Yu. V. Bogdansky, cand. phys.-math. sci. (Kiev. polytechnic. inst.)

CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR THE ESSENTIALLY INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL HEAT EQUATION ON A SURFACE IN HILBERT SPACE*

ЗАДАЧА КОШІ ДЛЯ СУТТЄВО НЕСКІНЧЕННОВИМІРНОГО РІВНЯННЯ ТЕПЛОПРОВІДНОСТІ НА ПОВЕРХНІ У ГІЛЬБЕРТОВОМУ ПРОСТОРІ

It is proved that the Cauchy problem for the simplest parabolic equation with essentially infinite-dimensional coefficients on bounded level surfaces of smooth functions in a Hilbert space is uniformly well-posed.

На обмежених поверхнях рівня гладких функцій у гільбертовому просторі доведена рівномірна коректність задачі Коші для найпростішого параболічного рівпяння з суттєво нескінченновимірними косфіцієнтами.

This paper deals with the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation $\partial v(x,t)/\partial t = L_S v(x,t)$ on a level surface of a smooth function in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. An operator L_S is supposed to be "essentially infinite-dimensional" with respect to x in the sense given below (see (2)). A similar problem on the Hilbert sphere was studied in [1].

The investigation is developed by constructing a (C_0) -semigroup on some Banach space of functions. \overline{L}_S turns out to be a generator of this semigroup. The fact that L_S is essentially infinite-dimensional turns out to be a simplifying condition (Lemma 1). The solution of this problem is based on [2, 3], where an analogous problem in a linear space was investigated, and on Theorem 1 from this paper.

1. Let H be a separable infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space and let $B_s(H)$ be the Banach space of selfadjoint bounded operators on H (with operator norm). Assume that $j \in B_s(H)^*$ is a nonnegative functional which vanishes on all finite-dimensional operators from $B_s(H)$. The functional j generates the linear elliptic second-order differential operator

$$(Lu)(x) = \frac{1}{2}j(u''(x)).$$
 (1)

Here, $u \in C^2(H)$ and, for all cylindrical functions, Lu = 0.

Let S be a smooth $(C^2$ -class) surface in H of co-dimension 1. The embedding $i: S \subseteq H$ induces the Riemann metric on S.

Let ∇ be the corresponding Levi-Civita connection. The embedding di_x : $T_xS \to H$ of the tangent space T_xS into H at a point $x \in S$ allows us to assume that a bounded selfadjoint operator in T_xS is the restriction of some bounded selfadjoint operator in H, which is determined up to a finite-dimensional operator. In this sense, we identify the second covariant derivative $\nabla^2 u(x)$ with some operator from $B_x(H)$ and the differential operator on S may be introduced by the formula

$$(L_{\mathcal{S}}u)(x) = \frac{1}{2}j(\nabla^2 u(x)). \tag{2}$$

2. Let \mathfrak{A} be an algebra of twice continuously Frechet-differentiable functions on H with the conditions:

^{*} Supported by the State committee on science and technologies of Ukraine and (in part) by Grant No. U44000 from the International Science Foundation.

[©] Yu. V. BOGDANSKY, 1995

- a) for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a compact set $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_u \subset B_x(H)$ and a number $d = d_u > 0$ such that $u''(x) \in \mathfrak{N} + \Gamma_d$ for all $x \in H$ (where Γ_d is the set of selfadjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operators with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\leq d$);
 - b) $u''(\cdot)$ is uniformly continuous on $H: \sup |u(\cdot)| < \infty$.

Let X be the closure of \mathfrak{A} in the norm of uniform convergence on H.

We say that $u \in C^3(H) \cap \mathfrak{A}$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_1 if, for any $h \in H$, the function $(u'(\cdot), h) = v_h(\cdot) \in \mathfrak{A}$ and there exist a compact set $\mathfrak{N} \subset B_s(H)$ and d > 0 such that $v_h''(x) \in \mathfrak{N} + \Gamma_d$ for all $x \in H$ and $h \in \{h \mid ||h|| = 1\}$.

Obviously, \mathfrak{U}_1 is a subalgebra in \mathfrak{U} .

For an open set $G \subseteq H$, a functional algebra $\mathfrak{A}(G)$ is introduced by substituting G for H in conditions a) and b). Analogously, we obtain $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(G)$ and X(G).

