

UDC 517.5

G. Tephnadze (Tbilisi State Univ., Georgia)

STRONG CONVERGENCE OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH – FOURIER SERIES

СИЛЬНА ЗБІЖНІСТЬ ДВОВИМІРНИХ РЯДІВ УОЛША – ФУР’Є

We prove that certain means of quadratic partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh – Fourier series are uniformly bounded operators acting from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $0 < p < 1$.

Доведено, що певні середні квадратичних часткових сум двовимірних рядів Уолша – Фур’є є рівномірно обмеженими операторами, що діють із простору Харді H_p у простір L_p при $0 < p < 1$.

1. Introduction. It is known [7, p. 125] that the Walsh – Paley system is not a Schauder basis in $L_1(G)$. Moreover (see [8]), there exists a function in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G)$, the partial sums of which are not bounded in $L_1(G)$. However, in Simon [9] the following strong convergence result was obtained for all $f \in H_1$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|S_k f - f\|_1}{k} = 0,$$

where $S_k f$ denotes the k th partial sum of the Walsh – Fourier series of f (for the trigonometric analogue see Smith [11], for the Vilenkin system see Gát [1]).

Simon [10] proved that there is an absolute constant c_p , depends only p , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_k f\|_p^p}{k^{2-p}} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (1)$$

for all $f \in H_p$, where $0 < p < 1$.

The author [13] proved that sequence $\{1/k^{2-p}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in inequality (1) is important.

For the two-dimensional Walsh – Fourier series Weisz [16] generalized the result of Simon and proved that if $\alpha \geq 0$ and $f \in H_p(G \times G)$, then

$$\sup_{n,m \geq 2} \left(\frac{1}{\log n \log m} \right)^{[p]} \sum_{2^{-\alpha} \leq k/l \leq 2^{\alpha}, (k,l) \leq (n,m)} \frac{\|S_{k,l} f\|_p^p}{(kl)^{2-p}} \leq c \|f\|_{H_p}^p,$$

where $0 < p < 1$ and $[p]$ denotes the integer part of p .

Goginava and Gogoladze [5] proved that the following result is true:

Theorem G. Let $f \in H_1(G \times G)$. Then there exists absolute constant c , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n} f\|_1}{n \log^2 n} \leq c \|f\|_{H_1}.$$

For two-dimensional trigonometric system analogical theorem was proved in [6].

Convergence of quadratical partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh – Fourier series was investigated in details by Weisz [15], Goginava [4], Gát, Goginava, Nagy [2], Gát, Goginava, Tkembuchava [3].

The main aim of this paper is to prove (see Theorem 1) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_p^p}{n^{3-2p}} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (2)$$

for all $f \in H_p(G \times G)$, where $0 < p < 1$. We also proved that sequence $\{1/n^{3-2p}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in inequality (2) is important (see Theorem 2).

2. Definitions and notations. Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is 1/2. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(x) &:= G, \\ I_n(x) &:= I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \\ &:= \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}, \quad x \in G, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0: i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$ the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Let $\bar{I}_n := G \setminus I_n$.

If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, i.e., n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

It is easy to show that for every odd number $n_0 = 1$ and we can write $n = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} n_j 2^i$, where $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j \in \mathbf{P}$.

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G$ let as denote by

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}, \quad x \in G, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

the k th Rademacher function.

The Walsh–Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh–Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k}, \quad x \in G, \quad n \in \mathbf{P}.$$

The Walsh–Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that (see [8, p. 7])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & x \in I_n, \\ 0, & x \in \bar{I}_n. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, the following representation holds for the D_n 's. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$. Then

$$D_n(x) = w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x). \quad (4)$$

The rectangular partial sums of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series of function $f \in L_2(G \times G)$ are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}f(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(i, j) w_i(x) w_j(y),$$

where the numbers

$$\hat{f}(i, j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x, y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x, y)$$

is said to be the (i, j) th Walsh–Fourier coefficient of the function f .

