

## ON THIN-COMPLETE IDEALS OF SUBSETS OF GROUPS ПРО ТОНКО-ПОВНІ ІДЕАЛИ НА ГРУПАХ

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a left-invariant lower family of subsets of a group  $G$ . A subset  $A \subset G$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -thin if  $xA \cap yA \in \mathcal{F}$  for any distinct elements  $x, y \in G$ . The family of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -thin subsets of  $G$  is denoted by  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *thin-complete*. The *thin-completion*  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the smallest thin-complete subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}_G$  that contains  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Answering questions of Lutsenko and Protasov, we prove that a set  $A \subset G$  belongs to  $\tau^*(G)$  if and only if for any sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of non-zero elements of  $G$  there is  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$\bigcap_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} g_0^{i_0} \dots g_n^{i_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Also we prove that for an additive family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  its thin-completion  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is additive. If the group  $G$  is countable and torsion-free, then the completion  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$  of the ideal  $\mathcal{F}_G$  of finite subsets of  $G$  is coanalytic and not Borel in the power-set  $\mathcal{P}_G$  endowed with the natural compact metrizable topology.

Нехай  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  – інваріантна зліва нижня сім'я підмножин групи  $G$ . Підмножина  $A \subset G$  називається  $\mathcal{F}$ -тонкою, якщо  $xA \cap yA \in \mathcal{F}$  для будь-яких різних елементів  $x, y \in G$ . Сім'я всіх  $\mathcal{F}$ -тонких підмножин  $G$  позначається як  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ . Якщо  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ , то  $\mathcal{F}$  називається тонко-повною. Тонким поповненням  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  сім'ї  $\mathcal{F} \in$  найменша тонко-повна підсім'я з  $\mathcal{P}_G$ , що містить  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Як відповідь на питання Луценка та Протасова доведено, що множина  $A \subset G$  належить сім'ї  $\tau^*(G)$  тоді і тільки тоді, коли для будь-якої послідовності  $(g_n)_{n \in \omega}$  ненульових елементів  $G$  існує  $n \in \omega$  таке, що

$$\bigcap_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} g_0^{i_0} \dots g_n^{i_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Також доведено, що для адитивної сім'ї  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  її тонке поповнення  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  є адитивним. Якщо група  $G$  злічenna та без скруту, поповнення  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$  ідеалу  $\mathcal{F}_G$  скінченних підмножин групи  $G$  є коаналітичним і не борелевим.

**1. Introduction.** This paper was motivated by problems posed by Ie. Lutsenko and I. V. Protasov in a preliminary version of the paper [1] devoted to relatively thin sets in groups.

Following [2], we say that a subset  $A$  of a group  $G$  is *thin* if for any distinct points  $x, y \in G$  the intersection  $xA \cap yA$  is finite. In [1] (following the approach of [3]) Lutsenko and Protasov generalized the notion of a thin set to that of a  $\mathcal{F}$ -thin set where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of subsets of  $G$ . By  $\mathcal{P}_G$  we shall denote the Boolean algebra of all subsets of the group  $G$ .

We shall say that a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is  
*left-invariant* if  $xF \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in G$ ;  
*additive* if  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;  
*lower* if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  for any  $A \subset B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;  
*an ideal* if  $\mathcal{F}$  is lower and additive.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a left-invariant lower family of subsets of a group  $G$ . A subset  $A \subset G$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -thin if for any distinct points  $x, y \in G$  we get  $xA \cap yA \in \mathcal{F}$ . The family of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -thin subsets of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ . It is clear that  $\tau(\mathcal{F})$  is a left-invariant lower family of subsets of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  will be called *thin-complete*.

Let  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  be the intersection of all thin-complete families  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  that contain  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is clear that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest thin-complete family containing  $\mathcal{F}$ . This family is called the *thin-completion* of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  has an interesting hierarchic structure that can be described as follows. Let  $\tau^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$  and for each ordinal  $\alpha$  put  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  be the family of all sets  $A \subset G$  such that for any distinct points  $x, y \in G$  we get  $xA \cap yA \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$ . So,

$$\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \tau(\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})), \quad \text{where} \quad \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}).$$

By Proposition 3 of [1],  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{\alpha < |G|^+} \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

The following theorem (that will be proved in Section 3) answers the problem of combinatorial characterization of the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  posed by Ie. Lutsenko and I. V. Protasov. Below by  $e$  we denote the neutral element of the group  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a left-invariant lower family of subsets of a group  $G$ . A subset  $A \subset G$  belongs to the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if for any sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \omega} \in (G \setminus \{e\})^\mathbb{N}$  there is a number  $n \in \omega$  such that*

$$\bigcap_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \{0, 1\}} g_0^{k_0} \dots g_n^{k_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We recall that a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is called *additive* if  $\{A \cup B : A, B \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . It is clear that the family  $\mathcal{F}_G$  of finite subsets of a group  $G$  is additive. If  $G$  is an infinite Boolean group, then the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau(\mathcal{F}_G)$  is not additive, see Remark 3 in [1]. For torsion-free groups the situation is totally different. Let us recall that a group  $G$  is *torsion-free* if each non-zero element of  $G$  has infinite order.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For a torsion-free group  $G$  and a left-invariant ideal  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  the family  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive for any limit ordinal  $\alpha$ . In particular, the thin-completion  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ .*

We define a subset of a group  $G$  to be *\*-thin* if its belongs to the thin-completion  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$  of the family  $\mathcal{F}_G$  of all finite subsets of the group  $G$ . By Proposition 3 of [1], for each countable group  $G$  we get  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau^{<\omega_1}(\mathcal{F}_G)$ . It is natural to ask if the equality  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}_G)$  can happen for some cardinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . If the group  $G$  is Boolean, then the answer is affirmative:  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^1(\mathcal{F})$  according to Theorem 1 of [1]. The situation is different for non-torsion groups:

**Theorem 1.3.** *If an infinite group  $G$  contains an Abelian torsion-free subgroup  $H$  of cardinality  $|H| = |G|$ , then  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) \neq \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}_G) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}_G)$  for each ordinal  $\alpha < |G|^+$ .*