If the surface S may be represented as a level surface of a function $g \in \mathfrak{U}_1(S_{\varepsilon})$ $(S_{\varepsilon} \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-neighborhood of } S \text{ in } H)$ with

$$||g'(\cdot)|| \ge \delta > 0, \tag{3}$$

then we say that S is a surface of the class \mathfrak{U}_1 . Assume that $S = \{x \mid g(x) = 1\}$.

Examples of such surfaces are: $\{x \mid (C_1 x, x)^2 + (C_2 x, x) = 1\}$, where C_1 , $C_2 \in B_x(II)$; and $C_1 \ge 0$; $C_2 \ge \delta I > 0$ (see [4]).

Lemma 1. Let $u \in C^2(S_{\varepsilon})$ and S be a level surface of $g \in C^2(S_{\varepsilon})$. Then, for $x \in S$,

$$L_S u(x) = L u(x) - \frac{\left(u'(x), g'(x)\right)}{\|g'(x)\|^2} L g(x). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let vector fields X and Y be defined in a neighborhood $W \subseteq H$ of the point $x \in S$ and tangent to S on $S \cap W$. Let n be the field of a single normal vector to S.

If X'_x is the derivative of the map $y \mapsto X(y)$ in x, then

$$\nabla_{Y}X(y) = X'_{x}(Y(x)) - (X'_{x}(Y(x)), n(x))n(x) =$$

$$= X'_{x}(Y(x)) - (X'_{x}(Y(x)), g'(x)) \frac{g'(x)}{\|g'(x)\|^{2}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(\nabla^{2}u)(X,Y) = YXu - (\nabla_{Y}X)u;$$

$$(YXu - X'(Y)u)(x) = (u''(x)X(x), Y(x));$$

$$(X'(Y), g')(x) = Y(X, g')(x) - (X, g''(Y))(x) = -(g''(x)X(x), Y(x))$$

and, therefore,

$$(\nabla^{2}u)(X,Y)(x) = (u''(x)X(x),Y(x)) - (g''(x)X(x),Y(x)) \frac{(g'(x),u'(x))}{\|g'(x)\|^{2}}.$$
 (5)

This implies the assertion.

The algebra $\mathfrak{U}(S)$ on a C^2 -class surface is introduced similarly to \mathfrak{U} and $\mathfrak{U}(G)$ by replacing u'' by $\nabla^2 u$. Let X(S) be the closure of $\mathfrak{U}(S)$ in the norm of uniform convergence.

Any function $\varphi \in \mathfrak{U}(S)$ may be extended from the surface of class \mathfrak{U}_1 to the function $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{U}$ [4].

It should be observed that, for $\gamma \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi \in \mathfrak{U}(S)$,

$$\gamma \circ \varphi \in \mathfrak{A}(S); \ L_S(\gamma \circ \varphi) = (\gamma' \circ \varphi) \cdot L_S \varphi.$$

Let $C_u^2(S)$ be the space of functions from $C^2(S)$ with uniformly continuous Hessians.

Lemma 2. Suppose that S is a surface of class \mathfrak{A}_1 , W is an open set in H; $u \in C^2_u(S)$; $L_S u > \varepsilon > 0$ in $W \cap S$. Then

$$\sup_{W\cap S} u = \sup_{\partial (W\cap S)} u.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u(x) > 1 on $S \cap W$. Let there be given $\beta > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ such that, in a β -neighborhood S_{β} of the surface S, we have $\delta/2 < \|g'(x)\| < C_1$ (see (3)).

The flow $\Phi(t,x)$ of the vector field $g'(x)/\|g'(x)\|^2$ is defined in S_{β} , for $x \in S_{\beta}$, we have $\Phi(-g(x)+1,x) \in S$. For $x \in S_{\beta}$, we set $\tilde{u}(x)=u(\Phi(-g(x)+1,x)s(g(x)))$, where the function s(t)=p(|t-1|) of the real variable t satisfies the conditions: p(t) monotonically decreases: $s \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$; $s(t) \in (0,1)$ as $t \neq 1$, s(1)=1, s'(1)=0. For this reason, $(\tilde{u}',g')=0$ on $S \cap W$ and, by virtue of Lemma 1, we have $L\tilde{u}|_{S \cap W} = L_S u$.

