

## SINGULARLY PERTURBED PERIODIC AND SEMIPERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS \*

### СИНГУЛЯРНО ЗБУРЕНІ ПЕРІОДИЧНІ ТА НАПІВПЕРІОДИЧНІ ДИФЕРЕНЦІАЛЬНІ ОПЕРАТОРИ

Qualitative and spectral properties of the form-sums

$$S_{\pm}(V) := D_{\pm}^{2m} \dot{+} V(x), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

in the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$  are studied. Here,  $(D_+)$  is the periodic differential operator,  $(D_-)$  is the semiperiodic differential operator,  $D_{\pm}: u \mapsto -iu'$ , and  $V(x)$  is a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution in the Sobolev spaces  $H_{\text{per}}^{-m\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Досліджено якісні та спектральні властивості форм-сум

$$S_{\pm}(V) := D_{\pm}^{2m} \dot{+} V(x), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

у гільбертовому просторі  $L_2(0, 1)$ . Тут  $(D_+)$  та  $(D_-)$  — періодичний та напівперіодичний диференціальні оператори,  $D_{\pm}: u \mapsto -iu'$ , а  $V(x)$  — довільна 1-періодична комплекснозначна узагальнена функція з просторів Соболева  $H_{\text{per}}^{-m\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** In this paper, we study the operators  $S_+(V)$  and  $S_-(V)$  that are not selfadjoint in general and given on the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$  by two-terms differential expressions of an even order, with a 1-periodic complex-valued potential  $V(x)$ , which is a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'_1$ , and periodic and semiperiodic boundary conditions,

$$S_{\pm}u \equiv S_{\pm}(V)u := D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u,$$

$$D_{\pm} := -i \frac{d}{dx}, \quad \text{Dom}(D_{\pm}) = H_{\pm}^1, \quad D_{\pm}^{2m} := |D_{\pm}|^{2m},$$

$$\text{Dom}(D_{\pm}^{2m}) = H_{\pm}^{2m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$V(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{V}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \in \mathcal{D}'_1,$$

$$u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}).$$

Here by the  $H_{\pm}^1 \equiv H_{\pm}^1[0, 1]$  and  $H_{\pm}^{2m} \equiv H_{\pm}^{2m}[0, 1]$  we denote the Sobolev spaces of functions that are 1-periodic and 1-semiperiodic on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\mathcal{D}'_1$  denotes the space of 1-periodic distributions [1, p. 115].

In this paper, we give sufficient conditions for the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  to exist as form-sums, conduct a detailed study of their *qualitative* properties, prove theorems about their *approximation* and *spectrum decomposition*. The approximation theorem gives another definition of the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  as a limit, in the generalized convergence sense [2] (Ch. IV, § 2.6), of a sequence of operators with smooth potentials.

Earlier in [3–5], the authors have carried out a detailed study of the differential operators  $L_{\pm}(V)$  generated on the finite interval by the same differential expressions as the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  but defined on the *negative* Sobolev spaces  $H_{\pm}^{-m}$ . The case  $m = 1$  for operators  $L_{\pm}(V)$  was treated in [6, 7] (see also closely related papers [8–11]).

\* The investigation of the first author has been supported in part by the DFFD under grant 14.1/003.

So, for an arbitrary  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the Sobolev spaces of 1-periodic and 1-semiperiodic functions or distributions are defined in a natural fashion by means of their Fourier coefficients,

$$H_+^s \equiv H_+^s[0, 1] := \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_+^s} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\|f\|_{H_+^s} := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 2k \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{f}(2k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \langle k \rangle := 1 + |k|,$$

$$\widehat{f}(2k) := \langle f, e^{i2k\pi x} \rangle_+, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$H_-^s \equiv H_-^s[0, 1] := \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(2k+1) e^{i(2k+1)\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_-^s} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\|f\|_{H_-^s} := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle 2k+1 \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{f}(2k+1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1 + |k|,$$

$$\widehat{f}(2k+1) := \langle f, e^{i(2k+1)\pi x} \rangle_-, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_-$  we denote the sesquilinear forms that define the pairing between the dual spaces  $H_{\pm}^s$  and  $H_{\pm}^{-s}$  with respect to the zero space  $L_2(0, 1)$ ; these pairings are obtained by extending the inner product in  $L_2(0, 1)$  by continuity [12, p. 47],

$$(f, g) = \int_0^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx, \quad f, g \in L_2(0, 1).$$

It will be useful to notice that the two-sided scales of Sobolev spaces  $\{H_{\pm}^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  coincide up to equivalent norms with scales generated by powers of the non-negative selfadjoint operators  $|D_{\pm}|$  [13] (Ch. II, § 2.1).

