# VOLODYMYR MASOL AND SVITLANA SLOBODYAN

# ON THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF THE NUMBER OF FALSE SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR RANDOM BOOLEAN EQUATIONS

The theorem on a normal limit  $(n \to \infty)$  distribution of the number of false solutions of a system of nonlinear Boolean equations with independent random coefficients is proved. In particular, we assume that each equation has coefficients that take value 1 with probability that varies in some neighborhood of the point  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; the system has a solution with the number of ones equals  $\rho(n)$ ,  $\rho(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . The proof is constructed on the check of auxiliary statement conditions which in turn generalizes one well-known result.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a system of equations over the field GF(2) consisting of two elements

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g_i(n)} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_k \le n} a_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{(i)} x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k} = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$
(1)

that satisfies condition (A).

Condition (A):

1) Coefficients  $a_{j_1...j_k}^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 < ... < j_k \leq n$ ,  $k = 1, ..., g_i(n)$ , i = 1, ..., N, are independent random variables that take value 1 with probability  $P\left\{a_{j_1...j_k}^{(i)} = 1\right\} = p_{ik}$  and value 0 with probability  $P\left\{a_{j_1...j_k}^{(i)} = 0\right\} = 1 - p_{ik}$ . 2) Elements  $b_i$ , i = 1, ..., N, are the result of the substitution of a fixed

2) Elements  $b_i$ , i = 1, ..., N, are the result of the substitution of a fixed n-dimensional vector  $\bar{x}^0$ , which has  $\rho(n) / n - \rho(n) /$ , components equal to one /zero/ into the left-hand side of the system (1).

3) Function  $g_i(n)$ , i = 1, ..., N, is nonrandom,  $g_i(n) \in \{2, ..., n\}$ , i = 1, ..., N.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifications. Primary 60C05, 15A52, 15A03.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  The nonlinear random Boolean equations, normal limit distribution, number of false solutions

Denote by  $\nu_n$  the number of false solutions of the system (1), i.e. the number of solutions of the system (1) different from the vector  $\bar{x}^0$ .

We are interested in the conditions under which the random variable  $\nu_n$  has a normal limit  $(n \to \infty)$  distribution.

### 2. Formulation of the theorem

**Theorem.** Let condition (A) hold, and moreover

$$[\lambda] = 2^m, \tag{2}$$

where m = n - N, [·] is the sign of the integral part,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2(1+\alpha+\omega)} \log_2 \frac{\rho(n)}{\varphi(n) \ln n}, \quad \varphi(n) > 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\lambda \to \infty,$$
 (4)

$$\lambda \left( \alpha \ln \alpha - \alpha - 1 \right) \to \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\omega\sqrt{\lambda} \to \infty \tag{6}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ ;

let for any arbitrary i, i = 1, ..., N, there exist a nonempty set  $T_i$  such that for all sufficiently large values of n

$$T_i \subseteq \{2, 3, ..., g_i(n)\}, \quad T_i \neq \emptyset,$$
  
$$\delta_{it}(n) \le p_{it} \le 1 - \delta_{it}(n), \quad t \in T_i;$$
(7)

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \left( \alpha + \omega \right) \lambda B \left( \rho(n) - 1, 1 \right) < \infty, \tag{8}$$

$$B(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left\{-2\sum_{t\in T_{i}} \delta_{it}(n)C_{X}^{t-Y}\right\};$$
$$(2 + (1 + \alpha + \omega)\ln 2)\lambda - \frac{\ln\lambda}{2} + \ln B\left(\varepsilon\varphi(n), 0\right) \to -\infty \quad (n \to \infty), \quad (9)$$

where  $\varepsilon = const$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ;

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \left( -\ln N + \ln B \left( \varepsilon \varphi(n), 1 \right) \right) < 0 \ (n \to \infty).$$
(10)

Then distribution function of the random variable  $\frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$  tends to the standard normal distribution function.

