

UNIVERSAL BOUNDS FOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

© MAREK FILA

Bratislava, Slovakia; Trieste, Italy

1. Introduction.

In this survey we consider parabolic problems for which blow-up in finite time occurs for some initial data but global positive solutions may also exist. We present results on universal L^∞ -bounds for global positive solutions. These bounds will be of the form

$$u(x, t) \leq C(\tau), \quad x \in \Omega, t \geq \tau > 0,$$

where $C(\tau) > 0$ does not depend on initial data.

The first bound of this kind (see Section 2) was established in [FSW] for a semilinear parabolic equation on a bounded domain using a weighted Lebesgue space approach. An improvement of the result from [FSW] was given in [Q2] (see Section 3) for space-dimensions two and three. The method of [Q2] relies on scaling, energy and Hardy's inequality. Universal bounds for an equation in selfsimilar variables (in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N) were derived in [MS] (see Section 4) employing convolution Lebesgue spaces. For a degenerate parabolic equation, universal bounds were obtained in [S] (see Section 5) by energy estimates, interpolation inequalities and regularizing properties. The smoothing effect, scaling and energy estimates are used in [QS1] to establish universal bounds for the heat equation with nonlinear boundary conditions (see Section 6). The very interesting question of the blow-up rate of the constant $C(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ has been addressed in [QS2] (see Section 7).

2. Semilinear equation on a bounded domain.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with $p > 1$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

The study of boundedness of global solutions of this problem was initiated in [NST]. It was shown there that if Ω is convex, $u_0 \geq 0$ and $p < (N+2)/N$ then every global solution is uniformly bounded by a constant which depends on u_0 in a complicated way. (In particular, this constant depends on the shape of $u(\cdot, t_0)$ near $\partial\Omega$ for some

$t_0 > 0$). Let $p_s = (N + 2)/(N - 2)$ for $N > 2$ ($p_s = \infty$ if $N \leq 2$). Ni, Sacks and Tavantzis also proved in [NST] that global unbounded weak solutions exist for $p \geq p_s$, $N > 2$.

Slightly later, Cazenave and Lions [CL] derived a uniform a priori bound (depending on $\sup_{\Omega} |u_0|$) for global solutions if $(3N - 4)p < (3N + 8)$ and they proved that global solutions are bounded (without giving any information on the bound) when $p < p_s$. An a priori estimate for positive global solutions was established by Giga [G] when $p < p_s$. More recently, Quittner [Q1] has shown that an a priori bound holds for all global solutions provided $p < p_s$. The a priori bounds in [G] and [Q1] depend on $\sup_{\Omega} |u_0|$.

The question whether the global unbounded weak solutions found in [NST] are classical for all $t > 0$ was answered by Galaktionov and Vázquez [GV] in the radial case on a ball. The answer is positive if $p = p_s$ and negative for $p_s < p (< 1 + 6/(N - 10))$ if $N > 10$, u_0 radially decreasing. In fact, global classical solutions are bounded in the latter case.

It is easy to see that an a priori bound of the form

$$u(\cdot, t) \leq C(\sup_{\Omega} u_0, p, \Omega), \quad t \geq 0,$$

cannot hold for global positive solutions of (2.1) when $p \geq p_s$ and Ω is starshaped. Indeed, such an estimate would imply the existence of a positive steady state.

One of the main aims of [FSW] was to establish an a priori bound for global solutions of (2.1) which is **universal**, that is, **independent of u_0** :

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume $p > 1$, $(N - 1)p < N + 1$ and let $\tau > 0$. There exists a constant $C(\Omega, p, \tau) > 0$, independent of u , such that for all nonnegative global solutions u of (2.1), it holds*

$$\sup_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \leq C(\Omega, p, \tau) \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau. \quad (2.2)$$

In other words, Theorem 2.1 shows that there exists a global absorbing bounded set (after a positive time) for all global nonnegative trajectories of (2.1).

It is clear that (2.2) cannot hold for $\tau = 0$ since there are initial data u_0 arbitrarily large in the L^∞ -norm and such that the corresponding solutions are global. It is also obvious that there is no universal bound like (2.2) for global solutions which change sign because sign-changing stationary solutions can be arbitrarily large in the L^∞ -norm.

To prove (2.2) we use the smoothing effect for solutions of (2.1) in weighted Lebesgue spaces. Next we briefly describe both linear and local nonlinear theories in these spaces.

