

## On lifting of functors to the Eilenberg-Moore category of the triple generated by the functor $C_p C_p$

Про підняття функторів на категорію Ейленберга — Мура монади, породженої функтором  $C_p C_p$

The second iteration of contravariant functor of the space of continuous functions with topology of pointwise convergence is functorial part of a triple on the category of Tychonoff spaces. The problem of lifting of functors to the Eilenberg-Moore category of this triple is investigated.

Друга ітерація контраваріантного функтора просторів неперервних функцій в топології поточної збіжності є функторіальною частиною монади (триїки) на категорії тихоновських просторів. Досліджується задача підняття функторів на категорію Ейленберга — Мура цієї монади.

1. Triple generated by the functor  $C_p C_p$ . A triple  $T = (T, \eta, \mu)$  on category  $C$  consists of an endofunctor  $T : C \rightarrow C$  and natural transformations  $\eta : 1_C \rightarrow T$  and  $\mu : TT \rightarrow T$  satisfying the following conditions:  $\mu \circ \eta T = \mu \circ T \eta = 1_T$ ,  $\mu \circ T \mu = \mu \circ \mu T$  [1].

Denote by Tych the category of Tychonoff topological spaces and continuous maps. The contravariant functor  $C_p : \text{Tych} \rightarrow \text{Tych}$  is defined as follows. The space  $C_p X$  of all continuous real-valued functions on  $X$  is equipped with topology of pointwise convergence [2]; for mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  (both  $X$  and  $Y$  are Tychonoff spaces) we define  $C_p f : C_p Y \rightarrow C_p X$  by the formula:  $C_p f(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$ ,  $\varphi \in C_p Y$ . For every  $x \in X$  denote by  $ev_x : C_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a map defined by the formula  $ev_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi \in C_p X$ . It is well known that the map  $\eta X : X \rightarrow C_p C_p X$ ,  $\eta X(x) = ev_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , is continuous [2]. It is

easy to see that  $\eta = (\eta X)$  is natural transformation from  $1_{\text{Tych}}$  into  $C_p C_p$ . We construct the natural transformation  $\mu : C_p C_p C_p C_p \rightarrow C_p C_p$  using the following equality  $\mu X(\Phi)(\varphi) = \Phi(e\nu_\varphi)$ ,  $\Phi \in C_p C_p C_p C_p X$ ,  $\varphi \in C_p X$ . The map  $\mu X(\Phi) : C_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous as the composition of  $e\nu : C_p X \rightarrow C_p C_p C_p X$  and  $\Phi : C_p C_p C_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\mu X$  is correctly defined. In order to see that  $\mu X$  is continuous we need to verify a simple inclusion  $\mu X(\Phi, e\nu_\varphi, \varepsilon) \subseteq (\mu X(\Phi), \varphi, \varepsilon)$  and to use the fact that the sets  $(\Gamma, \psi, \varepsilon) = \{E \in C_p C_p X \mid |E(\psi) - \Gamma(\psi)| < \varepsilon\}$  form a subbase in  $C_p C_p X$  (for  $\Gamma \in C_p C_p X$ ,  $\psi \in C_p X$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathbb{C}_p^2 = (C_p C_p, \eta, \mu)$  is a triple on the category *Tych*.  
**Proof.** Since

$$\mu X \circ C_p C_p X(\varphi)(\psi) = C_p C_p \eta X(\varphi)(e\nu_\psi) = \varphi(C_p(\eta X(e\nu_\psi))) = \varphi(\psi)$$

and  $\mu X \circ \eta C_p C_p X(\varphi)(\psi) = \mu X(e\nu_\varphi)(\psi) = e\nu_\varphi(e\psi_\psi) = \varphi(\psi)$  for arbitrary  $\varphi \in C_p C_p X$ ,  $\psi \in C_p X$ , we obtain  $\mu \circ C_p C_p \eta = \mu \circ \eta C_p C_p = 1$ . For every  $\psi \in C_p C_p C_p C_p X$ ,  $\varphi \in C_p X$  we have:  $C_p \mu X(e\nu_\varphi)(\psi) = e\nu_\varphi \circ \mu X(\psi) = \mu X(\psi) \times (\varphi) = \psi(e\nu_\varphi)$ , and therefore  $C_p \mu X(e\nu_\varphi) = e\nu$ . Putting this equalities together we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu X \circ C_p C_p X(\Phi)(\varphi) &= C_p C_p \mu X(\Phi)(e\nu_\varphi) = \Phi \circ C_p \mu X(e\nu_\varphi) = \Phi(e\nu_\varphi) = \\ &= \mu C_p C_p X(\Phi)(e\nu_\varphi) = (\mu X \circ \mu C_p C_p X(\Phi))(\varphi) \text{ (i. e. } \mu \circ C_p C_p \mu = \mu \circ \mu C_p C_p). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved.

