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#### Abstract

An emission line at the energy $\sim 3.55 \mathrm{keV}$ detected in different galaxies and galaxy clusters has caused numerous discussions in high-energy astrophysics and particle physics communities. To reveal the origin of the line, we analyzed publicly-available observations of MOS cameras from XMM-Newton cosmic observatory - the instrument with the largest sensitivity for narrow faint X-ray lines - previously combined in X-ray sky maps. Because an extremely large timescale is needed for detailed analysis, we used the wavelet method instead. Extensive simulations of the central part of the Andromeda galaxy are used to check the validity of this method. The resulting list of wavelet detections now contains 235 sky regions. This list will be used in future works for more detailed spectral analysis.
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## INTRODUCTION

The new narrow emission line at $\sim 3.55 \mathrm{keV}$ reported in February 2014 from different stacks of galaxy clusters [5], the Andromeda galaxy and the Perseus galaxy cluster [4], still remains unexplained, see reviews [12, 14] for details. Although the standard astrophysical explanation due to enhanced Kxviir emission lines at $\sim 3.51 \mathrm{keV}$ proposed in [16] remains possible [11], subsequent measurements of the new line strength in the Galactic Centre [3, 18] and in the nearby dark matter-dominated galaxy clusters [13] argue for physics beyond the Standard Model, presumably in a form of radiatively decaying dark matter. Further studies with future highresolution imaging spectrometers, such as Soft X-ray Spectrometer (SXS) on board forthcoming Astro$H$ mission [19] and Micro-X sounding rocket experiment [8] will be able to determine the line origin $[5,11,22]$ in the nearest future.

Selection of the best follow-up targets is in progress. According to [2], European Cosmic Imaging Camera (EPIC) [23, 24] on board XMM-Newton cosmic mission [15] is the most sensitive existing instrument in order to search the narrow faint X-ray line. Recent measurement reported in [13] based on archival XMM-Newton/EPIC data on galaxy clusters with the largest expected decaying dark matter signal doubles the number of the new line detections compared with that in Table 1 in [14]. The result reported in [13] encourages to further search for the $\sim 3.55 \mathrm{keV}$ line. An example of the dataset to explore is the $X M M$-Newton/EPIC sky map of [20] hat contains about 4000 individual observations ( 80 Ms
of cleaned exposure) by the EPIC/MOS cameras of XMM-Newton.

Because detailed analysis of thousands of individual objects becomes an extremely challenging task, in this paper we propose the selection procedure of potential $\sim 3.55 \mathrm{keV}$ line targets based on wavelet analysis.

## METHODS

Usually, wavelets in astrophysics are used for point sources detection $[6,10,21]$ and periodicity analysis $[7,9,17]$, but they can also be used for search of local spectral inhomogeneities. As a simplified idea, we consider continuous spectrum with narrow line centred on bin $E_{i}$ with flux $F_{i}$ in $i$-th energy bin. By calculating flux residuals with respect to adjacent bins,

$$
\Delta F_{i}=F_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{i-1}+F_{i+1}\right)
$$

we note that continuum contribution will roughly cancel while the line component localized in $i$-th bin will not. Sliding along the spectral range of our interest and calculating the largest $\Delta F_{i}$, one can determine the line position $E_{0}$. Another important quantity - line significance $S$ - can be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(E_{0}\right)=\frac{F_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{i-1}+F_{i+1}\right)}{\sqrt{F_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{i-1}+F_{i+1}\right)}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our analysis, we used 2 types of wavelet functions $\psi$ :

[^0]- step function wavelet (see Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(t)= & \frac{3}{2} \theta\left(t+\frac{W}{2}\right)-\frac{3}{2} \theta\left(t-\frac{W}{2}\right)- \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \theta\left(t+\frac{3 W}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \theta\left(t-\frac{3 W}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\theta(t)$ is Heaviside function, $W$ is the width of the wavelet) used in Eq. 1;

- "mexican hat" wavelet (see Fig. 2)

$$
\psi(t)=\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$



Fig. 1: Step function wavelet.


Fig. 2: "Mexican hat" wavelet.
In practice, using the wavelet method should decrease the significance of the detected line. The reasons are: the presence of non-negligible instrumental emission lines (such as Potassium $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ line at 3.31 keV and Calcium $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ line at 3.69 keV ); complexes of astrophysical lines emitted by hot plasma (see e.g. Table 1 in [11]); and significant distortions of XMM-Newton/MOS effective area in the region of our interest. To test the sensitivity of our technique, we have simulated 5000 independent realizations of XMM-Newton/MOS spectra of the

