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Abstract. Base (minimal generating set) of the Sylow 2subgroup of $S_{2^{n}}$ is called diagonal if every element of this set acts non-trivially only on one coordinate, and different elements act on different coordinates. The Sylow 2-subgroup $P_{n}(2)$ of $S_{2^{n}}$ acts by conjugation on the set of all bases. In presented paper the stabilizer of the set of all diagonal bases in $S_{n}(2)$ is characterized and the orbits of the action are determined. It is shown that every orbit contains exactly $2^{n-1}$ diagonal bases and $2^{2^{n}-2 n}$ bases at all. Recursive construction of Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ on diagonal bases $(n \geqslant 2)$ is proposed.

## Introduction

Let $n$ be a positive integer greater then 1 and let $p$ be a prime. By $P_{n}(p)$ we denote the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{p^{n}}$. In this paper by base of a group we mean a minimal set of generators of this group (whitch further is simply called a base).

It is known that

$$
P_{n}(p) \cong \underbrace{C_{p} \prec C_{p} \prec \ldots \prec C_{p}}_{n},
$$
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where $C_{p}$ is a cyclic permutation group of order $p$. For every finite $p$ group $G$ the following equality holds:

$$
\Phi(G)=G^{\prime} \cdot G^{p}
$$

where $\Phi(G)$ is a Frattini subgroup of $G$ (see e.g. [2]). If $G=P_{n}(p)$ then $G^{\prime}=G^{p}$, thus

$$
\Phi\left(P_{n}(p)\right)=\left(P_{n}(p)\right)^{\prime}
$$

So

$$
P_{n}(p) /\left(P_{n}(p)\right)^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}
$$

but $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and every basis of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ induces a base of $P_{n}(p)$. Thus every base of $P_{n}(p)$ has exactly $n$ elements. The group $P_{n}(p)$ acts on the set of bases of $P_{n}(p)$ by inner automorphisms. The purpose of this article is to investigate orbits of this action and the respective Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(p)$. We will consider the case $p=2$, because group $P_{n}(2)$ is of particular interest. Namely group $P_{n}(2)$ is the full group of automorphisms of 2 -adic rooted tree of height $n$ (see eg. [3]) and the inverse limit of such groups is a group of automorphisms of 2-adic rooted tree, which is widely investigated because of its properties (for the survey, see e.g. [1]). On the other hand, $p=2$ is also the only case for which considered diagonal bases generate undirected Cayley graphs.

In Section 2 we recall basic facts about Sylow p-subgroups of symmetric groups and the polynomial (Kaluzhnin) representation of such subgroups. Section 3 shows a special type of bases of Sylow 2-subgroups of $S_{2^{n}}$ called diagonal bases and some of their properties (an exemplary construction of a diagonal base is presented in [5]). Also in this section we present some further investigations of these bases, which lead us to the definition of primal diagonal bases and characterize the orbits of the action of $P_{n}(2)$ by inner automorphisms on the set of all diagonal bases. In Section 4 we present a recursive algorithm for construction of Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ on diagonal bases. In Section 5 we give some examples of Cayley graphs constructed with the proposed algorithm and present two non-isomorphic Cayley graphs of $P_{3}(n)$.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $X_{i}$ be the vector of variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i}$. Polynomial representation of group $P_{n}(p)$ (see e.g. [4], [6]) states that every element $f \in P_{n}(p)$ can be written in form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), f_{3}\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $f_{i}: \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{i-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$ are reduced polynomials from the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[X_{i}\right] /\left\langle x_{1}^{p}-x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{p}-x_{i}\right\rangle$. Following the original paper of L. Kaluzhnin ([4]) we call such element $f$ a tableau. By $[f]_{i}$ we denote the $i$-th coordinate of tableau $f$ and by $f_{(i)}$ we denote the table

$$
f_{(i)}=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)\right] \in P_{i}(p)
$$

where $i \leqslant n$.
For tableaux $f, g \in P_{n}(p)$, where $f$ has the form (1) and

$$
g=\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), g_{3}\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]
$$

the product $f g$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
f g= & {\left[f_{1}+g_{1}, f_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)+g_{2}\left(x_{1}+f_{1}\right), \ldots,\right.} \\
& \left.f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)+g_{n}\left(x_{1}+f_{1}, x_{2}+f_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n-1}+f_{n-1}\left(X_{n-2}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the inverse