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be proved in Sections 4 and 6, respectively. In Section 7 we shall study the Borel complexity of the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$  for a countable group  $G$ . In this case the power-set  $\mathcal{P}_G$  carries a natural compact metrizable topology, so we can talk about topological properties of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_G$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *For a countable group  $G$  and a countable ordinal  $\alpha$  the subset  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}_G)$  of  $\mathcal{P}_G$  is Borel while  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau^{<\omega_1}(\mathcal{F}_G)$  is coanalytic. If  $G$  contains an element of infinite order, then the space  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$  is coanalytic but not analytic.*

**2. Preliminaries on well-founded posets and trees.** In this section we collect the necessary information on well-founded posets and trees. A *poset* is an abbreviation from a *partially ordered set*. A poset  $(X, \leq)$  is *well-founded* if each subset  $A \subset X$  has a maximal element  $a \in A$  (this means that each element  $x \in A$  with  $x \geq a$  is equal to  $a$ ). In a well-founded poset  $(X, \leq)$  to each point  $x \in X$  we can assign the ordinal  $\text{rank}_X(x)$  defined by the recursive formula

$$\text{rank}_X(x) = \sup \{ \text{rank}_X(y) + 1 : y > x \},$$

where  $\sup \emptyset = 0$ . Thus maximal elements of  $X$  have rank 0, their immediate predecessors 1, and so on. If  $X$  is not empty, then the ordinal  $\text{rank}(X) = \sup \{ \text{rank}_X(x) + 1 : x \in X \}$  is called the *rank* of the poset  $X$ . In particular, a one-element poset has rank 1. If  $X$  is empty, then we put  $\text{rank}(X) = 0$ .

A *tree* is a poset  $(T, \leq)$  with the smallest element  $\emptyset_T$  such that for each  $t \in T$  the lower set  $\downarrow t = \{s \in T : s \leq t\}$  is well-ordered in the sense that each subset  $A \subset \downarrow t$  has the smallest element. A *branch* of a tree  $T$  is any maximal linearly ordered subset of  $T$ . If a tree is well-founded, then all its branches are finite.

A subset  $S \subset T$  of a tree is called a *subtree* if it is a tree with respect to the induced partial order. A subtree  $S \subset T$  is *lower* if  $S = \downarrow S = \{t \in T : \exists s \in S t \leq s\}$ .

All trees that appear in this paper are (lower) subtrees of the tree  $X^{<\omega} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X^n$  of finite sequences of a set  $X$ . The tree  $X^{<\omega}$  carries the following partial order:

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \leq (y_0, \dots, y_m) \quad \text{iff} \quad n \leq m \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = y_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i \leq n.$$

The empty sequence  $s_\emptyset \in X^0$  is the smallest element (the root) of the tree  $X^{<\omega}$ . For a finite sequence  $s = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in X^{<\omega}$  and an element  $x \in X$  by  $s \hat{\ } x = (x_0, \dots, x_n, x)$  we denote the concatenation of  $s$  and  $x$ . So,  $s \hat{\ } x$  is one of  $|X|$  many immediate successors of  $s$ . The set of all branches of  $X^{<\omega}$  can be naturally identified with the countable power  $X^\omega$ . For each branch  $s = (s_n)_{n \in \omega} \in X^\omega$  and  $n \in \omega$  by  $s|n = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})$  we denote the initial interval of length  $n$ .

Let  $\text{Tr} \subset \mathcal{P}_{X^{<\omega}}$  denote the family of all lower subtrees of the tree  $X^{<\omega}$  and  $\text{WF} \subset \text{Tr}$  be the subset consisting of all well-founded lower subtrees of  $X^{<\omega}$ .

In Section 7 we shall exploit some deep facts about the descriptive properties of the sets  $\text{WF} \subset \text{Tr} \subset \mathcal{P}_{X^{<\omega}}$  for a countable set  $X$ . In this case the tree  $X^{<\omega}$  is countable and the power-set  $\mathcal{P}_{X^{<\omega}}$  carries a natural compact metrizable topology of the Tychonoff power  $2^{X^{<\omega}}$ . So, we can speak about topological properties of the subsets  $\text{WF}$  and  $\text{Tr}$  of the compact metrizable space  $\mathcal{P}_{X^{<\omega}}$ .

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is *Polish* if  $X$  is homeomorphic to a separable complete metric space. A subset  $A$  of a Polish space  $X$  is called

- Borel* if  $A$  belongs to the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all open subsets of  $X$ ;
- analytic* if  $A$  is the image of a Polish space  $P$  under a continuous map  $f : P \rightarrow X$ ;
- coanalytic* if  $X \setminus A$  is analytic.

By Souslin's Theorem 14.11 [4], a subset of a Polish space is Borel if and only if it is both analytic and coanalytic. By  $\Sigma_1^1$  and  $\Pi_1^1$  we denote the classes of spaces homeomorphic to analytic and coanalytic subsets of Polish spaces, respectively.

A coanalytic subset  $X$  of a compact metric space  $K$  is called  $\Pi_1^1$ -*complete* if for each coanalytic subset  $C$  of the Cantor cube  $2^\omega$  there is a continuous map  $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow K$  such that  $f^{-1}(X) = C$ . It follows from the existence of a coanalytic non-Borel set in  $2^\omega$  that each  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete set  $X \subset K$  is non-Borel.

The following deep theorem is classical and belongs to Lusin, see [4] (32.B and 35.23).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a countable set.*

- (1) *The subspace  $\text{Tr}$  is closed (and hence compact) in  $\mathcal{P}_{X^{<\omega}}$ .*
- (2) *The set of well-founded trees  $\text{WF}$  is  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete in  $\text{Tr}$ . In particular,  $\text{WF}$  is coanalytic but not analytic (and hence not Borel).*

(3) For each ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the subset  $\text{WF}_\alpha = \{T \in \text{WF} : \text{rank}(T) \leq \alpha\}$  is Borel in  $\text{Tr}$ .