#### 3. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be random variables that take non-negative integer values. If

$$\max_{1 \le r \le T} \left| M(\xi)_r (M(\eta)_r)^{-1} - 1 \right| = \varepsilon_T < 1;$$
(11)

$$M(\eta)_r \le C\lambda_1^r, \ 1 \le r \le T, \tag{12}$$

where  $M(\zeta)_r$  denotes r-factorial moment of a random variable  $\zeta$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , then for an arbitrary t,  $0 \leq t \leq T - \alpha \lambda_1$  and  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$|P\left\{\xi \ge t\right\} - P\left\{\eta \ge t\right\}| \le \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi \max(1,\lambda_1-1)}} \left(\varepsilon_T e^{2\lambda_1} + \frac{1+\varepsilon_T}{\sqrt{2\pi \max(1,\alpha\lambda_1)}} \exp\left\{(t+\lambda_1-T)u(\alpha)\right\}\right), \quad (13)$$

where  $u(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1}(\alpha \ln \alpha - \alpha - 1)$  for  $2 > \ln \alpha > 0$ , and  $u(\alpha) = \ln \alpha - 1$  for  $\ln \alpha \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* By virtue of Bonferrony inequalities, for any arbitrary random variable  $\zeta$  that takes non-negative integer values, and for any arbitrary integers t > 0 and  $d \ge 0$ 

$$\sum_{r=t}^{t+2d+\beta} (-1)^{r+t} C_{r-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r!} M(\zeta)_r \le P\{\zeta \ge t\} \le \sum_{r=t}^{t+2d} (-1)^{r+t} C_{r-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r!} M(\zeta)_r, \quad (14)$$

where min  $(M(\zeta)_{t+2d+\beta}, M(\zeta)_{t+2d}) < \infty, \ \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$ . (Proof of relation (14) for  $\beta = -1, M(\zeta)_{t+2d-1} < \infty$  look, for example, in ([1], p.136, 223))

Let numbers t and T have identical parity. Then, using (14) for  $\beta = -1$ , we receive

$$P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\} \le \Gamma(T) + M(\xi)_T C_{T-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{T!},$$
(15)

$$P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\} \ge \Gamma(T) - M(\eta)_T C_{T-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{T!},$$
(16)

where  $\Gamma(T) = \sum_{r=t}^{T-1} (-1)^{r+t} C_{r-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r!} M(\eta)_r \left( \frac{M(\xi)_r}{M(\eta)_r} - 1 \right).$ 

If the difference  $P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\}$  is non-negative /non-positive/that we obtain

$$|P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\}| \le |\Gamma(T)| + \frac{1}{T!}C_{T-1}^{t-1}\max\left(M(\xi)_T, M(\eta)_T\right)$$
(17)

by virtue of conditions (15), (16). It is easy to check up that

$$\max\left(M(\xi)_T, M(\eta)_T\right) \le M(\eta)_T \left(1 + \left|\frac{M(\xi)_r}{M(\eta)_r} - 1\right|\right).$$
(18)

Using (17), (18) and conditions (11), (12), we obtain

$$|P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\}| \le \le C \left(\varepsilon_T \sum_{r=t}^{T-1} C_{r-1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r!} \lambda_1^r + (1+\varepsilon_T) \lambda_1^T \frac{1}{T!} C_{T-1}^{t-1}\right).$$
(19)

Hence

$$|P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\}| \le C\left(\frac{\lambda_1^t}{t!}e^{\lambda_1}\varepsilon_T + (1+\varepsilon_T)\frac{\lambda_1^{T-t}}{(T-t)!}\frac{\lambda_1^t}{t!}\right).$$
(20)

Below the following relations will be established for the integer  $u, u \ge 1$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_1^u}{u!} \le (2\pi \max(1, \lambda_1 - 1))^{-1/2} e^{\lambda_1};$$
(21)

for the integer N,  $N \ge \max(1, \alpha \lambda_1)$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_1^N}{N!} \le (2\pi \max(1, \alpha\lambda_1))^{-1/2} \exp\{(\lambda_1 - N)u(\alpha) - \lambda_1\},\tag{22}$$

where  $u(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1}(\alpha \ln \alpha - \alpha - 1)$  for  $2 > \ln \alpha > 0$ ,  $u(\alpha) = \ln \alpha - 1$  for  $\ln \alpha \ge 2$ .