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}=[ & -f_{1},-f_{2}\left(x_{1}-f_{1}\right), \ldots \\
& \left.-f_{n}\left(x_{1}-f_{1}, x_{2}-f_{2}\left(x_{1}-f_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n-1}-f_{n-1}\left(x_{1}-f_{1}, \ldots\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the set of all bases of $P_{n}(p) . P_{n}(p)$ acts on the set $\mathfrak{B}$ by conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{u}=\left\langle u^{-1} B_{1} u, u^{-1} B_{2} u, \ldots, u^{-1} B_{n} u\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\} \in \mathfrak{B}$.
Lemma 1. The center of group $P_{n}(p)$ has the form

$$
Z\left(P_{n}(p)\right)=\left\{[0, \ldots, 0, \alpha]: \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}
$$

Proof. See [4].
Proposition 1. The action (2) of $P_{n}(p)$ on the set $\mathfrak{B}$ is semi-regular. The length of every orbit of this action is equal to $p^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{p-1}-1}$.

Proof. An action of a group $G$ on a set $X$ is semi-regular, iff every orbit of $G$ on $X$ has the same length. Let $B=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be a base of $P_{n}(p)$. For any $u \in P_{n}(p)$ we have $B^{u}=B$ if and only if $u^{-1} B_{i} u=B_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. Since $\left\langle B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\rangle=P_{n}(p)$, it follows that for every
$g \in P_{n}(2)$, equality $u^{-1} g u=g$ holds if and only if $u \in Z\left(P_{n}(2)\right)$. But following Lemma 1 :

$$
\left|Z\left(P_{n}(p)\right)\right|=p
$$

hence the length of orbit containing $B$ is equal to $\frac{\left|P_{n}(p)\right|}{p}$. Thus the length of every orbit is the same regardless of the choice of base $B$. Hence the action (2) is semi-regular. The length of every orbit is equal to

$$
\frac{\left|P_{n}(p)\right|}{p}=p^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{p-1}-1}
$$

## 2. Diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$

From now on we assume that $p=2$.

### 2.1. Definitions and basic facts

Let $\overline{x_{n}}$ be the monomial $x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}$ and let $\overline{x_{n}} / x_{i}$ be the monomial $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

In [6] the authors defined so-called triangular bases of group $P_{n}(p)$. In the following article we consider a special type of triangular bases, which we call diagonal. However, the notion of diagonal bases can be formulated independently of triangularity.

Definition 1. Base $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\} \in \mathfrak{B}$ is called diagonal if for any $i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, the table $B_{i}$ is $i$-th coordinative, i.e. $\left[B_{i}\right]_{j}=0$ for $j \neq i$.

It is well known that in every base $B$ of $P_{n}(2)$ for every $i$ there exists a tableaux $B^{\prime} \in B$ which contains a monomial $\overline{x_{i-1}}$ on $i$-th coordinate. Thus, the nonzero coordinates of elements of diagonal base $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ have form $\left[B_{1}\right]_{1}=1$ and $\left[B_{i}\right]_{i}=b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)$, where $b_{i}$ contains monomial $\overline{x_{i-1}}$ for every $i=2, \ldots, n$.

Diagonal bases $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right\}$ of $P_{n}(2)$ are conjugate if there exists element $u \in P_{n}(2)$ such that $u^{-1} B u=C$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-1} B_{i} u=C_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Definition 2. The length $l(m)$ of a nonzero monomial $m=x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{k}}$ is the number of variables of this monomial. We assume that $l(0)=-1$ and $l(1)=0$. The length of the reduced polynomial is equal to the maximal length of its monomials.

For every polynomials $f$ and $g$ the following inequality holds:

$$
l(f+g) \leqslant \max \{l(f), l(g)\}
$$

Definition 3. Reduced polynomial $f_{n}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is called primal if

$$
f_{n}=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\beta_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right),
$$

where $l\left(\beta_{n}\right) \leqslant n-3$.
Diagonal base $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ is called primal if $\left[B_{n}\right]_{n}$ is primal polynomial.

Let $\delta\left(P_{n}(2)\right)$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(P_{n}(2)\right)$ be the numbers of different diagonal bases and different primal diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$, respectively.

