EFFECT OF COPULATION ON POTENTIALLY PRECANCEROUS PROSTATE LESIONS, SERUM TESTOSTERONE AND PROLACTIN LEVELS IN RATS
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The prostate is an exocrine reproductive gland that participates in ejaculation and is prone to diseases, including cancer. **Aim:** In the present study, we assessed the long-term effects of copulation on the development of precancerous lesions in rats, and compared them with testosterone-induced prostatic lesions. **Materials and Methods:** One group of Wistar males was given 10 copulatory sessions to one ejaculation with ovariectomized, hormone-primed females. Sessions occurred twice per week for a total of ten trials. A second group was exposed to females during the same trials, but physical contact was prevented. In addition, each group received a subcutaneous implant in the back either filled with testosterone propionate (T, 100 mg/kg) or empty. This resulted in four subgroups: 1) Control + No sex, 2) Control + Sex, 3) T + No sex and 4) T + Sex. Two days after the 10th trial all the males were sacrificed for prostate histology (H&E) and hormone analysis (testosterone and prolactin). **Results:** Males from the group Control + No sex expressed normal histology. However, those in the groups Control + Sex and T + No sex expressed metaplasia and dysplasia in both the dorsolateral and ventral portions of the prostate, respectively. Interestingly, males from the group T + Sex expressed dysplasia in the dorsolateral prostate only, but not in the ventral prostate. **Conclusions:** These results indicate that constant copulation may facilitate the development of prostatic lesions in males with normal levels of testosterone. However, copulation induces less lesions in the ventral prostate of males treated with testosterone. **Key Words:** prostate, cancer, sexual behavior, ejaculation, dysplasia, testosterone.
will express precancerous lesions of the prostate (i.e. dysplasia) [9]. Accordingly, copulation per se induces short-term endocrine changes that might function as a long-term risk factor for the development of precancerous lesions. However, this has not been shown experimentally in an animal model. Thus, the aim of the present study was to assess the effects of repeated copulation to ejaculation on the development of precancerous prostatic lesions (i.e. dysplasia) in adult male rats. Our first hypothesis stated that constant copulation during 5 weeks would induce histological lesions comparable to those observed in males exposed to exogenous systemic testosterone during the same period. In addition, we hypothesized that the baseline blood levels of testosterone and PRL would be higher in male rats allowed to copulate constantly.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** We used 22 Wistar males and 10 females (*Rattus norvegicus albinus*). They were purchased from a certified laboratory animal supplier in Mexico (Circulo ADN) and were 8 weeks old and sexually naive at the start of the study. They were housed in large plexiglas cages (50 × 30 × 20 cm), and kept in a colony room at the Center for Brain Research, University of Veracruz, Mexico, in a 12–12 h reverse Light-Dark cycle (lights off at 8:00 hrs). Water and commercial feed (Rismart rat chow) were provided *ad libitum*. All the experimental procedures were carried out according to the Official Mexican Norm NOM-062-ZOO-1999 for use and care of laboratory animals.

**Surgery and hormone treatments.** One week before the start of copulatory trials all the males were randomly organized in two main groups, depending on the treatment they received at 8 weeks of age. The testosterone group (T) was implanted subcutaneously (s.c.) in the back with a silastic tube (Dow Corning Corp 25 mm length, 1.57 mm I.D. × 3.18 mm O.D.), containing solid testosterone propionate (Sigma-Aldrich). This resulted in approximately 100 mg/kg of body weight as previously used in other studies [9, 24]. A second group served as control and was exposed to the same procedures, but the silastic tube was empty. Surgical implantation was done under inhaled halothane anesthesia, and took less than 3 min for each rat. After confirmation of deep anesthesia we performed a 10 mm skin incision on the lower back. A surgical probe was inserted for hormone analysis (see Hormone measurements for further details). Anesthetized rats were then sacrificed with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (120 mg/kg).