The Sobolev spaces

$$H_{\text{per}}^s \equiv H_{\text{per}}^s[-1, 1] := \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) e^{ik\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_{\text{per}}^s} < \infty \right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

of 2-periodic elements (functions or distributions) are defined in a similar way.

Now, we are ready to formulate the main results obtained in the paper. But first recall that an operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space is said to be  $m$ -sectorial if its numerical range  $\Theta(A)$ , i.e., the set

$$\Theta(A) := (Au, u), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(A), \quad \|u\| = 1,$$

is contained in a sector of the complex plane,

$$\Theta(A) \subseteq \text{Sect}(\gamma, \theta),$$

$$\text{Sect}(\gamma, \theta) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(\lambda - \gamma)| \leq \theta \right\}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and the exterior of the sector  $\text{Sect}(\gamma, \theta)$  belongs to the resolvent set  $\text{Resol}(A)$  of the operator  $A$  [2] (Ch. V, § 3.10).

**Theorem 1.** *Let a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution  $V(x)$  be in the space  $H_+^{-m}$ . Then the operators  $S_\pm(V)$  are well defined on the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$  as  $m$ -sectorial operators — form-sums,*

$$S_\pm(V) = D_\pm^{2m} + V(x),$$

*associated with densely defined, closed, sectorial sesquilinear forms defined on  $L_2(0, 1)$  by*

$$t_{S_\pm}[u, v] \equiv t_\pm[u, v] := \langle D_\pm^{2m}u, v \rangle_\pm + \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_\pm, \quad \text{Dom}(t_{S_\pm}) = H_\pm^m,$$

*and act on the dense domains*

$$\text{Dom}(S_\pm) = \{u \in H_\pm^m \mid D_\pm^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\}$$

*as*

$$S_\pm(V)u = D_\pm^{2m}u + V(x)u, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_\pm).$$

Let us remark that, in virtue of the convolution lemma (see Lemma 1 below), a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution  $V(x) \in H_+^{-m}$  defines, on the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$ , two sesquilinear forms,

$$t_V^+[u, v] := \langle V(x) \cdot u, v \rangle_+, \quad u, v \in H_+^m,$$

$$t_V^-[u, v] := \langle V(x) \cdot u, v \rangle_-, \quad u, v \in H_-^m,$$

where  $V(x) \cdot u$  denotes the formal product, which converges in the Sobolev spaces  $H_\pm^{-m}$ , of the Fourier series of the distribution  $V(x) \in H_+^{-m}$  and the function  $u \in H_\pm^m$ .

If the distribution  $V(x)$  has additional smoothness in the scale  $\{H_\pm^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  of the Hilbert spaces, then functions in the domains of the operators  $S_\pm(V)$  have an additional regularity.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $V(x) \in H_+^{-m\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then the inclusion*

$$\text{Dom}(S_\pm) \subseteq H_\pm^{m(2-\alpha)}$$

*holds.*

In the case  $\alpha \neq 0$ , i.e., for

$$V(x) \in H_+^{-m\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1],$$

the question about locality of the operators  $S_\pm(V)$  is meaningful. Let us recall that an operator  $A$  on a function space is called *local* if

$$\text{supp}(Au) \subseteq \text{supp}(u), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(A).$$

For the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$ , this is equivalent to the following:

$$u|_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 0 \Rightarrow Au|_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 0, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(A), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1].$$

**Theorem 3.** *If  $V(x) \in H_+^{-m}$ , the operators  $S_+(V)$  and  $S_-(V)$  are local.*

The following theorem describes qualitative properties of the operators  $S_\pm(V)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution  $V(x)$  be in the space  $H_+^{-m}$ .

a) The operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are  $m$ -sectorial with respect to an arbitrary angle containing the positive half-axis.

b) The operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are selfadjoint if and only if the distribution  $V(x)$  is real-valued, i.e., if

$$\widehat{V}(2k) = \overline{\widehat{V}(-2k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

c) The operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  have discrete spectra.