Remark that the space  $C_p C_p X$  has obvious algebra structure with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication of functions and multiplication on scalars. Let  $L_p X$  be the linear subspace of  $C_p X$  generated by the image of  $X$  under the mapping  $\eta X$  and  $A_p X$  be the least subalgebra of  $C_p X$  including the set  $\eta X(X)$ . It is clear that both  $L_p$  and  $A_p$  are subfunctors of  $C_p$ .

The condition  $\mu X \circ \eta C_p C_p X = 1_x$  implies that  $\mu X(L_p L_p X) \subseteq L_p X$  and  $\mu X(A_p A_p X) \subseteq A_p X$ . Therefore, we obtain two new triples  $\mathbf{L}_p = (L_p, \eta, \mu|_{L_p L_p})$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_p = (A_p, \eta, \mu|_{A_p A_p})$  on the category *Tych*.

**2. Normal functors on the category *Tych*.** Functor  $F : \text{Tych} \rightarrow \text{Tych}$  is called normal if it is continuous, preserves weight, monomorphisms, intersections, inverse images, empty space, one point space and transforms  $k$ -covering maps into surjective (see [3]). (Note that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $k$ -covering iff for arbitrary compact subspace  $K \subseteq Y$  there exists a compact subspace  $L \subseteq X$  such that  $f(L) = K$ ).

A normal functor  $F : \text{Tych} \rightarrow \text{Tych}$  is said to be of degree  $\leq n$  (briefly  $\text{deg}(F) \leq n$ ) if for every  $a \in FX$  there exists  $b \in Fn$  and mapping  $f : n \rightarrow X$  such that  $a = Ff(b)$ . A normal functor is called finite if it preserves the class of finite spaces and is called multiplicative if it preserves products.

**Proposition 2.** Normal multiplicative functor  $F$  is isomorphic to power functor  $(-)^i$  for some  $i < \infty$ , if either

- $\text{deg}(F) = n$  (and then  $i = n$ ); or
- $F$  is finite

**Proof.** See [4, 5].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $F$  be a normal multiplicative functor  $F : \text{Tych} \rightarrow \text{Tych}$  such that  $F(\text{Comp}) \subseteq \text{Comp}$ . Then  $F$  is a subfunctor of  $(-)^{\omega}$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\text{deg}(F) = \infty$ . One can find in [6] the following result: there exists a functor isomorphism  $h : F|_{\text{Comp}} \rightarrow (-)^{\omega}|_{\text{Comp}}$ . First we prove that for every Tychonoff space  $X$  and its compactification  $i_x : X \rightarrow bX$  the following inclusions hold:  $hbX \circ F|_x(FX) \subseteq X^{\omega} \subseteq (bX)^{\omega}$ . Assuming the contrary, without loss of generality we can consider  $X$  to be the discrete countable space and  $bX$  to be  $\alpha X$  (the one point compactification of  $X$ ). Let  $a \in h\alpha X \circ F|_x(FX) \setminus X^{\omega}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(b_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$  in  $F\alpha X$  converging to  $a$  and such that the supports of  $b_i$  are finite and lie in  $X$ .

Suppose that  $a = (x_i)_{i=1,2,\dots} \in (\alpha X)^{\omega}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x_0 \in \alpha X \setminus X$ . Suppose that  $h\alpha X \circ F|_x(b_i) = (y_{ij})_{j=1,2,\dots}$ . There exists a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that the sequence  $(f(y_{i0})_{i=1,2,\dots})$  is not

convergent in  $\alpha X$ . Therefore the sequence  $(Fi_x \circ Ff(b_i))_{i=1,2,\dots}$  is not convergent in  $F(\alpha X)$ , and we get a contradiction.

Now we define the natural transformation  $j: F \rightarrow (-)^{\omega}$  by the formula:  $jX = h\beta X \circ Fi_x$  (where  $i_x: X \rightarrow \beta X$  is the canonical embedding  $X$  into Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta X$  of space  $X$ ). Theorem is proved.

