Український математичний вісник Том 5 **(2008)**, № 2, 185 – 192

 $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{B}$

Coarse rays

O. KUCHAIEV, IGOR V. PROTASOV

Abstract. We give some characterizations of geodesic metric spaces coarsely equivalent to the ray \mathbb{R}^+ .

2000 MSC. 54C99, 54F15, 05C10.

Key words and phrases. Coarse equivalence, coarse ray, geodesic ray, almost geodesic ray.

Let (X, d), (Y, ρ) be metric spaces. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called a *coarse embedding* if, for every r > 0, there exists s > 0 such that, for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le r \Longrightarrow \rho(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \le s,$$

$$\rho(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \le r \Longrightarrow d(x_1, x_2) \le s.$$

The metric spaces (X, d), (Y, ρ) are called *coarsely equivalent* if there exists a coarse embedding $f : X \to Y$ such that f(X) is *large* in Y, i.e. there exists t > 0 such that, for every $y \in Y$, there is $z \in f(X)$ such that $\rho(y, z) \leq t$.

The space $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \ge 0\}$ endowed with the Euclidian metric is called the *ray*. By a *coarse ray* we mean any metric space coarsely equivalent to ray.

For motivation of study metric spaces from the "coarse" point of view see [3, 5, 9, 10]. As is the segment [0, 1] in topology, the ray is one of the simplest non-trivial objects in coarse geometry, so it is natural to ask for its characterization up to coarse equivalence. There is a simple test [5,Proposition 2.57] to recognize whether a given metric space is coarsely equivalent to some geodesic metric space. Thus, to answer this question we can work with only geodesic metric spaces. Theorem 1 and 3 provide several characterizations; Theorem 2 gives a better characterization in

Received 28.12.2008

case of proper metric spaces; Example 2 shows that Theorem 2 does not hold for non-proper metric spaces. By Theorem 1, every geodesic metric space coarsely emenddable in the ray is a coarse ray. Clearly, it is not true outside of the geodesic case. Using the anti-Cantor set and Theorem 3.11 from [2], in Theorem 4 we describe the ultrametric spaces coarsely embeddable to the ray.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We fix some point $x_0 \in X$ and define a preordering \leq on X by the rule: $x \leq y$ if and only if $d(x_0, x) \leq d(x_0, y)$. For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, a space (X, d) is said to be ε -directed (with respect to the base point x_0) if, for any $x, y \in X, x \leq y$, we have

$$d(x_0, x) + d(x, y) \le d(x_0, y) + \varepsilon.$$

If (X, d) is ε -directed then, for every $x' \in X$ there exists $\varepsilon' \ge 0$ such that (X, d) is ε' -directed with respect to x'.

Lemma 1. Every ε -directed space is coarsely emenddable in the ray.

Proof. Let (X, d) be ε -directed with respect to x_0 . We define a mapping $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by the rule $f(x) = d(x_0, x)$, and note that, for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$, we have

$$d(x,y) - \varepsilon \le f(y) - f(x) \le d(x,y)$$

so f is a coarse embedding.

By Theorem 1, the converse statement is true for every geodesic metric space (X, d), but in general case it does not hold.

Example 1. Let (X, d) be a half-parabola $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ : y = x^2\}$ with the metric d inherited from the plane. It is easy to see that the mapping $f : (X, d) \to \mathbb{R}^+$, f(x, y) = y is a coarse embedding. On the other hand, (X, d) is not ε -directed for every $\varepsilon \ge 0$.

A subset Y of a metric space (X, d) is called *bounded* if there exists C > 0 such that diam $Y \leq C$ where diam $Y = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$. A family \Im of subsets of a metric space (X, d) is called *uniformly bounded* if there exists C > 0 such that diam $F \leq C$ for every $F \in \Im$.

Given a metric space (X, d) and any $x \in X, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we put

$$B(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) \le r\}, S(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) = r\}$$

Lemma 2. If (X,d) is an ε -directed space with the base point x_0 then the family $\{S(x_0,r): r \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$, $d(x_0, x) = d(x_0, y)$ and $x \leq y$. Since $d(x_0, x) + d(x, y) \leq d(x_0, y) + \varepsilon$, we have $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$, so diam $S(x_0, r) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

By Theorem 1 the converse statement is true for every geodesic metric space. On the other hand, Example 1 shows that in general case it does not hold.

