

Semi-commutativity criteria and self-coincidence elements expressed by vectors properties of n -ary groups

Yu. I. Kulazhenko

Communicated by Kirichenko V.V.

ABSTRACT. In this paper new criteria of semi-commutativity and results on self-coincidence of an arbitrary point P in the terms of properties of vectors of n -ary groups are obtained.

It is well known that the most important tool for investigation of n -ary groups and for development of their applications is the concept of semi-commutativity. In this connection see for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

In the paper [9] P.A. Alexandrov introduced the concept of self-coincidence for geometric figures. He used this concept to construct different types of groups.

The results by S.A. Rusakov [5] and P.S. Alexandrov [9] allowed to introduce the concept of self-coincidence of points (of elements) of an n -ary group G .

Finding of new semi-commutativity criteria of n -ary groups as well as the study of self-coincidence of some elements of geometric figures constructed on the basis of an n -ary group is a very topical problem in our opinion.

The results presented in the paper are connected with the above-mentioned field of investigation. It should be noted that vector equalities which are presented in our theorems not only describe semi-commutativity criteria of an n -ary group $G = \langle X, (), [^{-2}] \rangle$ but establish the fact of self-coincidence of an arbitrary point $p \in X$ as well.

Recall that an n -ary group G is said to be semi-abelian if the equality

$$(x_1 x_2^{n-1} x_n) = (x_n x_2^{n-1} x_1)$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: ????

Key words and phrases: ????

holds for any sequence $x_1^n \in X^n$. Further for the elements of an n -ary group $G = \langle X, (\cdot)^{[-2]} \rangle$ we use the term a *point*.

A point

$$S_a(b) = (ab^{[-2]})^{2n-4} b a$$

is called a point that is symmetric with a point b relatively a point a . The sequence of k elements of X is called a k -gon of G . A tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ of an n -ary group G is called a parallelogram of G if

$$(ab^{[-2]})^{2n-4} b c = d.$$

Let's say that a point $p \in X$ self-coincides if there is a sequence of symmetries of this point relatively other points of X , in the result of which this point maps into itself.

An ordered pair $\langle a, b \rangle$ of points $a, b \in X$ is called a directed segment of an n -ary group G and it is denoted by \overrightarrow{ab} .

If $a, b, c, d \in X$, then the directed segments \overrightarrow{ab} and \overrightarrow{cd} are called to be equal and they write $\overrightarrow{ab} = \overrightarrow{cd}$ if the tetragon $\langle a, c, d, b \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G .

Let \overline{V} be the set of all directed segments of an n -ary group G . According to Proposition 1 in the paper [5] the binary relation $=$ on the set \overline{V} is a relation of equivalence and partitions the set V into disjoint classes. The class generated by the directed segment \overrightarrow{ab} has the following form:

$$K(\overrightarrow{ab}) = \{\overrightarrow{uv} \mid \overrightarrow{uv} \in \overline{V}, \overrightarrow{uv} = \overrightarrow{ab}\}.$$

A vector \vec{ab} of an n -ary group G is a class $K(\overrightarrow{ab})$, i.e. $\vec{ab} = K(\overrightarrow{ab})$.

Other notations, definitions and results used in the paper can be found in the following papers [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Now let us introduce the obtained results.

Theorem 1. *Let a, b, c, p be arbitrary points of X and $d \in X$ be a point such that the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G . An n -ary group G is semi-abelian if and only if the following equality holds:*

$$\vec{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a p)c} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d} = \vec{0}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. 1. Let G be a semi-abelian n -ary group. Let's establish the validity of (1).

