

## On the kernels of higher $R$ -derivations of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]^*$

S. Kour

Communicated by A. P. Petravchuk

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables. In this article, we study the kernel of higher  $R$ -derivation  $D$  of  $A$ . It is shown that if  $R$  is a HCF ring and  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) \leq 1$  then  $A^D = R[f]$  for some  $f \in A$ .

### Introduction

Derivations of polynomial rings and their kernels have been the objects of great interest for a long time because of their connections with several conjectures and popular problems in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry. For a good collection of results on derivations of a polynomial ring containing a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ , one can refer to [3, 5–7].

Recently, the kernels of higher derivations have been studied by several mathematicians and many interesting and known results of derivations have been extended to higher derivations e.g. [5, 8]. Let  $R$  be a field. In [6], Nowicki and Nagata studied the structure of  $R$ -derivations of  $R[x_1, x_2]$ . They proved that the kernel of any non-trivial  $R$ -derivation of  $R[x_1, x_2]$  will be of the form  $R[f]$  for some  $f \in R[x_1, x_2]$ . The above result is generalised by Berson in [2] and by Kahoui in [3] to the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, x_2]$  over a unique factorization domain  $R$ . More precisely, they proved that if  $R$  is a unique factorization domain and  $d$  is a non trivial  $R$ -derivation of

---

\*This research is supported by DST-INSPIRE grant IFA-13/MA-30.

**2020 MSC:** 13N15, 13C99.

**Key words and phrases:** derivation, higher derivation, kernel of derivation.

$A = R[x_1, x_2]$ , then there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $A^d = R[f]$ . Nowicki in [7] observed that if  $R$  is a field and  $d$  is an  $R$ -derivation of  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^d) \leq 1$  then  $A^d = R[f]$  for some  $f \in A$ .

In [8], Wada studied the kernels of higher  $R$ -derivations of  $R[x_1, x_2]$  and  $R(x_1, x_2)$ , where  $R$  denotes a field. Later, Kojima and Wada in [5] extended the study of the kernels of higher  $R$ -derivations to the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, x_2]$  over a HCF (Highest Common Factor) ring  $R$ . They proved that if  $R$  is a HCF ring, then the kernel of any non-trivial higher  $R$ -derivation of  $R[x_1, x_2]$  will be of the form  $R[h]$  for some  $h \in R[x_1, x_2]$ . The above result on the kernels of higher derivations is same as Theorem 2.8 of Nowicki and Nagata [6] and Corollary 3.2 of Kahoui [3] in the context of ordinary derivation. There are many other interesting results on derivations which have been extended to higher derivations.

Let  $R$  be a HCF ring and let  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . In this article, we prove that if  $D$  is a non-trivial higher  $R$ -derivation on  $A$  with  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) \leq 1$ , then  $A^D = R[f]$  for some  $f \in A$ . Kojima and Wada's Theorem 1.1 in [5] can be obtained as a corollary to our result. We would also like to mention that our result is obtained by using the arguments similar to Proposition 4.8 of [1] and Theorem 1.1 of [5].

## 1. Preliminaries

Let us first recall some definitions and basic facts about higher derivation. Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra. Let  $F$  be an  $R$ -algebra containing  $A$  as  $R$ -subalgebra. Then a higher  $R$ -derivation on  $A$  into  $F$  is a set of  $R$ -linear homomorphisms  $D = \{D_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  from  $A$  to  $F$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $D_0$  is the identity map of  $A$ .
- 2) For any integer  $n \geq 0$  and for any  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$D_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i(a)D_j(b).$$

A higher  $R$ -derivation on  $A$  into  $F$  is called higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A$  (resp. a rational higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A$ ) if  $F = A$  (resp.  $F = \text{qt}(A)$ ). If  $D = \{D_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A$ , then  $D_1$  is a derivation of  $A$ . The kernel  $A^D$  of a higher  $R$ -derivation  $D = \{D_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is given by the set  $\{a \in A \mid D_i(a) = 0 \forall i \geq 1\} = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \ker D_i$ . It is easy to see that  $A^D$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . We say that  $D$  is a non trivial if  $A^D \neq A$ .