Denote

$$S_M^{(1)} f(x, y) := \int_G f(s, y) D_M(x + s) d\mu(s)$$

and

$$S_N^{(2)} f(x, y) := \int_G f(x, t) D_N(y + t) d\mu(t).$$

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G \times G)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G \times G} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

The space weak – $L_p(G \times G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak-}L_p(G \times G)} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(f > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional $I_n(x) \times I_n(y)$ square of measure $2^{-n} \times 2^{-n}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{n,n}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Denote by $f = (f_{n,n}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ one-parameter martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n,n}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The expectation operator and the conditional expectation operator relative to the $\mathcal{F}_{n,n}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, are denoted by E and $E_{n,n}$, respectively.

The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f_{n,n}|.$$

Let $f \in L_1(G \times G)$. Then the dyadic maximal function is given by

$$f^*(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x) \times I_n(y))} \left| \int_{I_n(x) \times I_n(y)} f(s, t) d\mu(s, t) \right|, \quad (x, y) \in G \times G.$$

The dyadic Hardy space $H_p(G \times G)$ ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of all functions for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If $f = (f_{n,n}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale, then the Walsh–Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_{k,k}(x, y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x, y).$$

It is known [12] that Fourier coefficients of $f \in H_p(G \times G)$ are not bounded when $0 < p < 1$.

The Walsh–Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as those of the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^n} f : n \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube $I \times I$, such that

- a) $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$,
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1/p}$,
- c) $\text{supp}(a) \subset I \times I$.

3. Formulation of main results.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $f \in H_p(G \times G)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_p^p}{n^{3-2p}} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}^p.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $\Phi : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is any nondecreasing function, satisfying the condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(n) = +\infty$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_p(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_{\text{weak}-L_p}^p \Phi(n)}{n^{3-2p}} = \infty.$$

4. Auxiliary propositions.

Lemma 1 [14]. *A martingale $f \in L_p(G \times G)$ is in $H_p(G \times G)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, if and only if there exist a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of a real numbers such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k E_{n,n} a_k = f_{n,n} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover, $\|f\|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$, where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of f of the form (5).

5. Proof of the theorems. *Proof of Theorem 1.* If we apply Lemma 1 we only have to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}a\|_p^p}{n^{3-2p}} \leq c_p < \infty, \quad (6)$$

for every p atom a .

Let a be an arbitrary p -atom with support $I_N(z') \times I_N(z'')$ and $\mu(I_N) = \mu(I_N) = 2^{-N}$. We can suppose that $z' = z'' = 0$.

Let $(x, y) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N$. In this case $D_{2^i}(x+s)1_{I_N}(s) = 0$ and $D_{2^i}(y+t)1_{I_N}(t) = 0$ for $i \geq N$. Recall that $w_{2^j}(x+t) = w_{2^j}(x)$ for $t \in I_N$ and $j < N$. Consequently, from (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,n}a(x, y) &= \\ &= \int_{G \times G} a(s, t) D_n(x+s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s, t) = \\ &= \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) D_n(x+s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s, t) = \\ &= \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) w_n(x+s+y+t) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x+s) D_{2^i}(x+s) \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y+t) D_{2^j}(y+t) d\mu(s, t) = \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) w_n(y) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) w_n(s+t) d\mu(s, t) = \\ &= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \\ &= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \int_{I_N} \Phi(\tau) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \widehat{\Phi}(n),$$

where

$$\Phi(\tau) = \int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t).$$

Let $x \in I_s \setminus I_{s+1}$. Using (3) we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_{2^i}(x) \leq c 2^s.$$