Taking into account Theorem 8 in [8], Definition 4 in [5], Proposition 1 in [8], Equality 3.28 in [4], and the fact that for any $x \in X$ sequences $x^{[-2]2n-4}$ and $x^{[-2]2n-4}x$ are neutral $2(n-1)$ -sequences the following can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} &= \overrightarrow{p(a(S_a(p))^{[-2]}\underbrace{S_a(p)\dots b}_{2n-4})} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(a(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)^{[-2]}\underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)\dots b}_{2n-4})} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{-4}b)}. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (2) one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))\vec{c}} &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)} + \overrightarrow{S_b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)c} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)(S_b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a))^{[-2]}\underbrace{S_b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)\dots c}_{2n-4})} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p((a^{[-2]2n-4}b)(b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)^{[-2]}\underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)\dots b}_{2n-4})^{[-2]})} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\overrightarrow{(b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)^{[-2]}\underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)\dots b}_{2n-4})\dots c}_{2n-4}} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)(ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)^{[-2]})} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)\dots c}_{2n-4}} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]2n-4}bb^{[-2]2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}ab^{[-2]2n-4}c)} = \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]2n-4}b^{-4}c)}. \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Now taking into account Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], Proposition 1 in [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_c(S_b(S_a(p))) &= S_c(S_b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)) = \\
&= S_c(b(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)^{[-2]}\underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{-4}a)\dots b}_{2n-4}) = \\
&= S_c(ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b) = \\
&= \overrightarrow{(c(ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)^{[-2]}\underbrace{(ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}b)\dots c}_{2n-4})} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{(cb^{[-2]2n-4}b^{-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}ab^{[-2]2n-4}c)}. \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration (3) and (4), the fact that the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G and that G is a semi-abelian group one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d} &= \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)} + \overrightarrow{(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)d} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p((ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)^{[-2]}} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\overrightarrow{(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c) \dots d}}_{2n-4} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b cc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c d)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} (ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c))} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} (cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b a))} = \overrightarrow{pp} = \overrightarrow{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the equality (1).

2. Now we suppose that (1) is true. We shall prove that G is semi-abelian.

From (1) we have

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = -\overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d}$$

and so

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = \overrightarrow{dS_c(S_b(S_a(p)))}.$$

Therefore from (3) and (4) we have

$$\overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)} = \overrightarrow{d(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)}. \quad (5)$$

From (5) on the basis of Definition 2 in [5] we conclude that the tetragon

$$\langle p, d, (cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c), (ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c) \rangle$$

is a parallelogram of G , so the equality

$$(pd^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d (cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)) = (ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c). \quad (6)$$

holds.

Since by the hypothesis the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G the equality

$$(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) = d. \quad (7)$$

is valid.

In view of (7) we obtain from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} (p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)^{[-2]} \underbrace{(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) \dots (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)}_{2n-4}) &= \\ &= (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(pc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c).$$

Therefore

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b cp^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c),$$

so

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b cc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a p) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c).$$

Then

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b a) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c). \quad (8)$$

Since a, b, c are arbitrary points of X then on the basis of Proposition 4 in [7] and (8) we conclude that G is a semi-abelian n -ary group.

The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. *Let a, b, c, d, p be arbitrary points of X . An n -ary group G is semi-abelian if and only if the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}a + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)}b + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))}c + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))}d + \\ + \overrightarrow{S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))}(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c b) + \\ + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c b)}}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))))) = \vec{0}. \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. Let G be a semi-abelian n -ary group. We shall show that Equality (9) is true. In order to prove this we sequentially summarize vectors mentioned in (9) taking into account Theorem 8 in [8], Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], Proposition 1 in [8], and the fact that for

any $x \in X$ the sequences $x^{[-2]^{2n-4}}x$ and $xx^{[-2]^{2n-4}}$ are neutral $2(n-1)$ -sequences.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} &= \overrightarrow{p(a(S_a(p))^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_a(p) \dots)} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(a(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p a) \dots b)} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)}; \\
\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)} + \overrightarrow{(b(S_a(p))^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_a(p) \dots b)c} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)} + \overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)c} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}})} \\
&= \overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b) \dots c} = \\
&= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a bb^{[-2]^{2n-4}}b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}}b c)} = \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}}b c)}. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], and Proposition 1 in [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_c(S_b(S_a(p))) &= S_c(S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p a)) = \\
&= S_c(b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p a) \dots b) = \\
&= S_c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b) = \\
&= (c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}}a b) \dots c) = \\
&= (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}}b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}}p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}}b c). \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence in view of (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))\vec{c}} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))\vec{d}} = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c) + (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \ ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c)d = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} p((ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c)(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \ ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \\
& \quad \underbrace{(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \ ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c) \dots d}_{2n-4}) = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} = p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ cc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d) = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} = p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d). \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration (11) and the previous arguments we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))) = \\
& = (d(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \ ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \\
& \quad \underbrace{(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \ ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ c) \dots d}_{2n-4}) = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} = (dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d). \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (12) and (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))\vec{c}} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))\vec{d}} + \\
& \quad + S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ b) = p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d) + \\
& \quad + (dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \\
& \quad \underbrace{(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d) \dots (dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ b)}_{2n-4}) = \\
& \xrightarrow{\quad} = p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ dd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ d \ cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ p \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\quad} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ d \ dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ b) = \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}}. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