**Prostate samples and histology.** Two days after the 10th copulatory session the rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg intraperitoneally). Then 3 ml of blood were obtained by cardiac puncture for hormone analysis (see Hormone measurements for further details). Anesthetized rats were then sacrificed with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (120 mg/kg). An abdominal incision was performed and the accessory sexual organs were carefully removed and placed into a container with 0.9% saline solution. The prostate was identified under a dissecting microscope (MEJI, EMZ-TR) and the gland was further divided into ventral (VP) and dorsolateral (DLP) prostate. The VP and DLP were soaked in formalin 0% during 24 h, then dehydrated in alcohol 70% and 80% (1 h each), and 95% (3 × 2 h each), and ethanol 100% overnight, plus two more changes (1 h each), the following day. Then xylene (3 × 1 h each) was added, always with constant shaking. Tissue was embedded in paraffin wax 2 × 2 h each), sliced (5 µm thick) with a microtome (RM 2125RT Leica), mounted on slides in a bath at 52 °C (containing pork skin-based gelatin 2.5 mg/100 ml) and then processed for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) dye technique as follows: 1 h at 57 °C, deparaffinization in xylene (3 × 5 min each), rehydration in alcohol/xylene (1:1) 5 min, ethanol 96% 3 min, hematoxylin (10 min), water (30 s), acid alcohol (quick immersion), water (10 s), lithium carbonate
Variables and statistical analysis. 1) Behavior: The ejaculation latency (minutes) was analyzed with a two-way (hormone treatment × session) ANOVA. Significant differences were followed by a Fisher LSD posthoc test. The proportion of ejaculators for each session was also analyzed with a 2 × 2 contingency table (one-tailed Fisher exact probability test), considering the number of males that did (or did not) ejaculate. 2) Histology: We described 12 histological features in each male (see section Prostate samples and histology). 3) Hormones: Levels of testosterone and PRL (ng/mL) were analyzed with a non-parametric Kruskal—Wallis test, followed by Mann—Whitney tests to compare individual differences. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Mac, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com and the alpha level was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Sexual behavior. With regard to the ejaculation latency the ANOVA failed to detect an interaction between hormone treatment × session F(9,72) = 0.46, p < 0.89, or a main effect of treatment F(1,8) = 0.02, p < 0.88, but detected a main effect of session F(9,72) = 2.03, p < 0.04. The posthoc analysis, however, did not detect specific differences between sessions (Fig. 1). The analysis of the number of ejaculators showed significant differences at session 1 (ejaculation was observed in 2 control vs 8 testosterone-treated males, p < 0.01), and at session 10 (ejaculation occurred in 10 control males vs 6 testosterone-treated, p < 0.04), but not at sessions 2–9. These data indicated that rats from both groups reduced their ejaculation latency throughout the sessions. In addition, testosterone-treated males were more likely than controls to ejaculate at session 1, but less likely at session 10 (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. The ejaculation latency (minutes) of male rats during 10 copulatory trials (5 weeks). Testosterone males received a subcutaneous implant in the back, filled with 100 mg/kg of testosterone propionate. Control males received an empty implant.

Fig. 2. Shows the proportion of males from Fig. 1 that ejaculated in each session. *Significant differences were detected between control and testosterone males at session 1 and 10.

