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## 1. OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS.

Consider such optimal control problem with an obstacle: to find $u(t) \in U=\{v$ : $v(t) \in L_{2}(0, T),|v(t)| \leq \xi$ for a.e. $\left.t \in[0, T]\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{\Omega}(y(x, t)-z(x))^{2} d x+\nu v^{2}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow \min \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(x, t)$ is the solution of variational inequality of parabolic type in [1-2]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(y_{t}(x, t)-\epsilon^{2} \triangle y(x, t)-g(x) v(t)\right)(y(x, t)-\psi(x))=0 \text { a.e.in } Q \\
y_{t}(x, t)-\epsilon^{2} \triangle y(x, t)-g(x) v(t) \geq 0 \\
y(x, t) \geq \psi(x) \text { a.e. in } Q  \tag{2}\\
y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), \text { a.e. in } \Omega, y(x, t)=0, \text { a.e. in } \Sigma
\end{gather*}
$$

here $Q=\Omega \times(0, T), \Sigma=\partial \Omega \times(0, T), \Omega \in R^{n}$-has compact closure and smooth (from $C^{\infty}$ ) (n-1)-dimensional boundary $\partial \Omega, z(x) \in L_{2}(\Omega), g(x) \in L_{q}(\Omega), y_{0}(x) \in$ $W_{0}^{2-2 / q, q}(\Omega), \psi(x) \in H^{2}(\Omega), \psi(x) \leq 0$ a.e. on $\partial \Omega, y_{0} \geq \psi(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega, q>\max (\mathrm{n}, 2)$, $0<\epsilon \ll 1, \nu=$ const $>0, \triangle$ is the Laplace operator.

The problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution $u$. Let $(y, u)$ be an pair from the problem (1)-(2). Then ([1]) there exists a function $p \in L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap B V\left([0, T] ; Y^{*}\right), Y=$ $H^{s}(\Omega) \bigcap H^{1}(\Omega), s>n / 2$ which satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-p_{t}-\epsilon^{2} \triangle p=y(x, t)-z(x) \text { a.e.in }\{(x, t): y(x, t)>\psi(x)\}, \\
p(x, t)=0, \text { a.e. in } \Sigma ; \\
p(x, t)\left(g(x) u(t)+\epsilon^{2} \triangle y\right)=0 \text { a.e.in }\{y=\psi\},  \tag{3}\\
p(x, T)=0 \text { a.e.in } \Omega, \\
u(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
-\xi, & (g, p(\cdot, t))-\nu \xi>0, \\
-\nu^{-1}(g, p(\cdot, t)), \\
\xi, & (g, p(\cdot, t))+\nu \xi<0,
\end{array}\right. \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(g, p(\cdot, t))=\int_{\Omega} g(x) p(x, t) d x$.

## 2. FORMAL ASYMPTOTICS.

We shall find the outer [6] decomposition of the solution of (2)-(4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(x, t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{i} \bar{y}_{i}(x, t) ; \bar{p}(x, t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{i} \bar{p}_{i}(x, t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

ASSUMPTION 1. Suppose $z(x), y_{0}(x), \psi(x), 0 \leq g(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \bullet$ Zero components of the decomposition (5) are defined like the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\bar{y}_{0_{t}}(x, t)-g(x) \bar{u}_{0}(t)\right)\left(\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)-\psi(x)\right)=0 \text { in } Q, \\
\bar{y}_{0_{t}}(x, t)-g(x) \bar{u}_{0}(t) \geq 0, \bar{y}_{0}(x, t) \geq \psi(x) \text { in } Q, \\
\bar{y}_{0}(x, 0)=y_{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega ;  \tag{6}\\
-\bar{p}_{0_{t}}=\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)-z(x) \text { in }\left\{(x, t): \bar{y}_{0}(x, t)>\psi(x)\right\}, \\
\bar{p}_{0}(x, t) g(x) \bar{u}_{0}(t)=0 \text { a.e. in }\left\{\bar{y}_{0}=\psi\right\}, \\
\bar{p}_{0}(x, T)=0 \text { in } \Omega, \\
\bar{u}_{0}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
-\xi, & \left(g, \bar{p}_{0}(\cdot, t)\right)-\nu \xi>0, \\
-\nu^{-1}\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}(\cdot, t)\right), \\
\xi, & \left(g, \bar{p}_{0}(\cdot, t)\right)+\nu \xi<0 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Introduce sets

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0}=\{(x, t): y(x, t) & =\psi(x) a . e .\}, Q_{+}=\{(x, t): y(x, t)>\psi(x) \text { a.e. }\},  \tag{8}\\
Q & =Q_{0} \bigcup Q_{+}, Q_{0} \bigcap Q_{+}=\emptyset
\end{align*}
$$

where $y(x, t)$ is the solution of (2)-(4).
Then $\bar{Q}_{0}, \bar{Q}_{+}$are their zeroth-order approximations.
ASSUMPTION 2. Suppose that $p(x, t)=0$ in $Q_{0}$ and let $Q_{0}$ be a cylinder in $R^{n+1}$ and its base be two-connected domain $\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}\right)$. Suppose that the outer boundary is equivalent to $\partial \Omega$ and the inner boundary ( $\partial \Omega$ ) possesses properties of the outer boundary