Let Ω be any bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N . We denote by $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ the Dirichlet heat semigroup on $L^2(\Omega)$. We denote by $\lambda_1 > 0$ the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and by $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1(x) > 0$ the corresponding eigenfunction, normalized by $\int_{\Omega} \varphi_1 = 1$. We also define the function $\delta(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

For any Borel measure μ on Ω , the spaces $L_{\mu}^q(\Omega)$ are defined in the usual way for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. In particular, we will consider the spaces $L_{\varphi_1}^q(\Omega)$ and $L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$, corresponding respectively to $\mu = \varphi_1(x) dx$ and $\mu = \delta(x) dx$.

It is clear that $L_{\varphi_1}^{\infty}(\Omega) = L_{\delta}^{\infty}(\Omega) = L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, the spaces $L_{\varphi_1}^q(\Omega)$ and $L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$, are endowed respectively with the norms

$$\|\phi\|_{q, \varphi_1} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\phi(x)|^q \varphi_1(x) dx \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_{q,\delta} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\phi(x)|^q \delta(x) dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since φ_1 and δ are bounded functions on Ω , we have $L_{\varphi_1}^q(\Omega) \subset L_{\varphi_1}^1(\Omega)$ and $L_{\delta}^q(\Omega) \subset L_{\delta}^1(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

When Ω has a smooth, say, C^2 boundary, it is well-known that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \delta(x) \leq \varphi_1(x) \leq c_2 \delta(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

It then follows that $L_{\varphi_1}^q(\Omega) = L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$ and that the two norms are equivalent.

The main result in the linear theory developed in [FSW] is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. ([FSW]) *Let $1 \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$ and $\alpha = \frac{N+1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})$. There exists $C = C(\Omega) > 0$ such that, for all $\phi \in L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$, it holds*

$$\|e^{t\Delta} \phi\|_{r,\delta} \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{q,\delta}, \quad t > 0.$$

The estimate from Theorem 2.2 is optimal.

THEOREM 2.3. ([FSW]) *Let $1 \leq q < r \leq \infty$ and $\alpha = \frac{N+1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})$. Let Ω be a smoothly bounded domain, and assume that there exists $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega$ coincides locally around x_0 with a hyperplane. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\phi \in L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$ and $C, \tau > 0$, such that*

$$\|e^{t\Delta} \phi\|_{r,\delta} \geq C t^{-\alpha+\epsilon}, \quad 0 < t < \tau.$$

Next we present the main results of the local nonlinear theory. In what follows, we assume that Ω is a (C^2) smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , and that

$$q_c = \frac{(N+1)(p-1)}{2}, \quad p > 1.$$

The problem (2.1) will be studied under the form of the (formally equivalent) integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (|u(s)|^{p-1} u(s)) ds. \quad (2.3)$$

THEOREM 2.4. ([FSW]) *Let $q > q_c$ and $q \geq 1$.*

(i) *For every $M > 0$, there exist $T = T(M) > 0$ and $K = K(M) > 0$ such that if $u_0 \in L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)$ with $\|u_0\|_{q,\delta} \leq M$, then there is a solution $u \in C([0, T]; L_{\delta}^q(\Omega))$ of (2.3) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C((0, T]; L_{\delta}^r(\Omega)), \quad q < r \leq \infty, \\ t^{\frac{N+1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|u(t)\|_{r,\delta} &\leq K, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad q \leq r \leq \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

This solution is unique in the class

$$C([0, T]; L_{\delta}^q(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}((0, T); L_{\delta}^{pq}(\Omega)).$$

(ii) If the maximal existence time $T^* = T^*(u_0)$ of the solution is finite, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|u(t)\|_{r,\delta} = \infty, \quad q \leq r \leq \infty.$$

More precisely, we have the lower estimates

$$\|u(t)\|_{r,\delta} \geq C(T^* - t)^{\frac{N+1}{2r} - \frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad 0 \leq t < T^*, \quad q \leq r \leq \infty.$$

THEOREM 2.5. ([FSW]) Let $q = q_c$ and $q > 1$.

(i) For every $u_0 \in L_\delta^q(\Omega)$, there exist $T = T(u_0) > 0$, $K = K(u_0) > 0$ and a solution $u \in C([0, T]; L_\delta^q(\Omega))$ of (2.3) satisfying

$$u \in C((0, T]; L_\delta^r(\Omega)), \quad q < r \leq \infty,$$

$$t^{\frac{N+1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r})} \|u(t)\|_{r,\delta} \leq K, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad q \leq r \leq \infty.$$

This solution is unique in the class

$$C([0, T]; L_\delta^q(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, T); L_\delta^r(\Omega)),$$

where $1 \leq r/p < q < r$.