Let $(S \cap W)_{\beta} = \{ \Phi(t, x) \in S_{\beta} | x \in S \cap W \}$ be the part of S_{β} filled with the integral curves that begin in $S \cap W$. Because of uniform continuity of $L\tilde{u}$ in S_{β} , decreasing β if necessary, one can consider that $L\tilde{u}(x) > \varepsilon/2$ in $(S \cap W)_{\beta}$. Furthermore, there exists such $\gamma > 0$ that $\Phi(t, x) \in (S \cap W)_{\beta}$ for $|t| < \gamma$ ($x \in S \cap W$). For this reason, $\tilde{u}(\Phi(t, x)) \le u(x)p(\gamma)$ ($p(\gamma) \in (0, 1)$), where $\Phi(t, x) \in \partial(S \cap W)_{\beta}$ and $x \in S \cap W$.

Let $C = \sup_{W \cap S} u$ and $x \in S \cap W$ be such that $u(x) > p(\gamma) C$. By virtue of the

maximum principle for domains in H [3, 5], we have: $u(x) \le \sup_{\partial ((S \cap W)_{\beta})} \tilde{u}$. But then

there exists $y \in \partial(S \cap W)$ for which $u(y) \ge u(x)$, for otherwise there would exist $y \in \partial((S \cap W)_{\beta})$ of the form $y = \Phi(t, z)$, $z \in S \cap W$, and $\tilde{u}(y) \ge u(x)$; thus $u(z) > \tilde{u}(y)/p(\gamma) > C$. The lemma is proved.

The analogous statement holds true for inf.

The next proposition proves that L_S is subtended in the space $C_u^2(S)$.

Lemma 3. Let S be a surface of class \mathfrak{U}_1 ; $u_n \in C_u^2(S)$; $u_n \to 0$ and $L_S u_n \to v$ uniformly on S. Then $v \equiv 0$.

Proof. Suppose the converse. Without loss of generality, we consider: $v(x_0) = \varepsilon > 0$ $(x_0 \in S)$. Hence, a neighborhood $W = W_{\alpha}(x_0)$ of x_0 exists with radius $\alpha > 0$ such that $L_S u_n(x) > \varepsilon/2$ $(x \in W \cap S)$, beginning with some N.

Let $\alpha > 0$ be taken so that $|(x - x_0, g'(x))| \le (\delta^2/2) \left(\sup_{S} |L_g|\right)^{-1} ||j||$ for any $x \in W \cap S$. This is possible as the left-hand side of the last inequality is continuous. But then, for $h(x) = c(||x - x_0||^2)$ (c > 0), we have $(x \in S \cap W)$:

$$\left| \left(h'(x), \frac{g'(x)}{\|g'(x)\|^2} \right) Lg(x) \right| = 2c \left| \left(x - x_0, g'(x) \right) \right| \frac{|Lg(x)|}{\|g'(x)\|^2} \le c \left\| j \right\|.$$

So $L_S h = c ||j|| - (h', g'/||g'||^2) L_g \le 2c ||j||$ in $W \cap S$ and $L_S (u_n - h)(x) > \varepsilon/2 - 2c ||j|| > \varepsilon/4$ if $c = \varepsilon/(8||j||)$; $x \in W \cap S$. In view of Lemma 2,

$$u_n(x_0) \le \sup_{\partial(W \cap S)} u_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{8 \|j\|} \alpha^2,$$

contrary to the condition: $u_n \rightarrow 0$ on S.

Lemma 4. Let S be a surface of class \mathfrak{A}_1 . Then, for any $u \in C_u^2(S)$, we have $||u - L_S u|| \ge ||u||$, where $||u|| = \sup_S |u|$.

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that $||u|| = \sup_{S} u = 1$ and admit $||u - L_S u|| = 1 - \gamma < 1$.

Take $x_0 \in S$ such that $u(x_0) = 1 - \varepsilon > 1 - \gamma$. Then $L_S u(x_0) > \gamma - \varepsilon$. By using the method of Lemma 3, we can find $\alpha > 0$ such that there exists $x \in \partial W_{\alpha}(x_0) \cap S$ for which $u(x) > u(x_0) + (\gamma - \varepsilon) \alpha^2 / (8||j||)$.