But G is semi-abelian and so in view of (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))))) = \\
& = ((dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ b)(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} \ c \ d)^{[-2]^{2n-4}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)\dots(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}_{2n-4} = \\
& = ((bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)d^{[-2]2n-4}d^{[-2]2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}bap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{[-2]2n-4}ab^{[-2]2n-4}b^{[-2]2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d \\
& \quad dc^{[-2]2n-4}b) = (ap^{[-2]2n-4}a). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally using (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} + \\
& \quad + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d} + \overrightarrow{S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)} + \\
& \quad + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))a)} = \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}a)a} = \\
& \quad = p(a(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{[-2]2n-4})\underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}a)\dots a}_{2n-4}) = \\
& \quad = \overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{[-2]2n-4}a)} = \overrightarrow{pp} = \overrightarrow{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have proved that (9) holds.

2. Suppose that (9) is true. We shall prove that G is a semi-abelian group.

Since in the previous arguments the property of semi-commutativity of G was used only in (15) we can conclude that (14) holds.

From (9) we obtain

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))a)} = \overrightarrow{0}$$

so taking into account (13) we have

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(dc^{[-2]2n-4}ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)a} = \overrightarrow{0},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)(dc^{[-2]2n-4}ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)^{[-2]2n-4})} \\
& \quad \overrightarrow{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)\dots(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)a} = \overrightarrow{0},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)d^{[-2]2n-4}d^{[-2]2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}bap^{[-2]2n-4}p^{[-2]2n-4})}$$

$$\overrightarrow{ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ b} a = \overrightarrow{0},$$

or

$$\overrightarrow{p} \overrightarrow{a} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) d^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p \ a)} a = \overrightarrow{0}.$$

Then taking into consideration Theorem 8 in [8] we have

$$\overrightarrow{p(a(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b d^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p \ a)^{[-2]}} \\ \underbrace{\overrightarrow{(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b d^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p \ a) \dots a}}_{2n-4} = \overrightarrow{0},$$

so

$$\overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ db^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ a)} = \overrightarrow{0},$$

and hence

$$\overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ db^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ a)} = \overrightarrow{0}.$$

Since $\overrightarrow{0} = \overrightarrow{p} \overrightarrow{p}$ holds for any $p \in X$, then

$$\overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ db^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ a)} = \overrightarrow{p} \overrightarrow{p}.$$

From this equality it can be concluded that

$$(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ db^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ a) = p.$$

Let's multiply both parts of this equality by the expression $ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p$ from the left, and by the expression $a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ b$ from the right. Then

$$(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ (bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ d) b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b \ cd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d \ aa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \\ dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ b) = (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p \ pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a \ dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ b).$$

Hence taking into account the neutrality of the sequences $x^{[-2]^{2n-4}} x \ x$ and $xx^{[-2]^{2n-4}} x$ for any $x \in X$ we obtain

$$(bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ d) = (dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c \ b). \quad (16)$$

Taking into consideration the arbitrariness of points $b, c, d \in X$ on the basis of Proposition 4 in [7] and (16) we conclude that G is a semi-abelian n -ary group.

The proof is complete.

References

- [1] W. Dornte. Untersuchungn uber einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff // Math. Z. — 1928. — Bd. 29. — S. 1–19.
- [2] H. Prüfer. Theorie der abelshen Gruppen I. Grundeigenschaften, Math. Z. — 1924. — Bd. 20. — S. 165–187.
- [3] E.L. Post. Polyadic groups // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1940. — Vol. 48, N 2. — P. 208–350.
- [4] S.A. Rusakov. Algebraic n -ary systems: Sylow theory of n -ary groups. Minsk: Belaruskaya navuka, 1992. — 264 p.
- [5] S.A. Rusakov. Some applications of the theory of n -ary groups. Minsk: Belaruskaya navuka, 1998. — P.182.
- [6] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Congruence motion of elements of n -ary groups. Some questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by T.I. Vasiljeva, Gomel, 2002. — P. 66–71.
- [7] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Construction of figures of affine geometry on n -ary groups // Questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by S.A. Rusakov, Gomel, 1995. — P. 65–82.
- [8] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Parallelograms' geometry / Questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by S.A. Rusakov, Gomel, 1995. — P. 47–64.
- [9] P.S. Alexandrov. Introduction into the theory of groups. M.: Nauka, 1980. — 144 p.

Received by the editors: ????
and in final form ????