Prostate lesions induced by copulation or testosterone. In general, the group Control + No sex expressed a normal (cubic) epithelium in the DLP, even in size (normal), with scarce papillae (normal). The interstitial space was even in size (normal) and contained collagen (normal). Interestingly, in 3 out of 5 rats the cell nuclei in the DLP were not homogeneous in size (anisokaryosis), and expressed a relation N:C of 1:1 (normal > 1:2). Nevertheless, the nucleus had basal cell polarity (normal), the myoepithelium was euplastic (normal), with...
Fig. 3. DLP (1) and VP (2) of rats divided in four subgroups: A — Control + No sex, B — Control + Sex, C — T + No sex, D — T + Sex. A1 — normal cubic epithelium (DLP), A2 — normal columnar epithelium, B1 — metaplasia, B2 — dysplasia, C1 — dysplasia, C2 — dysplasia, D1 — dysplasia, D2 — columnar.
tubular pattern (normal), amorphous content (normal), and there was heterochromatin, which is a less active form of chromatin (Fig. 3, A1). In the same group (Control + No sex) 3/5 animals expressed a columnar epithelium (normal) in the VP, although some cases of anisocytosis were observed in 3/5 animals, and also expressed scarce papillae (normal). The interstitial space was even in size and contained colagen (normal). Nuclei were homogeneous in size (normal), with a basal cell polarity (normal), and a N:C of 1:2, and there was heterochromatin. The myoepithelium was euplastic and the pattern observed at 4× was tubular (Fig. 3, A2). Although males from this subgroup (Control + No sex) had in general normal histological features, none of them expressed 100% of normal histology. For example, some males with normal epithelium’s form expressed what is considered abnormal epithelium’s size (anisocytosis) or abnormal nucleus size (anisokaryosis), or modified N:C ratio (1:1). This suggests that even in a sample of putative healthy rats there is variability in the histological features of the prostate.

Rats from the subgroups Control + Sex, T + Sex and T + No sex displayed more histological abnormalities in both the DLP and VP. Such differences and the number of males with normal or abnormal features can be observed in Fig. 3, B, C, D, and 4, a, b.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study indicate that in rats repeated copulation induced histological alterations in both the DLP and VP (e.g., metaplasia and dysplasia). In the DLP the lesions were milder than those observed in sexually naïve males that received exogenous testosterone, but in the VP the effect of copulation and testosterone were comparable. Copulation plus exogenous testosterone had additional negative effects in the DLP as compared to testosterone without copulation, but in the VP such combination decreased the cases of dysplasia (see Fig. 4, a, b). The baseline levels of serum testosterone were higher in males with constant copulation, but not in males with s.c. implants filled with testosterone. We speculate that testosterone was increased during the first week of implant, but decreased subsequently via negative feedback mechanisms, resulting in low levels after 6 weeks. Such argument is possibly supported by the proportion of ejaculators observed in sessions 1 and 10 (see Fig. 2). That is, more testosterone-treated males ejaculated at session 1 as compared to control males, but the opposite outcome occurred by the 10th session. The results also indicate that the levels of serum PRL were not affected by either copulation or testosterone implants. Thus, we argue that prostate
dervative form of chromatin), in the T + Sex and T + No sex subgroups there were more cases of epithelium dysplasia in the DLP. Interestingly, fewer cases of dysplasia were observed in the VP of T + Sex animals (Fig. 4, b).

**Serum levels of testosterone and PRL.** With regard to testosterone, the Kruskal—Wallis test revealed significant differences between groups H(4,19) = 8.2, p < 0.05. The Mann—Whitney test indicated individual differences between the subgroups Control + No sex (Median = 0.38 ng/ml) vs Control + Sex (Median = 2.05 ng/ml) U = 2, p < 0.05, and between Control + Sex (Median = 2.05 ng/ml) vs T + No sex (Median = 0.21 ng/ml) U = 1, p < 0.05 (Fig. 5). With regard to PRL, the analysis failed to detect significant differences between groups: Control + No sex (Median = 20.86 ng/ml), Control + Sex (Median = 32.15 ng/ml), T + No sex (Median = 100.8 ng/ml) and T + Sex (Median = 21.22 ng/ml) H(4,18) = 3.02, p = 0.37.
lesions induced by constant copulation (5 weeks) are mainly due to the effects of increased testosterone.