(ii) If the maximal existence time $T^*(u_0)$ of the solution is finite, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|u(t)\|_{r,\delta} = \infty, \quad q < r \leq \infty.$$

(iii) If $\|u_0\|_{q,\delta}$ is sufficiently small, then $T^*(u_0) = \infty$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{q,\delta} = 0$.

The following result shows that the restriction $q \geq q_c$ is actually optimal for local existence, at least for some domains Ω .

THEOREM 2.6. ([FSW]) Let $1 \leq q < q_c$ (hence $p > 1 + 2/(N + 1)$), and assume that Ω satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. Then there exist initial data $u_0 \in L_\delta^q$, $u_0 \geq 0$, such that no local solution u of (2.3) exists with $u \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In what follows, C denotes various positive constants depending only on the indicated arguments.

We start from the classical eigenfunction's estimate of Kaplan [K]. Multiplying the first equation in (2.1) by φ_1 and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u(t) \varphi_1 + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega u(t) \varphi_1 = \int_\Omega u^p(t) \varphi_1. \quad (2.5)$$

By Jensen's inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u(t) \varphi_1 \geq \left(\int_\Omega u(t) \varphi_1 \right)^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega u(t) \varphi_1.$$

Since u exists globally, one then necessarily has

$$\int_{\Omega} u(t)\varphi_1 \leq C(\Omega, p) \equiv \lambda_1^{1/(p-1)}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Now integrating (2.5) in time over $(0, \tau/2)$, and using (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\tau/2} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi_1 &= \int_{\Omega} u(\tau/2)\varphi_1 - \int_{\Omega} u(0)\varphi_1 + \lambda_1 \int_0^{\tau/2} \int_{\Omega} u(t)\varphi_1 \\ &\leq C(\Omega, p, \tau) \equiv (1 + \lambda_1\tau/2)\lambda_1^{1/(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there exists some $\tau_1 \in (0, \tau/2)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p(\tau_1)\varphi_1 \leq \frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau/2} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi_1 \leq C(\Omega, p, \tau),$$

or in other words:

$$\|u(\tau_1)\|_{p,\delta} \leq C(\Omega, p, \tau).$$

Since by assumption $p < (N+1)/(N-1)$, p is thus supercritical, that is, $p > \frac{(N+1)(p-1)}{2}$. We then deduce from the smoothing property (2.4) that

$$\|u(\tau_2)\|_{\infty} \leq C(\Omega, p, \tau),$$

for some $\tau_2 \in (\tau_1, \tau)$. Since $(N+1)/(N-1) \leq (N+2)/(N-2)$, it is known from the result of Giga [G] (see also [Q1]) that $\|u(t)\|_{\infty}$ is bounded on $[\tau_2, \infty)$ by a constant depending only on $\|u(\tau_2)\|_{\infty}$ (and on Ω and p). The conclusion follows. \square

3. Semilinear equation on a bounded domain, $N \leq 3$.

THEOREM 3.1. ([Q2]) *Let $N \leq 3$, $p < p_s$ and $\tau > 0$. Then there exists $C = C(\Omega, p, \tau) > 0$ such that any global positive solution u of (2.1) satisfies*

$$\sup_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) \leq C(\Omega, p, \tau) \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.$$

Sketch of the proof. Due to the a priori bound from [G] (or [Q1]), it is sufficient to show that for any $\tau > 0$ there is $C = C(\Omega, p, \tau) > 0$ such that for any global positive solution u it holds that $\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C$ for some $t \in [0, \tau]$.

Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a sequence u_k of global positive solutions and $\tau > 0$ such that $\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} > k$ for any $t \in [0, \tau]$. For $t_k \in (0, \tau)$ denote

$$M_k = \max_{\Omega} u_k(t_k) = u_k(x_k, t_k), \quad \nu_k = M_k^{-(p-1)/2},$$

$$\Omega_k = \frac{1}{\nu_k}(\Omega - x_k), \quad B_R^k = \{x \in \Omega_k : |x| < R\},$$

$$w_k(x) = \frac{1}{M_k} u_k(x_k + \nu_k x, t_k)$$

$$\tilde{w}_k(x) = M_k^{-p} (u_k)_t(x_k + \nu_k x, t_k).$$

Using energy estimates and Hardy's inequality it is possible to show that there is a sequence $\{t_k\} \subset (0, \tau)$ such that

$$\int_{B_R^k} |\bar{w}_k(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.1)$$

for any $R > 0$. The function w_k satisfies $0 \leq w_k \leq 1 = w_k(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_k + w_k^p - \bar{w}_k &= 0, & x \in \Omega_k, \\ w_k &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{w_k\}$ is uniformly Hölder continuous on $B_{R/2}^k$ and (3.1) holds (the assumption $N \leq 3$ was used to prove these two facts), one can pass to the limit and obtain a positive solution of one of the following two problems:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w + w^p &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \Delta w + w^p &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{aligned}$$

But it is well known that neither of these problems has a positive solution if $p < p_s$. \square

It is an open problem whether (2.2) holds for global positive solutions of (2.1) if $p < p_s$ and $N > 3$.

4. Semilinear equation in \mathbb{R}^N in backward selfsimilar variables.

Consider now the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with $p > 1$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $T > 0$ we rescale the solution u which exists for $t \in [0, T)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{x - a}{\sqrt{T - t}}, & s &= -\log(T - t), \\ w_a(y, s) &= (T - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $w = w_a$ is defined in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (s_0, \infty)$, $s_0 = -\log T$, and it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} w_s = \Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + |w|^{p-1}w - \frac{1}{p-1}w, & y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad s > s_0, \\ w(y, s_0) = T^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_0(a + \sqrt{T}y), & y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Boundedness of global solutions of (4.2) is then equivalent to the boundedness of the function $(T - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(\cdot, t)|$. This fact was employed in [GK] in order to obtain results on the blow-up rate of u by showing that global solutions of (4.2) are bounded. The next theorem says that under some assumptions one has a universal bound away from $s = s_0$.

THEOREM 4.1. ([MS]) *Let $\tau > 0$, $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_0 \geq 0$ and assume one of the following:*

- (i) $p < 1 + \frac{2}{N}$,
- (ii) u_0 is radially symmetric and nonincreasing in $r = |x|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (N-2)p < N+2 & \quad \text{if } N \leq 3, \\ (N-2)p < N & \quad \text{if } N > 3. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is $C = C(\tau, p, N) > 0$ such that for any global solution w of (4.2) it holds that

$$w(\cdot, s) \leq C \quad \text{for } s \geq s_0 + \tau.$$

We present the main idea of the proof in the case (i). Multiplying the first equation in (4.2) by

$$\rho(y) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}}$$

and integrating we obtain

$$\rho * w(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(y, s) \rho(y) dy \leq (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad s \geq s_0. \quad (4.3)$$

This leads to the study of (4.2) in convolution Lebesgue spaces

$$L_{\rho, *}^q = \left\{ f \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|f\|_{q, \rho}^* = \left(\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x)|^q \rho(a-x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The result in the case (i) is a direct consequence of the bound (4.3) and of the smoothing property of (4.2) in these spaces. We remark that such a smoothing property does not hold in weighted Lebesgue spaces L_ρ^q .

5. Degenerate equation on a bounded domain.

In this section we discuss a universal bound for global weak solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m + u^p, & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

with $1 < m < p$ and $u_0^m \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

THEOREM 5.1. ([S]) *Assume that $p < m(1 + \frac{2}{N})$ if $N > 1$. Then for any $\tau > 0$ there is $C = C(\tau, \Omega, p, m)$ such that any global solution of (5.1) satisfies*

$$u(\cdot, t) \leq C \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.$$

To prove this result, first an a priori bound which depends on initial data is derived using energy and interpolation inequalities in a similar spirit as in [CL]. This a priori bound holds for

$$p < m + \frac{10m + 2}{3N - 4} \quad \text{if } N > 1.$$

Kaplan's eigenfunction method and smoothing properties of (5.1) yield then the universal bound.