The following theorem allows to give another alternative definition of the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  described in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $V_n(x)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $V(x)$  be defined on the space  $H_+^{-m}$ , and suppose that

$$V_n(x) \xrightarrow{H_+^{-m}} V(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the operators  $S_{\pm}^{(n)} \equiv S_{\pm}(V_n)$  converge to the operators  $S_{\pm} \equiv S_{\pm}(V)$  in the uniform resolvent convergent sense,

$$\|R(\lambda, S_{\pm}^{(n)}) - R(\lambda, S_{\pm})\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, by virtue of Theorem 5, the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  can be defined as a limit of a sequence of the operators  $S_{\pm}^{(n)}$  with smooth potentials  $V_n(x)$  in the generalized convergence sense [2] (Ch. IV, § 2.6).

As an example, consider

$$V(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{V}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \in H_+^{-m},$$

the trigonometric polynomials

$$V_n(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} \widehat{V}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \in H_+^{\infty},$$

form the necessary sequence,

$$V_n(x) \xrightarrow{H_+^{-m}} V(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which yields the convergence

$$\|R(\lambda, S_{\pm}^{(n)}) - R(\lambda, S_{\pm})\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Due to Theorem 5 we also have that

$$\sigma(S_{\pm}^{(n)}) \rightarrow \sigma(S_{\pm}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the convergence of spectra is upper semicontinuous in general [2] (Ch. IV, § 3.1) and, for real-valued potentials, it is continuous [14] (Theorems VIII.23 and VIII.24); by  $\sigma(S_{\pm}^{(n)})$  and  $\sigma(S_{\pm})$  we denote unordered spectra of the corresponding operators.

Now, let us consider, on the Hilbert space  $L_2(-1, 1)$ , the  $m$ -sectorial operators — form-sums  $S(V)$  with 1-periodic complex-valued potentials that are distributions  $V(x) \in H_{\text{per}}^{-m}$ , i.e.,  $\widehat{V}(2k+1) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$S \equiv S(V) := D^{2m} \dot{+} V(x),$$

$$D := -i \frac{d}{dx}, \quad \text{Dom}(D) = H_{\text{per}}^1, \quad D^{2m} := |D|^{2m}, \quad \text{Dom}(D^{2m}) = H_{\text{per}}^{2m},$$

$$V(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{V}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \in H_{\text{per}}^{-m},$$

$$\text{Dom}(S) = \{u \in H_{\text{per}}^m \mid D^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(-1, 1)\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Analogs of Theorems 2–4 and 5 hold for the operators  $S(V)$ . In particular, they have discrete spectra.

Let us study the structure of spectra of the operators  $S(V)$ ,  $S_+(V)$ , and  $S_-(V)$  in more details.

Denote by  $\text{spec}(A)$  the discrete spectrum of the operator  $A$ , taking into account the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues that ordered lexicographically. Namely, we will say that an eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$  precedes an eigenvalue  $\lambda_{k+1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  if

$$\text{Re } \lambda_k < \text{Re } \lambda_{k+1}, \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Re } \lambda_k = \text{Re } \lambda_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \lambda_k \leq \text{Im } \lambda_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}(D^{2m}) &= \\ &= \left\{0; 1, 1; 2^{2m}, 2^{2m}; \dots; (2k-1)^{2m}, (2k-1)^{2m}; (2k)^{2m}, (2k)^{2m}; \dots\right\} \cdot \pi^{2m}, \\ \text{spec}(D_+^{2m}) &= \left\{0; 2^{2m}, 2^{2m}; \dots; (2k)^{2m}, (2k)^{2m}; \dots\right\} \cdot \pi^{2m}, \\ \text{spec}(D_-^{2m}) &= \left\{1, 1; 3^{2m}, 3^{2m}; \dots; (2k-1)^{2m}, (2k-1)^{2m}; \dots\right\} \cdot \pi^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

And thus we get

$$\text{spec}(D^{2m}) = \text{spec}(D_+^{2m}) \sqcup \text{spec}(D_-^{2m}) \quad (\text{the disjoint sum}).$$

The following theorem about spectra decomposition is a non-trivial generalization of the last equality for the perturbed  $m$ -sectorial operators — form-sums  $S(V)$ ,  $S_+(V)$ , and  $S_-(V)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $S(V)$ ,  $S_+(V)$  and  $S_-(V)$  be the  $m$ -sectorial operators, where the potential  $V(x)$  is a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution from the Sobolev spaces  $H_{\text{per}}^{-m}$  and  $H_+^{-m}$  for the first and the second two operators, respectively. Then*

$$S(V) = S_+(V) \oplus S_-(V),$$

and we have the decomposition

$$\text{spec}(S) = \text{spec}(S_+) \cup \text{spec}(S_-).$$

A part of results are announced in [15] and contained in [16].