Specific effects of copulation on prostate lesions. After 10 copulatory sessions 60% of males from the Control + Sex subgroup were affected. Two of them expressed in the DLP an epithelium with metaplasia (Fig. 3, B1) that is the reversible replacement of one differentiated cell type with another mature differentiated cell type. Those rats also expressed anisokaryosis (unequal abnormal size of epithelium cells) and plenty of papillae, which resulted in reduced interstices. In addition, cells started to express abnormal nuclear shape (anisokaryosis), which is one of the key diagnostic tools used in identifying cancerous cells [26, 27]. Furthermore, the nuclei were no longer polar, the N:C ratio was abnormal (1:1) and there was a cibriiform pattern. Anysokaryosis, apolarity and alterations in N:C indicate changes in chromosome organization, which in turn can affect gene expression [28] that eventually may result in dysplasia (observed in one male). In the subgroups T + No sex and T + Sex 80% of the rats expressed dysplasia in the DLP (Fig. 3, C1, D1), which refers to a precancerous lesion characterized by the presence of immature and abnormal epithelial cells with abnormal function. These changes indicate that in the DLP s.c. implants of testosterone (100 mg/kg) for periods as short as 5 weeks are more aggressive than the effects of copulation during the same period. Further experiments are required to confirm that testosterone is the only responsible of copulation-induced prostatic lesions. In addition, it is important to figure out if a long resting period after constant copulation would result in a total recovery of the prostate.

Effects of copulation and testosterone on DLP and VP. As mentioned above, copulation affected the DLP and the combination of copulation plus exogenous testosterone resulted in more alterations. Males from the T + Sex subgroup expressed more cases of anisokaryosis, proplastic myoepithelium and apolar nuclei than males from the T + No sex subgroup. However, this was not observed in the VP. As a matter of fact, copulation plus exogenous testosterone resulted in fewer cases of dysplasia in the VP (see Fig. 4, b). Evidence indicates that ejaculation induces in the VP an acute increase in the levels of both AR and AR-mRNA [22]. However, it is not known whether such increase is maintained after 5 weeks of constant copulation in rats. One speculation is that the opposite occurs after several copulatory trials, namely that AR may be decreased and therefore VP may be less sensitive to exogenous testosterone. Like in rats, the human prostate is also heterogeneous with regard to its embryologic origin and histology [29]. Its three zones (periurethral, central and peripheral) contain different concentration of glands, conduct, and AR distribution as observed in cases of CaP [30]. Such heterogeneity may account for the different effects of copulation on prostatic lesions in humans.

Copulation affected both portions of the prostate (DLP and VP) of control males, but prevented dysplasia in the VP of those males that received exogenous testosterone. Accordingly, the frequency of copulation plus the levels of serum testosterone may help to account the probability of prostatic lesions in VP of rats or in homologous prostatic areas in other species. For example, age 65+ is a risk factor to develop CaP in humans. At that age the blood levels of testosterone are decreased by more than 50% in some men [31–34]. Human prostatic areas homologous of rat VP should be more sensitive to copulation-induced testosterone, which would result in higher risk of CaP in low-testosterone men. By contrast, the same homologous areas of men with higher levels of testosterone should be benefited by copulation. Indeed, recent evidence from a study with controls and cohorts for CaP showed a protective effect of copulation, which also correlated with higher levels of serum testosterone in the controls [14]. Some of the mechanisms to explain the effect of copulation on DLP and VP may involve the AR directly [35]. It remains to be shown whether the AR gene may mutate or be amplified by repeated copulation so that the AR may respond to lower levels of androgens, or perhaps to other hormones (i.e. PRL) [10, 36–38].

CONCLUSIONS

Either constant copulation or exogenous testosterone resulted in histological alterations of the two prostatic portions (DLP, VP) in rats. However, the combination of copulation plus exogenous testosterone affected mainly the DLP, and to a much less extent the VP. This suggests a bimodal effect of copulation in the VP, depending on the levels of serum testosterone. Accordingly, the celibacy theory may be supported in males with normal or low levels of testosterone, but would not be supported in males with higher-than-normal levels of testosterone. Further research is needed for understanding the specific role of copulation at long-term on the levels of AR in the VP and the development and maintenance of prostatic diseases, including cancer [39, 40].
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