Let (X, d), (Y, ρ) be metric spaces. Given $\lambda > 0, c \ge 0$, a mapping $f: X \to Y$ is called a (λ, c) -isometric embedding if, for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

 $\lambda^{-1}d(x_1, x_2) - c \le \rho(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \le \lambda d(x_1, x_2) + c.$

If in addition f(X) is large in Y, we say that f is a (λ, c) -isometry. The metric spaces (X, d), (Y, ρ) are called *quasi-isometric* if there exists a (λ, c) -isometry $f: X \to Y$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $r \ge 0$, $f : [0, r] \to X$ be an isometric embedding. We say that f([0, r]) is a *geodesic segment* with the endpoints f(0), f(r). A metric space (X, d) is called *geodesic* if any two points of X can be joined by a geodesic segment.

Lemma 3. If the geodesic metric spaces $(X, d), (Y, \rho)$ are coarsely equivalent then $(X, d), (Y, \rho)$ are quasi-isometric.

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a coarse embedding such that f(X) is large in Y. By [1, Proposition 1.4] or [5, Lemma 1.10], there exist λ, c such that $\rho(f(x), f(x')) \leq \lambda d(x, x') + c$ for all $x, x' \in X$.

Since f(X) is large in Y, there exists t > 0 such that, for every $y \in Y$, we can find $y' \in f(X)$ with $\rho(y, y') < t$. We fix some points x, x' and some geodesic segment [f(x), f(x')]. On this segment we choose the points y_1, \ldots, y_n such that

$$y_1 = f(x), d(y_1, y_2) = \dots = d(y_{n-1}, y_n) = 1, \rho(y_n, f(x')) = \varepsilon, \varepsilon < 1,$$

so $\rho(f(x), f(x')) = n + \varepsilon$. Then we pick the points $x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$ so that $\rho(f(x_2), y_2) < t, \ldots, \rho(f(x_n), y_n) < t$. We put s = 2t + 1, and choose r > 0 such that $\rho(f(a), f(b)) \leq s$ implies $d(a, b) \leq r$ for all $a, b \in X$. Then

$$d(x, x_1) \le d(x, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x')$$

$$\le (n+1)r = (n+\varepsilon)r + r(1-\varepsilon)$$

$$= r\rho(f(x), f(x')) + r(1-\varepsilon).$$

Since the choice of r does not depend on x, x', in view of the first paragraph, we conclude that f is a quasi-isometry.

Theorem 1. For an unbounded geodesic metric space (X, d), $x_0 \in X$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (X, d) is a coarse ray;
- (ii) (X, d) is coarsely emenddable in the ray;
- (iii) (X, d) is ε -directed;
- (iv) the family $\{S(x_0, r) : r \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial. To check (ii) \Rightarrow (i), we fix some coarse embedding $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^+$. Then we pick $\lambda > 0$ such that $d(a,b) \leq 1$ implies $|f(a) - f(b)| < \lambda$. Given an arbitrary points $x, x' \in X$, we choose the points x_1, \ldots, x_n on the geodesic segment [x, x']such that $x = x_1$, $d(x_1, x_2) = \ldots d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 1$, $d(x_n, x') < 1$. Then every segment of length λ on [f(x), f(x')] contains at least one point $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n)$. Since f(X) is unbounded in \mathbb{R}^+ , it follows that f(X)is large, so we get (i).

