

Abnormal subgroups and Carter subgroups in some infinite groups

L. A. Kurdachenko and I. Ya. Subbotin

Dedicated to Yu.A. Drozd on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. Some properties of abnormal subgroups in generalized soluble groups are considered. In particular, the transitivity of abnormality in metahypercentral groups is proven. Also it is proven that a subgroup H of a radical group G is abnormal in G if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G . This result extends on radical groups the well-known criterion of abnormality for finite soluble groups due to D. Taunt. For some infinite groups (not only periodic) the existence of Carter subgroups and their conjugation have been also obtained.

A subgroup H of a group G is abnormal in G if $g \in \langle H, H^g \rangle$ for each element $g \in G$. Abnormal subgroups have appeared in the paper [HP] due to P. Hall, while the term "abnormal subgroup" itself belongs to R. Carter [CR]. Abnormal subgroups are antipodes to normal subgroups. In finite (especially soluble) groups the properties of abnormal subgroups have been studied in details. However we cannot say this about infinite groups. It is even unknown what groups contain proper abnormal subgroups. In this connection recall that a finite group is nilpotent if and only if it does not include proper abnormal subgroups. If G is a locally nilpotent group, then G has no proper abnormal subgroups [KUS]. However, in general, the converse assertion is not proven. In [KOS, KS 2] some classes of infinite groups, in which the absence of proper abnormal subgroups implies locally nilpotency, have been considered.

As normality, abnormality is not a transitive relation (see, for example group S_4). The groups (finite and infinite) with transitivity of normality

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20E34, 20F19, 20F22.

Key words and phrases: abnormal subgroups, pronormal subgroups, Carter subgroups.

are studied well enough (the most complete results one can find in [RD]). The situation for the groups with transitivity of abnormality is different. From the results of § 13 of Chapter VI of book [HB] it follows that in every finite metanilpotent group abnormality is transitive. For infinite groups this question has been considered in [KS 1, KS 2]. In the current article the following most general result has been obtained.

1.2. Theorem. *Let G be a group and suppose that A is a normal subgroup of G such that G/A has no proper abnormal subgroups. If A satisfies the normalizer condition, then in G abnormality is transitive.*

It is interesting to mention that this theorem and results of [RD] implies that soluble groups with transitivity of normality is a proper subclass of soluble groups in which abnormality is transitive.

In finite soluble groups abnormality is tightly connected to selfnormalizing. For example, D. Taunt has proved that a subgroup H of a finite soluble group G is abnormal if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G (see, for example, [RD 6, 9.2.11]). Remind that a subgroup S is said to be an intermediate subgroup for H if $H \leq S$. The following theorem extends this result on radical groups.

1.6. Theorem. *Let G be a radical group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then H is abnormal in G if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G .*

In finite groups there are many important families of subgroups having crucial influence on the group structure (for example Sylow and Hall subgroups, system normalizers, subgroups defined by formations, and so on). Many of them are very specific for the finite groups. With Carter subgroups the situation is different. In their original definition we can find no specifications related to finite groups. These subgroups are very tightly connected to abnormality. Indeed, Carter subgroups of finite groups can be defined as abnormal nilpotent subgroups. The following question naturally arises: which infinite groups possess Carter subgroups? S.E. Stonehewer in his papers [SE 1, SE 2] proved that periodic locally soluble groups having a locally nilpotent radical of finite index and locally soluble FC – groups are some examples of such infinite groups. In the current article we consider the following class of infinite groups.

Let \mathfrak{X} is a class of groups. A group G is said to be an artinian-by- \mathfrak{X} –group if G has a normal subgroup H such that G/H belongs to the class \mathfrak{X} and H satisfies $Min-G$.

This kind of groups has been introduced by D.J.S. Robinson [RD 3 – RD 5, RD 7, RD 8] and D.I. Zaitsev [ZD 1 – ZD 5] in their series of papers dedicated to the existence of complements to the \mathfrak{X} – residual (when it is abelian) for some natural classes \mathfrak{X} (such as hypercentral groups, locally nilpotent groups, hypercyclic groups, locally supersoluble groups, hyperfinite groups). For the classes \mathfrak{X} considered in our paper this definition implies that if G is an artinian – by – \mathfrak{X} – group and $R = G_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is its \mathfrak{X} – residual, then $G/R \in \mathfrak{X}$ and R satisfies Min- G .