(4) Each analytic subspace of  $\text{WF}$  lies in  $\text{WF}_\alpha$  for some ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

**3. Combinatorial characterization of \*-thin subsets.** In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a left-invariant lower family of subsets of a group  $G$ . Theorem 1.1 trivially holds if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_G$  (which happens if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ ). So, it remains to consider the case  $G \notin \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $e$  be the neutral element of  $G$  and  $G_\circ = G \setminus \{e\}$ . We shall work with the tree  $G_\circ^{<\omega}$  discussed in the preceding section.

Let  $A$  be any subset of  $G$ . To each finite sequence  $s \in G_\circ^{<\omega}$  assign the set  $A_s \subset G$ , defined by induction:  $A_\emptyset = A$  and  $A_{s \cdot x} = A_s \cap xA_s$  for  $s \in G_\circ^{<\omega}$  and  $x \in G_\circ$ . Repeating the inductive argument of the proof of Proposition 2 [1], we can obtain the following direct description of the sets  $A_s$ :

**Claim 3.1.** For every sequence  $s = (g_0, \dots, g_n) \in G_\circ^{<\omega}$

$$A_s = \bigcap_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \{0,1\}} g_0^{k_0} \dots g_n^{k_n} A.$$

The set

$$T_A = \{s \in G_\circ^{<\omega} : A_s \notin \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a subtree of  $G_\circ^{<\omega}$  called the  $\tau$ -tree of the set  $A$ .

For a non-zero ordinal  $\alpha$  let  $-1 + \alpha$  be a unique ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $1 + \beta = \alpha$ . For  $\alpha = 0$  we put  $-1 + \alpha = -1$ . It follows that  $-1 + \alpha = \alpha$  for each infinite ordinal  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A set  $A \subset G$  belongs to the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$  if and only if its  $\tau$ -tree  $T_A$  is well-founded and has  $\text{rank}(T_A) \leq -1 + \alpha + 1$ .

**Proof.** By induction on  $\alpha$ . Observe that  $A \in \tau^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $T_A = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(T_A) = 0 = -1 + 0 + 1$ . So, Theorem holds for  $\alpha = 0$ .

Assume that for some ordinal  $\alpha > 0$  and any ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  we know that a set  $A \subset G$  belongs to  $\tau^\beta(G)$  if and only if  $T_A$  is a well-founded tree with  $\text{rank}(T_A) \leq -1 + \beta + 1$ . Given a subset  $A \subset G$  we should check that that  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if its  $\tau$ -tree  $T_A$  is well-founded and has  $\text{rank}(T_A) \leq -1 + \alpha + 1$ .

First assume that  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ . Then for every  $x \in G_\circ$  the set  $A \cap xA$  belongs to  $\tau^{\beta_x}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for some ordinal  $\beta_x < \alpha$ . By the inductive assumption, the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_{A \cap xA}$  is well-founded and has  $\text{rank}(T_{A \cap xA}) \leq -1 + \beta_x + 1$ .

If  $A \in \tau(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $T_A \subset \{s_\emptyset\}$  and  $\text{rank}(T_A) \leq 1 \leq -1 + \alpha + 1$ . So, we can assume that  $A \notin \tau(\mathcal{F})$ . In this case each point  $x \in G_\circ = G_\circ^1$  considered as the sequence  $(x) \in G^1$  of length 1 belongs to the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_A$  of the set  $A$ . So we can consider the upper set  $T_A(x) = \{s \in T_A : s \geq x\}$  and observe that the subtree  $T_A(x)$  of  $T_A$  is isomorphic to the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_{A \cap xA}$  of the set  $A \cap xA$  and hence  $\text{rank}(T_A(x)) = \text{rank}(T_{A \cap xA}) \leq -1 + \beta_x + 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(T_A) &= \text{rank}_{T_A}(s_\emptyset) + 1 = \left( \sup_{x \in G_\circ} (\text{rank}_{T_A}(x) + 1) \right) + 1 = \\ &= \left( \sup_{x \in G_\circ} \text{rank } T_A(x) \right) + 1 \leq \left( \sup_{x \in G_\circ} (-1 + \beta_x + 1) \right) + 1 \leq -1 + \alpha + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume conversely that the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_A$  of  $A$  is well-founded and has  $\text{rank}(T_A) \leq -1 + \alpha + 1$ . For each  $x \in G_\circ$ , find a unique ordinal  $\beta_x$  such that  $-1 + \beta_x = \text{rank}_{T_A}(x)$ .

It follows from

$$-1 + \beta_x + 2 = \text{rank}_{T_A}(x) + 2 \leq \text{rank}_{T_A}(s_\emptyset) + 1 = \text{rank}(T_A) \leq -1 + \alpha + 1$$

that  $\beta_x < \alpha$ . Since the subtree  $T_A(x) = T_A \cap \uparrow x$  is isomorphic to the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_{A \cap xA}$  of the set  $A \cap xA$ , we conclude that  $T_{A \cap xA}$  is well-founded and has  $\text{rank}(T_{A \cap xA}) = \text{rank}(T_A(x)) = \text{rank}_{T_A}(x) + 1 = -1 + \beta_x + 1$ . Then the inductive assumption guarantees that  $A \cap xA \in \tau^{\beta_x}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  and hence  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  by the definition of the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

Theorem 3.1 is proved.

As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following characterization proved in [1]:

**Corollary 3.1.** *A subset  $A \subset G$  belongs to the family  $\tau^n(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $n \in \omega$  if and only if for each sequence  $(g_i)_{i=0}^n \in G_\circ^{n+1}$  we get*

$$\bigcap_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \{0,1\}} g_0^{k_0} \dots g_n^{k_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Theorem 3.1 also implies the following explicit description of the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ , which was announced in Theorem 1.1:

**Corollary 3.2.** *For a subset  $A \subset G$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \in \tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ ;
- (2) the  $\tau$ -tree  $T_A$  of  $A$  is well-founded;
- (3) for each sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \omega} \in G_\circ^\omega$  there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $(g_0, \dots, g_n) \notin T_A$ ;
- (4) for each sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \omega} \in G_\circ^\omega$  there is  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$\bigcap_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \{0,1\}} g_0^{k_0} \dots g_n^{k_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**4. The additivity of the families  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ .** In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.2. Let  $G$  be an infinite group and  $e$  be the neutral element of  $G$ .