Theorem 1. The following equalities holds:

$$
\delta\left(P_{n}(2)\right)=2^{2^{n}-(n+1)} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta^{\prime}\left(P_{n}(2)\right)=2^{2^{n}-2 n}
$$

Proof. Let $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$, i.e. every tableau $B_{i}$ has on $i$-th coordinate a polynomial of length $i-1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Every polynomial $\left[B_{i}\right]_{i}$ contains monomial $\overline{x_{i-1}}$. There are $2^{i-1}$ monomials on variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}$. Thus there are $2^{2^{i-1}-1}$ polynomials on $(i-1)$ variables, which length equal to $i-1$. So the number of diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$ is equal to

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2^{i}-1}=2^{\gamma}
$$

where $\gamma=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(2^{i}-1\right)=2^{n}-(n+1)$.
Let $B$ be a primal diagonal base, i.e. $\left[B_{n}\right]_{n}$ be the primal polynomial. There are $2^{2^{n-1}-n}$ primal polynomials on $(n-1)$ variables. So the number of different primal diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$ is equal to

$$
\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{2^{i}-1}\right) \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}-n}=2^{\gamma^{\prime}}
$$

where $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{i}-1\right)\right)+2^{n-1}-n=2^{n-1}-n+2^{n-1}-n=2^{n}-2 n$.

### 2.2. Properties of diagonal bases

Let

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right]: \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}
$$

be an maximal elementary abelian 2 -subgroup of group $P_{n}(2)$. For any $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right] \in \Lambda$ and vector $X_{n-1}$ we denote

$$
X_{n-1}+\lambda=\left(x_{1}+\lambda_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1}\right)
$$

We can define the left and right actions of group $\Lambda$ on the set of reduced polynomial on $(n-1)$ variables in the following way. For a reduced polynomial $f: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right] \in \Lambda$ let
$\lambda \star f\left(X_{n-1}\right)=f\left(X_{n-1}+\lambda\right)+\lambda_{n} \quad$ and $\quad f\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda=f\left(X_{n-1}\right)+\lambda_{n}$.
As we can can see, this actions resemble the multiplication of tables in $P_{n}(p)$.

Lemma 2. Let $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right] \in \Lambda$ and let $f\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}$. Then

$$
\lambda^{-1} \star f\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right),
$$

where $h$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l(h) \leqslant n-3$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{-1} \star f\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\left(x_{1}+\lambda_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}+\lambda_{2}\right) \ldots\left(x_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1}\right)+\lambda_{n} \\
& =x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-1}+\left(\lambda_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-1}+\lambda_{2} x_{1} x_{3} \ldots x_{n-1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n-1} x_{1} \ldots x_{n-2}\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\ldots+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_{n} \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad \overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right)+\lambda_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l(h) \leqslant n-3$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1} \star f\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda & =\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right)+\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n} \\
& =\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is also an important relation between polynomials of maximal length and the primal polynomials.

Lemma 3. For every reduced polynomial $f: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ such that $l(f)=n-1$, there exists a tableau $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda^{-1} \star f \star \lambda$ is the primal polynomial.

Proof. Every polynomial $f\left(X_{n-1}\right)$ such that $l(f)=n-1$ can be written in the form

$$
f\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $l(h) \leqslant n-3$.
Let $f_{1}\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}$ and $f_{2}^{(i)}\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)$ for every $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
f=f_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{2}^{(i)}+h
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-1} \star f \star \lambda=\lambda^{-1} \star f_{1} \star \lambda+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\lambda^{-1} \star f_{2}^{(i)} \star \lambda\right)+\lambda^{-1} \star h \star \lambda . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We construct the tableau $\lambda$ using coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ from the polynomial $f$ in form $\lambda=\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, u_{n}\right]$, where $u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is fixed. Let us investigate the form of sum (4). From Lemma 2 we have

$$
\lambda^{-1} \star f_{1}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h^{\prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $h^{\prime}$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l\left(h^{\prime}\right) \leqslant n-3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1} \star f_{2}^{(i)}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda= & \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{i} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \beta_{j}\left(\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right) / x_{j}\right)+\alpha_{i} k^{(i)}\left(X_{n-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $k^{(i)}$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l\left(k^{(i)}\right) \leqslant n-4$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} & \left(\lambda^{-1} \star f_{2}^{(i)}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \beta_{j}\left(\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right) / x_{j}\right)+k^{(i)}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $h^{\prime \prime}$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant n-3$.
The last element in sum (4) has the form

$$
\lambda^{-1} \star h\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda=h_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $h^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l\left(h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \leqslant n-3$. Thus finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1} \star f\left(X_{n-1}\right) \star \lambda= & \overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h^{\prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)+h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \\
= & \overline{x_{n-1}}+h^{\prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)+h^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right)+h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{n-1}\right) \\
= & \overline{x_{n-1}}+b\left(X_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b=h^{\prime}+h^{\prime \prime}+h^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $l(b) \leqslant n-3$. So $\lambda^{-1} \star f \star \lambda$ is a primal polynomial.

