  are real valued coefficients,  $S^2$  is the second Pauli matrix with the zero diagonal elements such that  $S_{1,2}^2 = -S_{2,1}^2 = -i$  and  $V_{\Lambda}$  depends on  $S_{\Lambda}^3$ . We find the expression for  $V_{\Lambda}$  which guarantees that  $\Psi_{\Lambda}$  given by (2) is the eigen (ground) state. This result is a generalization of our previous result since with the help of (6) we reduce our Hamiltonian to the Hamiltonian (1) with  $J_A$  depending in  $S_{\Lambda}^3$ . Our result is summarized in the following theorem

**Theorem.** *Let  $V_{\Lambda}$  be given by*

$$V_{\Lambda} = - \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} J_A(S_A^3) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(S_A^3)}, \quad J_A(S_A^3) = \sum_{A' \subseteq A} (-i)^{|A'|} \phi_{A \setminus A', A'} S_{[A']}^3. \quad (4)$$

Then

- I.  $\Psi_{\Lambda}$  is an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian (3);
- II.  $\Psi_{\Lambda}$  is its ground state if  $\phi_{A, A'} = 0$  for odd  $|A'|$  and  $J_A \leq 0$ ;
- III. lro for  $S^1$  occurs in the eigenstate  $\Psi_{\Lambda}$  if  $\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d} W_A(s_{\Lambda})$  exists for  $|A| = 2$  and is uniformly bounded. Moreover,  $\langle S_{[A]}^1 \rangle_{\Lambda} \geq a > 0$ , where  $a$  is a constant independent of  $\Lambda$  if  $\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d} W_A(s_{\Lambda})$  exists and is uniformly bounded.
- IV. lro occurs for  $S^3$  in the eigenstate  $\Psi_{\Lambda}$  if lro occurs in the classical spin system with the potential energy  $U_0$ .

If  $H_{0\Lambda}$  coincides with the Hamiltonian of the XX Heisenberg model

$$H_{0\Lambda} = \sum_{x, y \in \Lambda} \phi_{x, y} (S_x^1 S_y^1 + S_x^2 S_y^2),$$

then it can be shown without difficulty, utilizing the equality  $(S^3)^2 = I$ , that for the following choice  $U_0(s_{\Lambda}) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} u_x s_x$  the matrix  $V_{\Lambda}$  in (4) is given by

$$V_{\Lambda} = \sum_{x, y \in \Lambda} \phi_{x, y} [S_x^3 S_y^3 \cosh \alpha(u_x - u_y) - (S_x^3 - S_y^3) \sinh(\alpha(u_x - u_y)) - \cosh(\alpha(u_x - u_y))].$$

If one puts  $\phi_{x,y} = \phi_{x-y}$ ,  $\phi_x = \phi_{|x|} = 0$ ,  $|x| \neq 1$ ,  $\phi_1 = J$ ,  $q = e^\alpha$  and

$$u_x = x^1 + \cdots + x^d, \quad x = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$$

then the following Hamiltonian of the  $XXZ$  Heisenberg model is derived

$$H_\Lambda = J \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle \in \Lambda} \left[ S_x^1 S_y^1 + S_x^2 S_y^2 + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{2} S_x^3 S_y^3 \right] \\ - 2J \sum_{\langle x < y \rangle \in \Lambda} \left[ \frac{q - q^{-1}}{2} (S_x^3 - S_y^3) + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{2} \right],$$

where the summations are performed over nearest neighbor pairs and “ $<$ ” means lexicographic order. It is remarkable that the term linear in  $S_x^3$  contributes only on the boundary of  $\Lambda$ . These Hamiltonians coincide with the Hamiltonians proposed in [5, 6]. A reader may find out that the Gibbsian ground states of these Hamiltonians are not unique. Gibbsian ground states for a partial case of our Hamiltonians were considered in [7].

If  $F_A$  depends on  $S_A^3$ ,  $S_A^1$  then its expectation value in a state  $\Psi_\Lambda$  is given by

$$\langle F_A \rangle_\Lambda = (\Psi_\Lambda, \Psi_\Lambda)^{-1} (\Psi_\Lambda, F_A(S_A^1, S_A^3) \Psi_\Lambda),$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Euclidean scalar product on  $\mathbb{C}^{2^{|\Lambda|}}$ . Ferromagnetic lro for  $S^l$  occurs if

$$\langle S_x^l S_y^l \rangle_\Lambda \geq a_l > 0, \tag{5}$$

where the constants  $a_l$  are independent of  $\Lambda$ . It implies that the magnetization  $M_\Lambda^l$  is an order parameter in the thermodynamic limit since

$$\langle (M_\Lambda^l)^2 \rangle_\Lambda \geq a_l > 0, \quad M_\Lambda^l = |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} S_x^l.$$

Besides, the inequality in the statement III of the Theorem for  $|A| = 1$  implies that

$$\langle M_\Lambda^1 \rangle_\Lambda \geq a > 0.$$

It is well known that in the classical Ising model with a ferromagnetic short-range potential energy  $U_0$ , generated by the nearest neighbor bilinear pair potential, there is the ferromagnetic lro. Hence our quantum systems for such  $U_0$  admit two order parameters  $M_\Lambda^l$ ,  $l = 1, 3$  in the thermodynamic limit for sufficiently large  $\alpha$  since for such  $U_0$  the condition in the statements III–IV of the Theorem is true. For small values of  $\alpha$  the magnetization in the third direction vanishes for short-range pair interaction potentials.