For a natural number  $m$  let  $2^m$  denote the finite cube  $\{0,1\}^m$ . For vectors  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in (G \setminus \{e\})^m$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in 2^m$  let

$$\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{x}} = g_1^{x_1} \dots g_m^{x_m} \in G.$$

A function  $f: 2^m \rightarrow G$  to a group  $G$  will be called *cubic* if there is a vector  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in (G \setminus \{e\})^m$  such that  $f(x) = \mathbf{g}^x$  for all  $x \in 2^m$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If the group  $G$  is torsion-free, then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > (n-1)^2$ , and a cubic function  $f: 2^m \rightarrow G$  we get  $|f(2^m)| > n$ .*

**Proof.** Assume conversely that  $|f(2^m)| \leq n$ . Consider the set  $B = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in 2^m : \sum_{i=1}^m k_i = 1\}$  having cardinality  $|B| = m > (n-1)^2$ . Since  $e \notin f(B)$ , we conclude that  $|f(B)| \leq |f(2^m)| - 1 \leq n-1$  and hence  $|B \cap f^{-1}(y)| \geq n$  for some  $y \in f(B)$ . Let  $B_y = B \cap f^{-1}(y)$  and observe that  $f(2^m) \supset \{e, y, y^2, \dots, y^{|B_y|}\}$  and thus  $|f(2^m)| \geq |B_y| + 1 \geq n+1$ , which contradicts our assumption.

Lemma 4.1 is proved.

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $c(n)$  be the smallest number  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each cubic function  $f: 2^m \rightarrow G$  we get  $|f(2^m)| > n$ . It is easy to see that  $c(n) \geq n$ . On the other hand, Lemma 4.1 implies that  $c(n) \leq (n-1)^2 + 1$  if  $G$  is torsion-free.

For a family  $\mathcal{F}$  and a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\bigvee_n \mathcal{F} = \{\cup A: A \subset \mathcal{F}, |A| \leq n\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a left-invariant lower family of subsets in a torsion-free group  $G$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we get*

$$\bigvee_n \tau(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tau^{c(n)-1} \left( \bigvee_m \mathcal{F} \right),$$

where  $m = n^{2^{c(n)}}$ .

**Proof.** Fix any  $A \in \bigvee_n \tau(\mathcal{F})$  and write it as the union  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \tau(\mathcal{F})$ . The inclusion  $A \in \tau^{c(n)-1} \left( \bigvee_m \mathcal{F} \right)$  will follow from Corollary 3.1 as soon as we check that

$$\bigcap_{x \in 2^{c(n)}} \mathbf{g}^x A \in \bigvee_m \mathcal{F}$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{g} \in (G \setminus \{e\})^{c(n)}$ . De Morgan's law guarantees that

$$\bigcap_{x \in 2^{c(n)}} \mathbf{g}^x \cdot \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) = \bigcup_{f \in n^{2^{c(n)}}} \bigcap_{x \in 2^{c(n)}} \mathbf{g}^x A_{f(x)}.$$

So, the proof will be complete as soon as we check that for every function  $f: 2^{c(n)} \rightarrow n$  the set  $\bigcap_{x \in 2^{c(n)}} \mathbf{g}^x A_{f(x)}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . The vector  $\mathbf{g} \in (G \setminus \{e\})^{c(n)}$  induces the cubic function  $g: 2^{c(n)} \rightarrow G$ ,  $g: x \mapsto \mathbf{g}^x$ . The definition of the function  $c(n)$  guarantees that  $|g(2^{c(n)})| > n$ . The function  $f: 2^{c(n)} \rightarrow n$  can be thought as a coloring of the cube  $2^{c(n)}$  into  $n$  colors. Since  $|g(2^{c(n)})| > n$ , there are two points  $y, z \in 2^{c(n)}$  colored by the same color such that  $g(y) \neq g(z)$ . Then  $\mathbf{g}^y = g(y) \neq g(z) = \mathbf{g}^z$  but  $f(y) = f(z) = k$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Consequently,

$$\bigcap_{x \in 2^{c(n)}} \mathbf{g}^x A_{f(x)} \subset \mathbf{g}^y A_k \cap \mathbf{g}^z A_k \in \mathcal{F}$$

because the set  $A_k \in \tau(\mathcal{F})$ .

Lemma 4.2 is proved.

Now consider the function  $c: \mathbb{N} \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$  defined recursively as  $c(n, 0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c(n, k+1) = c(n) - 1 + c(n^{2^{c(n)}}, k)$  for  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \omega$ . Observe that  $c(n, 1) = c(n) - 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If the group  $G$  is torsion-free and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is a left-invariant ideal, then*

$$\bigvee_n \tau^k(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tau^{c(n,k)}(\mathcal{F})$$

for all pairs  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \omega$ .

**Proof.** By induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$  the equality  $\bigvee_n \tau^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} = \tau^{c(n,0)}(\mathcal{F})$  holds because  $\mathcal{F}$  is additive.

Assume that Lemma is true for some  $k \in \omega$ . By Lemma 4.2 and by the inductive assumption, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_n \tau^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}) &= \bigvee_n \tau(\tau^k(\mathcal{F})) \subset \tau^{c(n)-1} \left( \bigvee_{n^{2^{c(n)}}} \tau^k(\mathcal{F}) \right) \subset \\ &\subset \tau^{c(n)-1} \left( \tau^{c(n^{2^{c(n)}}, k)}(\mathcal{F}) \right) = \tau^{c(n)-1+c(n^{2^{c(n)}}, k)}(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^{c(n, k+1)}(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 is proved.

Now we are able to present:

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume that  $G$  is a torsion-free group  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is a left-invariant ideal. By transfinite induction we shall prove that for each limit ordinal  $\alpha$  the family  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive. For the smallest limit ordinal  $\alpha = 0$  the additivity of the family  $\tau^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$  is included into the hypothesis. Assume that for some non-zero limit ordinal  $\alpha$  we have proved that the families  $\tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$  are additive for all limit ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ . Two cases are possible:

1)  $\alpha = \beta + \omega$  for some limit ordinal  $\beta$ . By the inductive assumption, the family  $\tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive. Then Lemma 4.3 implies that the family  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^{<\omega}(\tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F}))$  is additive.