**2. The proofs.** At first, we will recall some known facts and results that will be necessary.

Consider the Hilbert spaces of two-sided weighted sequences,

$$h^s \equiv h^s(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$h^s := \{a = (a(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \|a\|_{h^s} < \infty\},$$

$$(a, b)_{h^s} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k \rangle^{2s} a(k) \overline{b(k)}, \quad \langle k \rangle = 1 + |k|,$$

$$\|a\|_{h^s} := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle k \rangle^{2s} |a(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Fourier transform establishes an isometric isomorphisms between the Sobolev spaces  $H_{\text{per}}^s$ ,  $H_{\pm}^s$  and the Hilbert spaces  $h^s$  of two-sided weighted sequences,

$$\mathcal{F}: H_{\text{per}}^s \ni f \mapsto (\hat{f}) = \left( \hat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in h^s,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_+: H_+^s \ni f \mapsto (\hat{f}) = \left( \hat{f}(2k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in h^s,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_-: H_-^s \ni f \mapsto (\hat{f}) = \left( \hat{f}(2k+1) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in h^s.$$

This, together with the convolution lemma (see below), allows to give sufficient conditions of existence of the formal product

$$V(x) \cdot u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{V}(k-j) \hat{u}(j) e^{i k \pi x}.$$

To this end, introduce in the scale of the Hilbert spaces of two-sided weighted sequences  $\{h^s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  a commutative convolution operation. For arbitrary sequences

$$a = (a(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = (b(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

it is defined in a natural fashion,

$$(a, b) \mapsto a * b,$$

$$(a * b)(k) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a(k-j) b(j).$$

The following known lemma (see, for example, [7], Lemma 1.5.4) holds.

**Lemma 1** (Convolution lemma). *Let  $s, r \geq 0$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $t \leq \min(s, r)$ .*

(I) *If  $s + r - t > 1/2$ , then the convolution map*

$$(a, b) \mapsto a * b$$

*is continuous when viewed as the maps*

- (a)  $h^r \times h^s \rightarrow h^t$ ,
- (b)  $h^{-t} \times h^s \rightarrow h^{-r}$ .

(II) *If  $s + r - t < 1/2$ , then this statement fails to hold.*

**2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.** Due to the convolution lemma for

$$V(x) \in H_+^{-m} \quad \text{and} \quad u(x) \in H_{\pm}^m,$$

the products  $V(x) \cdot u(x)$  are well defined in the Sobolev spaces  $H_{\pm}^{-m}$ . Therefore, the sesquilinear forms

$$t_V^+[u, v] = \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_+, \quad \text{Dom}(t_V^+) = H_+^m,$$

$$t_V^-[u, v] = \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_-, \quad \text{Dom}(t_V^-) = H_-^m,$$

are well defined in the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$ .

Further, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_+[u, v] &:= \langle D_+^{2m}u, v \rangle_+, & \text{Dom}(\tau_+) &= H_+^m, \\ \tau_-[u, v] &:= \langle D_-^{2m}u, v \rangle_-, & \text{Dom}(\tau_-) &= H_-^m. \end{aligned}$$

The sesquilinear forms  $\tau_\pm[u, v]$  are well defined in the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$ , they are densely defined, closed, and nonnegative.

The following assertion is true.

**Proposition 1.** *The sesquilinear forms  $t_V^\pm[u, v]$  are  $\tau_\pm$ -bounded with  $\tau_\pm$ -boundary that equals zero, i.e., we have  $V(x) \prec\prec D_\pm^{2m}$ .*

*Proof.* Represent the 1-periodic distribution

$$V(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{V}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \in H_+^{-m}$$

as the sum

$$V(x) = V_0(x) + V_\delta(x), \tag{1}$$

where  $V_0(x)$  is a smooth function and  $V_\delta(x)$  is a distribution with an arbitrarily small norm,

$$V_0(x) \in H_+^m,$$

and

$$V_\delta(x) \in H_+^{-m} \quad \text{with} \quad \|V_\delta\|_{H_+^{-m}} \leq \frac{\delta}{C_m}.$$

The constant  $C_m$  is defined from the convolution lemma and is fixed. The decomposition (1) is possible, since  $H_+^m$  is densely embedded into the space  $H_+^{-m}$ .