Since

$$\bar{I}_N = \bigcup_{s=0}^{N-1} I_s \setminus I_{s+1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{I}_N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_{2^i}(x) \right)^p d\mu(x) &\leq c_p \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_s \setminus I_{s+1}} 2^{ps} d\mu(x) \leq \\ &\leq c_p \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{(p-1)s} < c_p < \infty, \quad 0 < p < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

applying (7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N} |S_{n,n} a(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|^p}{n^{3-2p}} \left(\int_{\bar{I}_N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_{2^i}(x) \right)^p d\mu(x) \right)^2 \leq \\ &\leq c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|^p}{n^{3-2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n < 2^N$. Since $w_n(\tau) = 1$, for $\tau \in I_N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}(n) &= \int_{I_N} \Phi(\tau) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \\ &= \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) d\mu(s, t) = 0.$$

Hence, we can suppose that $n \geq 2^N$. By Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|^p}{n^{3-2p}} &\leq \left(\sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} |\widehat{\Phi}(n)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \left(\sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(3-2p)\cdot(2/(2-p))}} \right)^{(2-p)/2} \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{N(2(3-2p)/(2-p)-1)}} \right)^{(2-p)/2} \left(\int_G |\Phi(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau) \right)^{p/2} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(4-3p)/2}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right|^2 d\mu(\tau) \right)^{p/2} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(4-3p)/2}} \|a\|_{\infty}^p \frac{1}{2^{Np/2}} \frac{1}{2^{Np}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(4-3p)/2}} 2^{2N} \frac{1}{2^{3pN/2}} < c_p < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let $(x, y) \in \bar{I}_N \times I_N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,n}a(x, y) &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{G \times G} a(s, t) w_n(s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s, t) = \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \int_G S_n^{(2)}a(s, y) w_n(s) d\mu(s) = \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y). \end{aligned}$$

Using (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} D_{2^j}(x) \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y) \right| \right)^p d\mu(x, y) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_{2^i}(x) \right)^p d\mu(x) \cdot \int_{I_N} \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right|^p d\mu(y) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{I_N} \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right|^p d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n < 2^N$. Then by the definition of the atom we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) &= \int_G \left(\int_G a(s, t) D_n(y+t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(s) d\mu(s) = \\ &= D_n(y) \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) d\mu(s, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can suppose that $n \geq 2^N$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n} a(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{I_N} \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right|^p d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| S_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right\|_2 \leq c \|a\|_2$$

from Hölder inequality we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_N} \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right|^p d\mu(y) &\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n, y) \right| d\mu(y) \right)^p = \\ &= \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} S_n^{(2)} a(s, y) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \right| d\mu(y) \right)^p = \\ &= \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y+t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \right| d\mu(y) \right)^p \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y+t) d\mu(t) \right| d\mu(y) \right)^p d\mu(s) \right)^p \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y+t) d\mu(t) \right|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} d\mu(s) \right)^p \leq \\
&\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} |a(s, t)|^2 d\mu(t) \right)^{1/2} d\mu(s) \right)^p \leq \\
&\leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\frac{\|a\|_\infty}{2^{N/2}} \frac{1}{2^N} \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \right)^p \leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \left(\frac{2^{2N/p}}{2^{2N}} \right)^p \leq c_p 2^{N(1-p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \leq \\
&\leq c_p \sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} 2^{N(1-p)} \leq \frac{c_p}{2^{N(1-p)}} \leq c_p < \infty. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{I_N \times \bar{I}_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \leq c_p < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Let $(x, y) \in I_N \times I_N$. Then by the definition of the atom we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{I_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{N(2-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x, y) \right)^{p/2} \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{N(2-p)}} \left(\int_{I_N \times I_N} |a(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x, y) \right)^{p/2} \leq \\
&\leq \frac{\|a\|_\infty^p}{2^{N(2-p)}} \frac{1}{2^{Np}} \leq c_p \frac{1}{2^{N(2-p)}} 2^{2N} \frac{1}{2^{Np}} \leq c_p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \int_{I_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n} a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \leq c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-2p}} \leq c_p < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Combining (6)–(11) we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 < p < 1$ and $\Phi(n)$ is any nondecreasing, nonnegative function, satisfying condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(n) = \infty.$$