Relations (20)–(22) prove (13), when t and T have identical parity.

Let now parameters t and T have different parity. Let us show, that we can obtain (17) in this case. Using (14) for some  $d \ge 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$  and t + 2d = T - 1, we receive

$$P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\} \le \Gamma(T) + M(\eta)_T \frac{1}{T!} C_{T-1}^{t-1},$$
(23)

$$P\{\xi \ge t\} - P\{\eta \ge t\} \ge \Gamma(T) - M(\xi)_T \frac{1}{T!} C_{T-1}^{t-1}.$$
(24)

By virtue of (23), (24), we obtain (17) similarly when we used inequalities (15) and (16).

To complete the proof of Lemma 1 it is, therefore, enough to establish (21) and (22).

Let us check (21). Indeed, it follows from Stirling formula that  $u! \geq (u/e)^u \sqrt{2\pi u}$ . Hence

$$\frac{\lambda_1^u}{u!} \le \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e}{u}\right)^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}}.$$
(25)

Let  $\varphi(u) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e}{u}\right)^u$  and let us show that

$$\max_{u \ge \lambda_1 - 1} \varphi(u) = \varphi(\lambda_1).$$
(26)

Indeed, the first derivative  $\varphi'(u) = \varphi(u)(\ln \lambda_1 - \ln u)$  and  $\varphi'(u) = 0$  at  $u = \lambda_1$ . Since the second derivative  $\varphi''(u) = \varphi(u)((\ln \lambda_1 - \ln u)^2 - u^{-1})$ 

is negative at  $u = \lambda_1$ ,  $\varphi''(\lambda_1) < 0$ , relation (26) holds. Using (26), we establish (21) for  $u \ge \max(1, \lambda_1 - 1)$ .

Let further  $1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1$ ; and let  $\psi(u) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e}{u}\right)^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}$ , then

$$\max_{1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1} \psi(u) = \psi(\lambda_1 - 1).$$
(27)

Indeed,

$$\psi'(u) = \psi(u)(\ln \lambda_1 - f(u)), \qquad (28)$$

where  $f(u) = \ln u + (2u)^{-1}$ .

Let us show that function f(u) takes its maximal value on an interval  $1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1$  at  $u = \lambda_1 - 1$ ,

$$\max_{1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1} f(u) = f(\lambda_1 - 1).$$
(29)

Indeed,  $f'(u) = u^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}u^{-2}$ , and f'(u) = 0 at  $u = \frac{1}{2}$ . At the same time  $f''(u)|_{u=\frac{1}{2}} = (-u^{-2} + u^{-3})|_{u=\frac{1}{2}} = 4$ . Therefore, function f(u) increases for  $u > \frac{1}{2}$  and (29) holds on the interval  $1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1$ . As a result we get

$$\psi'(u) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1.$$
 (30)

Indeed, taking into account (29),

$$\ln \lambda_1 - f(u) \ge \\ \ge \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{2(\lambda_1 - 1)} \ge \frac{1}{2(\lambda_1 - 1)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right) > 0$$
(31)

for  $\lambda_1 > 2$ . (Here the inequality  $\ln(1+x) > x - \frac{1}{2}x^2$  has been used for x > 0.)

Relations (28) and (31) prove (30). Estimate (30) allows, apparently, to conclude that equality (27) holds. With the help of (25) and (27) we find

$$\frac{\lambda_1^u}{u!} \le \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e}{\lambda_1 - 1}\right)^{\lambda_1 - 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\lambda_1 - 1)}} \le \frac{e^{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{2\pi(\lambda_1 - 1)}} \tag{32}$$

for  $1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1$ .

Estimate (32) proves (21) for  $1 \le u \le \lambda_1 - 1$ . Relation (21) is proved.