Let  $D = \{D_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a higher  $R$ -derivation on  $A$ . Then  $D$  defines an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_D : A \rightarrow A[[t]]$  given by  $\Phi_D(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} D_i(a)t^i$ .

$\Phi_D$  is called the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism associated to  $D$ . Clearly, the kernel  $A^D$  can be seen as the set  $\{a \in A \mid \Phi_D(a) = a\}$ . The  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_D$  is naturally extended to the  $k = qt(R)$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi : qt(A) \rightarrow qt(A)[[t]]$  by defining

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{\Phi_D(a)}{\Phi_D(b)} \quad \forall a, b \in A, b \neq 0.$$

The  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi$  defines a higher  $k$ -derivation  $\bar{D} = \{\bar{D}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  on  $qt(A)$  such that

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \bar{D}_i(x)t^i \quad \forall x \in qt(A).$$

Note that  $\bar{D}_i|_A = D_i \forall i \geq 0$ . The  $k$ -derivation  $\bar{D} = \{\bar{D}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called the extension of  $D$  to  $qt(A)$ . From the definition, it is clear that  $qt(A^D) \subseteq qt(A)^{\bar{D}}$ .

Let  $D$  be a higher  $R$  derivation of  $A$  and let  $A_k = k \otimes_R A$ , where  $k$  denotes the quotient field  $qt(R)$ . Note that the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_D : A \rightarrow A[[t]]$  can be extended to a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_k : A_k \rightarrow A_k[[t]]$  defined as  $\Phi_k(f/a) = \Phi_D(f)/a$  for  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $D_k$  be the higher  $k$ -derivation given by  $\Phi_k$ .

We first prove the following lemma which is similar to Lemma 2.4 of [5].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $D$  be a non-trivial higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) \leq n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k = qt(R)$  and let  $D_k$  be the higher  $k$ -derivation of  $A_k = k \otimes_R A$  defined by  $\Phi_k$ . Then  $A_k^{D_k} \cap A = A^D$  and  $qt(A^D) = qt(A_k^{D_k})$ . Suppose, if possible,  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) = n$ . Then  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) \leq \text{tr. deg}_k(A_k^{D_k})$  implies that  $\text{tr. deg}_k(A_k^{D_k}) = n$ . Now by Lemma 2.4 of [5],  $D_k$  is a trivial derivation. Therefore,  $D$  is a trivial derivation. □

## 2. Kernel of higher $R$ -derivation

In this section, we discuss the kernel of higher  $R$ -derivation of the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  over a HCF ring  $R$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a HCF ring and let  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables. Let  $D$  be a higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A$  with  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) \leq 1$ . Then  $A^D = R[f]$  for some  $f \in A$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the case where  $R$  is a field. In this case the argument goes along the lines of the proof of [7, Theorem 7.1.4], . Let  $\text{tr. deg}_R(A^D) = m$ . Clearly,  $m \leq 1$ . If  $m = 0$ , then  $A^D = R = R[1]$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $A^D$  is a Dedekind subring of  $A$ . Therefore, by Zaks' [9, Theorem 8], there exists  $f \in A \setminus R$  such that  $A^D = R[f]$ .

Now, we consider the case where  $R$  is a HCF ring. Let  $k = qt(R)$ . Then the higher  $R$ -derivation  $D$  can be extended to a higher  $k$ -derivation  $D_k$  on  $A_k = k \otimes_R A$ , associated with the ring homomorphism  $\Phi_k : A_k \rightarrow A_k[[t]]$ . Note that  $A_k^{D_k} \cap A = A^D$  and  $qt(A^D) = qt(A_k^{D_k})$ .