So, for

$$u \in \text{Dom}(\tau_\pm) \subset \text{Dom}(t_V^\pm),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t_V^\pm[u]| &= |\langle V(x)u, u \rangle_\pm| \leq |\langle V_0(x)u, u \rangle_\pm| + |\langle V_\delta(x)u, u \rangle_\pm| \leq \\ &\leq \|V_0(x)u\|_{L_2(0,1)} \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \|V_\delta(x)u\|_{H_\pm^{-m}} \|u\|_{H_\pm^m} \leq \\ &\leq C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + \delta \|u\|_{H_\pm^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$\|u\|_{H_\pm^m}^2 \leq \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + \|u^{(m)}\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 = \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + \langle D_\pm^{2m}u, u \rangle_\pm$$

for an arbitrary  $\delta > 0$  we obtain the necessary estimate,

$$|t_V^\pm[u]| \leq \delta \tau_\pm[u] + \left( C_m \|V_0\|_{H_+^m[0,1]} + \delta \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2. \tag{2}$$

The proof is complete.

Proposition 1, together with [2] (Theorem IV.1.33) yields the following corollary.

**Corollary.** *The sesquilinear forms*

$$t_{\pm}[u, v] := \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, v \rangle_{\pm} + \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}) = H_{\pm}^m,$$

are densely defined, closed, and sectorial in the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$ .

According to the first representation theorem [2] (Theorem VI.2.1), there exist  $m$ -sectorial operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  associated with the forms  $t_{\pm}[u, v]$  such that

i)  $\text{Dom}(S_{\pm}) \subseteq \text{Dom}(t_{\pm})$  and

$$t_{\pm}[u, v] = (S_{\pm}u, v)$$

for every  $u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$  and  $v \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm})$ ;

ii)  $\text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$  are cores of  $t_{\pm}[u, v]$ ;

iii) if  $u \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm})$ ,  $w \in L_2(0, 1)$ , and

$$t_{\pm}[u, v] = (w, v)$$

holds for every  $v$  belonging to the cores of  $t_{\pm}[u, v]$ , then  $u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$  and  $S_{\pm}(V)u = w$ .

The  $m$ -sectorial operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are uniquely defined by condition i).

Now, investigate the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  associated with the forms  $t_{\pm}[u, v]$  in more details.

Let

$$u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}) \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\pm}[u, v] &= \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, v \rangle_{\pm} + \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm} = \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm} = \\ &= (S_{\pm}u, v) = \langle S_{\pm}u, v \rangle_{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that we have the equality

$$\langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm} = \langle S_{\pm}u, v \rangle_{\pm}, \quad v \in H_{\pm}^m,$$

of linear forms. So, we can conclude that

$$S_{\pm}(V)u = D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}),$$

and that the inclusions

$$\text{Dom}(S_{\pm}) \subseteq \{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\}$$

hold. It remains to verify that the inverse inclusions hold.

Let

$$u \in \{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\pm}[u, v] &= \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, v \rangle_{\pm} + \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm} = \\ &= \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm} = (D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u, v), \end{aligned}$$



and using the first representation theorem iii) (see above) we get the necessary estimate,

$$u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}),$$

which implies that

$$\{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\} \subseteq \text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$$

and

$$S_{\pm}(V)u = D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1).$$

So,

$$\text{Dom}(S_{\pm}) = \{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\}$$

and

$$S_{\pm}(V)u = D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}).$$

Theorem 1 is proved completely.

**Remark 1.** Throughout the rest of the paper we will often use the notations

$$t_{S_{\pm}}[u, v] \equiv t_{\pm}[u, v]$$

to underline the dual relations between the sesquilinear forms  $t_{\pm}[u, v]$  and the associated with them operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$ , see [2] ([Theorem VI.2.7]).

**2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let the 1-periodic distribution  $V(x)$  belong to the space  $H_{+}^{-m\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then for any  $u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$ , due to the convolution lemma, we have

$$V(x)u \in H_{+}^{-m\alpha},$$

and therefore

$$D_{\pm}^{2m}u \in H_{\pm}^{-m\alpha}.$$

From this we conclude that

$$u \in H_{\pm}^{m(2-\alpha)}.$$

**2.3. Proof of Theorem 3.** Let

$$u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm})$$

and

$$u|_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad (\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1],$$

and let

$$\varphi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}[0, 1] \quad \text{with} \quad \text{supp}(\varphi) \Subset (\alpha, \beta).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{\pm}u, \varphi) &= \langle S_{\pm}u, \varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u, \varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, \varphi \rangle_{\pm} + \langle V(x)u, \varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \\ &= \langle u, D_{\pm}^{2m}\varphi \rangle_{\pm} + \langle V(x), \bar{u}\varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \langle V(x), 0 \rangle_{\pm} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the necessary statement,

$$(S_{\pm}u)|_{(\alpha, \beta)} = 0.$$

**2.4. Proof of Theorem 4.** (a) The  $m$ -sectoriality of the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  have been proved in Theorem 1. Let us prove the second part of the assertion, i.e., we need to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some constant  $c_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$  the following estimates hold:

$$|\arg((S_{\pm} + c_{\varepsilon}Id)u, u)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}).$$

For this we have to make sure that

$$|\text{Im}(S_{\pm}u, u)| \leq \varepsilon \text{Re}(S_{\pm}u, u) + c_{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}),$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some constant  $c_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ .

So, take  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ . From Proposition 1 (see (2)) we get

$$|t_V^{\pm}[u]| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tau_{\pm}[u] + \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(\tau_{\pm}),$$

and, hence,

$$-\varepsilon \text{Re} t_V^{\pm}[u] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tau_{\pm}[u] + \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(\tau_{\pm}).$$

Further, taking into account that

$$\text{Re}(S_{\pm}u, u) = \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, u \rangle_{\pm} + \text{Re}\langle V(x)u, u \rangle_{\pm},$$

$$\text{Im}(S_{\pm}u, u) = \text{Im}\langle V(x)u, u \rangle_{\pm}, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}),$$

we obtain the necessary estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}(S_{\pm}u, u)| &\leq |\langle V(x)u, u \rangle_{\pm}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tau_{\pm}[u] + \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\tau_{\pm}[u] + \text{Re} t_V^{\pm}[u]) + \left( 2C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} + \varepsilon \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 = \\ &= \varepsilon \text{Re}(S_{\pm}u, u) + c_{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let the 1-periodic distribution  $V(x)$  be real-valued. Then the sesquilinear forms  $t_{S_{\pm}}[u, v]$  are symmetric and, consequently, in virtue of [2] (Theorem VI.2.7) (also see the KLMN theorem [17] (Theorem X.17)), the operators are self-adjoint.

Conversely, let the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  be selfadjoint. In the case of a non-real-valued distribution  $V(x)$ , the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are not symmetric either. This contradiction allows to make conclusion that the distribution  $V(x)$  is real-valued.

(c) From [2] (Theorem VI.3.4) and Proposition 1 we immediately obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *The resolvent sets of the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are non-empty. Moreover, the resolvents  $R(\lambda, S_{\pm}(V))$  of the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are compact.*

Proposition 2 implies that the operators  $S_{\pm}(V)$  have discrete spectra.

**2.5. Proof of Theorem 5.** The proof is based on the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *Let the 1-periodic distributions  $V_n(x)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $V(x)$  be in the Sobolev space  $H_+^{-m}$ . For*

$$V_n(x) \xrightarrow{H_+^{-m}} V(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

the operators

$$S_{\pm}^{(n)} \equiv S_{\pm}(V_n) := D_{\pm}^{2m} + V_n(x),$$

$$\text{Dom}(S_{\pm}^{(n)}) = \{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V_n(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\},$$

converge to the operators

$$S_{\pm} \equiv S_{\pm}(V) = D_{\pm}^{2m} + V(x),$$

$$\text{Dom}(S_{\pm}) = \{u \in H_{\pm}^m \mid D_{\pm}^{2m}u + V(x)u \in L_2(0, 1)\},$$

in the generalized convergence sense [2] (Ch. IV, § 2.6).

**Proof.** Set

$$t_{S_{\pm}^{(n)}}[u, v] \equiv t_{\pm}^{(n)}[u, v] := (S_{\pm}^{(n)}u, v), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}^{(n)}), v \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}^{(n)}) = H_{\pm}^m,$$

and recall that

$$t_{S_{\pm}}[u, v] \equiv t_{\pm}[u, v] = (S_{\pm}u, v), \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_{\pm}), v \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}) = H_{\pm}^m.$$

Then, for every  $u \in \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}) = \text{Dom}(t_{\pm}^{(n)}) = H_{\pm}^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{\pm}^{(n)}[u] - t_{\pm}[u]| &= |\langle (V_n(x) - V(x))u, u \rangle_{\pm}| \leq \| (V_n(x) - V(x))u \|_{H_{\pm}^{-m}} \|u\|_{H_{\pm}^m} \leq \\ &\leq C_m \|V_n(x) - V(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^{-m}} \left( \|u\|_{H_{\pm}^m}^2 + \tau_{\pm}[u] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C_m$  is defined due to the convolution lemma, and  $\tau_{\pm}[u, v]$ , as above,