We will deal with artinian – by – hypercentral groups whose locally nilpotent residual is nilpotent. It is a natural first step. Since these groups are generalizations of finite metanilpotent groups, we will use for the definition some characterizations of Carter subgroups, which are valid for finite metanilpotent groups. In particular, in finite metanilpotent groups Carter subgroups coincide with system normalizers (see, for example, [RD 6, 9.5.10]). By a result due to P. Hall (see, for example, [RD 6, 9.2.15]) the system normalizers of a finite soluble group are precisely its minimal subabnormal subgroups. As we have noted above, in a finite metanilpotent group every subabnormal subgroup is abnormal. Consequently, we can define a Carter subgroup in a metanilpotent group as a minimal abnormal subgroup.

2.1. Theorem. *Let G be an artinian – by – hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. Then G includes a minimal abnormal subgroup L . Moreover, L is a maximal hypercentral subgroup and it includes the upper hypercenter of G . In particular, $G = KL$. If H is another minimal abnormal subgroup, then H is conjugate to L .*

2.2. Corollary. *Let G be an artinian – by – hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. Then G includes a hypercentral abnormal subgroup L . Moreover, L is maximal hypercentral subgroup and it includes the upper hypercenter of G . In particular, $G = KL$. If H is another hypercentral abnormal subgroup, then H is conjugate to L .*

Let G be an artinian – by – hypercentral group with a nilpotent hypercentral residual.

A subgroup L is called a Carter subgroup of a group G if H is a hypercentral abnormal subgroup of G (or, equivalently, H is a minimal abnormal subgroup of G).

A Carter subgroup in finite soluble group can be defined as a covering subgroup for the formation of nilpotent groups. As we will see, this characterization can be extended on the groups under consideration.

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is said to be a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup if H is locally nilpotent and if $S = S_{\mathbf{LN}}H$ for every subgroup S , which includes H . Here $S_{\mathbf{LN}}$ is a locally nilpotent residual of subgroup S .

2.3. Theorem. *Let G be an artinian – by – hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. If L is a Carter subgroup of G , then L is a \mathbf{LN} – covering subgroup of G . Conversely, if H is a \mathbf{LN} – covering subgroup of G , then H is a Carter subgroup of G .*

In a finite soluble group \mathfrak{N} -covering subgroups are exactly \mathfrak{N} -projectors. Therefore a Carter subgroup of a finite soluble group can be defined as an \mathfrak{N} -projector. As we will see, this characterization also can be extended on the considered in this article groups.

Recall that a subgroup L of a group G is said to be a locally nilpotent projector, if LH/H is a maximal locally nilpotent subgroup of G/H for each normal subgroup H of a group G .

2.4. Theorem. *Let G be an artinian – by – hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. If L is a Carter subgroup of G , then L is a locally nilpotent projector of G . Conversely, if D is a locally nilpotent projector of G , then H is a Carter subgroup of G .*

1. Abnormal subgroups in some infinite groups

We will consider some classes of groups, in which abnormality is transitive.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a group and B be an abnormal subgroup of G . Suppose that $B = HA$ where A is a normal subgroup of a group G . If H is an abnormal subgroup of B , then H is an abnormal subgroup of G .*

This assertion belongs to P. Hall and one can find it, for example, in the book [RD 6, 9.2.12] where it is proven for finite groups G . However this proof does not use the finiteness of G and the result is valid for infinite groups also.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a group and suppose that A is a normal subgroup of G such that G/A has no proper abnormal subgroups. If A satisfies the normalizer condition, then in G abnormality is transitive*

Proof. Let B be an abnormal subgroup of G and let H be an abnormal subgroup of B . If $B = G$, then all is proved. Suppose that $B \neq G$. By elementary properties of abnormal subgroups AB is abnormal in G . Since G/A has no proper abnormal subgroup, $AB = G$. Proceeding by induction, we will construct a strictly ascending chain