The last statement of the theorem follows without difficulty since  $S^3$  is a diagonal matrix and the ground state expectation value  $\langle F_A \rangle_\Lambda$  of a function  $F_A$  depending on  $S_A^3$  equals the classical Gibbsian expectation value of the same function depending on the classical spins  $s_A$  corresponding to the potential energy  $U_0(s_\Lambda)$  and the “inverse temperature”  $\alpha$ . The orthogonality of the basis

$$(\Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda), \Psi_\Lambda^0(s'_\Lambda)) = \prod_{x \in \Lambda} \delta_{s_x, s'_x},$$

where  $\delta_{s,s'}$  is the Kronecker symbol, has to be applied for proving that.

The proofs of the second statement of the Theorem is based on the proof that  $H_\Lambda$  is positive definite. Its condition implies that the semigroup generated by  $H_\Lambda$  has positive matrix elements. As a result the operator

$$H_\Lambda^+ = e^{\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} H_\Lambda e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)}.$$

generates a Markovian process with a stationary state. We show that it is symmetric and positive definite in the Euclidean scalar product with the operator weight  $e^{-\alpha U_0(S_\Lambda^3)}$ .

For sufficiently small  $\alpha$  and a pair simple ferromagnetic interaction with  $\phi_{A,A'} = 0$  for  $|A'| \neq 0$  lro at non-zero low temperature occurs for  $S^1$  [8].

## 2 Proof of Theorem

It easy to check that

$$S^2 = -iS^3S^1 = iS^1S^3. \quad (6)$$

From this equality the following equalities are derived

$$\begin{aligned} S_{[A']}^2 &= (-i)^{|A'|} S_{[A']}^3 S_{[A']}^1, \\ H_{0\Lambda} &= \sum_{\substack{A, A' \subseteq \Lambda, \\ A \cap A' = \emptyset}} (-i)^{|A'|} \phi_{A,A'} S_{[A]}^1 S_{[A']}^3 S_{[A']}^1 = \sum_{\substack{A, A' \subseteq \Lambda, \\ A \cap A' = \emptyset}} (-i)^{|A'|} \phi_{A,A'} S_{[A']}^3 S_{[A \cup A']}^1. \end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$H_{0\Lambda} = \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} J_A(S_A^3) S_{[A]}^1. \quad (7)$$

(7) and (4) lead to the following expression for the Hamiltonian (3)

$$H_\Lambda = \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda, |A| > 0} J_A(S_A^3) P_A. \quad (8)$$

**Proof of I.**  $S^1$  flips spins:

$$S_{[A]}^1 \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda) = \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda^A) = \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_{\Lambda \setminus A}, -s_A), \quad S_x^3 \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda) = s_x \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda).$$

These identities lead to

$$J_A(S_A^3) P_A \Psi_\Lambda = \sum_{s_\Lambda} \left( J_A(-s_A) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_{\Lambda \setminus A}, -s_A) - J_A(s_A) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(s_\Lambda)} \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda) \right) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda)}.$$

From the definition of  $W_A$  and after changing signs of the spin variables  $s_A$  in the first term in the sum it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_A(S_A^3) P_A \Psi_\Lambda &= \sum_{s_\Lambda} \left[ J_A(-s_A) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_{\Lambda \setminus A}, -s_A) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda)} - J_A(s_A) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda^A)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{s_\Lambda} J_A(s_A) \left( e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda^A)} - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(s_\Lambda)} \right) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, every term in the sum for  $H_\Lambda \Psi_\Lambda$  in (8) is equal to zero. This proves the statement.

**Proof of II.** It is necessary to prove that the Hamiltonian is positive-definite. For that purpose we'll use the operator  $H_\Lambda^+$  introduced in the introduction. It is not difficult to check on the basis  $\Psi_\Lambda^0$  that

$$H_\Lambda^+ = \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} J_A(S_A^3) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(S_\Lambda^3)} (S_{[A]}^1 - I),$$

where  $I$  is the unity operator. If

$$F = \sum_{s_\Lambda} F(s_\Lambda) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda), \quad H_\Lambda^+ F = \sum_{s_\Lambda} (H_\Lambda^+ F)(s_\Lambda) \Psi_\Lambda^0(s_\Lambda)$$

then, taking into account that  $J_A(s_A)$  is an even function in  $s_x$ , we obtain

$$(H_\Lambda^+ F)(s_\Lambda) = - \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} J_A(s_A) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(s_A)} (F(s_\Lambda) - F(s_\Lambda^A)).$$

