OPTIMAL CONTROL IN PARABOLIC SINGULAR PERTURBATED PROBLEM WITH OBSTACLE.

© Vladimir Y. Kapustyan

1. OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS.

Consider such optimal control problem with an obstacle: to find $u(t) \in U = \{v : v(t) \in L_2(0,T), | v(t) | \le \xi \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0,T] \}$ such that

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} (\int_{\Omega} (y(x,t) - z(x))^2 dx + \nu v^2(t)) dt \to min,$$
(1)

where y(x, t) is the solution of variational inequality of parabolic type in [1-2]

$$(y_t(x,t) - \epsilon^2 \Delta y(x,t) - g(x)v(t))(y(x,t) - \psi(x)) = 0a.e.inQ$$

$$y_t(x,t) - \epsilon^2 \Delta y(x,t) - g(x)v(t) \ge 0,$$

$$y(x,t) \ge \psi(x) \ a.e. \ in \ Q,$$

$$y(x,0) = y_0(x), \ a.e. \ in \ \Omega, \ y(x,t) = 0, \ a.e. \ in \ \Sigma;$$

$$(2)$$

here $Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$, $\Sigma = \partial \Omega \times (0,T)$, $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ -has compact closure and smooth (from \mathbb{C}^{∞}) (n-1)-dimensional boundary $\partial \Omega$, $z(x) \in L_2(\Omega)$, $g(x) \in L_q(\Omega)$, $y_0(x) \in W_0^{2-2/q,q}(\Omega)$, $\psi(x) \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\psi(x) \leq 0$ a.e. on $\partial \Omega$, $y_0 \geq \psi(x)$ a.e. in Ω , $q > \max(n,2)$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $\nu = \text{const} > 0$, Δ is the Laplace operator.

The problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution u. Let (y, u) be an pair from the problem (1)-(2). Then ([1]) there exists a function $p \in L_2(0,T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap BV([0,T]; Y^*)$, $Y = H^s(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$, s > n/2 which satisfies the following equations:

$$-p_{t} - \epsilon^{2} \Delta p = y(x,t) - z(x)a.e.in\{(x,t): y(x,t) > \psi(x)\},$$

$$p(x,t) = 0, \ a.e. \ in\Sigma;$$

$$p(x,t)(g(x)u(t) + \epsilon^{2} \Delta y) = 0a.e.in\{y = \psi\},$$

$$p(x,T) = 0a.e.in\Omega,$$

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} -\xi, \ (g,p(\cdot,t)) - \nu\xi > 0, \\ -\nu^{-1}(g,p(\cdot,t)), \\ \xi, \ (g,p(\cdot,t)) + \nu\xi < 0, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where $(g, p(\cdot, t)) = \int_{\Omega} g(x)p(x, t)dx$.

2. FORMAL ASYMPTOTICS.

We shall find the outer [6] decomposition of the solution of (2)-(4) in the form

$$\bar{y}(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{i} \bar{y}_{i}(x,t); \ \bar{p}(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{i} \bar{p}_{i}(x,t).$$
(5)

ASSUMPTION 1. Suppose z(x), $y_0(x)$, $\psi(x)$, $0 \le g(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \bullet$ Zero components of the decomposition (5) are defined like the solution of the problem

$$(\bar{y}_{0_{t}}(x,t) - g(x)\bar{u}_{0}(t))(\bar{y}_{0}(x,t) - \psi(x)) = 0 \text{ in } Q,$$

$$\bar{y}_{0_{t}}(x,t) - g(x)\bar{u}_{0}(t) \ge 0, \ \bar{y}_{0}(x,t) \ge \psi(x) \text{ in } Q,$$

$$\bar{y}_{0}(x,0) = y_{0}(x) \text{ in } \Omega;$$

$$-\bar{p}_{0_{t}} = \bar{y}_{0}(x,t) - z(x) \text{ in } \{(x,t) : \bar{y}_{0}(x,t) > \psi(x)\},$$

$$\bar{p}_{0}(x,t)g(x)\bar{u}_{0}(t) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \{\bar{y}_{0} = \psi\},$$

$$\bar{p}_{0}(x,T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$\bar{u}_{0}(t) = \begin{cases} -\xi, \ (g,\bar{p}_{0}(\cdot,t)) - \nu\xi > 0, \\ -\nu^{-1}(g,\bar{p}_{0}(\cdot,t)), \\ \xi, \ (g,\bar{p}_{0}(\cdot,t)) + \nu\xi < 0. \end{cases}$$
(7)