$$B = B_0 < B_1 < \dots B_\alpha < B_{\alpha+1} < \dots$$

of subgroups such that H is abnormal in every subgroup B_α . Put $K = A \cap B$. Then K is a normal subgroup of B , so that KH is abnormal in B and KH/K is abnormal in B/K . Since $G/A = AB/A \cong B/(A \cap B) = B/K$, we obtain that B/K has no proper abnormal subgroups. It follows that $B/K = HK/K$, that is $B = HK$. Since A satisfies the normalizer condition, there exists a subgroup K_1 such that $K < K_1$ and K is a normal subgroup of K_1 . Put $B_1 = \langle B, K_1 \rangle$. Then $B \neq B_1$. Since K is normal in both B and K_1 , K is normal in B_1 . By Lemma 1.1 the equation $B = HK$ implies that H is abnormal in B_1 . Suppose that we have already constructed the subgroups B_β for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let first $\alpha - 1$ exists and $B_{\alpha-1} \neq G$. Since $B \leq B_{\alpha-1}$, the latter is abnormal in G . By elementary properties of abnormal subgroups $AB_{\alpha-1}$ is abnormal in G . Since G/A has no proper abnormal subgroups, $AB_{\alpha-1} = G$. Put $K_{\alpha-1} = A \cap B_{\alpha-1}$. Then $K_{\alpha-1}$ is a normal subgroup of $B_{\alpha-1}$, so that $K_{\alpha-1}H$ is abnormal in $B_{\alpha-1}$ and $K_{\alpha-1}H/K_{\alpha-1}$ is abnormal in $B_{\alpha-1}/K_{\alpha-1}$. However, since $G/A = AB_{\alpha-1}/A \cong B_{\alpha-1}/(A \cap B_{\alpha-1}) = B_{\alpha-1}/K_{\alpha-1}$, we obtain that $B_{\alpha-1}/K_{\alpha-1}$ has no proper abnormal subgroups. It follows that $B_{\alpha-1}/K_{\alpha-1} = K_{\alpha-1}H/K_{\alpha-1}$, that is $B_{\alpha-1} = K_{\alpha-1}H$. Since A satisfies the normalizer condition, there exists a subgroup K_α such that $K_{\alpha-1} < K_\alpha$ and $K_{\alpha-1}$ is a normal subgroup of K_α . Put $B_\alpha = \langle B_{\alpha-1}, K_\alpha \rangle$. Then $B_{\alpha-1} \neq B_\alpha$. Since $K_{\alpha-1}$ is normal in both $B_{\alpha-1}$ and K_α , $K_{\alpha-1}$ is normal in B_α . By Lemma 1.1 the equation $B_{\alpha-1} = K_{\alpha-1}H$ implies that H is abnormal in B_α .

Suppose now that α is a limit ordinal. Then put $B_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta$. Let x be an arbitrary element of B_α . Then $x \in B_\nu$ for some $\nu < \alpha$. We have $\langle H, H^x \rangle \leq B_\nu$. Since H is abnormal in B_ν , $x \in \langle H, H^x \rangle$. This means that H is an abnormal subgroup of B_α .

By our construction, $B_{\alpha-1} \neq B_\alpha$ for each ordinal α . It follows that there is an ordinal γ such that $B_\gamma = G$. Thus H is abnormal in $B_\gamma = G$. \square

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a group and suppose that A is a normal subgroup of G such that G/A does not include proper abnormal subgroup. If A is hypercentral, then in G abnormality is transitive.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a group and suppose that A is a normal subgroup of G such that G/A is locally nilpotent. If A is hypercentral, then in G abnormality is transitive.*

Indeed, a locally nilpotent group does not include proper abnormal subgroups [KUS].

Lemma 1.5. *Let G be a group, H be a subgroup of G and let D be an H - invariant subgroup. Suppose that every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G . If D is a locally nilpotent subgroup, then H is abnormal in HD .*

Proof. Put $L = HD$. Choose an arbitrary element $d \in D$ and consider the subgroup $K = \langle H, H^d \rangle$. Since $H \leq K, L = DK$. It follows that $B = D \cap K$ is a normal subgroup of K , in particular, B is H - invariant. If $d \in B$, then $d \in HB = K = \langle H, H^d \rangle$. Suppose that $d \notin B$. In the subgroup $U = \langle d, B \rangle$ choose a subgroup M , which is maximal with the properties $B \leq M$ and $d \notin M$. Clearly M is a maximal subgroup of U . Since U is locally nilpotent, M is normal in U (see, for example, [RD 1, Theorem 5.38]). In particular, $d^{-1}Md = M$. If $h \in H$ then $[h, d] \in K$, that is $[h, d] \in D \cap K$. As above $K = (D \cap K)H = BH$. We have now $h^d = h[h, d] \in HB = K$ for each element $h \in H$. Let $y \in M, h \in H$ and consider the element $d^{-1}(h^{-1}yh)d = d^{-1}h^{-1}yhd$. Since $dhd^{-1}h^{-1} = b \in B, d^{-1}h^{-1} = h^{-1}d^{-1}b$. Similarly, $hd = adh$ for some element $a \in B$. Now we have $d^{-1}h^{-1}yhd = h^{-1}d^{-1}(bya)dh$. The inclusion $B \leq M$ implies that $bya \in M$. Then $d^{-1}(bya)d \in M$ and hence $h^{-1}d^{-1}(bya)dh \in h^{-1}Mh$. In other words, $d^{-1}(h^{-1}Mh)d = h^{-1}Mh$. Let $C = \bigcap_{h \in H} (h^{-1}Mh)$, then by above $d^{-1}Cd = C$. Since $B \leq M, B = h^{-1}Bh \leq h^{-1}Mh$ for each $h \in H$, so that $B \leq C$. Furthermore, $d^{-1}Hd \leq K = HB \leq HC$.