Then the correlations are fulfilled in $\bar{Q}_{+}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x \in \Omega_{0}, t \in T_{1}^{0}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\dot{\bar{y}}_{0}=-g(x) \xi, \\
-\dot{\bar{p}}_{0}=\bar{y}_{0}-z,\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}-\nu \xi>0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{9}\\
& \left(x \in \Omega_{0}, t \in T_{2}^{0}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\dot{\bar{y}}_{0}=g(x) \xi, \\
-\dot{\bar{p}}_{0}=\bar{y}_{0}-z,\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}+\nu \xi<0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{10}\\
& \left(x \in \Omega_{0}, t \in T_{3}^{0}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\dot{\bar{y}}_{0}=-\nu^{-1} g(x)\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}, \\
-\dot{\bar{p}}_{0}=\bar{y}_{0}-z,
\end{array}\right. \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} T_{i}^{0}, T_{i}^{0} \bigcap T_{j}^{0}=\emptyset, i \neq j
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the scalar products by $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$.
Let's go over to the problems for $\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0},\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}$ for the definition of zero components of the control switching moments

$$
\begin{align*}
& t \in T_{1}^{0}:\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_{0}\right)_{0}=-\|g\|_{0}^{2} \xi, \\
-\left(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_{0}\right)_{0}=\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0},\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}-\nu \xi>0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{12}\\
& t \in T_{2}^{0}:\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_{0}\right)_{0}=\|g\|_{0}^{2} \xi, \\
-\left(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_{0}\right)_{0}=\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0},\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}+\nu \xi<0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{13}\\
& t \in T_{3}^{0}:\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_{0}\right)_{0}=-\nu^{-1}\|g\|_{0}^{2}\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}, \\
-\left(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_{0}\right)_{0}=\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}, \tilde{y}_{0}=\bar{y}_{0}-z .
\end{array}\right. \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The problems (12)-(14) may be solved by dint of phase picture [3] which allows to define the control structure. Then two cases are possible: 1) phase point $\left(\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0},\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)\right)$ doesn't go on bounds, i.e. it belongs to set $P_{1}=\left\{0<\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0} \leq \nu \xi, \nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\right.$ $\left.\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}<\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}<\infty\right\} \bigcup\left\{-\nu \xi \leq\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}<0,-\infty<\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}<\nu^{-1 / 2} \times \times\|g\|_{0}\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}\right\} ; 2\right)$ phase point goes on bounds, i.e. it belongs to set $P_{2}=\left\{\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0} \geq \nu \xi\right.$, $\left.\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0} \leq\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}<\infty\right\} \bigcup\left\{-\nu \xi>\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0},-\infty<\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0} \leq \nu^{-1 / 2}\right.$ $\left.\|g\|_{0}\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}\right\}$. In the case 1) the solution has an appearance:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}=\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0} \operatorname{ch}\left(\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} \times\right.\right.  \tag{15}\\
\times(T-t))\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)\right)^{-1} \\
\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}=\nu^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}^{-1}\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0} \times \\
\times \operatorname{sh}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}(T-t)\right) \times \times\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

on condition that initial data satisfy the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0}, \nu^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}^{-1}\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0} \operatorname{th}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)\right\} \in P_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case 2) systems (12)-(13) have the solution in $0 \leq t \leq \tau_{0}$ ( $\tau_{0}$ is the moments of descent of control from limitation)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)=\mp \xi\|g\|_{0}^{2} t+\left(g, y_{0}-z\right)_{0}  \tag{17}\\
\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)= \pm \xi\left(\nu-1 / 2\|g\|_{0}^{2}\left[\tau_{0}^{2}-t^{2}\right]\right)+\left(g, y_{0}-z_{0}\right)_{0}\left(\tau_{0}-t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the segment $t \in\left(\tau_{0}, T\right]$ system (14) has the solution

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}= & \pm \xi \nu^{1 / 2}
\end{align*} \begin{array}{rl} 
& g \|_{0} \operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}(T-t)\right) \times  \tag{18}\\
& \times\left(\operatorname{sh}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(T-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \\
\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}= \pm \xi \nu s h\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} \times\right. \\
\times(T-t))\left(\operatorname{sh}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(T-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let's regard futher for definition that the condition

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0}, \xi\left(\nu-1 / 2\|g\|_{0}^{2} \tau_{0}^{2}\right)+\left(g, y_{0}-z\right)_{0} \tau_{0}\right\} \in P_{2} \bigcap  \tag{19}\\
\left\{\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0}>\nu \xi, \nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(g, \bar{p}_{0}\right)_{0} \leq\left(g, \tilde{y}_{0}\right)_{0}<\infty\right\},
\end{array}
$$

which guarantees uniqueness of the solution of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\xi\|g\|_{0}\left(\nu^{1 / 2} \operatorname{cth}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(T-\tau_{0}\right)\right)+\|g\|_{0} \tau_{0}\right)=\left(g, y_{0}-z\right)_{0}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. Thus in case 1 ) the couple ( $\bar{y}_{0}(x, t), \bar{p}_{0}(x, t)$ ) is fined from (11). In particular,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)=y_{0}(x)-g(x)\|g\|_{0}^{-2}\left(y_{0}-z, g\right)_{0}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)-\right.  \tag{21}\\
\left.-\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}(T-t)\right)\right)\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)\right)^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