Theorem 2. Every

$$
f=\left[0,0, \ldots, 0, f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right] \in P_{n}(2)
$$

where $l\left(f_{n}\right)=n-1$, is conjugate to a tableau

$$
b=\left[0,0, \ldots, 0, b_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]
$$

where $b_{n}$ is the primal polynomial.
Proof. Similarly like in the proof of Lemma 3, tableau $f$ can be written in form

$$
f=\left[0, \ldots, 0, \overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $l\left(h_{n}\right) \leqslant n-3$.
Let us construct the tableau $u$ using coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ from tableau $f$. Let $u=\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, u_{n}\right]$, where $u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is fixed. Notice that $u \in \Lambda$. Of course the equality

$$
\left[u^{-1} f u\right]_{j}=0
$$

holds for every $j=1, \ldots, n-1$. From Lemma 3 we get that $\left[u^{-1} f u\right]_{n}$ is the primal polynomial.

Let us denote the set of all diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$ by $\mathfrak{D}$. Now we describe stabilizer of the set $\mathfrak{D}$ in the group $P_{n}(2)$ with respect to the action (2).

Theorem 3. The stabilizer of the subset $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ in the group $P_{n}(2)$ acting on the set $\mathfrak{B}$ according to (2) is equal to $\Lambda$. The kernel of this action coincide with the center of $P_{n}(2)$.

Proof. To show that $\Lambda$ is the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{D}$ we have to prove the following.

1) If $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ is a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\lambda^{-1} B \lambda$ is a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$.
2) For every diagonal bases $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right\}$ of $P_{n}(2)$ if there exists $u \in P_{n}(2)$ such that $u^{-1} B u=C$, then $u \in \Lambda$. A set conjugate to a base is always a base. Let $1 \leqslant s \leqslant n$ and let $B_{s} \in P_{n}(2)$ be a tableau with the only nonzero element on its $s$-th coordinate. Let $j \neq s$. Then

$$
\left[\lambda^{-1} B_{s} \lambda\right]_{j}=0
$$

Thus the first condition is proved.
We now prove the second condition. Let $\left[B_{1}\right]_{1}=1$ and $\left[B_{i}\right]_{i}=b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$. Base $B$ is diagonal, so $b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \neq 0$ for every $i=2, \ldots, n$. Let

$$
u=\left[\alpha_{1}, u_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, u_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)\right]
$$

We will show that for every $s=1, \ldots, n-1$, the reduced polynomial $u_{i}$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$ does not contain variable $x_{s}$. Variable $x_{s}$ can be contained only in polynomials $u_{i}$ for which $i>s$. Every such polynomial can be described as

$$
u_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)
$$

where polynomials $u_{i}^{\prime}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ do not contain variable $x_{s}$. Equality $u^{-1} B_{s} u=C_{s}$ can be written in form $B_{s} u=u C_{s}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B_{s} u\right]_{k}=\left[u C_{s}\right]_{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k=1, \ldots, n$. For $k>s$ we have $\left[B_{s}\right]_{k}=\left[C_{s}\right]_{k}=0$, so in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[B_{s} u\right]_{k} } & =0+u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{s}+b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)\right)+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \\
& =u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left[u C_{s}\right]_{k}=u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)+0=u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \cdot x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[B_{s} u\right]_{k}=\left[u C_{s}\right]_{k}} \\
u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right), \\
u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right) b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We know that $b_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \neq 0$, so $u_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=0$ and hence

$$
u_{i}=0 \cdot x_{s}+u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=u_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{i-1}\right)
$$

where $u_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ does not contain variable $x_{s}$.
We have shown that any variable $x_{s}$ for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant n$ is not contained in polynomials $u_{i}$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$, so $u_{i}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=\alpha_{i}$, where $\alpha_{i}$ is constant and hence $u=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right] \in \Lambda$. Thus indeed $\Lambda$ is the stabilizer of $\sigma$ on $\mathfrak{D}$. Lemma 1 implies that the center of $P_{n}(2)$ contains only the tableaux $[0, \ldots, 0,0]$ and $[0, \ldots, 0,1]$.