$$\tau_{\pm}[u, v] = \langle D_{\pm}^{2m}u, v \rangle_{\pm}, \quad \text{Dom}(\tau_{\pm}) = H_{\pm}^m,$$

are sesquilinear, densely defined, closed and nonnegative forms. Since the forms

$$t_V^{\pm}[u, v] = \langle V(x)u, v \rangle_{\pm}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_V^{\pm}) = H_{\pm}^m,$$

are  $\tau_{\pm}$ -bonded with zero  $\tau_{\pm}$ -boundary for an arbitrary  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ , the following estimates hold:

$$2 \text{Re } t_V^{\pm}[u] \leq \tau_{\pm}[u] + 2 \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^m} + \varepsilon \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2,$$

and thus

$$2 \text{Re } t_V^{\pm}[u] + \tau_{\pm}[u] + 2 \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^m} + \varepsilon \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \geq 0.$$

Taking to account that

$$\text{Re } t_{\pm}[u] = \tau_{\pm}[u] + \text{Re } t_V^{\pm}[u]$$

we get the needed estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{\pm}^{(n)}[u] - t_{\pm}[u]| &\leq C_m \|V_n(x) - V(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^{-m}} \left( \|u\|_{H_{\pm}^m}^2 + \tau_{\pm}[u] \right) \leq \\ &\leq C_m \|V_n(x) - V(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^{-m}} \times \\ &\times \left( 2 \text{Re } t_V^{\pm}[u] + 2\tau_{\pm}[u] + 2 \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_{\pm}^m} + \varepsilon + 1/2 \right) \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= a_n \|u\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 + b_n \operatorname{Re} t_{\pm}[u],$$

where

$$a_n = 2 \left( C_m \|V_0(x)\|_{H_+^m} + 1 \right) \|V_n(x) - V(x)\|_{H_+^{-m}} \geq 0$$

and

$$b_n = 2C_m \|V_n(x) - V(x)\|_{H_+^{-m}} \geq 0$$

tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To complete the proof it suffices to apply [2] (Theorem VI.3.6).

Proposition 3 and Theorem IV.2.25 [2] together with Proposition 2, give Theorem 5.

**2.6. Proof of Theorem 6.** Let the operators — form-sums  $S(V)$ ,  $S_+(V)$ , and  $S_-(V)$  be given with  $V(x)$  a 1-periodic complex-valued distribution from the Sobolev spaces  $H_{\text{per}}^{-m}$  and  $H_{\pm}^{-m}$ , correspondingly.

For an arbitrary  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  let us consider the Sobolev spaces

$$H_{\text{per}}^s = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) e^{ik\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_{\text{per}}^s} < \infty \right\},$$

$$H_+^s = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(2k) e^{i2k\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_+^s} < \infty \right\},$$

$$H_-^s = \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(2k+1) e^{i(2k+1)\pi x} \mid \|f\|_{H_-^s} < \infty \right\}.$$

It should be remarked that

$$H_{\text{per}}^0 \equiv L_2(-1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad H_+^0 \equiv H_-^0 \equiv L_2(0, 1).$$

Set

$$H_{\text{per},+}^s := \left\{ f \in H_{\text{per}}^s \mid \widehat{f}(2k+1) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$H_{\text{per},-}^s := \left\{ f \in H_{\text{per}}^s \mid \widehat{f}(2k) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and thus

$$H_{\text{per}}^s = H_{\text{per},+}^s \oplus H_{\text{per},-}^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$I_{\pm}: H_{\pm}^s \ni f(x) \mapsto f(x) \in H_{\text{per},\pm}^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

be extension operators that extend the elements  $f(x) \in H_{\pm}^s$  defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$  to the elements  $f(x) \in H_{\text{per},\pm}^s$  defined on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . The operators  $I_{\pm}$  establish isometric isomorphisms between the spaces  $H_{\pm}^s$  and  $H_{\text{per},\pm}^s$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Further, let us consider the operators  $S(V)$ . Since the potentials  $V(x)$  are 1-periodic distributions from the space  $H_{\text{per}}^{-m}$ , i.e.,  $V(x) \in H_{\text{per},+}^{-m}$ , the operators  $S(V)$  are reduced by the space  $H_{\text{per},+}^{-m}$  [18] (Ch. IV, § 40). So, we have

$$S(V) = S_{\text{per},+}(V) \oplus S_{\text{per},-}(V), \quad (3)$$

where the operators  $S_{\text{per},\pm}(V)$  are defined on the Hilbert spaces  $H_{\text{per},\pm}^0$ . Taking into account that

$$H_+^s \stackrel{I_+}{\simeq} H_{\text{per},+}^s \quad \text{and} \quad H_-^s \stackrel{I_-}{\simeq} H_{\text{per},-}^s$$

for an arbitrary  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we conclude that the operators  $S_{\text{per},\pm}(V)$  and  $S_{\pm}(V)$  are unitary equivalent,

$$S_+(V) \stackrel{I_+}{\simeq} S_{\text{per},+}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad S_-(V) \stackrel{I_-}{\simeq} S_{\text{per},-}(V).$$

