© Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Volume **29** (2020). Number 1, pp. 117–128 DOI:10.12958/adm1317

Linear groups saturated by subgroups of finite central dimension

N. N. Semko, L. V. Skaskiv, and O. A. Yarovaya

Communicated by I. Ya. Subbotin

Dedicated to Leonid Kurdachenko for his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). We say that G has a *dense family* of subgroups, having finite central dimension, if for every pair of subgroups H, K of G such that $H \leq K$ and H is not maximal in K there exists a subgroup L of finite central dimension such that $H \leq L \leq K$. In this paper we study some locally soluble linear groups with a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension.

Introduction

We recall that a group G that is isomorphic to a group of automorphisms of a vector space A over a field F is called a *linear group* and we denote the group of all such automorphisms by GL(F, A). If $\dim_F(A)$, the dimension of A over F, is finite, say n, then we say that G is a *finite dimensional* linear group and it is then well-known that GL(F, A) can be identified with the group of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in F. From the outset, finite dimensional linear groups have played an important role in group theory. This is partly due to the correspondence mentioned above, but also because of the rich interplay between geometrical and algebraic ideas associated with such groups.

2010 MSC: Primary 20E15, 20F16; Secondary 20E25, 20E34, 20F22, 20F50.

Key words and phrases: linear group, infinite group, infinite dimensional linear group, dense family of subgroups, locally soluble group, finite central dimension.

The study of the subgroups of GL(F, A) in the case when A is infinite dimensional over F has been much more limited and normally requires some additional restrictions. There is quite a large array of papers that show the effectiveness of applying various natural restrictions for the study of infinite dimensional linear groups (see survey articles [1–4]). One area that proved to be quite effective was the study of linear groups that has a very big family of subgroups, having finite central dimension.

Let G be a subgroup of GL(F, A) and $Z = C_A(G)$. It is not hard to see that a subspace Z is G-invariant and G acts trivially on Z. Therefore, we see that G actually acts on the quotient-space A/Z.

Let G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). Then the central dimension of G is a dimension of the quotient-space $A/\zeta_G(A)$. In particular, if $\dim_F(A/\zeta_G(A))$ is finite, then we will say that G has finite central dimension. According to the definition, linear groups, having finite central dimension, quite close to finite dimensional linear groups. Therefore, it was obvious to study the infinite dimensional linear groups, saturated by subgroups of finite central dimension. Among the works on this subject, we can highlight [1,5–10].

Among the restrictions that contributed a significant role in the study of both finite and infinite groups, we can highlight the restriction associated with the presence of one or another family of dense subgroups in a group. Let \mathcal{P} be a some property. We say that a group G has a *dense family of subgroups, having property* \mathcal{P} , if for every pair of subgroups H, K of Gsuch that $H \leq K$ and H is not maximal in K there exists a subgroup L, having property \mathcal{P} , such that $H \leq L \leq K$ (we can note that L can match with one of the subgroups H or K).

Groups with different natural dense family have been considered by many authors (see, for example, [11–20]).

In this paper we will apply this restriction for a study of infinite dimensional linear groups.

Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). We say that G has a *dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension*, if for every pair of subgroups H, K of G such that $H \leq K$ and H is not maximal in K there exists a subgroup L of finite central dimension such that $H \leq L \leq K$ (we can note that L can match with one of the subgroups H or K).

Note that infinite dimensional linear groups, whose proper subgroups have finite central dimension, has this property. Locally soluble groups, whose proper subgroups have finite central dimension, were studied in [5].

Therefore, in this paper, the study of linear groups with a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension, will be conducted under the additional condition of their local solvability.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a locally soluble subgroup of GL(F, A). Suppose that G has infinite central dimension. If G has a dense family of subgroups having finite central dimension, then G is a group of one of following types:

- (i) G is cyclic or quasicyclic p-group for some prime p;
- (ii) G = K × L where K is cyclic or quasicyclic p-group for some prime p and L is a group of prime order;
- (iii) $G = \langle a, b | |a| = 2^n, |b| = 2, a^b = a^t \text{ where } t = 1 + 2^{n-1}, n \ge 3 \rangle;$
- (iv) $G = \langle a, b | |a| = 2^n, |b| = 2, a^b = a^t$ where $t = -1 + 2^{n-1}, n \ge 3 \rangle$;
- (v) $G = \langle a, b | |a| = 2^n, |b| = 2, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle;$
- (vi) $G = \langle a, b | |a| = 2^n, b^2 = a^t$ where $t = 2^{n-1}, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$;
- (vii) $G = \langle a, b | |a| = p^n, |b| = p, a^b = a^t, t = 1 + p^{n-1}, n \ge 2 \rangle, p$ is an odd prime;
- (viii) $G = \langle a \rangle \land \langle b \rangle$, $|a| = p^n$ where p is an odd prime, |b| = q, q is a prime, $q \neq p$;
 - (ix) $G = B \setminus \langle a \rangle$, $|a| = p^n$, $B = C_G(B)$ is an elementary abelian qsubgroup, p and q are primes, $p \neq q$, B is a minimal normal subgroup of G;
 - (x) $G = K \setminus \langle b \rangle$, where K is a quasicyclic 2-subgroup, |b| = 2 and $x^b = x^{-1}$ for each element $x \in K$;
 - (xi) $G = K \langle b \rangle$, where $K = \langle a_n | a_1^p = 1, a_{n+1}^p = a_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is a quasicyclic 2-subgroup, $b^2 = a_1$ and $a_n^b = a_n^{-1}, n \ge 2$;
- (xii) $G = K \setminus \langle b \rangle$, where K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup, p is an odd prime, $K = C_G(K), |b| = q$ is a prime such that $p \neq q$;
- (xiii) $G = Q \times K$, where K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup, $Q = C_G(Q)$ is an elementary abelian q-subgroup, p, q are primes, $p \neq q, Q$ is a minimal normal subgroup of G.

1. Preliminary results

Lemma 1. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A).

(i) If H, K are two subgroups of G such that $H \leq K$ and K has finite central dimension, then H has finite central dimension.

- (ii) If H, K are two subgroups of G, having finite central dimension, then the both subgroups ⟨H, K⟩ and H ∩ K have finite central dimension.
- (iii) If G has finite central dimension and char(F) = p is a prime, then G includes a normal elementary abelian p-subgroup L such that G/L is isomorphic to some subgroup of a group GL(n, F) where $n = \dim_F(A/C_A(G)).$
- (iv) If G has finite central dimension and char(F) = 0, then G includes a normal abelian torsion-free subgroup L such that G/L is isomorphic to some subgroup of GL(n, F) where $n = \dim_F(A/C_A(G))$.

Proof. (i) If K has finite central dimension, then $C_A(K)$ has finite codimension in a vector space A. An inclusion $H \leq K$ implies that $C_A(K) \leq C_A(H)$. It follows that $C_A(H)$ has finite codimension in A.

(ii) Clearly $C_A(\langle H, K \rangle)$ and $C_A(H \cap K)$ includes $C_A(H) \cap C_A(K)$. Note that the last subspace has finite codimension in A. It follows that $\operatorname{codim}_F(C_A(\langle H, K \rangle))$ and $\operatorname{codim}_F(C_A(H \cap K))$ are finite.

(iii)–(iv) Let $L = C_G(A/C_A(G))$, then G/L is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(F, A/C_A(G)) \cong \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ where $n = \operatorname{centdim}_F(G)$. If $x \in L$, then x(a) = a + c for some element $c \in C_A(G)$. In other words, $x(a) - a = (x - 1)(a) \in C_A(G)$ for every element $a \in A$. If y is another element of L, then

$$(xy)(a) = x(y(a)) = x(a + (y - 1)(a)) = a + (x - 1)(a) + (y - 1)(a).$$

In a similar way we obtain that (yx)(a) = a + (y-1)(a) + (x-1)(a). Since it is true for every element a of A, xy = yx. If L is non-trivial, then for every element $1 \neq x \in L$ there is an element $d \in A$ such that $x(d) \neq d$. It follows that $d_1 = (x-1)(d) \neq 0$. We have

$$x^{2}(d) = x(x(d)) = x(d+d_{1}) = x(d) + d_{1} = d + d_{1} + d_{1} = d + 2d_{1}.$$

Using an ordinary induction, we obtain that $x^n(d) = d + nd_1$. Thus we can see that if $\operatorname{char}(F) = p$ is a prime, then $x^p = 1$. If $\operatorname{char}(F) = 0$, then $nd_1 \neq 0$ for all positive integer n, so that $x^n \neq 1$ for all positive integer n. This means that L is a torsion-free subgroup.

