Збірник праць Ін-ту математики НАН України 2009, т.6, №2, 474-477

UDC 512.5/512.6

## V. M. Bondarenko

Institute of Mathematics, NAS, Kyiv E-mail: vit-bond@imath.kiev.ua

#### Yu. M. Pereguda

Korolyov military Institute of national aviation University, Zhytomyr

# On *P*-numbers of quadratic forms

In this paper we introduce P-numbers of quadratic forms over  $\mathbb R$  and study their properties.

In this paper, by a quadratic form we mean a quadratic form over the field of real numbers  $\mathbb R$ 

$$f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i z_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} f_{ij} z_i z_j.$$

The set of all such form is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ , and the set of all  $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $f_1, \ldots, f_n = 1$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .

Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_0$  and  $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We introduce the notion of the s-deformation of f(z) as follows:

$$f^{(s)}(z,a) = f^{(s)}(z_1, \dots, z_n, a) = az_s^2 + \sum_{i \neq s} z_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} f_{ij} z_i z_j,$$

where a is a parameter. Denote by  $F_{+}^{(s)}$  the set of all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the form  $f^{(s)}(z, b)$  is positive definite, and put

$$F_{-}^{(s)} = \mathbb{R} \setminus F_{+}^{(s)}.$$

In other words,  $b \in F_{-}^{(s)}$  iff there exists a nonzero vector

$$r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

## © V. M. Bondarenko, Yu. M. Pereguda, 2009

such that  $f^{(s)}(r_1,\ldots,r_n,b) \leq 0$ . Further, put

$$m_f^{(s)} = \sup \mathcal{F}_-^{(s)} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$$

(since  $x \in F_{-}^{(s)}$  implies  $y \in F_{-}^{(s)}$  for any y < x, this supremum is a limit point). We call  $m_f^{(s)}$  the s-th P-number of f(z).

**Proposition 1.** Let 
$$f(z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathcal{R}_0$$
. Then  
1)  $m_f^{(s)} \ge 0$ ;  
2)  $m_f^{(s)} = \infty$  if the form  
 $f_{-s}(z_1, ..., z_{s-1}, z_{s+1}, ..., z_n) = f(z_1, ..., z_{s-1}, 0, z_{s+1}, ..., z_n)$ 

is not positive definite.

Both these assertions follow easily from the definitions.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathcal{R}_0$  and let  $m_f^{(s)} \neq \infty$ . Then 1)  $m_f^{(s)} \in F_-^{(s)}$ , and consequently  $m_f^{(s)}$  is the greatest number of  $F_-^{(s)}$ .

2) the form  $f^{(s)}(z, m_f^{(s)})$  is non-negative definite;

*Proof.* 1) We may assume, without loss of generality, that s = n. Consider the matrix S(a) of the quadratic form  $f^{(n)}(z, a)$ :

$$S(a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & f_{12} & \dots & f_{1,n-1} & f_{1n} \\ f_{12} & 2 & \dots & f_{2,n-1} & f_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{1,n-1} & f_{2,n-1} & \dots & 2 & f_{n-1,n} \\ f_{1n} & f_{2n} & \dots & f_{n-1,n} & 2a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Demote by  $\Delta_k, k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , the principal  $k \times k$  minor of S(a)and by  $\Delta_{in}$  the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  minor of S(a) which is obtained from S(a) by deleting *i*th arrow and *n*th column. The determinant of S(a) is denoted by  $\Delta(a)$ . Then by the well-known formula,

$$\Delta(a) = 1/2[(-1)^{n+1}f_{1n}\Delta_{1n} + (-1)^{n+2}f_{2n}\Delta_{2n} + \cdots$$
$$\cdots + (-1)^{2n-1}f_{n-1,n}\Delta_{n-1,1n}] + a\Delta_{n-1},$$

whence

$$\Delta(a) = a\Delta_{n-1} + N \tag{*}$$

where  $N = 1/2[(-1)^{n+1}f_{1n}\Delta_{1n} + (-1)^{n+2}f_{2n}\Delta_{2n} + \dots + (-1)^{2n-1}f_{n-1,n}\Delta_{n-1,1n}].$ 

By assertion 2) of Proposition 1 the form  $f_{-n}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$  is positive definite (since  $m_f^{(n)} \neq \infty$ ). From Silvestr's criterion of positive definiteness of quadratic forms it follows that

$$\Delta_1 > 0, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1} > 0.$$

Further, from this criterion it follows that f(z, a) is positive definite if  $\Delta(a) > 0$ , and is not positive definite if  $\Delta(a) \le 0$ . Consequently (see (\*))

$$F_{-}^{(n)} = \{b \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Delta(b) \leq 0\}$$
$$= \{b \in \mathbb{R} \mid b\Delta_{n-1} \leq -N\}$$
$$= \{b \in \mathbb{R} \mid b \leq -N/\Delta_{n-1}\}.$$

So  $m_f^{(n)} = -N/\Delta_{n-1} \in F_-^{(n)}$ , as claimed.

2) The first proof. Suppose that  $f^{(s)}(z, m_f^{(s)})$  is not nonnegative definite. Then there is a vector  $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that  $f^{(s)}(r, m_f^{(s)}) = \alpha < 0$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < -\alpha$ . By continuity of f(z, a), there exist  $\delta_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f^{(s)}(r_1 + \mu_1, \dots, r_n + \mu_n, m_f^{(s)} + \mu) - f^{(s)}(r_1, \dots, r_n, m_f^{(s)})| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|\mu_i| < \delta_i$  for i = 1, ..., n and  $|\mu| < \delta$ . Put  $\mu_i = 0$  for i = 1, ..., n and fix  $0 < \mu_0 < \delta$ . Then

$$|f^{(s)}(r_1,\ldots,r_n,m_f^{(s)}+\mu_0)-\alpha|<\varepsilon.$$

It follows that  $f^{(s)}(r_1, \ldots, r_n, m_f^{(s)} + \mu_0) - \alpha < \varepsilon$ , whence

$$f^{(s)}(r_1,\ldots,r_n,m_f^{(s)}+\mu_0)<\varepsilon+\alpha<0.$$

So  $m_f^{(s)} + \mu_0 \in F_-^{(s)}$ , a contradiction to the definition of  $m_f^{(s)}$ . **The second proof.** Let s = n. It follows from the proof of assertion 1) (of this theorem) that  $\delta(m_f^{(n)}) = 0$ . Since

$$\Delta_1 > 0, \quad \dots, \quad \Delta_{n-1} > 0,$$

the form  $f^{(n)}(z, m_f^{(n)})$  is non-negative definite (see, for example, [1, P.322]). 

### References

[1] V. V. Voevodin Linear algebra. Moskow: Nauka, 1980, 400p. (in Russian).