Furthermore, we can choose α independently of ε and x_0 for $\varepsilon < \gamma/2$. It suffices to choose α under the conditions:

a) $|L_S u(x) - L_S u(y)| < \gamma/4$ for $||x - y|| < \alpha$ (because of the uniform continuity of $L_S u$);

b)
$$|(x-x_0,g'(x))| \le \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \left(\sup_{S} |L_S|\right)^{-1} ||j||, x \in W_{\alpha}(x_0) \cap S,$$

(the left-hand side of the inequality is continuous with respect to x uniformly in x_0).

If $\varepsilon \in (0, \min(\gamma/2, \gamma\alpha^2/(16||j||)))$, we have u(x) > 1 at some point $x \in \partial W_{\alpha} \cap S$, i.e., we arrive at a contradiction.

Remark 1. The proof of Lemmas 2-4 does not rely on S belonging \mathfrak{U}_1 and, therefore, the statements proved above hold for a considerably broader class of surfaces. Lemmas 2-4 can be generalized to the case of operator L having variable coefficients.

- **3. Theorem 1.** Let B(t) $(t \in [0, t_0) \subset [0, \infty))$ be a one-parameter family of bounded linear operators on a Banach space $X: ||B(t)|| \le 1$; B(0) = I. Let \mathcal{D} be a dense linear manifold in X, on which a linear operator $A: \mathcal{D} \to X$ and a nonnegative function $h: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ are defined. Furthermore, assume that
 - 1) $B(t)\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ for any $t \in [0, t_0)$;
- 2) there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $||B(t)B(s)x B(t+s)x|| \le t^{\alpha}sh(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$, t, s, $t+s \in [0,t_0)$;
- 3) there exists a > 0 such that $h(B(t)x) \le \exp(at)h(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in [0, t_0)$;
 - 4) $||B(t)x x|| \le t h(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in [0, t_0)$;

5) there exists a function $q:[0,t_0)\times\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $t\in[0,t_0)$, $x\in\mathcal{D}$, we have $\left\|\frac{1}{t}(B(t)x-x)-Ax\right\|\leq q(t,x),\ q(t,x)\to 0$ as $t\to 0+$, and

$$q\left(\frac{t}{n}, B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x\right) \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (6)

Then, for any $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$V(t) = s - \lim_{n \to \infty} B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n;$$

is defined: V(t) is a contraction (C_0) -semigroup on X and $V'(0) = \overline{A}$.

Proof. Step 1. We shall show that $B(t/2^n)^{2^n}x$ has a limit as $n \to \infty$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $x \in X$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$. By virtue of conditions 1-3 we have

$$\left\| B\left(\frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2^{n+1}} x - B\left(\frac{t}{2^{n}}\right)^{2^{n}} x \right\| \le$$

$$\le \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \left\| B\left(\frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2k} \left(B\left(\frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{2} - B\left(\frac{t}{2^{n}}\right) \right) B^{2^{n}-k-1} \left(\frac{t}{2^{n}}\right) x \right\| \le$$

$$\le \left(\frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \exp\left(ka\frac{t}{2^{n}}\right) h(x) =$$

$$= \left(\frac{t}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{1+\alpha} h(x) \frac{\exp(at) - 1}{\exp(at/2^{n}) - 1}.$$
(7)

Therefore, the left-hand side of (7) is $O(1/2^{n\alpha})$ as $n \to \infty$. This involves the convergence

$$V(t)x = \lim_{n \to \infty} B\left(\frac{t}{2^n}\right)^{2^n} x$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and, hence, for any $x \in X$.

Step 2. V(t) is a (C_0) -semigroup on X.

We verify that $V(nt)x = V^{n}(t)x$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$||B(nt)x - B^{n}(t)x|| \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} ||B^{k}(t)(B((n-k)t)x - B(t)B((n-k-1)t)x)|| \le C (n-1)n$$

$$\leq h(x)t^{1+\alpha}\frac{(n-1)n}{2}.$$

Thus, we have, due to the identity

$$A^{m} - B^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A^{m-k-1} (A - B) B^{k},$$

$$\left\| B^{m} \left(\frac{nt}{m} \right) x - B^{nm} \left(\frac{t}{m} \right) x \right\| \le h(x) \left(\frac{t}{m} \right)^{1+\alpha} \frac{n^{2}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \exp\left(\frac{knat}{m} \right) =$$

$$= h(x) \left(\frac{t}{m} \right)^{1+\alpha} \frac{n^{2}}{2} \frac{\exp(nat) - 1}{\exp(nat/m) - 1}.$$

Therefore, $V(nt)x = V^{n}(t)x$ when passing to the limit for $m = 2^{k} \to \infty$. This implies that

$$V(rt+t)x = V(rt)V(t)x$$
(8)

for any $x \in X$, $r \in Q$.