Let us check (22). With the help of Stirling formula and inequality  $\frac{\lambda_1}{N} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ , we can obtain

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda_1^N}{N!} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} e^{\lambda_1 (1 - \ln \alpha)} \times \\ \times \exp\left\{ \left( 1 - \ln \alpha + \frac{2 - \ln \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \right) (N - \lambda_1) \right\} \exp\left\{ -\lambda_1 (2 - \ln \alpha) \right\}.$$
(33)

By virtue of conditions  $N \ge \alpha \lambda_1$ ,  $2 - \ln \alpha > 0$ , and  $\alpha > 1$ , the right-hand side of the inequality (33) can be estimate as follows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} e^{\lambda_1 (1-\ln\alpha)} \exp\left\{ \left( 1 - \ln\alpha + \frac{2-\ln\alpha}{\alpha-1} \right) (N-\lambda_1) \right\} \times \exp\left\{ -\lambda_1 (2-\ln\alpha) \right\} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} e^{-\lambda_1} \exp\left\{ (\lambda_1 - N) \frac{\alpha \ln\alpha - \alpha - 1}{\alpha-1} \right\}.$$
(34)

From relations (33) and (34) the estimate (22) follows for  $\alpha < e^2$ .

Let now  $\alpha \geq e^2$ . Then

$$\frac{\lambda_1^N}{N!} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} e^{-\lambda_1} \exp\left\{-(N-\lambda_1)(\ln \alpha - 1)\right\}.$$

Relation (22) is proved for all  $\alpha > 1$ . Lemma 1 is proved. Lemma 2. Let X and Y be random variables that take non-negative integer values, and  $MX = \lambda^*$ . If for all  $r \leq (\alpha + \gamma)\lambda^*$ 

$$M(Y)_r \le C(\lambda^*)^r \tag{35}$$

with some constant C, and

$$\lambda^* \left( \alpha \ln \alpha - \alpha - 1 \right) \to \infty, \tag{36}$$

$$\gamma \ge 0, \tag{37}$$

$$\max_{1 \le r \le (\alpha+\gamma)\lambda^*} \left| M(X)_r (M(Y)_r)^{-1} - 1 \right| \frac{e^{2\lambda^*}}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}} \to 0$$
(38)

as  $\lambda^* \to \infty$ , then

$$\max_{0 \le t \le \gamma \lambda^*} |P\{X \ge t\} - P\{Y \ge t\}| \to 0 \ (\lambda^* \to \infty).$$
(39)

Proof. Assumptions (35) and (38) imply the conditions of Lemma 1, by virtue of which (13) holds for  $0 \leq t \leq \gamma \lambda^*$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . Using (36) and (37) it is easy to show that  $\exp\{(t + \lambda^* - (\alpha + \gamma)\lambda^*)u(\alpha)\} \to 0$  as  $\lambda^* \to \infty$  uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq \gamma \lambda^*$ . Taking into account (38), it follows from the last statement that the right-hand side of the inequality (13) tends to zero as  $\lambda^* \to \infty$  uniformly, for  $0 \leq t \leq \gamma \lambda^*$ . The left-hand side of the inequality (13) tends, therefore, to zero too for  $\lambda^*$  and t mentioned above, which proves, obviously, (39). Lemma 2 is proved.

**Remark.** The lemma 2 (for  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\gamma = 2$ ) follows from the lemma 3 in [2].

#### 4. Proof of the theorem

Let us show that under the conditions of the theorem we can use Lemma 2. Let the random variable Y in the mentioned lemma have a Poisson distribution with parameter  $2^m$ , while the distribution of the random variable X coincides with the distribution of the random variable  $\nu_n$ . Then  $M(Y)^r = 2^{mr}$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , while expectation  $M\nu_n$  can, by virtue of its explicit form obtained in [3], be presented in the following way

$$M\nu_n = 2^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \tilde{M},\tag{40}$$

where  $\exp \{-B(\rho(n) - 1, 1)\} \leq \tilde{M} \leq \exp \{B(\rho(n) - 1, 1)\}$ . Now condition (35) becomes

$$2^{mr} \le C(M\nu_n)^r. \tag{41}$$

It follows from (40) that inequality (41) holds true for  $r \leq (1 + \alpha + \omega)M\nu_n$ and

$$C \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)^{-(1+\alpha+\omega)M\nu_n} \exp\{(1+\alpha+\omega)B(\rho(n)-1,1)M\nu_n\}.$$
 (42)

By virtue of conditions (3), (8), and equality (40), the right-hand side of (42) is limited as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, it is possible to choose a limited constant  $C < \infty$  such that condition (35) holds true.