6. Linear equation with a nonlinear boundary condition.

In [QS1], the following problem is considered:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - u, & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = u^p, & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where $p > 1$ and Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

THEOREM 6.1. ([QS1]) *Assume that either $p < 1 + \frac{1}{N}$ or $(N - 2)p < N$, $N \leq 3$. Then for every $\tau > 0$ there exists $C = C(\Omega, p, \tau)$ such that any global solution u of (6.1) satisfies*

$$u(\cdot, t) \leq C \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.$$

Again, an a priori bound which depends on $\sup_{\Omega} u_0$ is established first in [QS1]. This is achieved by a scaling argument in the spirit of [G]. The next step is a universal bound in $L^1(\Omega)$ for $t \geq 0$. The result in the case $p < 1 + 1/N$ follows then from an $L^1 - L^\infty$ -estimate. A modification of the method from [Q2] (cf. Section 3) yields the conclusion when $(N - 2)p < N$, $N \leq 3$.

7. Blow-up rate of the universal constant.

In this final section we discuss the initial blow-up rate or, in other words, the behavior of the universal constant C as $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 7.1. ([B], [MS]) *Assume $p > 1$, $0 < T < \infty$ and let u be a positive solution of*

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $u(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 < t < T$. Assume further that one of the following holds:

- (i) $(N - 1)^2 p < N(N + 2)$,
- (ii) $u(\cdot, t)$ is radially symmetric and nonincreasing in $r = |x|$ and $(N - 2)p < N + 2$, $N \leq 3$.

Then there is $C = C(p, N) > 0$ such that

$$u(x, t) \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < t < \frac{T}{2}.$$

Under the assumption (i), this result was established in [B] using Bernstein type gradient estimates, Aronson-Serrin Harnack inequalities and multiplier arguments. The result in [B] is in fact local in the sense that

$$u(x, t) \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \omega, \quad 0 < t < \frac{T}{2},$$

holds for positive solutions of

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $\omega \subset\subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The second part of Theorem 7.1 has been proved in [MS] by modifying the arguments from [Q2] (see Section 3).

THEOREM 7.2. ([QS2]) *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Then there are $c = c(\Omega, p) > 0$ and $\alpha = \alpha(p, N) > 0$ such that the constant C in Theorem 2.1 or 3.1 is of the form $C(\Omega, p, \tau) = c \max(\tau^{-\alpha}, 1)$. If $p < 1 + \frac{2}{N+1}$ then one can take $\alpha = (N + 1)/2$.*

THEOREM 7.3. ([QS2]) *Assume that $(N - 2)p < N$ or $p < p_s$, $N = 3$. Let u be a global solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + u^p - u, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with $p > 1$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Then there are $c = c(\Omega, p) > 0$ and $\alpha = \alpha(p, N) > 0$ such that $u(\cdot, t) \leq c \max(t^{-\alpha}, 1)$. If $p < 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ then one can choose $\alpha = N/2$.

REFERENCES

- [B] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, *Initial blow-up for the solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with source term* Equations aux dérivées partielles et applications, articles (Jacques-Louis Lions, eds.), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1998, pp. 189–198.
- [CL] T. Cazenave and P. L. Lions, *Solutions globales d'équations de la chaleur semilinéaires*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equations **9** (1984), 955–978.
- [FSW] M. Fila, Ph. Souplet and F.B. Weissler, *Linear and nonlinear heat equations in L^q_δ spaces and universal bounds for global solutions*, Math. Ann. **320** (2001), 87–113.
- [GV] V. A. Galaktionov and J. L. Vázquez, *Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), 1–67.
- [G] Y. Giga, *A bound for global solutions of semi-linear heat equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986), 415–421.
- [GK] Y. Giga and R.V. Kohn, *Characterizing blowup using similarity variables*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), 1–40.
- [K] S. Kaplan, *On the growth of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 327–330.
- [MS] J. Matos and Ph. Souplet, *Universal blow-up estimates and decay rates for a semilinear heat equation*, preprint.
- [NST] W. M. Ni, P. E. Sacks and J. Tavantzis, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, J. Differ. Equations **54** (1984), 97–120.

- [Q1] P. Quittner, *A priori bounds for global solutions of a semilinear parabolic problem*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **68** (1999), 195–203.
- [Q2] P. Quittner, *Universal bound for global positive solutions of a superlinear parabolic problem*, Math. Ann. **320** (2001), 299–305.
- [QS1] P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, *Bounds of solutions of parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions*, preprint.
- [QS2] P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, *Initial blow-up rates for a nonlinear heat equation*, preprint.
- [S] Ph. Souplet, *A priori and universal estimates for global solutions of superlinear degenerate parabolic equations*, preprint.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKÁ DOLINA
842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: fila@fmph.uniba.sk