Corollary 1. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). If H, K are two subgroups of G such that $H \leq K$ and a subgroup H has infinite central dimension, then K has infinite central dimension.

Corollary 2. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). If G has a dense family of subgroups having finite central dimension, then every subgroup of G, having infinite central dimension, is maximal.

Lemma 2. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). Suppose that G includes the subgroups B, K satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) B is an infinite elementary abelian p-subgroup and K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup for some prime p;
- (ii) B is K-invariant;
- (iii) $B \cap K = \langle 1 \rangle$.

If G has a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension, then K has finite central dimension.

Proof. Being a soluble *p*-subgroup, BK is locally nilpotent. Since *B* is infinite, it includes a proper non-trivial *K*-invariant subgroup (see, for example, [21, Proposition 1.2.20]). It follows that *K* is a not maximal subgroup of BK. In this case there exists a subgroup *L*, having infinite central dimension, such that $K \leq L \leq BK$. Using Lemma 1 we obtain that *K* has finite central dimension.

Corollary 3. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). Suppose that G includes the subgroups B, K satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) B is an infinite elementary abelian p-subgroup and K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup for some prime p;
- (ii) B is K-invariant;
- (iii) $B \cap K$ is finite.

If G has a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension, then K has finite central dimension.

Proof. Indeed, a subgroup $B \cap K$ is K-invariant and $B/(B \cap K)$ is infinite, so that an intersection $B/(B \cap K) \cap K/(B \cap K)$ is trivial, and we can apply Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a subgroup of GL(F, A). Suppose that G includes the subgroups B, K satisfying the following conditions:

 (i) B is an infinite elementary abelian p-subgroup and K is a quasicyclic q-subgroup where p, q are primes and p ≠ q; (ii) B is K-invariant.

If G has a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension, then either K has finite central dimension or B is a minimal K-invariant subgroup.

Proof. Suppose that K has infinite central dimension. If we suppose that B includes a proper non-trivial K-invariant subgroup C, then a subgroup K is not maximal in BK. In this case there exists a subgroup L, having infinite central dimension, such that $K \leq L \leq BK$. Using Lemma 1 we obtain that K has finite central dimension. This contradiction shows that B is a minimal K-invariant subgroup.

Lemma 4. Let F be a field, A be a vector space over F, having infinite dimension, and G be a locally soluble subgroup of GL(F, A). Suppose that G includes a subgroup K, having infinite central dimension. If G has a dense family of subgroups, having finite central dimension, then K satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) K is quasicyclic or cyclic p-subgroup for some prime p;
- (ii) every proper subgroup of K has finite central dimension;
- (iii) K is a maximal subgroup of G.

Proof. Corollary 2 shows that K is a maximal subgroup of G. Hence if we suppose that K includes a proper subgroup H, having infinite central dimension, then from Corollary 2 we obtain that a subgroup of H must be maximal in G, what is impossible. This contradiction shows that every proper subgroup of K has finite central dimension. If K is infinite, then K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup for some prime p [5, Corollaries 5.4, 5.6]. Therefore consider a case when K is finite. Being finite, K is soluble. Then $D = [K, K] \neq K$. If we suppose that a set $\Pi(K/D)$ contains two different primes, then K is a product of two proper normal subgroups. In this case K has finite central dimension, as shows Lemma 1. Thus K/Dis a p-group for some prime p. If we suppose that K/D is not cyclic, then again K is a product of two proper normal subgroups, which follows that K has finite central dimension. This contradiction shows that K/D is a cyclic *p*-subgroup. Let x be an element of K such that $K/D = \langle xD \rangle$. Without loss of generality we may assume that x is a p-element. If we suppose that $\langle x \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of K, then an equality $K = \langle x \rangle D$ together with Lemma 1 imply, that K has finite central dimension. This contradiction proves that $K = \langle x \rangle$.