Further we note that under conditions (3)–(10) relation (38) is established in [4] for  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\omega = 1$ . For arbitrary  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  satisfying conditions of the theorem, verification of the relation (38) can be executed similarly.

By virtue of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\max_{0 \le t \le (1+\omega)\lambda^*} |P\{\nu_n \ge t\} - P\{Y \ge t\}| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
(43)

where  $\lambda^* = M\nu_n$  according to the notations introduced above. By virtue of (40) and conditions (3) and (8), the last equality allows to present  $\lambda^*$  as

$$\lambda^* = [\lambda] (1 + r(n)), \qquad (44)$$

where

150

$$r(n) = O\left(B(\rho(n) - 1, 1)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)$$
(45)

and  $r(n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

We can write relation (43) in the following way

$$\max_{-\sqrt{\lambda^*} \le l \le \omega \sqrt{\lambda^*}} \left| P\left\{ \frac{\nu_n - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}} \ge l \right\} - P\left\{ \frac{Y - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}} \ge l \right\} \right| \to 0, \ n \to \infty, \quad (46)$$

where  $l = \frac{t - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}}$ .

Let us show that distributions of the random variables  $\frac{\nu_n - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}}$  and  $\frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$  coincide as  $n \to \infty$ . Indeed,

$$\frac{\nu_n - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}} = \frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \eta_n, \tag{47}$$

where  $\eta_n = \frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left( O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{\lambda}\right) + O(r(n)) \right) - \frac{\lambda r(n) - \varepsilon(n)(1 + r(n))}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}}, \ [\lambda] = \lambda - \varepsilon(n), \ 0 \le \varepsilon(n) < 1.$ 

The random variable  $\eta_n$  tends in probability to zero as  $n \to \infty$ . Indeed, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$P\left\{\left|\eta_{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}M\left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\left|O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right| + \left|O\left(\sqrt{\lambda}r(n)\right)\right|\right)$$
(48)

and, by virtue of (3), (8), and (45), the right-hand side of (48) tends to zero as n increases, i.e.

$$P\{|\eta_n| > \varepsilon\} \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$
(49)

Relations (47), (49), and theorem ([5], p.157) prove that distributions of the random variables  $\frac{\nu_n - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}}$  and  $\frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$  coincide as  $n \to \infty$ . Similarly we can verify that distributions of  $\frac{Y - \lambda^*}{\sqrt{\lambda^*}}$  and  $\frac{Y - [\lambda]}{\sqrt{[\lambda]}}$  are the same as  $n \to \infty$ .

Thus, relation (46) can be written as

$$\max_{-\sqrt{\lambda^*} \le l \le \omega \sqrt{\lambda^*}} \left| P\left\{ \frac{\nu_n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \ge l \right\} - P\left\{ \frac{Y - [\lambda]}{\sqrt{[\lambda]}} \ge l \right\} \right| \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$
(50)

Finally we notice that the random variable  $\frac{Y-[\lambda]}{\sqrt{[\lambda]}}$  has the standard normal distribution as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . The theorem is proved.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Zubkov A.M., Sevastianov B.A., Chistyakov V.P., *The collection of problems in probability theory*, Moscow, Nauka, (1989). (in Russian)
- 2. Zubkov A.M., *Inequalities for transitions with prohibitions*, The mathematical collection, (1979), v.109 (151), no.4 (11), 491–532. (in Russian)
- Masol V.I., Moments of the number of solutions of system of random Boolean equations, Random Oper. and Stoch. Equations, (1993), vol. 1, no. 2, 171–179.
- Masol V.I., Slobodyan S.Y., On the convergence to the normal limit distribution of the number of false solutions of a system of nonlinear random Boolean equations, PT&MS, (2007), (Submitted). (in Ukrainian)
- Chistyakov V.P., Course of probability theory, Moscow, Nauka, (2003). (in Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, KYIV NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE. *E-mail address*: vimasol@ukr.net

DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, KYIV NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE. *E-mail address*: sv\_yaros@rambler.ru