Let us verify the strong right continuity of V(t) for t > 0.

Assume that $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\Delta t > 0$:

$$||B(t+\Delta t)x - B(t)x|| \le ||B(t+\Delta t)x - B(t)B(\Delta t)x|| +$$

$$+ ||B(t)(B(\Delta t)x - x)|| \le h(x)(t^{\alpha} + 1)\Delta t;$$

$$||B\left(\frac{t+\Delta t}{m}\right)^{m} x - B\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^{m} x|| \le$$

$$\le \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} ||B^{m-k-1}\left(\frac{t+\Delta t}{m}\right)\left(B\left(\frac{t+\Delta t}{m}\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)\right)B^{k}\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)x|| \le$$

$$\le h(x)\frac{\Delta t}{m}\left(\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^{\alpha} + 1\right)\frac{\exp(at) - 1}{\exp(at/m) - 1}.$$

When passing to the limit for $m = 2^k \to \infty$, we have

$$||V(t+\Delta t)x - V(t)x|| \le h(x)\frac{\Delta t}{at}(\exp{(at)} - 1).$$

Hence, condition (8) holds for any r > 0, $x \in X$, and, together with condition 4) of the theorem, it proves that V(t) is a (C_0) -semigroup on X.

Step 3. We shall prove that $V'(0) = \overline{A}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$, t > 0. Due to (7),

$$\|V(t)x - B(t)x\| \le t^{1+\alpha} h(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+1)(1+\alpha)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2^{k-1}} \exp \frac{alt}{2^k} \right).$$
 (9)

Since

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \exp \frac{att}{m} < \exp(at),$$

the right-hand side of (9) does not exceed

$$t^{1+\alpha} h(x) \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k\alpha}} \exp(at_0) = C t^{1+\alpha} h(x) \quad (t \in (0, t_0)).$$

Condition 5) gives now: $\|(V(t)x-x)/t-Ax\|\to 0$ as $t\to 0+$, so $V'(0)|_{\mathcal{D}}=A$ and A is subtended.

We shall verify that $V(t)x \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{A})$ for $x \in \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\overline{A}V(t)x = V(t)Ax$. In this case, $V(t)\mathcal{D}(\overline{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{A})$, so $V'(0) = \overline{A}$.

In view of condition 5 for $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in [0, t_0)$, we have

$$\left\| B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n+1} x - B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x - \frac{t}{n} B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n A x \right\| \le \frac{t}{n} q\left(\frac{t}{n}, x\right);$$

$$\left\| B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n+1} x - B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x - \frac{t}{n} A B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x \right\| \le \frac{t}{n} q\left(\frac{t}{n}, B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x\right),$$

whence
$$\left\|AB\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x - B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n Ax\right\| \le q\left(\frac{t}{n}, x\right) + q\left(\frac{t}{n}, B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x\right).$$

Passing to the limit, we get $V(t)x \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{A})$ and $\overline{A}V(t)x = V(t)Ax$. It remains to note that, by virtue of the Chernoff theorem [6],

$$V(t)x = \lim_{n \to \infty} B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n x \quad (x \in X, \ t \in [0, \infty)).$$

Remark 2. Theorem 1 is close by nature to Theorem 2.1 in Chapter VI from [7].

4. Let S be a surface of class \mathfrak{U}_1 , $S = \{x \mid g(x) = 1\}$, $g \in \mathfrak{U}_1(S_{\varepsilon})$ (see pt. 1):

$$Z(x) = -\frac{g'(x)}{\|g'(x)\|^2} Lg(x)$$

is a vector field which is defined in S_{α} for some $\alpha \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Let $\beta > 0$ be such that $\{x \mid |g(x) - 1| < \beta\} \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ (existence of β is guaranteed by the properties of g) and let $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$q(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \in [1 - \beta/3, 1 + \beta/3], \\ 0, & s \notin (1 - 2\beta/3, 1 + 2\beta/3). \end{cases}$$

Let Y be the vector field on H, which is equal to q(g(x))Z(x) on $\{x \mid |g(x) - 1| < \beta\}$ and vanishes in other points. Let $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi(t, x)$ be the flow of Y.