2. Proof of the main theorem

If G is infinite and every proper subgroup of G has finite central dimension, then G is a quasicyclic p-subgroup for some prime p [5, Corollaries 5.4, 5.6]. If G is finite and every proper subgroup of G has finite central dimension, then using the arguments from a proof of Lemma 4, we obtain that G is a cyclic p-subgroup for some prime p. In this case G is a group of type (i).

Suppose now that G includes a proper subgroup K, having infinite central dimension. Then Lemma 4 shows that K is a maximal subgroup of G, every proper subgroup of K has finite central dimension and K is a cyclic or quasicyclic p-subgroup for some prime p.

Consider first a case when $K = \langle a \rangle$ is a cyclic *p*-subgroup. Choose an element x such that $x \notin \langle a \rangle$. The fact that $\langle a \rangle$ is a maximal subgroup of G implies that $G = \langle a, x \rangle$. In particular, G is soluble. If ax = xa, then G is abelian. In this case it is not hard to prove that G is a group of type (ii). Therefore suppose that $xa \neq ax$. In this case G is non-abelian. Being soluble, G has a finite series of normal subgroups

$$\langle 1 \rangle = S_0 \leqslant S_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant S_n = G$$

whose factors are periodic abelian or torsion-free abelian, includes a normal abelian subgroup U. There exists a number k such that $S_k \leq \langle a \rangle$ but $\langle a \rangle$ does not include S_{k+1} . Then $G = \langle a, S_{k+1} \rangle$. If S_{k+1}/S_k is periodic, then S_{k+1} is periodic and therefore G is periodic. Being finitely generated and soluble, G is finite. Assume that S_{k+1}/S_k is torsion-free abelian. An equality $G = \langle a, S_{k+1} \rangle$ implies that S_{k+1}/S_k is finitely generated. Then $(S_{k+1}/S_k)^q = U/S_k$ for every prime q, so that $\langle a \rangle \neq \langle a, U \rangle \neq \langle a, S_{k+1} \rangle$, and we obtain a contradiction with the maximality of $\langle a \rangle$. This contradiction shows that G is finite. Consider a case when a subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ is normal in G. Then $|G/\langle a \rangle| = q$ is a prime. If q = p, then G is a p-group, having a maximal cyclic subgroup. The structure of such groups was described (see, for example, [22, §1, Theorem 1.2]), so we come to the groups of types (iii)–(vii).

Suppose that a subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ is not normal in G. Being finite and soluble, G has a finite series of normal subgroups

$$\langle 1 \rangle = S_0 \leqslant S_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant S_n = G$$

whose factors are elementary abelian and G-chief. There exists a number k such that $S_k \leq \langle a \rangle$ but $\langle a \rangle$ does not include S_{k+1} . Then $G = \langle a, S_{k+1} \rangle$.

If S_{k+1}/S_k is a *p*-group, then *G* is also *p*-group. But in finite *p*-group each maximal subgroup is normal, this case has been considered above. Thus S_{k+1}/S_k is an elementary abelian *q*-group, where $q \neq p$. Since $\langle a \rangle$ is a maximal subgroup, then either $N_G(\langle a \rangle) = \langle a \rangle$ or $N_G(\langle a \rangle) = G$. On the other hand, $\langle a \rangle$ is not normal, therefore a second case is impossible. An equality $N_G(\langle a \rangle) = \langle a \rangle$ implies that $G = B \geq \langle a \rangle$, where *B* is a normal Sylow *p'*-subgroup of *G* (see, for example, [23, Chapter 7, Theorem 4.3]), $\langle a \rangle$ is a *p*-group. Together with an equality $G = \langle a, S_{k+1} \rangle$ and the fact that S_{k+1}/S_k is a *G*-chief elementary abelian *q*-factor it follows that *B* is an elementary abelian *q*-subgroup and *B* is a minimal normal subgroup of *G*. It shows that *G* is a group of type (ix).