For this function $\Phi(n)$, there exists an increasing sequence of the positive integers $\{\alpha_k : k \geq 0\}$ such that:

$$\alpha_0 \geq 2$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi^{p/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} < \infty. \quad (12)$$

Let

$$f_{A,A}(x, y) = \sum_{\{k; \alpha_k < A\}} \lambda_k a_k,$$

where

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})}$$

and

$$a_k(x, y) = 2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)} (D_{2^{\alpha_{k+1}}} (x) - D_{2^{\alpha_k}} (x)) (D_{2^{\alpha_{k+1}}} (y) - D_{2^{\alpha_k}} (y)).$$

It is easy to show that the martingale $f = (f_{1,1}, f_{2,2}, \dots, f_{A,A}, \dots) \in H_p$.

Indeed, since

$$S_{2^A} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} a_k(x, y), & \alpha_k < A, \\ 0, & \alpha_k \geq A, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{supp}(a_k) = I_{\alpha_k},$$

$$\int_{I_{\alpha_k}} a_k d\mu = 0$$

and

$$\|a_k\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)} 2^{2\alpha_k} \leq 2^{2\alpha_k/p} = (\text{supp } a_k)^{-1/p}$$

from Lemma 1 and (12) we conclude that $f \in H_p$.

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})}, & \text{if } (i, j) \in \{2^{\alpha_k}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_{k+1}-1}\} \times \\ & \quad \times \{2^{\alpha_k}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_{k+1}-1}\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{if } (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{\alpha_k}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_{k+1}-1}\} \times \{2^{\alpha_k}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_{k+1}-1}\}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Let $2^{\alpha_k} < n < 2^{\alpha_k+1}$. From (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{n,n}f(x,y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{\alpha_{k-1}+1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\alpha_{k-1}+1}-1} \hat{f}(i,j) w_i(x) w_j(y) + \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \hat{f}(i,j) w_i(x) w_j(y) = \\
 &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=2^{\alpha_\eta}}^{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}-1} \sum_{j=2^{\alpha_\eta}}^{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}-1} \hat{f}(i,j) w_i(x) w_j(y) + \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \hat{f}(i,j) w_i(x) w_j(y) = \\
 &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=2^{\alpha_\eta}}^{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}-1} \sum_{j=2^{\alpha_\eta}}^{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_\eta(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_\eta})} w_i(x) w_j(y) + \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2^{\alpha_k}}^{n-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} w_i(x) w_j(y) = \\
 &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_\eta(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_\eta})} (D_{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}(x) - D_{2^{\alpha_\eta}}(x)) (D_{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}(y) - D_{2^{\alpha_\eta}}(y)) + \\
 &\quad + \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} (D_n(x) - D_{2^{\alpha_k}}(x)) (D_n(y) - D_{2^{\alpha_k}}(y)) = \\
 &= I + II.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let $(x, y) \in (G \setminus I_1) \times (G \setminus I_1)$ and n is odd number. Since $n - 2^{\alpha_k}$ is odd number too and

$$D_{n+2^{\alpha_k}}(x) = D_{2^{\alpha_k}}(x) + w_{2^{\alpha_k}}(x) D_n(x), \quad \text{when } n < 2^{\alpha_k},$$

from (3) and (4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 |II| &= \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} |w_{2^{\alpha_k}}(x) D_{n-2^{\alpha_k}}(x) w_{2^{\alpha_k}}(y) D_{n-2^{\alpha_k}}(y)| = \\
 &= \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} |w_{2^{\alpha_k}}(x) w_{n-2^{\alpha_k}}(x) D_1(x) w_{2^{\alpha_k}}(y) w_{n-2^{\alpha_k}}(y) D_1(y)| = \\
 &= \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Applying (3) and condition $\alpha_n \geq 2$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) for I we have