2)  $\alpha = \sup B$  for some family  $B \not\rightarrow \alpha$  of limit ordinals. By the inductive assumption for each limit ordinal  $\beta \in B$  the family  $\tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive and then the union

$$\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{\beta \in B} \tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$$

is additive too.

This completes the proof of the additivity of the families  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all limit ordinals  $\alpha$ . Since the torsion-free group  $G$  is infinite, the ordinal  $\alpha = |G|^+$  is limit and hence the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive. Being left-invariant and lower, the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is a left-invariant ideal in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ .

Theorem 1.2 is proved.

**Remark 4.1.** Theorem 1.2 is not true for an infinite Boolean group  $G$ . In this case Theorem 1(2) of [1] implies that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau(\mathcal{F}_G)$ . Then for any infinite thin subset  $A \subset G$  and any  $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$  the union  $A \cup xA$  is not thin as  $(A \cup xA) \cap x(A \cup xA) = A \cup xA$  is infinite. Consequently, the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) = \tau(\mathcal{F}_G)$  is not additive.

**5.  $h$ -Invariant families of subsets in groups.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an isomorphism between subgroups of  $G$ . A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $G$  is called  $h$ -invariant if a subset  $A \subset H$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $h(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 5.1.** The ideal  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of finite subsets of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $h$ -invariant for each isomorphism  $h_k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $h: x \mapsto kx$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an isomorphism between subgroups of a group  $G$ . For any  $h$ -invariant family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  and any ordinal  $\alpha$  the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  is  $h$ -invariant.

**Proof.** For  $\alpha = 0$  the  $h$ -invariance of  $\tau^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$  follows from our assumption. Assume that for some ordinal  $\alpha$  we have established that the families  $\tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$  are  $h$ -invariant for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then the union  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$  is also  $h$ -invariant.

We shall prove that the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  is  $h$ -invariant. Given a set  $A \subset H$  we need to prove that  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $h(A) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

Assume first that  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ . To show that  $h(A) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ , take any element  $y \in G \setminus \{e\}$ . If  $y \notin K$ , then  $h(A) \cap yh(A) = \emptyset \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $y \in K$ , then  $y = h(x)$  for some  $x \in H$  and then  $h(A) \cap yh(A) = h(A \cap xA) \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  since  $A \cap xA \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  and the family  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is  $h$ -invariant.

Now assume that  $A \notin \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ . Then there is an element  $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $A \cap xA \notin \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $A \subset H$ , the element  $x$  must belong to  $H$  (otherwise  $A \cap xA = \emptyset \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ ). Then for the element  $y = h(x)$  we get  $h(A) \cap yh(A) \notin \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  by the  $h$ -invariance of the family  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ . Consequently,  $h(A) \notin \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

Proposition 5.1 is proved.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an isomorphism between subgroups of a group  $G$ . For any  $h$ -invariant family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is  $h$ -invariant.*

**Definition 5.1.** *A left-invariant family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  of subsets of a group  $G$  is called auto-invariant if  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h$ -invariant for each injective homomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow G$ ; sub-invariant if  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h$ -invariant for each isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between subgroups  $K \subset H$  of  $G$ .*

*strongly invariant if  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h$ -invariant for each isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between subgroups of  $G$ .*

It is clear that

$$\text{strongly invariant} \Rightarrow \text{sub-invariant} \Rightarrow \text{auto-invariant}.$$

**Remark 5.1.** Each auto-invariant family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$ , being left-invariant is also right-invariant.

Proposition 5.1 implies:

**Corollary 5.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is an auto-invariant (sub-invariant, strongly invariant) family of subsets of a group  $G$ , then so are the families  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .*

It is clear that the family  $\mathcal{F}_G$  of finite subsets of a group  $G$  is strongly invariant. Now we present some natural examples of families, which are not strongly invariant. Following [5], we call a subset  $A$  of a group  $G$

*large* if there is a finite subset  $F \subset G$  with  $G = FA$ ;

*small* if for any large set  $L \subset G$  the set  $L \setminus A$  remains large.

It follows that the family  $\mathcal{S}_G$  of small subsets of  $G$  is a left-invariant ideal in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ . According to [5], a subset  $A \subset G$  is small if and only if for every finite subset  $F \subset G$  the complement  $G \setminus FA$  is large. We shall need the following (probably known) fact.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of finite index in a group  $G$ . A subset  $A \subset H$  is small in  $H$  if and only if  $A$  is small in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** First assume that  $A$  is small in  $G$ . To show that  $A$  is small in  $H$ , take any large subset  $L \subset H$ . Since  $H$  has finite index in  $G$ , the set  $L$  is large in  $G$ . Since  $A$  is small in  $G$ , the complement  $L \setminus A$  is large in  $G$ . Consequently, there is a finite



subset  $F \subset G$  such that  $F(L \setminus A) = G$ . Then for the finite set  $F_H = F \cap H$ , we get  $F_H(L \setminus A) = H$ , which means that  $L \setminus A$  is large in  $H$ .

Now assume that  $A$  is small in  $H$ . To show that  $A$  is small in  $G$ , it suffices to show that for every finite subset  $F \subset G$  the complement  $G \setminus FA$  is large in  $G$ . Observe that  $(G \setminus FA) \cap H = H \setminus F_H A$  where  $F_H = F \cap H$ . Since  $A$  is small in  $H$ , the set  $H \setminus F_H A$  is large in  $H$  and hence large in  $G$  (as  $H$  has finite index in  $G$ ). Then the set  $G \setminus FA \supset H \setminus F_H A$  is large in  $G$  too.

Lemma 5.1 is proved.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite Abelian group.*

(1) *If  $G$  is finitely generated, then the ideal  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is strongly invariant.*

(2) *If  $G$  is infinitely generated free Abelian group, then the ideal  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is not auto-invariant.*

**Proof.** 1. Assume that  $G$  is a finitely generated Abelian group. To show that  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is strongly invariant, fix any isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between subgroups of  $G$  and let  $A \subset H$  be any subset. The groups  $H, K$  are isomorphic and hence have the same free rank  $r_0(H) = r_0(K)$ . If  $r_0(H) = r_0(K) < r_0(G)$ , then the subgroups  $H, K$  have infinite index in  $G$  and hence are small. In this case the inclusions  $A \in \mathcal{S}_G$  and  $h(A) \in \mathcal{S}_G$  hold and so are equivalent.