Consider now a case when K is a quasicyclic p-subgroup. Lemma 4 shows that K is a maximal subgroup of G. If $C_G(K) \neq K$, then $C_G(K) =$ G. In this case $K \leq \zeta(G)$, in particular, K is normal in G. Then G/K has prime order. In turn out, it follows that G is abelian. Since K is divisible, $G = K \times L$ (see, for example, [24, Theorem 21.2]), where $L \cong G/K$ is a group of prime order. Thus G is a group of type (ii).

Further we will suppose that $C_G(K) = K$. For a subgroup $N_G(K)$ we have also two possibility: $N_G(K) = G$ or $N_G(K) = K$. Consider a first case. Then K is maximal and normal in G, so that G/K is a group of prime order. An equality $C_G(K) = K$ shows that G/K is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$. We have $\operatorname{Aut}(K) = C \times J$ where |C| = 2 and J is an additive group of 2-adic integer, whenever p = 2, or $\operatorname{Aut}(K) = C \times J$ where C is a cyclic group of order p-1 and J is an additive group of p-adic integer, whenever p is an odd prime (see, for example, [25, Chapter 4, Theorem 6.5]). Thus either |G/K| = 2 whenever p = 2, or |G/K| = q is a prime, $q \neq p$ whenever p is an odd prime.

Suppose that G is a 2-group and G/K is a group of order 2. If G contains an element b of order 2 such that $b \notin K$, then $G = K \setminus \langle b \rangle$ and $x^b = x^{-1}$ for every element $x \in K$. In other words, G is a group of type (x). Suppose now that for every element $c \notin K$ the intersection $\langle c \rangle \cap K$ is non-trivial. We have

$$K = \langle a_n | a_1^p = 1, a_{n+1}^p = a_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle.$$

Let $n \ge 2$ and consider a subgroup $\langle a_n, c \rangle$. Then $\langle a_n, c \rangle$ has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. From the description of the structure of finite *p*-groups, having a maximal cyclic subgroup (see, for example, [22, §1, Theorem 1.2]), we obtain that $\langle a_n, c \rangle$ has an element *b* such that $b^2 = a_1$ and $a_n^b = a_n^{-1}$.

Thus we obtain that $G = K\langle b \rangle$, where $b^2 = a_1$ and $a_n^b = a_n^{-1}$ for all $n \ge 2$. Thus G is a group of type (xi).

Suppose now that K is a p-subgroup where p > 2. As we have seen above, in this case G/K is a group of prime order q and $q \neq p$. Then $G = K \ge \langle b \rangle$ (see, for example, [26, Theorem 2.4.5]), where b is an element of order q, so that G is a group of type (xii).

Suppose now that a subgroup K is not normal in G. Moreover, without loss of generality we may suppose that G does not include proper normal subgroups, having infinite dimension (this case was considered above). Since G is infinite and non-abelian, G includes a non-trivial proper normal subgroup H (see, for example, [21, Proposition 1.2.18]). Suppose that K does not include H, then G = HK. The fact that a subgroup H has finite central dimension means that $C_A(H)$ has finite dimension over F. The factor-group $H/C_H(A/C_A(H))$ is isomorphic to some subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ where $n = \dim_F(A/C_A(H))$. Being locally soluble, $H/C_H(A/C_A(H))$ is soluble (see, for example, [27, Corollary 3.8]). As we can see from the proof of Lemma 1, $C_H(A/C_A(H))$ is an abelian subgroup. It follows that H is also soluble. An equality G = HK and the fact that K is abelian imply that G is soluble. Then G has a finite series of normal subgroups

$$\langle 1 \rangle = S_0 \leqslant S_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant S_n = G$$

whose factors are abelian and G-chief. Moreover, we can assume that each factor S_{j+1}/S_j is either periodic or torsion-free. There exists a number k such that $S_k \leq K$ but K does not include S_{k+1} . Then $G = KS_{k+1}$.