The question about choice of domain $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$ is solved this way. Let $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ be a set from $\Omega$, which satisfies the condition $y_{0}(x)>\psi(x)$ and suppose that for any $x \in \tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}_{0}(x, T)>\psi(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled, at that the function $\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0} T\right)-\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}(T-t)\right)$ increases monotonically. Systems (9)-(11) are the conditions of optimality in the optimal control problem: find $\bar{u}_{0}(t) \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{\bar{\Omega}_{0}}\left(\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)-z(x)\right)^{2} d x+\right. \\
\left.\quad+\nu v^{2}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow \min
\end{gathered}
$$

by bounds

$$
\dot{\bar{y}}_{0}(x, t)=g(x) v(t), \bar{y}_{0}(x, 0)=y_{0}(x) .
$$

Let $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}_{0}$ be a system of expanded sets which belong to $\Omega$ and contain $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ (boundaries of the indicated sets have the properties of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ ). Then $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$ is the solution of the optimization problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{0}}\left(\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)-z(x)\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega / \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}_{0}}(\psi(x)-z(x))^{2} d x+\right.  \tag{23}\\
\left.+\nu\left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{0}} g(x) \bar{p}_{0}(x, t)\right)^{2}\right) d t \rightarrow \min
\end{array}
$$

by bound (16),(22), $\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)$ is given by the representation (21) and scalar products $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0}$ are calculated by $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ in all terms.

In case 2) the solution of (9),(11), continuous for $t \in[0, T]$ and smooth for $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$, is given by the couple $\left(\bar{y}_{0}(x, t), \bar{p}_{0}(x, t)\right)$. In particular, for $t \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right]$

$$
\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)=-\xi g(x) t+y_{0}(x),
$$

for $t \in\left(\tau_{0}, T\right]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)=-\xi g(x) \tau_{0}+y_{0}(x)+\xi \nu^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}^{-1} \times \\
\times g(x)\left(\operatorname{sh}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(T-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\operatorname { c h } \left(\nu^{-1 / 2} \times\right.\right.  \tag{24}\\
\left.\left.\times\|g\|_{0}(T-t)\right)-\operatorname{ch}\left(\nu^{-1 / 2}\|g\|_{0}\left(T-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The question about choice of domain $\Omega_{0}$ is solved by analogy with preceding case with next changes: the function (23) is minimized by bounds (19),(20),(22) and $\bar{y}_{0}(x, t)$ is given by representation (24). Let's supplement the solutions ( $\left.\bar{y}_{0}(x, t), \bar{p}_{0}(x, t)\right)$ on $\partial \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ by following boundary layer functions $\tilde{y}_{0}(\bar{t}, s, t), \tilde{p}_{0}(\bar{t}, s, t)[5,7]$.

Thereby the solution of (6)-(7) is constructed completely, i.e. zeroth components of decomposition (5) are fined.

ASSUMPTION 3. Suppose the problem's data such that the moment of the control switching $\tau_{0} \in(0, T)$ exists and $\partial \bar{\Omega}_{0}=\partial \Omega$

Let $\tau$ be a moment of the control descent from the bound of the initial problem. Let's to find it in the form of an asymptotic series

$$
\tau=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{j} \tau_{j} .
$$

The algorithm of the specification of the control switching moment is constructed in [4].
THEOREM. Let's suppose that the assumptions 1-3 are true and (19) takes place. Then the next inequalities hold

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\operatorname{grad}\left(y-y^{(N)}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}(Q)}+\left\|\operatorname{grad}\left(p-p^{(N)}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}(Q)} \leq C \epsilon^{N}, \\
\left\|y-y^{(N)}\right\|_{L_{2}(Q)}+\left\|p-p^{(N)}\right\|_{L_{2}(Q)} \leq C \epsilon^{N+1} \\
\left\|u-u^{(N)}\right\|_{L_{2}(0, T)} \leq C \epsilon^{N+1},\left|I(u)-I\left(u^{(N)}\right)\right| \leq C \epsilon^{2(N+1)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau^{N}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \epsilon^{i} \tau_{i}, y^{(N)}(x, t)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\bar{y}_{j}(x, t)+\tilde{y}_{j}(\bar{t}, s, t)\right) \epsilon^{j}, \\
p^{(N)}(x, t)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\bar{p}_{j}(x, t)+\tilde{p}_{j}(\bar{t}, s, t)\right) \epsilon^{j}, \\
u^{(N)}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\xi, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau^{N}, \\
-\nu^{-1}\left(g, p^{(N)}(., t)\right), \tau^{N} \leq t \leq T
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$
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