Introduce sets

$$Q_{0} = \{(x,t) : y(x,t) = \psi(x)a.e.\}, Q_{+} = \{(x,t) : y(x,t) > \psi(x)a.e.\},$$
(8)
$$Q = Q_{0} \bigcup Q_{+}, Q_{0} \bigcap Q_{+} = \emptyset,$$

where y(x, t) is the solution of (2)-(4).

Then \bar{Q}_0 , \bar{Q}_+ are their zeroth-order approximations.

ASSUMPTION 2. Suppose that p(x,t) = 0 in Q_0 and let Q_0 be a cylinder in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and its base be two-connected domain $(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0)$. Suppose that the outer boundary is equivalent to $\partial \Omega$ and the inner boundary $(\partial \Omega)$ possesses properties of the outer boundary \bullet

Then the correlations are fulfilled in \bar{Q}_+

$$(x \in \Omega_0, \ t \in T_1^0) : \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{y}}_0 = -g(x)\xi, \\ -\dot{\bar{p}}_0 = \bar{y}_0 - z, \ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 - \nu\xi > 0; \end{cases}$$
(9)

$$(x \in \Omega_0, \ t \in T_2^0) : \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{y}}_0 = g(x)\xi, \\ -\dot{\bar{p}}_0 = \bar{y}_0 - z, \ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 + \nu\xi < 0; \end{cases}$$
(10)

$$(x \in \Omega_0, \ t \in T_3^0) : \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{y}}_0 = -\nu^{-1} g(x)(g, \bar{p}_0)_0, \\ -\dot{\bar{p}}_0 = \bar{y}_0 - z, \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$T = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} T_i^0, \ T_i^0 \bigcap T_j^0 = \emptyset, \ i \neq j,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the scalar products by $\overline{\Omega}_0$.

Let's go over to the problems for $(g, \tilde{y}_0)_0$, $(g, \bar{p}_0)_0$ for the definition of zero components of the control switching moments

$$t \in T_1^0: \begin{cases} (g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_0)_0 = - \parallel g \parallel_0^2 \xi, \\ -(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_0)_0 = (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0, \ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 - \nu \xi > 0; \end{cases}$$
(12)

$$t \in T_2^0: \begin{cases} (g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_0)_0 = \|g\|_0^2 \xi, \\ -(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_0)_0 = (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0, \ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 + \nu \xi < 0; \end{cases}$$
(13)

$$t \in T_3^0: \begin{cases} (g, \dot{\tilde{y}}_0)_0 = -\nu^{-1} \parallel g \parallel_0^2 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0, \\ -(g, \dot{\bar{p}}_0)_0 = (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0, \quad \tilde{y}_0 = \bar{y}_0 - z. \end{cases}$$
(14)

The problems (12)-(14) may be solved by dint of phase picture [3] which allows to define the control structure. Then two cases are possible: 1) phase point $((g, \tilde{y}_0)_0, (g, \bar{p}_0))$ doesn't go on bounds, i.e. it belongs to set $P_1 = \{0 < (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 \le \nu\xi, \nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 < (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 < \infty\} \bigcup \{-\nu\xi \le (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 < 0, -\infty < (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 < \nu^{-1/2} \times \times \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0\}$; 2) phase point goes on bounds, i.e. it belongs to set $P_2 = \{(g, \bar{p}_0)_0 \ge \nu\xi, \nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 \le (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 < \infty\} \bigcup \{-\nu\xi > (g, \bar{p}_0)_0, -\infty < (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 \ge \nu\xi, \nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 \le (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 < \infty\} \bigcup \{-\nu\xi > (g, \bar{p}_0)_0, -\infty < (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 \le \nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0\}$. In the case 1) the solution has an appearance:

$$\begin{cases} (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 = (y_0 - z, g)_0 ch((\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 \times (T - t))(ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T))^{-1}, \\ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 = \nu^{1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0^{-1} (y_0 - z, g)_0 \times (y_0 + 1/2) \times (ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T))^{-1} \end{cases}$$
(15)

on condition that initial data satisfy the inclusion

$$\{(y_0 - z, g)_0, \nu^{1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0^{-1} (y_0 - z, g)_0 th(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T)\} \in P_1.$$
(16)