Andromeda galaxy where the line was already detected [4]. The simulations were performed using standard command fakeit inside the Xspec spectral fitting package. The model parameters are set equal to best-fit model parameters of real M31 spectra seen by $X M M$-Newton/MOS cameras [4]. The new emission line was included in a fakeit simulation model as a narrow gaussian model with different intensities. For each simulation, we first modelled the obtained spectrum in Xspec and derived the new line significance $\Sigma$ using Xspec procedure steppar. For 2 extra degrees of freedom (position and flux of the narrow line) added to our model, the value of $\Sigma$ and the corresponding local $p$-value (the probability of observing the extra line at $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{keV}$ at least as extreme as that observed in simulated spectrum, given its absence in model spectra used for simulations, see e.g. [1]) can be expressed through $\Delta \chi^{2}$ - the decrease of $\chi^{2}$ statistics when adding a narrow gaussian line in Xspec spectral package:

$$
p=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\Sigma / \sqrt{2}} d t \exp \left(-t^{2}\right)=1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta \chi^{2}}{2}}
$$

After that, we processed the obtained spectrum using the wavelet procedure described above and derived the largest value of our wavelet parameter $S$ among the values of the line position $E_{0}$ within the energy range $3.45-3.60 \mathrm{keV}^{1}$. To do that, we used step function wavelet with the bin width $W=120 \mathrm{eV}$ and the "mexican hat" wavelet with $\sigma=60 \mathrm{eV}$. The obtained relation between the line significance $\Sigma$ and the value of our wavelet parameter $S$ for step function and "mexican hat" wavelets is plotted in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively.


Fig. 3: Dependence of our step function wavelet significance estimator $S$ (on Y-axis) from the local line significance $\Sigma$ (on X-axis), see text. Wavelet width is set to $W=120 \mathrm{eV}$.

[^1]

Fig. 4: The same as in Fig. 3 but for "mexican hat" wavelet with $\sigma=60 \mathrm{eV}$.

The resulting $p$-value for $3 \sigma$ line detection with our step function wavelet (calculated by similar simulations with no extra line added) is 0.094 corresponding to approximately $1.7 \sigma$ local significance. This means that the wavelet method, despite its simplicity, is able to recover $3 \sigma$ narrow lines at $1.7 \sigma$ significance. The "mexican hat" wavelet shows slightly better results ( $p$-value is 0.082 ) but is much more time consuming, so for our quicklook analysis the step function wavelet is sufficient.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We analyzed all XMM-Newton/MOS observations used in X-ray sky maps [20] in order to search the extra narrow line at $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{keV}^{2}$ using step function wavelet with $W=120 \mathrm{eV}$ described above. The format of sky maps allowed us to combine all data from the same sky regions $\left(25^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}\right.$ squares, roughly corresponding to $X M M$-Newton/MOS Field-of-View). We selected all the data where the new line was detected at $S>2$ (corresponding in average to $\Sigma>4 \sigma$ local significance, according to the best-fit line in Fig. 3). The resulting list of 235 spatial regions is shown in Table 1. More detailed spectral analysis is required to reveal the presence of the line in these objects. We leave such an analysis for future work.
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[^2]Table 1: List of $23525^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ spatial regions with the new line at $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{keV}$ detected at $S>2$ level.