$$I = \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_\eta})} (D_{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}(x) - D_{2^{\alpha_\eta}}(x))(D_{2^{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}(y) - D_{2^{\alpha_\eta}}(y)) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{n,n}f(x, y)\|_{\text{weak}-L_p} \geq \\ & \geq \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{2\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} \left(\mu \left\{ (x, y) \in (G \setminus I_1) \times (G \setminus I_1) : |S_{n,n}f(x, y)| \geq \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{2\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} \right\} \right)^{1/p} \geq \\ & \geq \frac{2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{2\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} |(G \setminus I_1) \times (G \setminus I_1)| \geq \frac{c_p 2^{\alpha_k(2/p-2)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{2^{\alpha_k+1}-1} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_{\text{weak}-L_p}^p \Phi(n)}{n^{3-2p}} \geq \\ & \geq \sum_{n=2^{\alpha_k}+1}^{2^{\alpha_k+1}-1} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_{\text{weak}-L_p}^p \Phi(n)}{n^{3-2p}} \geq \\ & \geq c_p \Phi(2^{\alpha_k}) \sum_{n=2^{\alpha_k-1}+1}^{2^{\alpha_k}-1} \frac{\|S_{2n+1,2n+1}f\|_{\text{weak}-L_p}^p}{(2n+1)^{3-2p}} \geq \\ & \geq c_p \Phi(2^{\alpha_k}) \frac{2^{2\alpha_k(1-p)}}{\Phi^{1/4}(2^{\alpha_k})} \sum_{n=2^{\alpha_k-1}+1}^{2^{\alpha_k}-1} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{3-2p}} \geq \\ & \geq c_p \Phi^{3/4}(2^{\alpha_k}) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Combining (12)–(19) we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

1. Gát G. Investigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin system // Acta math. hung. – 1993. – **61**. – P. 131–149.
2. Gát G., Goginava U., Nagy K. On the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system // Stud. sci. math. hung. – 2009. – **46**, № 3. – P. 399–421.
3. Gát G., Goginava U., Tkebuchava G. Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh–Fourier series // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2006. – **323**, № 1. – P. 535–549.
4. Goginava U. The weak type inequality for the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series // J. Approxim. Theory. – 2008. – **154**, № 2. – P. 161–180.
5. Goginava U., Gogoladze L. D. Strong convergence of cubic partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series // Constructive theory of functions (Sozopol, 2010): In memory of Borislav Bojanov. – Sofia: Acad. Publ. House, 2012. – P. 108–117.

6. *Gogoladze L. D.* On the strong summability of Fourier series // Bull Acad. Sci. Georg. SSR. – 1968. – **52**, № 2. – P. 287–292.
7. *Golubov B., Efimov A., Skvortsov V.* Walsh series and transformations. – Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991.
8. *Schipp F., Wade W. R., Simon P., Pál J.* Walsh series // Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis. – Bristol; New York: Adam Hilger, 1990.
9. *Simon P.* Strong convergence of certain means with respect to the Walsh–Fourier series // Acta math. hung. – 1987. – **49**. – P. 425–431.
10. *Simon P.* Strong convergence theorem for Vilenkin–Fourier series // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2000. – **245**. – P. 52–68.
11. *Smith B.* A strong convergence theorem for $H_1(T)$ // Lect. Notes Math. – 1994. – **995**. – P. 169–173.
12. *Tephnadze G.* On the Vilenkin–Fourier coefficients // Georg. Math. J. (to appear).
13. *Tephnadze G.* A note of the Fourier coefficients and partial sums of Vilenkin–Fourier series // Acta Math. Acad. Ped. Nyiregyhaziensis (to appear).
14. *Weisz F.* Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis. – Berlin etc.: Springer, 1994.
15. *Weisz F.* Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space. – Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2002.
16. *Weisz F.* Strong convergence theorems for two-parameter Walsh–Fourier and trigonometric-Fourier series // Stud. Math. – 1996. – **117**, № 2. – P. 173–194.

Received 18.04.12,
after revision — 14.11.12