If the free ranks  $r_0(H) = r_0(K)$  and  $r_0(G)$  coincide, then  $H$  and  $K$  are subgroups of finite index in the finitely generated group  $G$ . By Lemma 5.1, a subset  $A \subset H$  is small in  $G$  if and only if  $A$  is small in  $H$  if and only if  $h(A)$  is small in the group  $h(H) = K$  if and only if  $h(A)$  is small in  $G$ .

2. Now assume that  $G$  is an infinitely generated free Abelian group. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $\oplus^\kappa \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\kappa = |G| \geq \aleph_0$  many copies of the infinite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Take any subset  $\lambda \subset \kappa$  with infinite complement  $\kappa \setminus \lambda$  and cardinality  $|\lambda| = |\kappa|$  and fix an isomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow H$  of the group  $G = \oplus^\kappa \mathbb{Z}$  onto its subgroup  $H = \oplus^\lambda \mathbb{Z}$ . The subgroup  $H$  has infinite index in  $G$  and hence is small in  $G$ . Yet  $h^{-1}(H) = G$  is not small in  $G$ , witnessing that the ideal  $\mathcal{S}_G$  of small subsets of  $G$  is not auto-invariant.

Proposition 5.2 is proved.

**6. Thin-completeness of the families  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .** In this section we shall prove that in general the families  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  are not thin-complete. Our principal result is the following theorem that implies Theorem 1.3 announced in the Introduction.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group containing a free Abelian subgroup  $H$  of cardinality  $|H| = |G|$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sub-invariant ideal of subsets of  $G$  such that  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \not\subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < |G|^+$ .*

We divide the proof of this theorem in a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an isomorphism between subgroups of a group  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $h$ -invariant left-invariant lower family of subsets of  $G$ . If a subset  $A \subset H$  does not belong to  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ , then for every point  $z \in G \setminus \{e\}$  the set  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \notin \tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Proof.** Proposition 5.1 implies that  $h(A) \notin \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ . Since

$$(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap z^{-1}(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \supset h(A) \notin \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}),$$

the set  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \notin \tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F})$  by the definition of  $\tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F})$ .

Lemma 6.1 is proved.

In the following lemma for a subgroup  $K$  of a group  $H$  by

$$Z_H(K) = \{z \in H: \forall x \in K \quad zx = xz\}$$

we denote the centralizer of  $K$  in  $H$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an isomorphism between subgroups  $K \subset H$  of a group  $G$  such that there is a point  $z \in Z_H(K)$  with  $z^2 \notin K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be an  $h$ -invariant left-invariant ideal. If a subset  $A \subset H$  belongs to the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ , then  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \in \tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Proof.** By induction on  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  the inclusion  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \in \mathcal{F} \subset \tau(\mathcal{F})$  follows from the  $h$ -invariance and the additivity of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Now assume that for some ordinal  $\alpha$  we have proved that for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $A \in \mathcal{P}_H \cap \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$  the set  $h(A) \cup zh(A)$  belongs to  $\tau^{\beta+1}(\mathcal{F})$ . Given any set  $A \in \mathcal{P}_H \cap \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ , we need to prove that  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \in \tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F})$ . This will follow as soon as we check that  $(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for every  $y \in G \setminus \{e\}$ .

If  $y \notin K \cup zK \cup z^{-1}K$ , then

$$(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \subset (K \cup zK) \cap y(K \cup zK) = \emptyset \in \tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F}).$$

So, it remains to consider the case  $y \in K \cup zK \cup z^{-1}K \subset H$ . If  $y \in K$ , then

$$(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) = (h(A) \cap yh(A)) \cup z(h(A) \cap yh(A)).$$

Since  $y \in K$ , there is an element  $x \in H$  with  $y = h(x)$ . Since  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $A \cap xA \in \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) = \\ & = h(A \cap xA) \cup zh(A \cap xA) \in \tau^{\beta+1}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive assumption. If  $y \in zK$ , then  $z^2 \notin K$  implies that

$$(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) = zh(A) \cap yh(A) \subset zh(A) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$$

by the  $h$ -invariance and the left-invariance of the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ , see Proposition 5.1.

If  $y \in z^{-1}K$ , then by the same reason,

$$(h(A) \cup zh(A)) \cap y(h(A) \cup zh(A)) = h(A) \cap yzh(A) \subset h(A) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 6.2 is proved.

Given an isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between subgroups  $K \subset H$  of a group  $G$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define the iteration  $h^n: H \rightarrow K$  of the isomorphism  $h$  letting  $h^1 = h: H \rightarrow K$  and  $h^{n+1} = h \circ h^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

The isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  will be called *expanding* if  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h^n(H) = \{e\}$ .

**Example 6.1.** For every integer  $k \geq 2$  the isomorphism

$$h_k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k\mathbb{Z}, \quad h_k: x \mapsto kx,$$

is expanding.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $h: H \rightarrow K$  be an expanding isomorphism between torsion-free subgroups  $K \subset H$  of a group  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be an  $h$ -invariant left-invariant ideal of subsets of  $G$ . For any limit ordinal  $\alpha$  and a family  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega} \subset \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  of subsets of the group  $H$ , the union  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h^n(A_n)$  belongs to the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Proof.** First observe that  $\{h^n(A_n)\}_{n \in \omega} \subset \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  by Proposition 5.1. To show that  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h^n(A_n) \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  we need to check that  $A \cap xA \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ . This is trivially true if  $x \notin H$  as  $A \subset H$ . So, we assume that  $x \in H$ . By the expanding property of the isomorphism  $h$ , there is a number  $m \in \omega$  such that  $x \notin h^m(H)$ . Put  $B = \bigcup_{n=0}^{m-1} h^n(A_n)$  and observe that  $A \cap xA \subset B \cup xB \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  as  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive according to Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 6.3 is proved.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Assume that a left-invariant ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  on a group  $G$  is  $h$ -invariant for some expanding isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between torsion-free subgroups  $K \subset H$  of  $G$  such that  $Z_H(K) \not\subset K$ . If  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \not\subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .*

**Proof.** Fix any point  $z \in Z_K(H) \setminus K$ . Since  $H$  is torsion-free,  $z^2 \neq e$ . Since the isomorphism  $h$  is expanding,  $z^2 \notin h^m(H)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Replacing the isomorphism  $h$  by its iterate  $h^m$ , we lose no generality assuming that  $z^2 \notin h(H) = K$ .

By induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we shall prove that  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$ .

For  $\alpha = 1$  the non-equality  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^0(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$  is included into the hypothesis. Assume that for some ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we proved that  $\tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$  for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ .

If  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  is a successor ordinal, then by the inductive assumption we can find a set  $A \in \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$  in the subgroup  $H$ . By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2,  $A \cup zA \in \tau^{\beta+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  and we are done.

If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then we can find an increasing sequence of ordinals  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \omega}$  with  $\alpha = \sup_{n \in \omega} \alpha_n$ . By the inductive assumption, for every  $n \in \omega$  there is a subset  $A_n \subset H$  with  $A_n \in \tau^{\alpha_n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{F})$ . Then we can put  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h^n(A_n)$ . By Proposition 5.1, for every  $n \in \omega$ , we get

$$h^n(A_n) \in \tau^{\alpha_n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{F})$$

and thus  $A \notin \tau^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , which implies that  $A \notin \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ . On the other hand, Lemma 6.3 guarantees that  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

Lemma 6.4 is proved.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Assume that a left-invariant ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  on a group  $G$  is  $h$ -invariant for some isomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow K$  between torsion-free subgroups  $K \subset H$  of  $G$  such that  $z^2 \notin K$  for some  $z \in Z_K(H)$ . Assume that for an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  there are isomorphisms  $h_n: H \rightarrow H_n$ ,  $n \in \kappa$ , onto subgroups  $H_n \subset H$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h_n$ -invariant and  $H_n \cdot H_m \cap H_k \cdot H_l = \{e\}$  for all indices  $n, m, k, l \in \kappa$  with  $\{n, m\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ .*

*If  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \not\subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ .*

**Proof.** By induction on  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  we shall prove that  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$ .

For  $\alpha = 1$  the non-equality  $\tau^1(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^0(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$  is included into the hypothesis. Assume that for some ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  we proved that  $\tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \neq \tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H$  for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ .

If  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  is a successor ordinal, then by the inductive assumption we can find a set  $A \in \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{<\beta}(\mathcal{F})$  in the subgroup  $H$ . By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2,  $h(A) \cup zh(A) \in \tau^{\beta+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^\beta(\mathcal{F})$  and we are done.

If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then we can fix a family of ordinals  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \kappa}$  with  $\alpha = \sup_{n \in \kappa} (\alpha_n + 1)$ . By the inductive assumption, for every  $n \in \kappa$  there is a subset

$A_n \subset H$  such that  $A_n \in \tau^{\alpha_n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{F})$ . After a suitable shift, we can assume that  $e \notin A_n$ . Since the ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h_n$ -invariant,  $h_n(A_n) \in \tau^{\alpha_n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \tau^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{F})$  according to Lemma 5.1.

Then the set  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h_n(A_n)$  does not belong to  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ . The inclusion  $A \in \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  will follow as soon as we check that  $A \cap xA \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ . This is clear if  $A \cap xA$  is empty. If  $A \cap xA$  is not empty, then  $x \in h_n(A_n)h_m(A_m)^{-1} \subset H_n H_m$  for some  $n, m \in \kappa$ . Taking into account that  $H_n H_m \cap H_k H_l = \{e\}$  for all  $k, l \in \kappa \setminus \{n, m\}$  and  $e \notin A$ , we conclude that

$$A \cap xA \subset h_n(A_n) \cup h_m(A_m) \cup xh_n(A_n) \cup xh_m(A_m) \in \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$$

as  $\tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  is additive according to Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 6.5 is proved.

Let us recall that a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a group  $G$  is called *auto-invariant* if for any injective homomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow G$  a subset  $A \subset G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $h(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $G$  be a free Abelian group  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an auto-invariant ideal of subsets of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not thin-complete, then for each ordinal  $\alpha < |G|^+$  the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  is not thin-complete.*

**Proof.** Being free Abelian, the group  $G$  is generated by some linearly independent subset  $B \subset G$ . Consider the isomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow 3G$  of  $G$  onto the subgroup  $3G = \{g^3: g \in G\}$  and observe that  $h$  is expanding and for each  $z \in B$  we get  $z^2 \notin 3G$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  being auto-invariant, is  $h$ -invariant. Applying Lemma 6.4, we conclude that  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . If the group  $G$  is countable, then this is exactly what we need.

Now consider the case of uncountable  $\kappa = |G|$ . Being free Abelian, the group  $G$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $\bigoplus^\kappa \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\kappa$ -many copies of the infinite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Write the cardinal  $\kappa$  as the disjoint union  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \kappa} \kappa_\alpha$  of  $\kappa$  many subsets  $\kappa_\alpha \subset \kappa$  of cardinality  $|\kappa_\alpha| = \kappa$ . For every  $\alpha \in \kappa$  consider the free Abelian subgroup  $G_\alpha = \bigoplus^{\kappa_\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  of  $G$  and fix any isomorphism  $h_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$ . It is clear that  $G_\alpha \oplus G_\beta \cap G_\gamma \oplus G_\delta = \{0\}$  for all ordinals  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \kappa$  with  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \cap \{\gamma, \delta\} = \emptyset$ .

Being auto-invariant, the ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $h_\alpha$ -invariant for every  $\alpha \in \kappa$ . Now it is legal to apply Lemma 6.5 to conclude that  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ .

Lemma 6.6 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sub-invariant ideal of subsets of a group  $G$  and let  $H \subset G$  be a free Abelian subgroup of cardinality  $|H| = |G|$ . Assume that  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}_H \not\subset \mathcal{F}$ .

Consider the ideal  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{P}_H \cap \mathcal{F}$  of subsets of the group  $H$ . By transfinite induction it can be shown that  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}') = \mathcal{P}_H \cap \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .

The sub-invariance of  $\mathcal{F}$  implies the sub-invariance (and hence auto-invariance) of  $\mathcal{F}'$ . By Lemma 6.6, we get  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}') \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F}')$  for each  $\alpha < |H|^+ = |G|^+$ . Then also  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^{<\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $\alpha < |G|^+$ .

Theorem 6.1 is proved.

**7. The descriptive complexity of the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ .** In this section given a countable group  $G$  and a left-invariant monotone subfamily  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$ , we study the descriptive complexity of the family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ , considered as a subspace of the power-set  $\mathcal{P}_G$  endowed with the compact metrizable topology of the Tychonoff product  $2^G$  (we

identify  $\mathcal{P}_G$  with  $2^G$  by identifying each subset  $A \subset G$  with its characteristic function  $\chi_A: G \rightarrow 2 = \{0, 1\}$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $G$  be a countable group and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  be a Borel left-invariant lower family of subsets of  $G$ .*

- (1) *For every ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the family  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  is Borel in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ .*
- (2) *The family  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = \tau^{<\omega_1}(\mathcal{F})$  is coanalytic.*
- (3) *If  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is not Borel in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ .*

**Proof.** Let us recall that  $G_\circ = G \setminus \{e\}$ .

In Section 3 to each subset  $A \subset G$  we assigned the  $\tau$ -tree

$$T_A = \{s \in G_\circ^{<\omega} : A_s \notin \mathcal{F}\},$$

where for a finite sequence  $s = (g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) \in G_\circ^n \subset G_\circ^{<\omega}$  we put

$$A_s = \bigcap_{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in 2^n} g_0^{x_0} \dots g_{n-1}^{x_{n-1}} A.$$

Consider the subspaces  $\text{WF} \subset \text{Tr}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{G_\circ^{<\omega}}$ , consisting of all (well-founded) lower subtrees of the tree  $G_\circ^{<\omega}$ .

**Claim 7.1.** The function

$$T_* : \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \text{Tr}, \quad T_* : A \mapsto T_A$$

is Borel measurable.

**Proof.** The Borel measurability of  $T_*$  means that for each open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Tr}$  the preimage  $T_*^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{P}_G$ . Let us observe that the topology of the space  $\text{Tr}$  is generated by the sub-base consisting of the sets

$$\langle s \rangle^+ = \{T \in \text{Tr} : s \in T\} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle s \rangle^- = \{T \in \text{Tr} : s \notin T\}, \quad \text{where } s \in G_\circ^{<\omega}.$$

Since  $\langle s \rangle^- = \text{Tr} \setminus \langle s \rangle^+$ , the Borel measurability of  $T_*$  will follow as soon as we check that for every  $s \in G_\circ^{<\omega}$  the preimage  $T_*^{-1}(\langle s \rangle^+) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_G : s \in T_A\}$  is Borel.

For this observe that the function

$$f : \mathcal{P}_G \times G_\circ^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G, \quad f : (A, s) \mapsto A_s,$$

is continuous. Here the tree  $G_\circ^{<\omega}$  is endowed with the discrete topology.

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is Borel in  $\mathcal{P}_G$ , the preimage  $\mathcal{E} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_G \setminus \mathcal{F})$  is Borel in  $\mathcal{P}_G \times G_\circ^{<\omega}$ . Now observe that for every  $s \in G_\circ^{<\omega}$  the set

$$T_*^{-1}(\langle s \rangle^+) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_G : s \in T_A\} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_G : (A, s) \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

is Borel.

Theorem 7.1 is proved.

By Theorem 3.1,  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = T_*^{-1}(\text{WF})$  and  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = T_*^{-1}(\text{WF}_{-1+\alpha+1})$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Now Theorem 2.1 and the Borel measurability of the function  $T_*$  imply that the preimage  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = T_*^{-1}(\text{WF})$  is coanalytic while  $\tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = T_*^{-1}(\text{WF}_{-1+\alpha+1})$  is Borel for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , see [4] (14.4).

Now assuming that  $\tau^{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , we shall show that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is not Borel. In the opposite case,  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$  is analytic and then its image  $T_*(\tau^*(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{WF}$  under the Borel function  $T_*$  is an analytic subspace of  $\text{WF}$ , see [4] (14.4). By Theorem 2.1(4),  $T_*(\tau^*(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{WF}_{\alpha+1}$  for some infinite ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and thus  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}) = T_*^{-1}(\text{WF}_{\alpha+1}) = \tau^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ , which is a contradiction.

Theorems 6.1 and 7.1 imply:

**Corollary 7.1.** *For any countable non-torsion group  $G$  the ideal  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) \subset \mathcal{P}_G$  is coanalytic but not analytic.*

By [4] (26.4), the  $\Sigma_1^1$ -Determinacy (i.e., the assumption of the determinacy of all analytic games) implies that each coanalytic non-analytic space is  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete. By [6], the  $\Sigma_1^1$ -Determinacy follows from the existence of a measurable cardinal. So, the existence of a measurable cardinal implies that for each countable non-torsion group  $G$  the subspace  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G) \subset \mathcal{P}_G$ , being coanalytic and non-analytic, is  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete.

**Question 7.1.** Is the space  $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}})$   $\Pi_1^1$ -complete in ZFC?

1. *Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I. V.* Relatively thin and sparse subsets of groups // Ukr. Math. Zh. – 2011. – **63**, № 2. – P. 216–225.
2. *Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I. V.* Sparse, thin and other subsets of groups // Int. J. Algebra Comput. – 2009. – **19**, №. 4. – P. 491–510.
3. *Banakh T., Lyaskovska N.* Completeness of translation-invariant ideals in groups // Ukr. Mat. Zh. – 2010. – **62**, №. 8. – P. 1022–1031.
4. *Kechris A.* Classical descriptive set theory. – Springer, 1995.
5. *Bella A., Malykhin V. I.* On certain subsets of a group // Questions Answers Gen. Top. – 1999. – **17**, № 2. – P. 183–197.
6. *Martin D. A.* Measurable cardinals and analytic games // Fund. Math. – 1970. – **66**. – P. 287–291.

Received 02.12.10