Let

$$
b_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+\beta_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $\beta_{n}$ is some reduced polynomial such that $l\left(\beta_{n}\right) \leqslant n-3$. Thus $b_{n}\left(x_{1}+\lambda_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}+\lambda_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_{i}+\lambda_{i}\right)\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+\overline{\beta_{n}}\left(X_{n-1}\right)$,
where $\overline{\beta_{n}}$ is a reduced polynomial such that $l\left(\overline{\beta_{n}}\right) \leqslant n-3$. So the necessary condition for the equality $\lambda^{-1} B_{n} \lambda=B_{n}$ to hold is

$$
\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\lambda_{i}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. So $\lambda_{i}=0$ for all such $i$. It follows that $\overline{\beta_{n}}=\beta_{n}$. Hence

$$
\lambda^{-1} B_{n} \lambda=B_{n}
$$

if and only if $\lambda_{1}=\ldots=\lambda_{n-1}=0$.
Corollary 1. If $B$ and $C$ are two conjugated diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$ such that for tableaux $u, v \in \Lambda$ the following equalities hold:

$$
u^{-1} B u=C \quad \text { and } \quad v^{-1} B v=C,
$$

then

$$
u=v+[0, \ldots, 0, \alpha]
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

### 2.3. Properties of primal diagonal bases

Let $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$. Theorem 2 implies that tableau $B_{n}$ is conjugate with some tableau $C_{n}=\left[0, \ldots, 0, c_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]$, where $c_{n}$ is the primal polynomial. As we could see in the proof of Theorem 2, the tableau $u$ which conjugate tableaux $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ belongs to the subgroup $\Lambda$. Thus, by Theorem 3 we can formulate

Corollary 2. Every diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$ is conjugate to some primal diagonal base.

Primal diagonal bases have another important property.
Theorem 4. If $B$ and $C$ are different primal diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$, then $B$ and $C$ are not conjugated.

Proof. Let us assume that bases

$$
B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad C=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right\}
$$

are conjugated. Then according to Theorem 3 there exists tableau $u \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-1} B u=C . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
B_{n}=\left[0, \ldots, 0, \overline{x_{n-1}}+\beta_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right], \quad \text { where } l\left(\beta_{n}\right) \leqslant n-3
$$

and

$$
C_{n}=\left[0, \ldots, 0, \overline{x_{n-1}}+\gamma_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right], \quad \text { where } l\left(\gamma_{n}\right) \leqslant n-3
$$

From (6) we get the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u^{-1} B_{n} u\right]_{n}=\left[C_{n}\right]_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\left[u^{-1} B_{n} u\right]_{n}=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $l(h) \leqslant n-2$. So equation (7) implies that

$$
\overline{x_{n-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)+h\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\overline{x_{n-1}}+\gamma_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Thus $h\left(X_{n-1}\right)=\gamma_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)$ and $u_{i}\left(\overline{x_{n-1}} / x_{i}\right)=0$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, so $u_{i}=0$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, that is, $u=\left[0, \ldots, 0, u_{n}\right]$. But if $u=\left[0, \ldots, 0, u_{n}\right]$ then $u^{-1} B u=B$ and from (6) we get that $B=C$, which contradicts the assumption that $B$ and $C$ are different primal diagonal bases.

The orbit of $P_{n}(2)$ on $\mathfrak{B}$ by action (2) which contains a diagonal base is called $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit. Summing up previous results we can formulate following

Theorem 5. The following statement holds:

1) every $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit contains exactly one primal diagonal base;
2) every $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit contains exactly $2^{n-1}$ diagonal bases and $2^{2^{n}-2}$ bases at all;
3) the number of different $\mathfrak{D}$-orbits is equal to $2^{2^{n}-2 n}$.