Suppose first that S_{k+1}/S_k is periodic. If we assume that $\Pi(S_{k+1}/S_k)$ contains at least two primes, then $S_{k+1}/S_k = X_1/S_k \times X_2/S_k$ where the both subgroups X_1, X_2 are *G*-invariant, $S_k \neq X_1 \neq S_{k+1}, S_k \neq X_2 \neq S_{k+1}$. But in this case *K* is not maximal in *G*. This contradiction proves that S_{k+1}/S_k is a *q*-group for some prime *q*. If we suppose that $\Omega_1(S_{k+1}/S_k) \neq S_{k+1}/S_k$, then we again obtain a contradiction with a maximality of *K*. It shows that S_{k+1}/S_k is an elementary abelian *q*-group. If q = p, then *G* is a soluble *p*-group. In particular, *G* is locally nilpotent. But in a locally nilpotent group each maximal subgroup is normal (see, for example, [28, Theorem 18.1.3]), so that *K* is normal. This contradiction shows that $q \neq p$. An inclusion $S_k \leq K$ shows that in this case S_k is a normal finite Sylow *p*-subgroup of S_{k+1} . Then $S_{k+1} = S_k \setminus Q$ and every complement to S_k in S_{k+1} is conjugated with *Q* (see, for example, [26, Theorem 2.4.5]), where *Q* is a Sylow *q*-subgroup of *G*. An isomorphism $Q \cong S_{k+1}/S_k$ shows that Q is an elementary abelian q-subgroup. Since G/S_{k+1} is a p-group, S_{k+1} includes an arbitrary Sylow q-subgroup Q_1 of G. Being a maximal q-subgroup of G, Q_1 is a Sylow q-subgroup of S_{k+1} . An equality $S_{k+1} = QS_k$ shows that Q has finite index in S_{k+1} . Then Q includes a normal in S_{k+1} subgroup R, having finite index in S_{k+1} . An inclusion $Q_1 \leq S_{k+1}$ implies that RQ_1 is a q-subgroup. Then $RQ_1 = Q_1$, that is $R \leq Q_1$. Since S_{k+1}/R if finite, the set of all Sylow q-subgroups of G is finite. In this case all Sylow q-subgroup of G are conjugate (see, for example, [26, Lemma 2.3.2]). Then $N_G(Q)$ has finite index in G, so that index $|K : N_K(Q)|$ is finite. On the other hand, K does not include proper subgroups of finite index. It follows that $K = N_K(Q)$. An equality $G = KS_{k+1} = K(S_kQ) = KQ$ implies that Q is a normal subgroup of G, so that G is a group of type (xiii).

Suppose now that S_{k+1}/S_k is torsion-free. Let $d \in S_{k+1} \setminus S_k$, $D/S_k = \langle d \rangle^G S_k$. Then D/S_k is a normal torsion-free abelian subgroup. The fact that K is a maximal subgroup of G implies that DK = G. There exists a prime r such that $D_1/S_k = (D/S_k)^r \neq D/S_k$ [29, Theorem 1.15]. But then $K \neq D_1K \neq DK = G$, and we obtain a contradiction with a maximality of K. This contradiction shows that S_{k+1}/S_k can not be torsion-free.

Finally, suppose that K includes every proper normal subgroup of G. Then the fact that K is not normal in G implies that G has a finite maximal normal subgroup M. Being the chief factor of locally soluble group, G/M is abelian, moreover, it is either elementary abelian or torsion-free abelian (see, for example, [21, Proposition 1.2.18]). But then K/M is normal in G, and we obtain a contradiction. This finally contradiction proves a result.

References

- M.R. Dixon, L.A. Kurdachenko, *Linear groups with infinite central dimension*, Lond. Math. S., **339**, 2007, pp. 306–312.
- [2] M.R. Dixon, L.A. Kurdachenko, Abstract and linear groups with some specific restrictions, Proceedings of the "Meeting on Group Theory and its applications, on the occasion of Javier Otal's 60th birthday" (Zaragoza, June 10–11, 2011), Madrid, 2012, pp. 87–106.
- [3] M.R. Dixon, L.A. Kurdachenko, J.M. Muños-Escolano, J. Otal, Trends in infinite dimensional linear groups, Lond. Math. S., 387, 2011, pp. 271–282.
- [4] L.A. Kurdachenko, On some infinite dimensional linear groups, Note Mat., 30(1), 2010, pp. 21–36.