 $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ Let f be a coarse embedding of (X, d) into \mathbb{R}^+ such that f(X) is large in \mathbb{R}^+ . By Lemma 3, f is a (λ, C) -isometric embedding. Changing the value of f in x_0 we get a (λ', C') -isometric embedding for some parameters λ' , C', so we may suppose that $f(x_0) = 0$ and $f(x_0) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in X$. We put $g = \frac{1}{\lambda}f$, $C_1 = \frac{C}{\lambda}$. Then g is $(1, C_1)$ isometric embedding of (X, d) into \mathbb{R}^+ and, for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$d(x,y) - C_1 \le |g(x) - g(y)| \le d(x,y) + C_1$$
$$|g(x_0) - g(x)| - C_1 \le d(x_0,x) \le |g(x_0) - g(y)| + C_1.$$

Now let $d(x_0, x) \leq d(x_0, y)$. Then

$$g(x) - g(x_0) - C_1 \le g(y) - g(x_0) + C_1, g(x) - g(y) \le 2C_1.$$

If $g(y) \ge g(x)$ we have

$$d(x_0, x) + d(x, y) \le |g(x_0) - g(x)| + |g(x) - g(y)| + 2C_1$$

= $g(x) - g(x_0) + g(y) - g(x) + 2C_1$
= $|g(y) - g(x_0)| + 2C_1 \le d(x_0, y) + 3C_1.$

If $g(y) \leq g(x)$ then $g(x) - g(y) \leq 2C_1$, and we have

$$d(x_0, x) + d(x, y) \le |g(x_0) - g(x)| + |g(x) - g(y)| + 2C_1$$

$$\le |g(x_0) - g(x)| + 4C_1 \le d(x_0, x) + 5C_1 \le d(x_0, y) + 5C_1.$$

In both cases we see that (X, d) is a 5C₁-ray.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ follows from Lemma 2.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $diam \ S(x_0, r) \le \varepsilon$ for every $r \ge 0$. Let $x, y \in X$ and $d(x_0, x) \le d(x_0, y)$. Since (X, d) is geodesic, there exists a point x' on the geodesic segment $[x_0, y]$ such that $d(x_0, x) = d(x_0, x')$. Then

$$d(x_0, x) + d(x, y) \le d(x_0, x') + d(x, x') + d(x', y)$$

= $d(x, y) + d(x, x') \le d(x_0, y) + \varepsilon.$

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ follows from Lemma 1.

A subspace L of a metric space is called a *geodesic ray* if L is an isometric copy of \mathbb{R}^+ .

An unbounded metric space (X, d) is called *proper* if every closed ball B(x, r) in (X, d) is compact.

The next lemma is a geodesic version of Kønig Lemma stating that every infinite locally finite graph has an infinite chain.

Lemma 4. Every proper geodesic metric space (X, d) has a geodesic ray.

Proof. We use the Hausdorff distance d_H defined on the set $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of all compact subsets of (X, d) by the rule

$$d_H(C,C') = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : C \subseteq B(C',\varepsilon), C' \subseteq B(C,\varepsilon)\},\$$

where $B(C, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{c \in C} B(c, \varepsilon)$. By [5, Proposition 7.2], $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ is compact for every compact metric space Y. We fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and, for every $n \in \omega$, pick $x_n \in X$ such that $d(x_0, x_n) = n$. For every $n \in \omega$, we choose a geodesic segment $[x_0, x_n]$. Since every space $\mathcal{C}(B(x_0, m)), m \in \omega$ is compact, there exists a subsequence $(n_k)_{k \in \omega}$ of ω such, that for every $m \in \omega$ the sequence $(B(x_0, m) \cap [x_0, x_{n_k}])_{k \in \omega}$ converges to some subset L_m . It is a standard verification that $L = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} L_m$ is a geodesic ray. \Box

Theorem 2. A proper geodesic metric space (X, d) is a coarse ray if and only if (X, d) has a large geodesic ray.

Proof. Let (X, d) be a coarse ray. By Lemma 4, (X, d) has a geodesic L. By the equivalence $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ of Theorem 1, L is large in (X, d). On the other hand, if (X, d) has a large geodesic ray then (X, d) is a coarse ray by definition.

Example 2. We construct a geodesic (non-proper) coarse ray (X, d) which has no geodesic rays. To this end we take a disjoint family $\{[a_n, b_n] : n \in \omega\}$ of segments of length n, and stick together all the points $a_n, n \in \omega$. Denote by a the resulting point. Then, for every $m \in \omega$, we choose the points $x_n, n \in \omega, n \ge m$ such that $x_n \in [a, b_n]$, $|[a, x_n]| = m$, and connect any two points $x_n, x_k, m \le n < k$ by the segment of length 1. Let X be the resulting set endowed with the path metric d. By the equivalence $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ of Theorem 1, (X, d) is a coarse ray. To see that (X, d) has no geodesic rays it suffices to observe that every geodesic segment connecting the points a, x, where $x \in [a, b_n]$, lies on the segment $[a, b_n]$.