3. Lifting normal functors to the Eilenberg-Moore category. A couple  $(X, \xi)$ , where  $\xi: TX \rightarrow X$  is  $C$ -morphism, is called  $T$ -algebra iff  $\xi \circ \mu X = = 1_x$  and  $\xi \circ \mu X \xi \circ T\xi$ . A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called morphism of  $T$ -algebra  $(X, \xi)$  into  $T$ -algebra  $(Y, \zeta)$  if  $f \circ \xi = \zeta \circ Tf$ .  $T$ -algebras and their morphisms form a category which is usually denoted by  $C^T$  (Eilenberg-Moore category). We can define the forgetful functor  $U^T: C^T \rightarrow C$  by  $U^T(X, \xi) = X$ ,  $U^T(f) = f$ . (For details see [1].)

A lifting of functor  $F: C \rightarrow C$  on the category  $C^T$  is a functor  $G: C^T \rightarrow C^T$  such that  $U^T \circ G = F \circ U^T$ . The following proposition gives a criterion of existing of a lifting: it is dual to a result of J. Vinárek [7] (see also [8]).

**Proposition 3** (see [9]). *There exists a bijective correspondence between the liftings of functor  $F$  to  $C^T$  and the such natural transformations  $\delta: FF \rightarrow FT$  that  $\delta \circ \eta F = F\eta$  and  $\delta \circ \mu F = F\mu \circ \delta T \circ T\delta$ .*

Let  $T$  denote one of the triples  $(C_p^2, A_p, L_p)$ . We use below the method, used in [5] for describing functors which admit a lifting to the category of compact groups.

**Theorem 2.** *If a normal functor  $F$  can be lifted to the category  $Tych^T$ , then  $F$  is multiplicative.*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be one of the functors  $C_p, C_p, L_p, A_p$ . We consider the free  $T$ -algebra  $(TQ, \mu Q)$  denoting  $TQ$  by  $X$  ( $Q$  is the Hilbert cube). Supposing that  $F$  admit a lifting to  $Tych^T$  we obtain that the mapping  $f = (Fpr_1, Fpr_2): F(X \times X) \rightarrow FX \times FX$  is bijective. Indeed, from the conditions of preserving inverse images and intersections by  $F$  we obtain  $\ker(f) = 0$  (here we use fact that  $f$  is linear mapping of topological linear spaces). Besides, since the set  $\ker(Fpr_1) = F(\ker(pr_1))$  is homeomorphically mapped onto  $FX$  by the mapping  $Fpr_2$  we obtain that  $f$  is surjective (see [5]).

Since  $Q$  can be topologically embedded into  $X$ , we obtain that  $F$  is multiplicative (see [4]).

**Corollary.** *If  $F$  is a normal functor admitting a lifting to the category  $Tych^T$  and  $F$  is either finite or  $\deg(F) < \infty$ , then  $F$  is isomorphic to a power functor.*

1. Barr M., Wells Ch. Toposes, triples and theories.— New York etc.: Springer, 1985.— 345 p.
2. Архангельский А. В. Топологические пространства функций.— М.: Изд-во Моск. ун-та, 1989.— 224 с.
3. Чигогидзе А. Ч. О продолжении нормальных функторов // Вестн. Моск. ун-та. Сер. мат.— 1984.— N 6.— С. 23—26.
4. Щепин Е. В. Функторы и несчетные степени компактов // Успехи мат. наук.— 1981.— 36, вып. 3.— С. 3.—62.
5. Заричный М. М. Мультипликативный нормальный функтор — степенной // Мат. заметки.— 1987.— 41, № 1.— С. 93—100.
6. Заричный М. М. Профинитная мультипликативность функторов и характеристизация проективных монад в категории компактов // Укр. мат. журн.— 1990.— 42, № 9.— С. 1271—1275.
7. Vinárek J. Projective monad and extentions of functors // Math. Centr. Afd.— 1983.— N 195.— P. 1—12.
8. Arbib M., Manes E. Fuzzy machines in a category // Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.— 1975.— 13, N 1.— P. 169—120.
9. Zarichnyi M. M. On covariant topological functors, I // Q. and A. in Gen. Top.— 1990.— 8, N 2.— P. 317—369.

Received 06.03.92