| $S$ | RA | DEC | $S$ | RA | DEC | $S$ | RA | DEC | $S$ | RA | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.569 | 255.696 | 33.438 | 3.272 | 141.929 | -5.960 | 3.219 | 255.422 | 78.910 | 3.174 | 356.664 | -53.796 |
| 3.174 | 162.793 | 33.770 | 3.060 | 150.368 | 55.633 | 3.056 | 160.302 | 5.934 | 3.041 | 31.042 | -6.430 |
| 3.011 | 221.502 | 40.729 | 3.010 | 334.134 | -17.251 | 2.914 | 318.248 | 13.434 | 2.913 | 159.427 | 53.609 |
| 2.888 | 98.016 | -60.384 | 2.878 | 355.905 | -53.423 | 2.863 | 37.253 | 0.620 | 2.852 | 298.943 | 26.153 |
| 2.833 | 31.458 | -7.660 | 2.796 | 177.711 | -28.667 | 2.795 | 283.981 | 1.450 | 2.792 | 237.169 | 27.070 |
| 2.764 | 217.554 | 42.042 | 2.754 | 331.878 | 10.297 | 2.749 | 296.753 | 34.207 | 2.748 | 160.009 | 39.777 |
| 2.744 | 278.495 | -10.191 | 2.721 | 162.084 | -59.971 | 2.717 | 260.130 | 26.500 | 2.715 | 310.385 | -57.293 |
| 2.715 | 196.287 | -40.454 | 2.707 | 70.230 | 25.607 | 2.694 | 187.932 | 25.592 | 2.690 | 24.393 | -8.439 |
| 2.690 | 168.905 | 18.123 | 2.675 | 70.688 | 25.192 | 2.670 | 219.889 | 53.553 | 2.659 | 13.952 | -1.039 |
| 2.650 | 197.542 | 37.054 | 2.633 | 93.722 | -33.513 | 2.633 | 168.542 | 9.695 | 2.626 | 131.623 | -50.696 |
| 2.618 | 66.338 | 15.603 | 2.616 | 186.339 | 32.285 | 2.613 | 282.338 | 0.206 | 2.610 | 202.074 | -31.276 |
| 2.609 | 118.707 | 22.058 | 2.606 | 86.844 | -31.890 | 2.604 | 349.648 | -53.980 | 2.601 | 165.722 | 22.649 |
| 2.596 | 13.565 | -73.127 | 2.582 | 265.456 | -38.870 | 2.582 | 17.417 | -45.779 | 2.577 | 264.565 | 60.097 |
| 2.576 | 349.659 | -52.747 | 2.561 | 109.772 | -24.366 | 2.560 | 25.237 | -34.301 | 2.553 | 186.691 | -63.914 |
| 2.533 | 230.877 | -44.777 | 2.529 | 8.079 | 13.973 | 2.524 | 146.463 | -8.870 | 2.520 | 318.665 | 13.420 |
| 2.492 | 154.135 | -40.968 | 2.489 | 239.146 | -22.034 | 2.474 | 243.486 | -22.574 | 2.465 | 349.655 | -53.157 |
| 2.464 | 210.425 | -60.624 | 2.460 | 229.351 | -16.047 | 2.457 | 145.877 | 16.837 | 2.444 | 213.247 | 71.493 |
| 2.443 | 34.367 | -5.179 | 2.440 | 63.889 | -59.232 | 2.429 | 267.965 | 23.109 | 2.421 | 125.722 | 22.649 |
| 2.405 | 276.842 | 6.386 | 2.395 | 167.980 | 43.934 | 2.383 | 85.155 | 35.536 | 2.381 | 55.039 | -18.475 |
| 2.379 | 30.208 | -2.290 | 2.378 | 27.689 | -74.381 | 2.378 | 261.521 | 2.265 | 2.378 | 1.673 | -34.934 |
| 2.377 | 73.993 | -68.840 | 2.373 | 38.886 | -3.905 | 2.369 | 83.329 | -70.178 | 2.365 | 64.393 | 1.037 |
| 2.352 | 14.384 | -26.364 | 2.351 | 147.732 | -62.688 | 2.350 | 94.278 | 22.649 | 2.348 | 344.075 | -36.316 |
| 2.348 | 148.905 | 18.123 | 2.336 | 156.019 | $-7.213$ | 2.335 | 165.428 | 76.838 | 2.326 | 76.960 | -70.983 |
| 2.326 | 225.219 | 1.868 | 2.319 | 350.208 | 8.071 | 2.317 | 70.287 | -43.537 | 2.313 | 222.965 | -55.847 |
| 2.309 | 313.772 | 44.304 | 2.306 | 54.337 | -35.556 | 2.289 | 268.126 | -6.016 | 2.286 | 231.655 | 51.509 |
| 2.285 | 103.866 | -24.243 | 2.283 | 3.169 | -19.662 | 2.280 | 137.884 | 52.896 | 2.278 | 167.710 | 2.704 |
| 2.274 | 355.503 | -55.510 | 2.268 | 78.095 | -67.460 | 2.268 | 263.816 | -25.477 | 2.268 | 209.564 | -61.457 |
| 2.267 | 61.609 | -71.335 | 2.266 | 191.874 | 2.705 | 2.261 | 336.019 | -1.864 | 2.260 | 354.881 | -56.367 |
| 2.255 | 162.005 | -25.390 | 2.253 | 80.212 | -69.013 | 2.251 | 7.164 | $-77.279$ | 2.251 | 357.499 | 36.642 |
| 2.248 | 191.874 | 8.475 | 2.246 | 181.851 | 28.236 | 2.243 | 348.258 | -53.556 | 2.243 | 34.240 | 42.628 |