It follows that $d^{-1}(HC)d \leq HC$. In other words, $d \in N_G(HC)$. Since $HC \cap D = C(H \cap D) \leq CB = C, d \notin HC$. On the other hand, by the conditions of our lemma HC is self - normalizing. This contradiction shows that $d \in B$ and hence $d \in K = \langle H, H^d \rangle$, which means that H is abnormal in HD . \square

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a radical group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then H is abnormal in G if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G .*

Proof. Let

$$(1) = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots A_\alpha \leq A_{\alpha+1} \leq \dots A_\gamma = G$$

be a series of normal subgroups of G , whose factors are locally nilpotent. If H is abnormal in G , then every intermediate subgroup for H is self-normalizing (see, for example, [RD 6, p. 266]). Conversely, suppose that every intermediate subgroup for H is self-normalizing and will prove that H is abnormal in G . More precisely, we will prove that H is abnormal in $A_\alpha H$ for each $\alpha \leq \gamma$. By Lemma 1.5 H is abnormal in HA_1 , so, for the case $\alpha = 1$ all is proved. Suppose that we have already proved that H is abnormal in $A_\beta H$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. Choose an arbitrary element $a \in A_\alpha$ and consider the subgroup $K = \langle H, H^a \rangle$. Suppose firstly that α is a limit ordinal. Then there is an ordinal $\beta < \alpha$ such that $a \in A_\beta$. Since H is abnormal in $A_\beta H$, $a \in K = \langle H, H^a \rangle$. It follows that H is abnormal in $A_\alpha H$. Assume now that α is not limit. Put $U = A_\alpha$ and $V = A_{\alpha-1}$. Consider the factor-group UH/V . Let Z/V be an intermediate subgroup for HV/V in HU/V . If xV is an element of UH/V such that $Z/V = (Z/V)^{xV}$, then $(Z/V)^{xV} = (Z^x V)/V = Z^x/V$ implies $Z^x = Z$. Since $VH \leq Z$, the subgroup Z is self-normalizing, in particular, $x \in Z$. In other words, every subgroup of UH/V , which is intermediate for HV/V , is self-normalizing. By Lemma 1.5 HV/V is abnormal in HU/V . In turn it follows that HV is abnormal in HU . By the induction hypothesis H is abnormal in VH . The application of Lemma 1.1 gives that H is abnormal in $HU = HA_\alpha$. Since it is valid for each ordinal α , H is abnormal in $HA_\gamma = G$. \square

Corollary 1.7. *Let G be a hyperabelian group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then H is abnormal in G if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G .*

Corollary 1.8. *Let G be a soluble group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then H is abnormal in G if and only if every intermediate subgroup for H coincides with its normalizer in G .*

For the consideration of artinian – by – \mathfrak{X} – groups we need some notions connected with the acting of a group on its abelian subgroup. It is better to formulate these concepts using the Modules Theory language.

Let R be a ring, G be a group, A be an RG -module, B, C be RG -submodules of A such that $B \leq C$. Factor C/B is called central (more exactly RG -central) (respectively eccentric or RG -eccentric) if $G = C_G(C/B)$ (respectively $G \neq C_G(C/B)$). Put

$$\zeta_{RG}(A) = \{a \in A \mid aRG \text{ is } RG\text{-central}\}.$$

Clearly $\zeta_{RG}(A)$ is an RG – submodule of A . This submodule is called the RG – center of A .

Starting from the RG – center we can construct the upper RG – central series of the module A :

$$\langle 0 \rangle = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots A_\alpha \leq A_{\alpha+1} \leq \dots A_\gamma$$

where $A_1 = \zeta_{RG}(A)$, $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha = \zeta_{RG}(A/A_\alpha)$, $\alpha < \gamma$, and $\zeta_{RG}(A/A_\gamma) = \langle 0 \rangle$.

The last term A_γ of this series is called the upper RG – hypercenter of the module A and denote by $\zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$. If $A = A_\gamma$, then the module A is called RG – hypercentral; if γ is finite, then A is called RG – nilpotent.

On the other hand, an RG – submodule C of A is said to be RG – hypereccentric, if it has an ascending series

$$\langle 0 \rangle = C_0 \leq C_1 \leq \dots C_\alpha \leq C_{\alpha+1} \leq \dots C_\gamma = C$$

of RG – submodules of A such that each factor $C_{\alpha+1}/C_\alpha$ is an RG – eccentric simple RG – module, for every $\alpha < \gamma$.

Following D.I. Zaitsev [ZD 1], we say that an RG -module A has the Z - decomposition if the following equality holds $A = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A) \oplus \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ where $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ is the maximal RG - hypereccentric RG - submodule of A .