Basically simple but cumbersome calculation shows that $u \circ \Phi_t \in \mathcal{U}$ for $u \in \mathcal{U}$. In doing so, for fixed t, we have

$$(u \circ \Phi_t)'(x) = u'(\Phi_t(x)) \circ \Phi_t'(x); \tag{10}$$

$$(u \circ \Phi_t)''(x) = \langle u'(\Phi_t(x)), \Phi_t''(x)(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle + [\Phi_t'(x)]^* u''(\Phi_t(x)) \Phi_t'(x)$$
 (11)

(here and below, the operation of "lifting-lowering of indices" is not specified).

For fixed x, $\Phi'_t(x)$ and $\Phi''_t(x)$ satisfy the equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi'_t(x) = Y'(\Phi_t(x))\Phi'_t(x), \quad \Phi'_0(x) = I:$$
 (12)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \Phi_t''(x), h \right\rangle = Y' \left(\Phi_t(x) \right) \left\langle \Phi_t''(x), h \right\rangle + \left\langle Y'' \left(\Phi_t(x) \right), \Phi_t'(x) h \right\rangle \Phi_t'(x), \tag{13}$$

$$\Phi'_0(x) = I; \quad \Phi''_0(x) = 0 \quad (h \in H).$$

If $u \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$Yu = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(u \circ \Phi_t - u \right) \in X \tag{14}$$

due to the uniform boundedness of

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(u \circ \Phi_t \right) (x) \quad (x \in H, \ t \in [0, t_0]).$$

on H.

For this reason, we can speak about the well-defined operator $L+Y: \mathcal{U} \to X$. Furthermore, $L_S u \mid_S (x) = L u(x) + Y u(x)$ for $x \in S$.

We shall show that L+Y is subtended and $\overline{L+Y}$ is a generator of contraction (C_0) -semigroup V(t).

Let us apply Theorem 1.

Let T(t) be a (C_0) -semigroup on X with a generator \overline{L} [2, 3];

$$P(t)u = u \circ \Phi_t$$
, $B(t) = P(t)T(t)$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{A}$,

$$h(u) = C \left(\sup_{H} \|u''(\cdot)\| + \sup_{U} \|u'(\cdot)\| \right)$$

(the constant C > 0 can be found from computations given below).

Condition 1 follows from the embeddings $T(t) \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$; $P(t) \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$:

$$||P(t)T(t)P(s)T(s)u - P(t+s)T(t+s)u|| \le$$

 $\le ||(T(t)P(s) - P(s)T(t))T(s)u||.$

As follows from [7],

$$h(T(t)u) \le h(u), \tag{15}$$

Thus, to verify condition 2, it suffices to prove that $\|(T(t)P(s)-P(s)T(t))v\| \le t^{1/2}sh(v)$. In the notation of [2, 3],

$$T(t)(v \circ \Phi_s)(x) - T(t)v(\Phi_s(x)) =$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{H} (v(\Phi(s, x + y)) - v(\Phi(s, x) + y)) \mu_{t\Lambda_n}(dy).$$

Since the value of the left-hand side of the last equality depends on the value y in the ball $||y|| \le \sqrt{t ||j||}$ [3, 5] and

$$\left\| \left. \Phi(s,x+y) - \Phi(s,x) - y \right\| \leq \left\| \left. \Phi_s'(x) - I \right\| \left\| y \right\| \leq C_1 s \left\| y \right\| \leq C_2 s \sqrt{t}$$

with some $C_2 > 0$ for $s \in (0, 1)$ because of (12),

$$||T(t)P(s)v - P(s)T(t)v|| \le C_2 s\sqrt{t} \sup_{t} ||v'(\cdot)|| \le s\sqrt{t} h(v).$$

Standard integral inequalities yield the following estimates of solutions of equations (12), (13):

$$\|\Phi'_{t}(x)\| \le \exp(C_{3}t); \quad \|\Phi''_{t}(x)\| \le C_{4}t\exp(C_{5}t)$$
$$(C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5} > 0; \ t \in [0, t_{0}] \subset [0, \infty)).$$

In view of (10), (11), we get $h(P(t)u) \le [\exp(2C_3t) + C_4t \exp(C_5t)]h(u)$. By virtue of (15), this proves condition 3) of Theorem 1.