Proof. 1) Corollary 2 states that every diagonal base is conjugate with some primal diagonal base. Thus every $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit contains a primal diagonal base. From Theorem 4 we get that this primal diagonal base is unique in every $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit.
2) From Theorem 3 we know that the elements which conjugate diagonal bases are of form $u=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right]$, where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Theorem 3 also states that conjugation does not depend on $u_{n}$, so the number of conjugated diagonal bases is equal to the number of different tableaux of the form $\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}, 0\right]$. There are $2^{n-1}$ such tableaux. The number of all bases in single $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit is determined by Theorem 1.
3) Every $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit contains exactly one primal diagonal base, so the number of $\mathfrak{D}$-orbits is equal to the number of different primal diagonal bases, which is equal to $2^{2^{n}}-2 n$ by Theorem 1 .

## 3. Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ on diagonal bases

We recall the definition of Cayley graphs.
Definition 4. Let $G$ be a group and $S$ be a set of generators of $G$. The Cayley graph of group $G$ on set $S$ is a graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ in which vertex set is equal to $G$ and two vertices $u, v$ are connected by an edge iff there exists $s \in S$ such that $u=v \cdot s$. Such edge will be denoted as $u v$.

If $S=S^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is undirected. Thus Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ on diagonal bases are undirected.

From now on in this section we assume that $n>2$.

Let $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$. By Theorem 5 base $B$ is in the same orbit with some primal diagonal base $D=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$, so

$$
\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n}(2), B\right) \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n}(2), D\right)
$$

Thus investigation of Cayley graphs of $P_{2}(n)$ on diagonal bases is equivalent with investigation of Cayley graphs only on primal diagonal bases.

Let $B^{\prime}=\left\{\left(B_{1}\right)_{(n-1)}, \ldots,\left(B_{n-1}\right)_{(n-1)}\right\}$. Set $B^{\prime}$ is a diagonal base of group $P_{n-1}(2)$.

Theorem 6. Let $D=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n-1}, D_{n}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$ and let $D^{\prime}=\left\{\left(D_{1}\right)_{(n-1)}, \ldots,\left(D_{n-1}\right)_{(n-1)}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n-1}(2)$. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph obtained from $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n}(2), D\right)$ by removing edges of form $u D_{n}$ for every $u \in P_{n}(2)$. Then

1) $\Gamma$ is not connected;
2) $\Gamma$ contains $2^{2^{n-1}}$ connected components;
3) every connected component of $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n-1}(2), D^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(D_{j_{1}}, D_{j_{2}}, \ldots, D_{j_{l}}\right)$ be a tuple of (not necessarily different) elements of $D \backslash\left\{D_{n}\right\}$, i.e. $D_{j_{k}} \in\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n-1}\right\}$ for every $k=1, \ldots, l$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\prod_{k=1}^{l} D_{i_{k}}\right]_{n}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove stated properties.

1) Consider vertices $f_{1}=[0, \ldots, 0]$ and $f_{2}=[0, \ldots, 0,1]$ of graph $\Gamma$. Equality (8) implies that

$$
\left[f_{1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{l} D_{i_{k}}\right]_{n}=0
$$

Thus in $\Gamma$ there is no path from vertex $f_{1}$ to vertex $f_{2}$, which implies that $\Gamma$ is not connected.
2) Let $f=\left[0, \ldots, 0, f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]$. Equality (8) implies that

$$
\left[f \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{l} D_{i_{k}}\right]_{n}=f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)
$$

Thus if $g=\left[0, \ldots, 0, g_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]$ and $g_{n} \neq f_{n}$, then vertices $f$ and $g$ are contained in different connected components of $\Gamma$.

Let $f^{\prime}$ be a tableau for which $\left[f^{\prime}\right]_{n}=[f]_{n}$. Set $D^{\prime}$ is a base of $P_{n-1}(2)$, and there exists a set $\left\{D_{j_{1}}, D_{j_{2}}, \ldots, D_{j_{l}}\right\}$ of elements of $D \backslash\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
f^{\prime} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{l} D_{i_{k}}=f
$$

Thus every vertex

$$
f^{\prime}=\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]
$$

of $\Gamma$ is contained in the same connected component of $\Gamma$ as vertices of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[0, \ldots, 0, f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and different vertices of form (9) lays in different connected components of $\Gamma$, so the number of connected component of $\Gamma$ is equal to the number of different reduced polynomials $f_{n}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which is equal to $2^{2^{n-1}}$.
3) We have shown that every connected component of $\Gamma$ contains a vertex made of tableaux with fixed last coordinate. Let $V_{f_{n}}$ be the subgroup of $P_{n}(2)$ such that if $g \in V_{f_{n}}$ iff $\left[g_{n}\right]=f_{n}$. Thus $V_{f_{n}} \cong P_{n-1}(2)$, hence

$$
\operatorname{Cay}\left(V_{f_{n}}, D^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n-1}(2), D^{\prime}\right)
$$

Theorem 6 implies the recurrent construction of Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ on primal diagonal bases. Let $D=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ be a primal diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$. Graph Cay $\left(P_{n}(2), D\right)$ can be constructed in following way.