We say that a subspace L of a metric space (X, d) is an *almost geodesic* ray if there exist $c \geq 0$ and a bijection $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to L$ such that, for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$|t_2 - t_1| \le d(f(t_1), f(t_2)) \le |t_2 - t_1| + c.$$

Clearly, every almost geodesic ray is a coarse ray.

Theorem 3. A geodesic metric space (X, d) is a coarse ray if and only if (X, d) has a large almost geodesic ray.

Proof. Let (X,d) be a coarse ray, $x_0 \in X$. By Theorem 1, there exists $C \geq 0$ such that diam $S(x_0,t) \leq C$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since (X,d) is geodesic, for every $t \geq 0$, the set $S(x_0,t)$ is non-empty, so we can take some point $f(t) \in S(x_0,t)$ and get the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$. We put $L = f(\mathbb{R}^+)$, note that L is large in (X,d) and show that L is an almost geodesic ray. Let $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$. Since (X,d) is geodesic, there exists $y \in S(x_0,t_1)$ such that $d(f(t_2), z) = t_2 - t_1$. Then

$$t_2 - t_1 \le d(f(t_1), f(t_2)) \le d(f(t_1), z) + d(z, f(t_2)) \le (t_2 - t_1) + C.$$

On the other hand, if L is a large almost geodesic ray in (X, d), then L is a coarse ray, so (X, d) is also a coarse ray.

For r > 0, a subset Y of a metric space (X, d) is called *r*-discrete if $d(a, b) \ge r$ for any $a, b \in Y$, $a \ne b$. The *r*-capacity of Y is the cardinal $\sup\{|Z|: Z \text{ is } r\text{-discrete subset of } Y\}$. A metric space (X, d) is of bounded geometry if there exists a number r > 0 and a function $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the *r*-capacity of every ball B(x, t) does not exceed c(t).

A metric d on a set X is called *ultrametric* if, for all x, y, z,

$$d(x,y) \le \max\{d(x,y), d(z,y)\}$$

Theorem 4. An ultrametric space (X, d) is coarsely emenddable in the ray if and only if (X, d) is of bounded geometry.

Proof. Let (X, d) be a space of bounded geometry. We fix the corresponding $r > 0, c : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and choose a maximal r-discrete subspace Y of X. Given any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $d(x, y) \leq r$. It follows that Y is large in (X, d), so Y is coarsely equivalent to (X, d). Every ball of radius t in Y has at most c(t) points, in particular, Y is a proper metric space. By [2, Theorem 3.11], Y is coarsely emenddable into the subspace M of \mathbb{R}^+ consisting of all integers whose tercimal decomposition does not contain 1. Since X is coarsely equivalent to Y, there exists a coarse embedding of X into \mathbb{R}^+ .

On the other hand, let (X, d) be coarsely equivalent to some subspace Z of \mathbb{R}^+ . Since Z is of bounded geometry, it is easy to check that (X, d) is also of bounded geometry.

Problem. Detect all metric spaces coarsely emenddable in the ray \mathbb{R}^+ .

References

- A. Dranishnikov, Asymptotic topology // Russian Math. Surveys, 55 (2000), N 6, 71–116.
- [2] A. Dranishnikov, M. Zarichnyi, Universal spaces for asymptotic dimension // Topol. Appl. 140 (2004), N 2–3, 203–225.
- [3] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 182, 1993.
- [4] I. Protasov, M. Zarichnyi, *General Asymptology*, Math. Stud. Monogr. Sec., 13, 2006.
- [5] J. Roe, Lectures on Coarse Geometry, AMS University Lecture Series, **31**, 2003.

CONTACT INFORMATION

O. Kuchaiev,	Department of Cybernetics,
Igor V. Protasov	Kyiv National University
	Volodimirska 64,
	Kyiv, 01033,
	Ukraine
	E-Mail: akuchaev@inbox.ru
	protasov@unicyb.kiev.ua