Note that if A is an artinian RG – module, then $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ includes every RG – hypereccentric RG – submodule, in particular, it is unique. In fact, let B be an RG – hypereccentric RG – submodule of A , $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$. If $(B + E)/E$ is non – zero it includes a non – zero simple RG – submodule U/E . Since $(B + E)/E \cong B/(B \cap E)$, U/E is RG – isomorphic with some simple RG – factor of B and it follows that $G \neq C_G(U/E)$. On the other hand, $(B + E)/E \leq A/E \cong \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, that is $G = C_G(U/E)$. This contradiction proves that $B \leq E$. Hence $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ includes every RG – hypereccentric RG – submodule, in particular, it is unique.

Lemma 1.9. *Let G be a group and suppose that A is a normal abelian subgroup of G such that G/A is hypercentral and A satisfies Min – G . If $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ and H is a complement to $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$, then H is abnormal in G .*

Proof. By Theorem 1' of [ZD 1] A has the Z – decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{ZG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$. Factor – group G/E is hypercentral, so that by Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] G includes a subgroup H such that $G = EH$ and $E \cap H = \langle 1 \rangle$. Moreover, every complement to E in G is conjugate to H . Let S be an intermediate subgroup for H , that is $H \leq S$. Then $S = (E \cap S)H$. Clearly, $E \cap S$ is a G – invariant subgroup, moreover, every

S – invariant (even H – invariant) subgroup of $E \cap S$ is G – invariant. Put $L = N_G(S)$. Similarly $L = (E \cap L)H$. If $L \neq S$, then $E \cap S \neq E \cap L$. In factor – group $L/(E \cap S)$ we have $S/(E \cap S) = H(E \cap S)/(E \cap S)$. If $x \in (L \cap E) \setminus S$, then $[x, S] \leq S$, so that $[x, S](E \cap S)/(E \cap S) \leq H(E \cap S)/(E \cap S)$. On the other hand, $[x, S] \leq E$, that is $[x, S] \leq E \cap S$. In other words, factor $(E \cap L)/(E \cap S)$ is S – central, and therefore G – central. However, by the selection of E every G – chief factor of E is G – eccentric, so that E does not have the G – central factors. This contradiction shows that $S = N_G(S)$. By Corollary 1.7 H is an abnormal subgroup of G . \square

The next theorem is about artinian – by – hypercentral groups with no proper abnormal subgroups.

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a group and suppose that T is a normal soluble subgroup of G such that G/T is hypercentral and T satisfies Min – G . Group G is hypercentral if and only if G has no proper abnormal subgroups.*

Proof. Let

$$\langle 1 \rangle = T_0 \leq T_1 \leq \dots \leq T_d = T$$

be the derived series of T . We will use induction by d . Let $d = 1$, that is $A = T_1$ is abelian. Then A has the Z – decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem 1']. Suppose that G is not hypercentral. It follows that $E \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. Factor – group G/E is hypercentral, so that by Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] G includes a subgroup H such that $G = EH$ and $E \cap H = \langle 1 \rangle$. By Lemma 1.9 subgroup H is abnormal in G . This contradiction shows that $E = \langle 1 \rangle$, and hence G is hypercentral.

Let now $d > 1$ and we have already proved that G/T_1 is hypercentral. We can repeat the above arguments and obtain that G is hypercentral. \square

2. Carter subgroups in some infinite groups

We will consider the existence of Carter subgroups in some artinian – by – hypercentral groups.

Note that if G is an artinian – by – hypercentral group, then its hypercentral residual R coincides with locally nilpotent residual K , moreover G/K is hypercentral. Indeed, $K \leq R$. Since G/K is locally nilpotent, it has a central series \mathfrak{Z} (see, for example, [RD 2, Corollary to Theorem

8.24]). Put $Z_1 = \{Z \cap (R/K) \mid Z \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$. Since R/K satisfies Min - G , it has a minimal element C/K . But every chief factor of a locally nilpotent group is central (see, for example, [RD 1, Corollary 1 to Theorem 5.27]). In other words, $\zeta(G/K)$ is non - identity. Using the similar arguments and transfinite induction, we obtain that R/K has an ascending G - central series. Since G/R is hypercentral, G/K is likewise hypercentral.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be an artinian - by - hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. Then G includes a minimal abnormal subgroup L . Moreover, L is a maximal hypercentral subgroup and it includes the upper hypercenter of G . In particular, $G = KL$. If H is another minimal abnormal subgroup, then H is conjugate to L .*