$H_\Lambda^+$  is symmetric with respect to the new scalar product

$$(F, F')_{U_0} = (e^{-\alpha U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} F, F').$$

The check is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (H_\Lambda^+ F, F')_{U_0} &= (e^{-\alpha U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} H_\Lambda^+ F, F') = \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} (J_A(S_A^3) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} [U_0(S_\Lambda^3) + U_0(S_\Lambda^{3A})]} (S_{[A]}^1 - I) F, F') \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} (J_A(S_A^3) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} [U_0(S_\Lambda^3) + U_0(S_\Lambda^{3A})]} F, (S_{[A]}^1 - I) F') = (F, H_\Lambda^+ F')_{U_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the equalities

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} S_{[A]}^1 = S_{[A]}^1 e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^{3A})}, \quad e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^{3A})} S_{[A]}^1 = S_{[A]}^1 e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)}$$

and the fact that  $S_{[A]}^1$  commutes with  $J_A(S_A^3)$ . From the definitions it follows that

$$(H_\Lambda^+ F, F')_{U_0} = (H_\Lambda e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} F, e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} U_0(S_\Lambda^3)} F'). \quad (9)$$

$H_\Lambda^+$  is positive definite. This is a consequence of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (H_\Lambda^+ F, F)_{U_0} &= - \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} \sum_{s_\Lambda} J_A(s_A) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} [U_0(s_\Lambda) + U_0(s_\Lambda^A)]} (F(s_\Lambda) - F(s_\Lambda^A)) F(s_\Lambda) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \subseteq \Lambda} \sum_{s_\Lambda} J_A(s_A) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} [U_0(s_\Lambda) + U_0(s_\Lambda^A)]} (F(s_\Lambda) - F(s_\Lambda^A))^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here we took into account that the exponential weight in the sum is invariant under changing signs of spin variables  $s_A$ . From (9), (10) it follows that  $H_\Lambda$  is, also, positive definite. Statement is proved.

**Proof of III.** We have to prove (5) for  $l = 1$ . From orthogonality of the basis it follows that

$$Z_\Lambda = (\Psi_\Lambda, \Psi_\Lambda) = \sum_{s_\Lambda} e^{-\alpha U_0(s_\Lambda)}$$

and

$$\langle S_{[A]}^1 \rangle_\Lambda = Z_\Lambda^{-1} \sum_{s_\Lambda} e^{-\alpha U_0(s_\Lambda)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(s_\Lambda)} \geq \inf_{s_\Lambda, A} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} W_A(s_\Lambda)} \geq e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \max_{s_\Lambda, A} |W_A(s_\Lambda)|}.$$

This proves the statement.

### 3 Discussion

The proposed perturbations  $V_\Lambda$  of the initial Hamiltonian  $H_{0\Lambda}$  seem complicated. But it is not always so. If the initial Hamiltonian coincides with the Hamiltonian of the  $XX$  Heisenberg model, then in some cases, mentioned in the introduction, the perturbation is very simple and produces an anisotropic quadratic in  $S_x^3$ ,  $x \in \Lambda$  term and a boundary term linear in  $S_x^3$ ,  $x \in \Lambda$  only. A reduction of  $V_\Lambda$  to a simpler form for a quadratic in  $S_x^3$ ,  $x \in \Lambda$  function  $U_0$  can be found in [1]. There is an interesting problem to find out all the cases of the initial Hamiltonians, commuting with the total spin in the third direction,  $\phi_{A,A'}$  and  $U_0$  leading to simple anisotropic generalized  $XXZ$  models.

- [1] Dorlas T., Skrypnik W., Two order parameters in quantum XZ spin models with Gibbsian ground states, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 2004, V.37, 6623–6632.
- [2] Kirkwood J., Thomas L., Expansions and phase transitions for the ground state of quantum Ising lattice systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1983, V.88, 569–580.
- [3] Matsui T., A link between quantum and classical Potts models, *J. Statist. Phys.*, 1990, V.59, 781–798.
- [4] Matsui T., Uniqueness of translation invariant ground state in quantum spin systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1990, V.126, 453–467.
- [5] Alcaraz F., Exact steady states of asymmetric diffusion and two-species annihilation with back reaction from the ground state of quantum spin model, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.*, 1994, V.25–26, 3449–3461.
- [6] Alcaraz F., Salinas S., Wrechinsky W., Anisotropic quantum domains, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1995, V.5, 930–933.
- [7] Matsui T., On ground state degeneracy of  $Z_2$  symmetric quantum spin models, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 1991, V.27, 658–679.
- [8] Thomas L., Yin Z., Low temperature expansions for the Gibbs states of quantum Ising lattice systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, 1984, V.10, 3128–3134.