1) We construct $2^{2^{n-1}}$ Cayley graphs $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n-1}(2), D^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
D^{\prime}=\left\{\left(D_{1}\right)_{(n-1)}, \ldots,\left(D_{n-1}\right)_{(n-1)}\right\}
$$

Every such Cayley graph may be labeled with a different reduced polynomial $f_{n}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Denote the Cayley graph corresponding to polynomial $f_{n}$ by Cay $f_{n}$.
2) In every graph Cay $f_{f_{n}}$ we replace the set of vertices $V\left(\right.$ Cay $\left._{f_{n}}\right)=$ $P_{n-1}(2)$ by the set of vertices $V^{\prime} \subset P_{n}(2)$ in following way: we replace $u=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\left(X_{n-2}\right)\right]$ by

$$
u^{\prime}=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\left(X_{n-2}\right), f_{n}\left(X_{n-1}\right)\right]
$$

for every $u \in V\left(\operatorname{Cay}_{f_{n}}\right)$.
3) For every pair of vertices $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ of obtained graph, if $u^{\prime} B_{n}=v^{\prime}$, then we add an edge $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$.

So in the construction we need to start with the case $n=2$, which is presented in the next section.

Above construction suggests the dependence between Cayley graphs and Schreier coset graphs on diagonal bases of $P_{n}(2)$.

Let us recall the definition of the latter graphs.
Definition 5. Let $G$ be a group, $S$ be a set of generators of $G$ and $H$ be a subgroup of finite index in $G$. The Schreier coset graph $\operatorname{Sch}(G, S, H)$ is a graph whose vertices are the right cosets of $H$ in $G$ and two vertices $H u$ and $H v$ are connected by an edge iff there exists $s \in S$ such that $H u=H v \cdot s$.

Let us notice that every Cayley graph of group $G$ is a Schreier coset graph of $G$ in which $H$ is a trivial subgroup.

We consider a subgroup $\bar{P}_{n}(2)$ of group $P_{n}(2)$ in which in every tableuax the last coordinate is equal to 0 , i.e. if $f \in \bar{P}_{n}(2)$, then

$$
f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{n-1}\left(X_{n-2}\right), 0\right] .
$$

Of course $\bar{P}_{n}(2) \cong P_{n-1}(2)$.
Theorem 7. Let $D=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ be a diagonal base of $P_{n}(2)$. Then the following conditions hold.

1) Two vertices $\bar{P}_{n}(2) u$ and $\bar{P}_{n}(2) v$ of graph $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$ are connected by an edge, iff

$$
\bar{P}_{n}(2) u=\bar{P}_{n}(2) v \cdot D_{n}
$$

2) Graph $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$ is bipartite.

Proof. If $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, then $\left[D_{i}\right]_{n}=0$. Thus in this case

$$
\bar{P}_{n}(2) u \cdot D_{i}=\bar{P}_{n}(2) u,
$$

so elements $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ do not generate edges of $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$.
We now prove the second statement.
Vertex set $V(\mathrm{Sch})$ can be described as a sum of sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, where $V_{1}$ is made of cosets in which the last coordinate in all tableaux in this coset is a polynomial which contains a monomial $\overline{x_{n-1}}$ and $V_{2}$ is made of cosets in which the last coordinate in all tableaux are polynomials which do not contain such a monomial. $\left[D_{n}\right]_{n}$ contains a monomial $\overline{x_{n-1}}$, thus for every $\bar{P}_{n}(2) v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $\bar{P}_{n}(2) v_{2} \in V_{2}$ :

$$
\bar{P}_{n}(2) v_{1} \cdot D_{n} \in V_{2} \text { and } \bar{P}_{n}(2) v_{2} \cdot D_{n} \in V_{1}
$$

Hence for diagonal base $D=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right\}$ we can obtain a Cayley graph Cay $\left(P_{n}(2)\right)$ from a graph $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$ by replacing every vertex of $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$ by a graph $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{n-1}(2), D^{\prime}\right)$ and replacing every edge of $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{n}(2), D, \bar{P}_{n}(2)\right)$ by a set of corresponding edges between elements $P_{n}(2)$ due to generator $D_{n}$ (see point 3 of above construction).