Proof. Let

$$\langle 1 \rangle = K_0 \leq K_1 \leq \dots \leq K_c = K$$

be the upper central series of K . We will use induction by c . Let $c = 1$, that is $A = K_1$ is abelian. Then A has the Z - decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem 1']. Factor - group G/E is hypercentral, so that by Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] G includes a subgroup L such that $G = EL$ and $E \cap L = \langle 1 \rangle$. By isomorphism $L \cong G/E$, subgroup L is hypercentral. By Lemma 1.9 L is abnormal in G . Let S be an intermediate subgroup for L . Then $S = (E \cap S)L$. Since E is abelian, the equation $G = ES$ implies that every S - invariant subgroup of E is also G - invariant. In particular, $E \cap S$ satisfies Min - G . Every G - chief factor of E is G - eccentric. Thus every S - chief factor of $E \cap S$ is S - eccentric. It follows that if $S \neq L$ (that is $E \cap S \neq \langle 1 \rangle$), then S can not be a hypercentral subgroup. Let H be another minimal abnormal subgroup of G . Then HE/E is an abnormal subgroup of G/E . Since a hypercentral group does not include proper abnormal subgroups [KUS], $HE/E = G/E$ or $HE = G$. Suppose that $E \cap H \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. Again each H - invariant subgroup of E is also G - invariant. In particular, $E \cap H$ satisfies Min - H and $E \cap H = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(E \cap H)$. By Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] H includes a subgroup U such that $H = (E \cap H)U$ and $(E \cap H) \cap U = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since $E \cap H \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, $H \neq U$. By Lemma 1.9 U is abnormal in H . Theorem 1.2 yields that U is abnormal in G . However, this contradicts the selection of H . This contradiction shows that $E \cap H = \langle 1 \rangle$. By Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] H is conjugate to subgroup L .

Suppose now that $c > 1$ and we have already proved theorem for factor - group G/A . Let V/A be a minimal abnormal subgroup of G/A . Since $C_G(A) \geq K$, $G/C_G(A)$ is hypercentral. Then A has the Z - decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem

1']. The equation $G/A = (T/A)(V/A)$ and the inclusion $A \leq \zeta(T)$ imply that every V -invariant subgroup of A is G -invariant. In other words, A satisfies Min- V and $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$. Factor-group V/E is hypercentral, so that by Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] V includes a subgroup L such that $V = EL$ and $E \cap L = \langle 1 \rangle$. By isomorphism $L \cong V/E$, L is hypercentral. As we proved above, L is abnormal in V , and Theorem 1.2 yields that L is abnormal in G because V is abnormal in G by induction hypothesis. Since L is hypercentral, L is a minimal abnormal subgroup of G . Let H be another minimal abnormal subgroup of G . Since G/T is hypercentral, $HT = G$. The inclusion $T_1 \geq \zeta(T)$ implies that every H -invariant subgroup of T_1 is also G -invariant. In particular, T_1 satisfies Min- H . By the same reason, every factor T_j/T_{j-1} satisfies Min- H for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq c$. It follows that T satisfies Min- H , in particular, $H \cap T$ satisfies Min- H . By isomorphism $H/(H \cap T) \cong HT/T$ factor-group $H/(H \cap T)$ is hypercentral. If W is an abnormal subgroup of H , then by Theorem 1.2 W is abnormal in G . By the selection of H it follows that H does not include a proper abnormal (in H) subgroup. By Theorem 1.10 H is hypercentral. So HA/A is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup of G/A . On other words, HA/A is a minimal abnormal subgroup of G/A . By inductive hypothesis there is an element $g \in G$ such that $(LA/A)^{gA} = HA/A$. Then $H \leq L^{gA}$. Since every H -invariant subgroup of A is also G -invariant, A satisfies Min- H and $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$. In particular, HC is hypercentral. Since H is abnormal in HC , it follows that $HC = H$. Furthermore, $H \cap E = \langle 1 \rangle$, so H is a complement to E in $HA = L^{gA}$. By Theorem 2 of [ZD 2] there is an element $z \in HA$ such that $H = L^{gz}$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be an artinian-by-hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. Then G includes a hypercentral abnormal subgroup L . Moreover, L is maximal hypercentral subgroup and it includes the upper hypercenter of G . In particular, $G = KL$. If H is another hypercentral abnormal subgroup, then H is conjugate to L .*