Let us verify condition 4).

$$||T(t)u - u|| = \left\| \int_{0}^{t} T(t) Lu dt \right\| \le \frac{1}{2} t ||j|| \sup_{H} ||u''(\cdot)||;$$

$$u(\Phi(t,x)) - u(x) = (u'(\Phi(\tau,x)), Y(\Phi(\tau,x)))t \quad (\exists \ \tau \in (0,t)),$$

so $|| (P(t)-I) u || \le C_6 t \sup_{H} || u'(\cdot) ||$ and

$$||P(t)T(t)u - u|| \le ||(P(t) - I)T(t)u|| + ||T(t)u - u|| \le th(u)$$

(for an appropriate constant C > 0);

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t} (P(t)T(t)u - u) - (L + Y)u \right\| \le \left\| \frac{1}{t} (P(t)T(t)u - T(t)u) - Y(T(t)u) \right\| + \|Y(T(t)u - u)\| + \left\| \frac{1}{t} (T(t)u - u) - Lu \right\|;$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t} (P(t) v - v) - Y v \right\| \le \frac{1}{2} t \sup_{x \in H; \ \tau \in [0, t]} \left| \frac{d^2}{d \tau^2} (v \circ \Phi_{\tau})(x) \right| \le C_7 t h(v);$$

$$\| Y (T(t) u - u) \| \le \sqrt{t \|j\|} \sup_{H} \| u'' \| \sup_{H} \| Y \| \le C_8 t^{1/2} h(u);$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t} (T(t) u - u) - L u \right\| \le \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \| L (T(t) u - u) \| dt.$$
(16)

Let

$$\varepsilon(\delta, u) = \sup \{ ||u''(x) - u''(y)|| | ||x - y|| \le \delta \} + \sup \{ ||u'(x) - u'(y)|| | ||x - y|| \le \delta \},$$

 $\varepsilon(\delta, u) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0+$.

Since $\|(T(t)u - u)''\| \le \varepsilon(\sqrt{t\|j\|}, u)$ and because of (16),

$$\left\|\frac{1}{t}(T(t)u-u)-Lu\right\|\leq \frac{1}{2}\,\|j\|\,\varepsilon\bigl(\sqrt{t\,\|j\|},u\bigr).$$

Since $h(T(t)u) \le h(u)$, it is natural to set

$$q(t,u) = C_7 t h(u) + C_8 t^{1/2} h(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|j\| \varepsilon \left(\sqrt{t \|j\|}, u \right).$$

To verify condition (6), it suffices to verify that

$$\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}\|j\|}, B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n u\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let

$$\alpha(\delta) = \sup \{ ||Y''(x) - Y''(y)|| | ||x - y|| \le \delta \} + \sup \{ ||Y'(x) - Y'(y)|| | ||x - y|| \le \delta \}$$

(the uniform continuity of Y'' on H follows from the construction of the vector field Y). It follows from (10) – (13) by means of the integral inequalities [8] that there exist $t_0 > 0$, c > 0 such that

$$\varepsilon\big(\delta,P(t)u\big) \leq cth(u)\alpha\big(e^{ct}\delta\big) + e^{ct}\varepsilon\big(e^{ct}\delta,u\big)$$

for any $t \in (0, t_0), u \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Also, we have $\varepsilon(\delta, T(t)u) \le \varepsilon(\delta, u)$. This implies that (put $c \ge u$)

$$\varepsilon\left(\delta, B\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n u\right) \leq ct e^{ct} h(u) \alpha(e^{ct} \delta) + e^{ct} \varepsilon(e^{ct} \delta, u).$$

It only remains to note that $\alpha(\delta) \to 0$ and $\epsilon(\delta, u) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$.

5. The continuation procedure of the function $v \in X(S)$ to a function on the whole H described in [4], defines a mapping $i: X(S) \to X$ for which $i(\mathfrak{U}(S)) \subset \mathfrak{U}$. The restriction of the function $u \in X$ to S gives the function $p(u) \in X(S)$. Furthermore, $p(\mathfrak{U}) = \mathfrak{U}(S)$, i and p are linear bounded operators.