## 4. Cayley graphs of $P_{n}(2)$ for small $n$

### 4.1. The case $n=2$

Group $P_{2}(2)$ is isomorphic with the dihedral group $D_{4}$. It has two different diagonal bases and 12 different bases at all. The list of bases is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1} & =D_{1}=\left\{[1,0],\left[0, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{2}=D_{2}=\left\{[1,0],\left[0, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} \\
B_{3} & =\left\{[1,1],\left[0, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{4}=\left\{[1,1],\left[0, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} \\
B_{5} & =\left\{[1,0],\left[1, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{6}=\left\{[1,0],\left[1, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} \\
B_{7} & =\left\{[1,1],\left[1, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{8}=\left\{[1,1],\left[1, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} \\
B_{9} & =\left\{\left[0, x_{1}\right],\left[1, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{10}=\left\{\left[0, x_{1}\right],\left[1, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} \\
B_{11} & =\left\{\left[0, x_{1}+1\right],\left[1, x_{1}\right]\right\}, & & B_{12}=\left\{\left[0, x_{1}+1\right],\left[1, x_{1}+1\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The only primal diagonal base in $P_{n}(2)$ is $B_{1}$. The action on the set of all bases has 3 different orbits of length 4 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
O_{1}=\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}\right\}, \quad O_{2}=\left\{B_{5}, B_{6}, B_{7}, B_{8}\right\} \\
O_{3}=\left\{B_{9}, B_{10}, B_{11}, B_{12}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The orbit $O_{1}$ is the only $\mathfrak{D}$-orbit. Cayley graphs of $P_{2}(2)$ on bases from $O_{2}$ and $O_{3}$ are isomorphic (Fig. 1).


Figure 1. Cayley graphs of $P_{2}(2)$ in bases from respective orbits.

### 4.2. The case $n=3$

There are four different primal diagonal bases of $P_{3}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} & =\left\{[1,0,0],\left[0, x_{1}, 0\right],\left[0,0, x_{1} x_{2}\right]\right\} \\
D_{2} & =\left\{[1,0,0],\left[0, x_{1}, 0\right],\left[0,0, x_{1} x_{2}+1\right]\right\} \\
D_{3} & =\left\{[1,0,0],\left[0, x_{1}+1,0\right],\left[0,0, x_{1} x_{2}\right]\right\} \\
D_{4} & =\left\{[1,0,0],\left[0, x_{1}+1,0\right],\left[0,0, x_{1} x_{2}+1\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there are four different $\mathfrak{D}$-orbits and every such orbit contains exactly four diagonal bases and exactly 60 bases, which are not diagonal. Schreier coset graph $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{3}(2), D, \bar{P}_{3}(2)\right)$ on bases from orbits $\mathfrak{D}$-orbits have form presented in Figure 2.


Figure 2. $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{3}(2), D, \bar{P}_{3}(2)\right.$ ), where $D$ is a diagonal base (vertex indexed by polynomials on last coordinate).

As we can see, $\operatorname{Sch}\left(P_{3}(2), D, \bar{P}_{3}(2)\right)$ is a 4-regular bipartite graph. Every edge of this graph corresponds to connections with subgraphs isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{2}(2), D^{\prime}\right)$ (i.e. undirected cycle on 8 vertices, see 5.1). Every such connected cycles in $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{3}(2), D\right)$ are connected by two edges and form of connection depends of bases (Fig. 3)

Thus the length of the shortest cycle in graphs on bases $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ is equal to 8 , and length of the shortest cycle in graphs on bases $D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ is equal to 4 . This means that these Cayley graphs of $P_{3}(2)$ on diagonal bases are not isomorphic.


Figure 3. Connections between subgraphs of $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{3}(2), D\right)$ isomorphic with $\operatorname{Cay}\left(P_{2}(2), D^{\prime}\right)$ for different diagonal bases.
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