By Theorem 2.1 a minimal abnormal subgroup is hypercentral. Conversely, if L is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup then L does not include a proper abnormal subgroup [KUS]. This means that L is a minimal abnormal subgroup.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be an artinian-by-hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. If L is a Carter subgroup of G , then L is a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of G . Conversely, if H is a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of G , then H is a Carter subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let L be a Carter subgroup of G (its existence follows from Theorem 2.1). If $L \leq S$, then L is an abnormal subgroup of S . Let

$$\langle 1 \rangle = K_0 \leq K_1 \leq \dots \leq K_c = K$$

be the upper central series of T . By Theorem 2.1 $G = LK$. It follows that every L -invariant subgroup of K_j/K_{j-1} is G -invariant, $1 \leq j \leq c$. In particular, K_j/K_{j-1} satisfies $\text{Min} - L$, $1 \leq j \leq c$. Put $Q_j = S \cap K_j$, $0 \leq j \leq c$. Then every factor Q_j/Q_{j-1} is central in $S \cap K$ and satisfies $\text{Min} - L$. It follows that $S \cap K$ is a nilpotent subgroup satisfying $\text{Min} - L$. Since $S/(S \cap K) \cong SK/K$ is hypercentral and $L(S \cap K)/(S \cap K)$ is its abnormal subgroup, $L(S \cap K) = S$, because a hypercentral group does not include a proper abnormal subgroup. It follows that $S \cap K$ satisfies $\text{Min} - S$. In other words, S is an artinian - by - hypercentral group. Let R be the locally nilpotent residual of S . As we have already noted prior to this theorem, S/R is hypercentral. Since LR/R is an abnormal subgroup of S/R , $LR = S$. This means that L is a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of G .

Conversely, let H be an arbitrary \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of G . We will prove that H is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup of G . We will use for this induction by c . Let first $c = 1$, that is $A = K_1$ is abelian. Then A has the Z -decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem 1']. Clearly, E is the hypercentral residual (and the locally nilpotent residual) of G , so that $EH = G$. Suppose that $B = E \cap H \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. Obviously, B is a G -invariant subgroup of E . Since E satisfies $\text{Min} - G$, B includes a minimal G -invariant subgroup M . The equation $G = EH$ yields that every H -invariant subgroup of E is likewise G -invariant. It follows that M is a minimal H -invariant subgroup. However every chief factor of a locally nilpotent group H is central (see, for example, [RD 1, Corollary 1 to Theorem 5.27]), so that M is H -central. In this case M is central in G . This contradicts the inclusion $M \cap E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$. This contradiction shows that $H \cap E = \langle 1 \rangle$. Then $H \cong HE/H = G/E$ is hypercentral. By Lemma 1.9 H is abnormal in G .

Suppose now that $c > 1$ and consider factor - group G/A . It is not hard to see that HA/A is a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of G/A . By induction hypothesis HA/A is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup. Consider now a subgroup HA . Clearly H is a \mathbf{LN} -covering subgroup of HA . We have already proved that H is a hypercentral subgroup and H is abnormal in HA . By Lemma 1.1 H is abnormal in G . Hence H is a Carter subgroup of G . \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be an artinian - by - hypercentral group and suppose that its locally nilpotent residual K is nilpotent. If L is a Carter*

subgroup of G , then L is a locally nilpotent projector of G . Conversely, if D is a locally nilpotent projector of G , then H is a Carter subgroup of G .

Proof. Let L be a Carter subgroup of G ; its existence follows from Theorem 2.1. If H is a normal subgroup of G , then LH/H is an abnormal subgroup of G/H . Let K/H be a locally nilpotent subgroup including LH/H . Since a locally nilpotent group does not include a proper abnormal subgroups [KUS], $LH/H = K/H$. This means that L is a locally nilpotent projector.

Conversely, let D be an arbitrary locally nilpotent projector of G . Since DK/K is a maximal locally nilpotent subgroup of a hypercentral group G/E , $DK = G$. Let

$$\langle 1 \rangle = K_0 \leq K_1 \leq \dots \leq K_c = K$$

be the upper central series of T . We will prove that D is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup of G . We will use for this the induction by c . Let first $c = 1$, that is $A = K_1$ is abelian. Then A has the Z – decomposition $A = C \times E$, where $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A)$, $E = \varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem 1’]. Since E is a hypercentral residual of G , by above $DE = G$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we can prove that $E \cap D = \langle 1 \rangle$. Then $D \cong DE/H = G/E$ is hypercentral. By Lemma 1.9 D is abnormal in G . Suppose now that $c > 1$ and consider factor – group G/A . Obviously DA/A is a locally nilpotent projector of G/A . By induction hypothesis DA/A is an abnormal hypercentral subgroup. Consider now a subgroup HA . The inclusion $A \leq \zeta(K)$ and the equation $G = KD$ implies that every D – invariant subgroup of A is G – invariant. In particular, A satisfies Min – G . Since DA/A is hypercentral, A has the Z – decomposition $A = Z \times U$, where $C = \zeta_{RD}^\infty(A)$, $U = \varepsilon_{RD}^\infty(A)$ [ZD 1, Theorem 1’]. Moreover, $C = \zeta_{RG}^\infty(A) = \zeta_{RD}^\infty(A)$, $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A) = \varepsilon_{RD}^\infty(A)$. Since D is a maximal locally nilpotent subgroup, $Z \leq D$. Using the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.3 and the equation $\varepsilon_{RG}^\infty(A) = \varepsilon_{RD}^\infty(A)$ we can prove that $D \cap U = \langle 1 \rangle$. Lemma 1.9 implies that D is abnormal in DA . By Lemma 1.1 D is abnormal in G . Hence H is a Carter subgroup of G . \square

