

5. [ ] / // . -
2007. - 6. - : [http://www.russia-today.ru/2007/no\\_06/06\\_union.htm](http://www.russia-today.ru/2007/no_06/06_union.htm).
6. // . - 2004. - 3. - С. 115-126.
7. / . . . - . : , 2006. - 437 .
8. , / [ . - ] . - . ; - . , 2010. - 376 .

302 : 502.58:630.64(477)

«

»

*Strategy regulation of forest resources also does not meet the requirements of market-oriented wood resource relations, in particular with regard to the distribution of ownership of forest resources. Satisfaction of the state and the population in forest resources and their socio-ecological functions of the lack of parametrical characteristics of these functions are provided in insufficient, causing complications develop basic directions for further development and growth of industry wood resource areas of the country. The classification criteria of forest resources and the criteria for a comprehensive economic evaluation as the main indicators of the system get the maximum possible benefit from their use, capitalization of the different components of the forest and to establish their value. Disclosed uses of performance criterion as the basis of integrated assessment of different components of the forest, without which mean that they are effective reproduction and bringing to market conditions management.*

*Key words: complex economic assessment, forest resources, classification criteria, social and environmental functions of forests, differential rent.*



---

,  
 - , , .  
 , ,  
 ( ) .  
 ,  
 ( )  
 . ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 .  
 ( )  
 ( ) ,  
 , 2% .  
 ( , ) ,  
 .  
 .  
 ,  
 .  
 ,  
 - , -  
 ,  
 -  
 , ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 .  
 ,  
 ,







$- 1$  ,  $1^3$  ,  
 ( ) .  
 [4, 5].  
 ( ) ,  
 7]. [6,  
 ( ) ,  
 [7 , 8],

1. . . . . , 1979. – 40 .
2. . . . . « . . . . » / . . . . //
3. . . . . – 1967. – 8. – . 60–72. / . . . . //
4. . . . . – 1969. – 1. – . 5–9. ( . . . . )
5. . . . . , 2004. – 163 . / . . . . , . . . . . – . . . .
6. . . . . , 1969. – 152 . / . . . . , . . . . . – . . . . . , 2005. – . 4.
7. / . . . . . – . . . . . , 1998. – 44 . , . . . . , . . . . .
8. . . . . ] . – . . . . . , 2007. – 518 . / [ . . . . .

332.1 : 336.7 : 353.2 : 37.338.48

«

»,

*Served the changes that occurred in the socio-economic development of Ukraine. Been singled out tourism and recreation area that, on the one hand, has enormous resources for its development, and secondly, it is in the economy of our country is inadequate space .*

*Provision factors (objective and subjective) that causes this. In this paper, special attention is paid to the resources that are the basis of development of tourism and recreation industry. It is emphasized that almost all regions have both natural and cultural and historical resources. However, they are not used efficiently. Because the work is socio -economic mechanism that ensures efficient use of these resources. Among the elements of this mechanism serves such as the industry's transition to an innovative way of development, cluster approach and so on. Reported that the clustering of tourism and recreation improves quality tourist and recreational services, promotes the development of small business in this area, expanding opportunities to enter the i nternational market, increase the competitiveness of products, to accelerate sustainable socio -economic development.*

*Key words: recreation area, sustainability, efficiency, resources, ecology.*