Since  $D_k$  is a higher  $k$ -derivation on  $A_k$  with  $\text{tr. deg}_k(A_k) \leq 1$ ,  $A_k^{D_k} = k[f]$  for some  $f \in A_k$ . If  $f \in k$ , then  $A_k^{D_k} = k$ . Therefore,  $A^D = A_k^{D_k} \cap A = k \cap A = R$ . Suppose  $f \in A_k \setminus k$ . Clearly,  $f \in A_k^{D_k}$ . Since  $k \subseteq A_k^{D_k}$ , we may choose  $f$  in  $A^D$ . Furthermore, we may assume that the constant term of  $f$  is zero. Now the rest of the argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.8 of [1].

Observe that we may assume the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $f$  as polynomial in  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  over  $R$  is one. If not, then let  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  be the coefficients of  $f$  and let  $r$  be the greatest common divisor of  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  ( It exists as  $R$  is a HCF ring). Then, we can write  $f = rf'$  and  $f' \in A^D$ .

Now recall that there exists a family  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of valuation rings such that  $R = \bigcap_\alpha V_\alpha$  and associated valuation maps are lattice homomorphisms. Observe that  $(r_1, \dots, r_m)V_\alpha = V_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Further, note that the valuation ring  $V_\alpha$  can be extended to a valuation ring  $V'_\alpha$  of  $A_k = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by defining the value of a polynomial to be the minimum of the values of its coefficients. Then  $x_i$ 's are units in  $V'_\alpha$  and the residues of the  $x_i$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $V'_\alpha$  are algebraically independent over the residue field of  $V'_\alpha$ . Since  $f$  has the constant term zero and  $(r_1, \dots, r_m)V_\alpha = V_\alpha$ , the residue of  $f$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $V'_\alpha$  is transcendental over the residue field of  $V_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $V'_\alpha$ -value of any polynomial in  $k[f]$  is the minimum of the values of its coefficients. Hence,  $a_1f + a_2f^2 + \dots + a_t f^t \in k[f] \cap A$ , implies that for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $a_i \in V_\alpha$ . Since  $R = \bigcap_\alpha V_\alpha$  we have  $k[f] \cap A = R[f] = A^D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** [5, theorem 1.1] *Let  $R$  be a HCF ring and let  $D$  be a non-trivial higher  $R$ -derivation of  $A = R[x_1, x_2]$ . Then there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $A^D = R[f]$ .*

## References

- [1] S.S. Abhyankar, W. Heinzer, P. Eakin, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J.Algebra., **23**, 1972, pp.310-342.

- [2] J. Berson, *Derivations on polynomial rings over a domain*, Master Thesis, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1999.
- [3] M. EL Kahoui, *Constants of derivations in polynomial rings over unique factorization domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132**, 2004, pp.2537-2541.
- [4] H. Kojima, *On the kernels of some higher derivations in polynomial rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra., **215**, 2011, pp.2512-2514.
- [5] H. Kojima, N. Wada, *Kernels of higher derivations in  $R[x, y]$* , Comm. Algebra., **39**, 2011, pp.1577-1582.
- [6] A. Nowicki, M. Nagata, *Rings of constants for  $k$ -derivations in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$* , J. Math. Kyoto Uni., **28**, 1988, pp.111-118.
- [7] A. Nowicki, *Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants*, Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika, 1994.
- [8] N. Wada, *Some results on the kernels of higher derivations on  $k[x, y]$  and  $k(x, y)$* , Colloq. Math., **122**, 2011, pp.185-189.
- [9] A. Zaks, *Interpre'tations algebrico-ge'ometriques du quatorzie'me proble'me de Hilbert*, Bull.Sci.Math., **78**, 1954, pp.155-168.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Surjeet Kour**Discipline of Mathematics, Indian Institute of  
Technology Delhi, India, 110016.*E-Mail(s)*: [surjeetkour@maths.iitd.ac.in](mailto:surjeetkour@maths.iitd.ac.in),  
[koursurjeet@gmail.com](mailto:koursurjeet@gmail.com)*Web-page(s)*: [sites.google.com/view/  
surjeetkour](https://sites.google.com/view/surjeetkour)Received by the editors: 17.08.2018  
and in final form 29.07.2020.