References

- [CR] Carter R.W. Nilpotent self – normalizing subgroups of soluble groups. Math. Z. 75(1961), no. 2, 136-139.
- [DH] Doerk K., Hawkes T. Finite soluble groups. WALTER DE GRUYTER : Berlin - 1992.
- [HP] Hall P. On the system normalizers of a soluble groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. 43(1937), 507 - 528.

-
- [HB] Huppert B. Endliche Gruppen I. SPRINGER: Berlin - 1967.
- [KOS] Kurdachenko L.A., Otal J. and Subbotin I.Ya, On some criteria of nilpotency. Communications in Algebra, 30(2002), no 2, 3755 – 3776.
- [KS 1] Kurdachenko L.A. and Subbotin I.Ya, On transitivity of abnormality. Publicationes Mathematicae, 61(2002), 61, no 3 - 4, 479 – 486.
- [KS 2] Kurdachenko L.A. and Subbotin I.Ya, Pronormality, contranormality and generalized nilpotency in infinite groups. Publicacions Matemàtiques, 47(2003), number 2, 389 – 414.
- [KUS] Kuzennyi N.F. and Subbotin I.Ya, New characterization of locally nilpotent IH – groups. Ukr. Math. J. 40(1988), 274 – 277.
- [MA] Mann A. A criterion for pronormality. J. London Math. Soc. 44(1969), 175 - 176.
- [RD] Robinson, D.J.S. Groups in which normality is a transitive relation. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 60 (1964) 21-38.
- [RD 1] Robinson D.J.S. Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. Part 1. Springer: Berlin, 1972.
- [RD 2] Robinson D.J.S. Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. Part 2. Springer: Berlin, 1972.
- [RD 3] Robinson D.J.S. The vanishing of certain homology and cohomology group. Journal pure applied Algebra 7(1976), 145 - 167.
- [RD 4] Robinson D.J.S. On the homology of hypercentral groups. Archiv Math. 32(1979), 223 - 226.
- [RD 5] Robinson D.J.S. Applications of cohomology to the theory of groups. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 71(1982), 46 - 80.
- [RD 6] Robinson D.J.S. A course in the theory of groups. Springer: New York, 1982.
- [RD 7] Robinson D.J.S. Cohomology of locally nilpotent groups. Journal pure applied Algebra 48(1987), 281 - 300.
- [RD 8] Robinson D.J.S. Homology and cohomology of locally supersoluble groups. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 102(1987), 233 - 250.
- [SE 1] Stonehewer S.E. Abnormal subgroup of a class of periodic locally soluble groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. 14(1964), 520 - 536.
- [SE 2] Stonehewer S.E. Locally soluble FC – groups. Archiv Math. 16(1965), 158 - 177.
- [ZD 1] Zaitsev D.I. The hypercyclic extensions of abelian groups. "The groups defined by the properties of systems of subgroups", MATH. INST.: Kiev - 1979, 16 - 37.
- [ZD 2] Zaitsev D.I. On splitting of extensions of the abelian groups. "The investigations of groups with the prescribed properties of systems of subgroups", MATH. INST.: Kiev - 1981, 14 - 25.

-
- [ZD 3] Zaitsev D.I. The splitting extensions of abelian groups. "The structure of groups and the properties of its subgroups", MATH. INST.: Kiev - 1986, 22 - 31.
- [ZD 4] Zaitsev D.I. The hyperfinite extensions of abelian groups. "The investigations of groups with the restrictions on subgroups", MATH. INST.: Kiev - 1988, 17 - 26.
- [ZD 5] Zaitsev D.I. On locally supersoluble extensions of abelian groups. Ukrain. Math. J. – 42(1990), no 2, 908 - 912.

CONTACT INFORMATION

**Leonid A.
Kurdachenko**

Mathematics Department, National Dne-
propetrovsk University, Provylok Naukovyi
13, 49050 Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine
E-Mail: mmf@ff.dsu.dp.ua

Igor Ya. Subbotin

Mathematics Department, National Univer-
sity, 9920 S. La Cienega Blvd. Inglewood,
CA 90301, USA
E-Mail: isubboti@nu.edu

Received by the editors: 08.10.2004
and in final form 24.03.2005.