We define operators W(t): $X(S) \to X(S)$ $(t \ge 0)$ by the rule: W(t) = pV(t)i.

By virtue of (4) and (14), $L_S v \in X(S)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{U}(S)$. Put $\mathcal{D}(L_S) = \mathfrak{U}(S)$.

Theorem 2. W(t) is a contraction (C_0) -semigroup on X(S), $W'(0) = \overline{L}_S$.

Proof. To verify the semigroup rule, it suffices to verify that

$$W(t)(\operatorname{Ker} p) \subset \operatorname{Ker} p.$$
 (17)

Lemma 5. Let V(t) be a contraction (C_0) -semigroup on a Banach space X with a generator A and let X_1 be a subspace in X invariant with respect to $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$ for $\lambda > \lambda_0 \ge 0$. Then X_1 is invariant with respect to the operators V(t) $(t \ge 0)$.

Proof. X_1 is invariant with respect to the bounded operators $A_{\lambda} = \lambda A (\lambda - A)^{-1} (\lambda > \lambda_0)$ and, hence, it is invariant with respect to $\exp(tA_{\lambda})$. It remains to note that

$$V(t) = s - \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \exp(tA_{\lambda}).$$

The lemma is proved.

In the case under consideration, $A = \overline{L+Y}$. The condition $(\lambda - A)^{-1}(\text{Ker }p) \subseteq \text{Ker }p \ (\lambda > 0)$ follows from Lemma 4. Thus, (17) is proved.

The conditions $I = s - \lim_{t \to \infty} |W(t)|$ and $||W(t)|| \le 1$ are evident.

In view of Lemma 1. $p(L+Y)i = L_S$. Hence, $L_S \subset W'(0)$. We shall show that $p(\mathcal{D}(\overline{L+Y})) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{L_S})$. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{U}$, $u_n \to u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{L+Y})$, $(L+Y)u_n \to (\overline{L+Y})u$. Then $pu_n \to pu$, $L_S pu_n = p(L+Y)u_n \to p(\overline{L+Y})u$, so $pu \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{L_S})$ and $\overline{L_S} pu = p(\overline{L+Y})u$.

For this reason, for $v \in \mathfrak{A}(S) = \mathcal{D}(L_S)$ we have $W(t)v = pV(t)iv \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{L}_S)$ and

$$\overline{L}_S W(t)v = \overline{L}_S p V(t)iv = p (\overline{L+Y}) V(t)iv =$$

$$= p V(t) (L+Y)iv = p V(t)iL_S v = W(t)L_S v$$

(due to (17) for $(L+Y)iv - iL_S v \in \text{Ker } p$).

Thus, $W(t)\mathcal{D}(\overline{L}_S) \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{L}_S)$ and $W'(0) = \overline{L}_S$.

So it is proved that the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = \overline{L}_S u, \\ u(0) \in D(\overline{L}_S) \end{cases}$$

is uniformly well-posed.

- Bogdansky Yu. V. The Cauchy problem for essentially infinite-dimensional parabolic equation on infinite-dimensional sphere // Ukr. Math. Zh. – 1983, – 35, Nº 1. – P. 18–22.
- Bogdansky Yu. V. The Cauchy problem for the heat equation with a nonregular elliptic operator // Ibid. - 1989. -41, Nº 5. - P. 584-590.
- Bogdansky Yu. V., Dalecky Yu. L. Cauchy problem for the simplest parabolic equation with essentially infinite-dimensional elliptic operator // Supplement to chapters IV-V. Dalecky Yu. L., Fomin S. V. Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional space. – Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991. – P. 309–322.
- Bogdansky Yu. V. The Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation with essentially infinitedimensional elliptic operator // Ukr. Math. Zh. − 1994. − 46, № 7. − P. 803–808.
- Bogdansky Yu. V. The Cauchy problem for parabolic equations with essentially infinite-dimensional elliptic operators // Ibid. 1977. 29, Nº 6. P. 781-784.
- Goldstein J. A. Semigroups of linear operators and applications. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, 1985. – 306 p.
- Dalecky Yu. L., Fomin S. V. Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional space. Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991. – 336 p.
- Dalecky Yu. L., Krein M. G. The stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach space. Moscow: Nauka, 1970. – 386 p.

Received 21,12,93