From the latter relations and decomposition (3), we obtain the need statement,

$$S(V) = S_+(V) \oplus S_-(V),$$

which implies

$$\text{spec}(S) = \text{spec}(S_+) \cup \text{spec}(S_-).$$

The proof of Theorem 6 is completed.

1. *Vladimirov V. S.* Generalized functions in mathematical physics (in Russian). – Moscow: Nauka, 1976. – 280 p.
2. *Kato T.* Perturbation theory for linear operators. – Berlin etc.: Springer, 1966. – 592 p. (Russian edition: Moscow: Mir, 1972. – 740 p.).
3. *Molyboga V.* Estimates for periodic eigenvalues of the differential operator  $(-1)^m \mathbf{d}^{2m} / \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}^{2m} + \mathbf{V}$  with V-distribution // *Meth. Funct. Anal. and Top.* – 2003. – **9**, № 2. – P. 163–178.
4. *Mikhailets V. A., Molyboga V. M.* Singular eigenvalues problems on the circle // *Ibid.* – 2004. – **10**, № 3. – P. 44–53.
5. *Mikhailets V. A., Molyboga V. M.* Uniform estimates for the semiperiodic eigenvalues of the singular differential operators // *Ibid.* – № 4. – P. 30–57.
6. *Kappeler T., Möhr C.* Estimates for periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with singular potentials // *J. Func. Anal.* – 2001. – **186**. – P. 62–91.
7. *Möhr C.* Schrödinger operators with singular potentials on the circle: spectral analysis and applications // *Thes. Univ. Zürich.* – 2001. – 134 p.
8. *Mikhailets V. A., Sobolev A. V.* Common eigenvalue problem and periodic Schrödinger operators // *J. Funct. Anal.* – 1999. – **165**, № 1. – P. 150–172.
9. *Hryniv R. O., Mykytyuk Ya. V.* 1-D Schrödinger operators with periodic singular potentials // *Meth. Funct. Anal. and Top.* – 2001. – **7**, № 4. – P. 31–42.
10. *Korotyaev E. L.* Characterization of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with periodic distributions // *Int. Math. Res. Not.* – 2003. – **37**. – P. 2019–2031.
11. *Djakov P., Mityagin B. S.* Instability zones of one-dimensional periodic Schrödinger and Dirac operators (in Russian) // *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* – 2006. – **61**, № 4. – P. 77–182.
12. *Berezansky Yu. M.* Expansions in eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators. – Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 1968. – **17**. – 809 p. (Russian edition: Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 1965. – 800 p.).
13. *Gorbachuk V. I., Gorbachuk M. L.* Boundary value problems for operator differential equations. – Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer, 1991. – 347 p. (Russian edition: Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 1984. – 283 p.).
14. *Reed M., Simon B.* Methods of modern mathematical physics: Vols 1–4. – V. 1. Functional analysis. – New York etc.: Acad. Press, 1972. – 400 p. (Russian edition: Moscow: Mir, 1977. – 359 p.).
15. *Mikhailets V. A., Molyboga V. M.* The perturbation of periodic and semiperiodic operators by Schwartz distributions (in Russian) // *Repts NAS Ukraine.* – 2006. – **7**. – P. 26–31.
16. *Mikhailets V. A., Molyboga V. M.* The spectral problems over the periodic classes of distributions (in Ukrainian). – Kyiv, 2004. – 46 p. – (Preprint / NAS Ukraine. *Inst. Math.*; 2004.10).
17. *Reed M., Simon B.* Methods of modern mathematical physics: Vols 1–4. – V. 2. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness. – New York etc.: Acad. Press, 1975. – 361 p. (Russian edition: Moscow: Mir, 1978. – 396 p.).
18. *Akhiezer N. I., Glazman I. M.* Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space. – New York: Dover Publ., Inc., 1993. – 218 p. (Russian edition: Moscow: Nauka, 1966. – 544 p.).

Received 30.03.2007