- [5] M.R. Dixon, M.J. Evans, L.A. Kurdachenko, *Linear groups with the minimal condition on subgroups of infinite central dimension*, J. Algebra, **277**(1), 2004, pp. 172–186.
- [6] L.A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal, I. Ya. Subbotin, *Linear groups with the maximal condition on subgroups of infinite central dimension*, Publ. Mat., **50**(1), 2006, pp. 103–131.
- [7] L.A. Kurdachenko, J.M. Muños-Escolano, J. Otal, Antifinitary linear groups, Forum Math., 20(1), 2008, pp. 27–44.
- [8] L.A. Kurdachenko, J.M. Muños-Escolano, J. Otal, Soluble linear groups with some restrictions on subgroups of infinite central dimension, Ischia Group Theory 2008, 2009, pp.156–173.
- [9] L.A. Kurdachenko, J.M. Muños-Escolano, J. Otal, N.N. Semko, Locally nilpotent linear groups with restrictions on their subgroups of infinite central dimension, Geometriae Dedicata, 138(1), 2009, pp. 69–81.
- [10] J.M. Muños-Escolano, J. Otal, N.N. Semko, Periodic linear groups with the weak chain conditions on subgroups of infinite central dimension, Commun. Algebra, 36(2), 2008, pp. 749–763.
- [11] A. Mann, Groups with dense normal subgroups, Israel J. Math., 6(1), 1968, pp. 13– 25.
- [12] S.N. Chernikov, Groups with a dense system of complemented subgroups, Some Questions in Group Theory (in Russian), Ins. Mat. Akad. Nauk Ukr. SSR, Kiev, 1975, pp. 5–29.
- [13] L.A. Kurdachenko, N.F. Kuzennyi, V.V. Pylaev, Infinite groups with a generalized dense system of subnormal subgroups, Ukr. Math. J., 33(3), 1981, pp. 313–316.
- [14] L.A. Kurdachenko, V.E. Goretskii, Groups with a dense system of almost normal subgroups, Ukr. Math. J., 35(1), 1983, pp. 37–40.
- [15] L.A. Kurdachenko, M.F. Kuzennyi, M.M. Semko, Groups with dense systems of infinite subgroups, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukr. SSR, Ser. A., 1985, pp. 7–9.
- [16] L.A. Kurdachenko, N.F. Kuzennyi, N.N. Semko, Groups with a dense system of infinite almost normal subgroups, Ukr. Math. J., 43(7–8), 1992, pp. 904–908.
- [17] N.N. Semko, On the construction of CDN[]-groups with elementary commutant of rank two, Ukr. Math. J., 49(10), 1997, pp. 1570–1577.
- [18] N.N. Semko, On the structure of CDN[]-groups, Ukr. Math. J., 50(9), 1998, pp. 1431–1441.
- [19] N.N. Semko, Structure of locally graded CDN(]-groups, Ukr. Math. J., 50(11), 1998, pp. 1750–1754.
- [20] N.N. Semko, Structure of locally graded CDN[)-groups, Ukr. Math. J., 51(3), 1999, pp. 427–433.
- [21] M.R. Dixon, L.A. Kurdachenko, I.Ya. Subbotin, Ranks of groups: the tools, characteristics, and restrictions, New Jersey, 2017.
- [22] Ya. Berkovich, Groups of prime power order, Vol. 1., Berlin, 2008.
- [23] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, New York, 1980.

- [24] L. Fuchs, Infinite abelian groups, Vol. 1., New York, 1970.
- [25] G. Karpilovsky, Field theory, New York, 1988.
- [26] M.R. Dixon, Sylow theory, formations and Fitting classes in locally finite groups, Singapore, 1994.
- [27] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Infinite linear groups, Berlin, 1973.
- [28] M.I. Kargapolov, Yu.I. Merzlyakov, Foundations of group theory, Moskow, 1982.
- [29] L.A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal, I.Ya. Subbotin, Groups with prescribed quotient groups and associated module theory, New Jersey, 2002.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Nikolaj N. Semko,	Department of Mathematics, University of
Lilia V. Skaskiv,	State Fiscal Service of Ukraine, Universytetska
Oksana	street 31, Irpin, Kyiv region, Ukraine
A. Yarovaya	E-Mail(s): dr.mykola.semko@gmail.com,
	lila_yonyk@ua.fm,
	yarovaoa@ukr.net

Received by the editors: 13.01.2019.