| 2.242 | 196.813 | -19.248 | 2.231 | 292.013 | 21.446 | 2.228 | 5.219 | -1.868 | 2.227 | 40.997 | -48.534 |
| 2.226 | 188.844 | 26.427 | 2.223 | 216.445 | 42.110 | 2.219 | 154.216 | -33.497 | 2.218 | 187.227 | 13.965 |
| 2.213 | 225.787 | -42.213 | 2.212 | 312.121 | 29.276 | 2.208 | 218.470 | -36.163 | 2.204 | 183.196 | 29.120 |
| 2.200 | 149.375 | 2.706 | 2.199 | 259.437 | -59.451 | 2.192 | 25.041 | -67.997 | 2.188 | 12.186 | 31.910 |
| 2.187 | 150.235 | 28.886 | 2.186 | 65.051 | 15.578 | 2.183 | 9.689 | 48.479 | 2.183 | 186.782 | -63.085 |
| 2.180 | 251.169 | 57.704 | 2.175 | 164.393 | 1.451 | 2.169 | 185.633 | 4.354 | 2.168 | 66.108 | 25.143 |
| 2.167 | 138.074 | 18.371 | 2.160 | 230.799 | -38.539 | 2.160 | 132.290 | -2.704 | 2.153 | 258.771 | -38.629 |
| 2.147 | 245.280 | -77.252 | 2.144 | 352.413 | -53.133 | 2.144 | 267.647 | -37.270 | 2.142 | 283.769 | 15.546 |
| 2.141 | 254.773 | -42.191 | 2.139 | 50.210 | 11.114 | 2.138 | 159.754 | 41.875 | 2.135 | 192.706 | 5.188 |
| 2.134 | 89.259 | -33.156 | 2.134 | 163.171 | -40.423 | 2.133 | 139.292 | 46.464 | 2.132 | 333.952 | 0.208 |
| 2.132 | 308.751 | -33.974 | 2.131 | 68.988 | -78.124 | 2.131 | 244.312 | 12.279 | 2.128 | 128.854 | 25.190 |
| 2.123 | 265.687 | -23.892 | 2.121 | 263.285 | -33.798 | 2.116 | 263.813 | -33.415 | 2.115 | 20.302 | -0.205 |
| 2.112 | 26.911 | 61.840 | 2.111 | 227.306 | 57.265 | 2.111 | 157.884 | 30.873 | 2.109 | 341.392 | 28.208 |
| 2.108 | 67.006 | 25.989 | 2.107 | 18.820 | -47.323 | 2.102 | 181.945 | -32.489 | 2.100 | 23.563 | -36.290 |
| 2.099 | 291.067 | 13.978 | 2.098 | 136.842 | 0.621 | 2.097 | 8.103 | 39.776 | 2.095 | 39.196 | 61.565 |
| 2.093 | 179.233 | 52.798 | 2.086 | 86.087 | -25.967 | 2.084 | 50.652 | 16.877 | 2.082 | 255.367 | 59.682 |
| 2.082 | 177.689 | -28.263 | 2.082 | 13.019 | 27.220 | 2.080 | 147.337 | 76.449 | 2.079 | 314.896 | 43.847 |
| 2.079 | 165.122 | -77.666 | 2.079 | 157.829 | -34.967 | 2.079 | 140.755 | 30.379 | 2.079 | 122.056 | -76.337 |
| 2.073 | 64.594 | 29.184 | 2.073 | 37.289 | -29.498 | 2.070 | 351.883 | -10.702 | 2.069 | 248.988 | 78.124 |
| 2.065 | 77.516 | -69.129 | 2.063 | 220.125 | 64.432 | 2.061 | 14.866 | -72.689 | 2.060 | 348.913 | 78.957 |
| 2.059 | 40.445 | -59.862 | 2.057 | 127.269 | -33.539 | 2.056 | 272.428 | -19.359 | 2.055 | 195.897 | -83.920 |
| 2.053 | 200.159 | -63.615 | 2.050 | 178.886 | 6.761 | 2.049 | 10.208 | -9.293 | 2.047 | 58.479 | -0.206 |
| 2.047 | 179.419 | 26.530 | 2.043 | 34.367 | -6.823 | 2.042 | 93.492 | -27.619 | 2.042 | 237.051 | -32.141 |
| 2.042 | 144.735 | 41.338 | 2.041 | 154.435 | -58.882 | 2.039 | 89.482 | -66.430 | 2.035 | 304.006 | 37.142 |
| 2.033 | 230.222 | 20.208 | 2.031 | 52.140 | 30.285 | 2.029 | 281.929 | -3.091 | 2.027 | 133.227 | 33.527 |
| 2.027 | 103.129 | 40.837 | 2.025 | 182.005 | 25.390 | 2.021 | 163.093 | 35.850 | 2.018 | 321.130 | 51.185 |
| 2.016 | 325.166 | -43.020 | 2.014 | 323.903 | -54.653 | 2.014 | 243.469 | -22.987 | 2.014 | 181.895 | -27.427 |
| 2.009 | 86.957 | -70.276 | 2.006 | 35.194 | -4.761 | 2.004 | 267.710 | -6.837 | 2.002 | 80.552 | -68.144 |
| 2.002 | 14.723 | -66.772 | 2.001 | 67.169 | -17.274 | 2.000 | 83.981 | -4.754 |  |  |  |
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ By doing that, we took into account possible variations of line positions due to statistical fluctuations, see e.g. [13] for details.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The line position $E_{0}$ is allowed to vary within $3.45-3.60 \mathrm{keV}$ range.