In the case 2) systems (12)-(13) have the solution in $0 \le t \le \tau_0$ (τ_0 is the moments of descent of control from limitation)

$$\begin{cases} (g, \tilde{y}_0) = \mp \xi \parallel g \parallel_0^2 t + (g, y_0 - z)_0, \\ (g, \bar{p}_0) = \pm \xi (\nu - 1/2 \parallel g \parallel_0^2 [\tau_0^2 - t^2]) + (g, y_0 - z_0)_0 (\tau_0 - t). \end{cases}$$
(17)

On the segment $t \in (\tau_0, T]$ system (14) has the solution

$$\begin{cases} (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 = \pm \xi \nu^{1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T-t)) \times \\ \times (sh(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T-\tau_0)))^{-1}, \\ (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 = \pm \xi \nu sh(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 \times \\ \times (T-t))(sh(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T-\tau_0)))^{-1}. \end{cases}$$
(18)

Let's regard further for definition that the condition

$$\{(y_0 - z, g)_0, \xi(\nu - 1/2 \parallel g \parallel_0^2 \tau_0^2) + (g, y_0 - z)_0 \tau_0\} \in P_2 \bigcap \{(g, \bar{p}_0)_0 > \nu \xi, \nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (g, \bar{p}_0)_0 \le (g, \tilde{y}_0)_0 < \infty\},$$
(19)

which guarantees uniqueness of the solution of equation

$$-\xi \parallel g \parallel_0 (\nu^{1/2} cth(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T - \tau_0)) + \parallel g \parallel_0 \tau_0) = (g, y_0 - z)_0,$$
(20)

is fulfilled. Thus in case 1) the couple $(\bar{y}_0(x,t), \bar{p}_0(x,t))$ is fined from (11). In particular,

$$\bar{y}_0(x,t) = y_0(x) - g(x) \parallel g \parallel_0^{-2} (y_0 - z, g)_0 (ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T) - -ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T - t))) (ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T))^{-1}.$$
(21)

The question about choice of domain $\overline{\Omega}_0$ is solved this way. Let $\widetilde{\Omega}_0$ be a set from Ω , which satisfies the condition $y_0(x) > \psi(x)$ and suppose that for any $x \in \widetilde{\Omega}_0$ the inequality

$$\bar{y}_0(x,T) > \psi(x) \tag{22}$$

is fulfilled, at that the function $ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 T) - ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_0 (T-t))$ increases monotonically. Systems (9)-(11) are the conditions of optimality in the optimal control problem: find $\bar{u}_0(t) \in U$ such that

$$I_0(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\int_{\bar{\Omega}_0} (\bar{y}_0(x,t) - z(x))^2 dx + \nu v^2(t)) dt \to \min$$

by bounds

$$\dot{\bar{y}}_0(x,t) = g(x)v(t), \bar{y}_0(x,0) = y_0(x).$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega}_0$ be a system of expanded sets which belong to Ω and contain $\tilde{\Omega}_0$ (boundaries of the indicated sets have the properties of the boundary $\partial\Omega$). Then $\bar{\Omega}_0$ is the solution of the optimization problem

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} (\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{0}} (\bar{y}_{0}(x,t) - z(x))^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega/\tilde{\Omega}_{0}} (\psi(x) - z(x))^{2} dx + \\
+ \nu (\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{0}} g(x)\bar{p}_{0}(x,t))^{2}) dt \to \min$$
(23)

by bound (16),(22), $\bar{y}_0(x,t)$ is given by the representation (21) and scalar products $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ are calculated by $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}_0$ in all terms.

In case 2) the solution of (9),(11), continuous for $t \in [0, T]$ and smooth for $x \in \overline{\Omega}_0$, is given by the couple $(\overline{y}_0(x, t), \overline{p}_0(x, t))$. In particular, for $t \in [0, \tau_0]$

$$\bar{y}_0(x,t) = -\xi g(x)t + y_0(x),$$

for $t \in (\tau_0, T]$

$$\bar{y}_{0}(x,t) = -\xi g(x)\tau_{0} + y_{0}(x) + \xi \nu^{1/2} \parallel g \parallel_{0}^{-1} \times g(x)(sh(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_{0} (T - \tau_{0})))^{-1}(ch(\nu^{-1/2} \times (24))) \times \|g \parallel_{0} (T - t)) - ch(\nu^{-1/2} \parallel g \parallel_{0} (T - \tau_{0}))).$$

The question about choice of domain $\overline{\Omega}_0$ is solved by analogy with preceding case with next changes: the function (23) is minimized by bounds (19),(20),(22) and $\overline{y}_0(x,t)$ is given by representation (24). Let's supplement the solutions $(\overline{y}_0(x,t),\overline{p}_0(x,t))$ on $\partial\overline{\Omega}_0$ by following boundary layer functions $\widetilde{y}_0(\overline{t},s,t), \widetilde{p}_0(\overline{t},s,t)$ [5,7].

Thereby the solution of (6)-(7) is constructed completely, i.e. zeroth components of decomposition (5) are fined.

ASSUMPTION 3. Suppose the problem's data such that the moment of the control switching $\tau_0 \in (0, T)$ exists and $\partial \overline{\Omega}_0 = \partial \Omega \bullet$

Let τ be a moment of the control descent from the bound of the initial problem. Let's to find it in the form of an asymptotic series

$$\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^j \tau_j.$$

The algorithm of the specification of the control switching moment is constructed in [4].

THEOREM. Let's suppose that the assumptions 1-3 are true and (19) takes place. Then the next inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} ||grad(y - y^{(N)})||_{L_2(Q)} + ||grad(p - p^{(N)})||_{L_2(Q)} &\leq C\epsilon^N, \\ ||y - y^{(N)}||_{L_2(Q)} + ||p - p^{(N)}||_{L_2(Q)} &\leq C\epsilon^{N+1}, \\ ||u - u^{(N)}||_{L_2(0,T)} &\leq C\epsilon^{N+1}, |I(u) - I(u^{(N)})| &\leq C\epsilon^{2(N+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{N} &= \sum_{i=0}^{N} \epsilon^{i} \tau_{i}, \ y^{(N)}(x,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} (\bar{y}_{j}(x,t) + \tilde{y}_{j}(\bar{t},s,t)) \epsilon^{j}, \\ p^{(N)}(x,t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N} (\bar{p}_{j}(x,t) + \tilde{p}_{j}(\bar{t},s,t)) \epsilon^{j}, \\ u^{(N)}(t) &= \begin{cases} -\xi, \ 0 \leq t \leq \tau^{N}, \\ -\nu^{-1} \ (g,p^{(N)}(.,t)), \ \tau^{N} \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

References

- 1. Barbu V., Optimal control Of variation inequalities, Pitman, London, 1984.
- 2. Barbu V., Analysis and control of nonlinear infinite dimensional systems, Academic Presspubl, Ins, 1993.
- 3. Boltyansky V.G., Mathematical methods of optimal control, Nauka, Moscow.
- Kapustyan V. Y., Asymptotics of locally boundedcontrol in optimal parabolic problems., Ukr. math. J. 48,N1, (1996), 50-56..
- Kapustyan V. Y., Asymptotics of control in optimal singular pertyrbated parabolic problems. Global bounds on control., Docl. AN (Russia), 333, N4, (1993), 428-431..
- 6. Nazarov S. A., Asymptotic solution of variation inequalities for linear operator with small parameter by senior derivatives., Izv. AN USSR. Series of math., 54, N4, (1990), 754-773..
- Vasil'eva A. B. and Butuzov V. F., Asymptotic methods in singular perturbations theory., Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow., 1990.

8 - a / 43, Pisargevsky str., 320005, Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine.