

## On $\tau$ -closed totally saturated group formations with Boolean sublattices

Vasily G. Safonov

Communicated by L. A. Shemetkov

**ABSTRACT.** In the universe of finite groups the description of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations with Boolean sublattices of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformations is obtained. Thus, we give a solution of Question 4.3.16 proposed by A. N. Skiba in his monograph "Algebra of Formations" (1997).

### Introduction

All groups considered are finite. Used notations and terminology are standard (see [1]–[4]). Recall that a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called *saturated* if  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$  always implies  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It is known [4] that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a non-empty saturated formation, then  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ , i. e.,  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a *local satellite*  $f$ .

Every group formation is considered as *0-multiply saturated* [5]. For  $n \geq 1$ , a formation  $\mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$  is called *n-multiply saturated* [5], if it has a local satellite  $f$  such that every non-empty value  $f(p)$  of  $f$  is a  $(n - 1)$ -multiply saturated formation. A formation is called *totally saturated* [5] if it is  $n$ -multiply saturated for all natural  $n$ .

Let  $\tau$  be a function such that for any group  $G$ ,  $\tau(G)$  is a set of subgroups of  $G$ , and  $G \in \tau(G)$ . Following [3] we say that  $\tau$  is a *subgroup functor* if for every epimorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and any groups  $H \in \tau(A)$  and  $T \in \tau(B)$  we have  $H^\varphi \in \tau(B)$  and  $T^{\varphi^{-1}} \in \tau(A)$ .

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20D10, 20F17.

**Key words and phrases:** finite group, formation, totally saturated formation, lattice of formations,  $\tau$ -closed formation.

A group class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -closed if  $\tau(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . The set  $l_\infty^\tau$  of all  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations is a complete lattice [3].

A  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau$ -critical (or a minimal  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation) if  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  but all proper  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  are  $l_\infty^\tau$ -formations such that  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}$  denotes the lattice of  $l_\infty^\tau$ -formations between  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{M} = (1)$  is the formation of identity groups, then  $L_\infty^\tau(\mathfrak{F})$  denotes the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau(1)$ .

In this paper we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations,  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is Boolean;*
- 2)  *$\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;*
- 3) *every subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{H}$  is  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;*
- 4) *any  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

Note that if in this theorem  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{N}$  and  $\tau$  is the trivial subgroup functor (i. e.,  $\tau(G) = \{G\}$  for all groups  $G$ ) we obtain the main result in [6]. In another special case ( $\mathfrak{X} = (1)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is soluble) we obtain the main result of Section 4.3 in [3]. In particular, we give a solution of Question 4.3.16 in [3].

## 1. Definitions and Notations

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a set of groups. Then  $l_\infty^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{X}$  is the  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation generated by  $\mathfrak{X}$ , i.e.,  $l_\infty^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{X}$  is the intersection of all  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations containing  $\mathfrak{X}$ . If  $\mathfrak{X} = \{G\}$ , then the formation  $l_\infty^\tau \text{form} G$  is called a *one-generated*  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation.

We denote by  $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$  the set of prime divisors of orders of groups in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

For any two  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$ , we write  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{H} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{H})$ .

For any set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of groups, we put  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau(p) = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(G/F_p(G) | G \in \mathfrak{X})$ , if  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau(p) = \emptyset$  if  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ .

If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an arbitrary  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation, then the symbol  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau$  denotes the *minimal  $l_\infty^\tau$ -valued local satellite* of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

For an arbitrary sequence of primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  and any set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of groups, the class of groups  $\mathfrak{X}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n}$  is defined as follows:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{X}^{p_1} = (A/F_{p_1}(A) | A \in \mathfrak{X})$ ;

$$2) \mathfrak{X}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n} = (A/F_{p_n}(A) | A \in \mathfrak{X}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n-1}}).$$

A sequence of primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  is called *suitable* for  $\mathfrak{X}$  if  $p_1 \in \pi(\mathfrak{X})$  and for any  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  we have  $p_i \in \pi(\mathfrak{X}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{i-1}})$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be a suitable sequence for  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then the  $l_\infty^\tau$ -valued local satellite  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$  is defined as follows:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p_1 = (\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p_1))_\infty$ ;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p_1 \dots p_n = (\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p_1 \dots p_{n-1}(p_n))_\infty^\tau$ .

A group  $G$  is called a  $\tau$ -minimal non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -group (or an  $\mathfrak{H}^\tau$ -critical group) if  $G \notin \mathfrak{H}$  but every proper  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $G$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

A  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -irreducible formation if  $\mathfrak{F} \neq l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(\cup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{X}_i) = \vee_\infty^\tau(\mathfrak{X}_i | i \in I)$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{X}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all proper  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Otherwise,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -reducible  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be some  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a class of groups. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is called an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  if  $\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{H})$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ . A subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$  if it has an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . In addition, the  $(1)_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and in this case  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . A subformation  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called *complemented* in  $\mathfrak{F}$  if  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{form}(\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{H})$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H} = (1)$  for some subformation  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

For a set  $\pi$  of primes, we use  $\mathfrak{N}_\pi$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  to denote the class of all nilpotent  $\pi$ -groups and the class of all soluble  $\pi$ -groups, respectively.

## 2. Used Results

**Lemma 1.** [7, 8]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-soluble  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has at least one  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation.*

**Lemma 2.** [7, 8]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated non-soluble formation if and only if  $\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}G$ , where  $G$  is a monolithic  $\tau$ -minimal non-soluble group with a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup  $R$  such that  $G/R$  is soluble.*

**Lemma 3.** [7, 8]. *Let  $G$  be a monolithic group with a non-abelian socle  $R$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}G$  has a unique maximal  $l_\infty^\tau$ -subformation  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{S}_{\pi(R)} l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(\{G/R\} \cup \mathfrak{X})$ , where  $\mathfrak{X}$  is the set of all proper  $\tau$ -subgroups of  $G$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi(R)} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ .*

**Lemma 4.** [9]. *The lattice  $l_\infty^\tau$  of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations is distributive.*

**Lemma 5.** [10]. For any two  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{M} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} /_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} \simeq \mathfrak{F} /_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F}.$$

**Lemma 6.** [7, 11] The lattice  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$  is algebraic.

### 3. Main Results

**Lemma 7.** [7]. Let  $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{X}$  be  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . The formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation if and only if either of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p$ , where  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ ;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$  for some different primes  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\pi(\mathfrak{X})$ .

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation. Suppose that there exists  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$  such that  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N}_p \in l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$ , (1) is the unique  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -subformation of  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  and  $(1) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p$ . So,  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies 1).

Assume that  $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is soluble.

Assume that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $\mathfrak{F}$  contains at least one  $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformation  $\mathfrak{L}$ . By Lemma 2,  $\mathfrak{L} = l_{\infty}^{\tau} \text{form} L$ , where  $L$  is a monolithic  $\tau$ -minimal non-soluble group with a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that group  $L/N$  is soluble. It follows from Lemma 3 that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi} \subset \mathfrak{L}$ , where  $\pi = \pi(N)$ . Since  $N$  is non-abelian, we have that  $|\pi| \geq 3$ . But by hypothesis the formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation. Hence  $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi} \subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is soluble.

Let  $h$  is the canonical local satellite of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . By Theorem 2.5.2 [3, p. 94],  $\mathfrak{F} = l_{\infty}^{\tau} \text{form} G$ , where  $G$  is a group of minimal order in  $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$  with the socle  $R = G^{\mathfrak{X}}$  such that for all  $p \in \pi(R)$  the formation  $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(p)$  is  $(h(p))_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical. Since by Theorem 1.3.14 [3, p. 33]  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{\tau}(p) = (1)$ , we have  $h(p) = \mathfrak{N}_p$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(p) = l_{\infty}^{\tau} \text{form}(G/F_p(G))$  is an  $(\mathfrak{N}_p)_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation. Therefore,  $|\pi(\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(p))| = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(p) = \mathfrak{N}_q$ , for some prime  $q \neq p$ . Since  $G$  is soluble, it follows that  $R$  is a  $p$ -group and  $F_p(G) = R$ . Hence,  $\pi(G) = \{p, q\}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies 2).

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation satisfying 1) or 2). Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a hereditary totally saturated formation. Hence,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\tau$ -closed formation, for any subgroup functor  $\tau$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p$ , then (1) is a unique maximal  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . But  $(1) \subseteq \mathfrak{X} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation.

Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$ . Then by Theorem 2.5.3. [3, p. 94]  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an  $\mathfrak{N}_{\{p,q\}}^{\tau}$ -critical formation. Since  $\mathfrak{N}_{\{p,q\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated non- $\mathfrak{X}$ -formation.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** [7]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be  $l_\infty^\tau$ -formations such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has at least one  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \not\subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then according to Lemma 6,  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  is a required  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation. Now we assume that  $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ , and let  $A$  be a group of minimal order in  $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $A$  is a monolithic  $\tau$ -minimal non- $\mathfrak{X}$ -group with the socle  $R = A^\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $p \in \pi(R)$  and  $\mathfrak{L} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form} A$ . Assume that  $R$  is non-abelian. Then by Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi(R)} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ . Since  $|\pi(R)| \geq 3$ , there exists a prime  $q \neq p$ ,  $q \in \pi(R)$ , such that

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\pi(R)} \subset \mathfrak{F}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{N}_{\{p,q\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , from Lemma 6 it follows that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a required  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation.

Suppose now that  $R$  is an abelian  $p$ -group. Since  $R \not\subseteq \Phi(A)$ , we have  $R = O_p(A) = F_p(A)$  and  $A = [R]B$  for some maximal subgroup  $B$  in  $A$ . By Theorem 1.3.14 [3, p. 33],

$$\mathfrak{L}_\infty^\tau(p) = l_\infty^\tau \text{form}(A/F_p(A)) = l_\infty^\tau \text{form} B.$$

Let  $q \in \pi(B) \setminus \{p\}$ , and  $Q$  be a group of prime order  $q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{L}_\infty^\tau(p)$  is totally saturated,  $Q \in \mathfrak{L}_\infty^\tau(p)$ . Denote by  $V$  an exact irreducible  $F_p[Q]$ -modul, and let  $F = [V]Q$ . Then

$$F/O_p(F) \simeq Q \in \mathfrak{L}_\infty^\tau(p).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 8.2 [2, p. 78],  $F \in \mathfrak{L}$ . But

$$\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\tau \text{form} F = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q.$$

Hence, by Lemma 6,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a required  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation. □

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations such that  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\vee_\infty^\tau(\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is some set of  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formations. If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\mathfrak{H} \in \{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By Lemma 6,  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfies either of the following conditions:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_p$ , where  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ ;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$  for some primes  $p \neq q$  in  $\pi(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfies 1). Since  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , we have by Corollary 1.3.10 [3, p. 31] that  $\mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau \leq \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p)$ . By Theorem 1.3.14 [3, p. 33], we have  $\mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau(p) = (1)$ . Hence,  $(1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p) \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 4.1.2 [3, p. 152],

$$\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p) = \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p) \vee_\infty^\tau (\vee_\infty^\tau(\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p) | i \in I)).$$

Since  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ , it follows that  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{M})$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p) = \emptyset$ . Hence,

$$\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p) = \bigvee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p) | i \in I).$$

Suppose that  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{H}_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then from Theorem 1.3.14 [3, p. 33] it follows that  $\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. So, there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{H}_i)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation and  $p \notin \pi(\mathfrak{X})$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathfrak{N}_p$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathfrak{H}$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfies 2). Then  $p, q$  is a suitable sequence for  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By Corollary 1.3.10 and Theorem 1.3.14 [3], we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_\infty^\tau p(q) = (1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p(q) \neq \emptyset.$$

From Lemma 4.1.2 [3, p. 152] it follows that

$$\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p(q) = \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau p(q) \bigvee_\infty^\tau (\bigvee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau p(q) | i \in I)).$$

Suppose that  $q \in \pi(\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p))$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p)$  is a saturated formation, we have that  $\mathfrak{N}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p)$ . By Theorem 1.3.12 [3, p. 32],

$$\mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}.$$

But  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation. We have a contradiction. Therefore,  $q \notin \pi(\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau(p))$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\tau p(q) = \emptyset$  and

$$\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p(q) = (\bigvee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau p(q) | i \in I)).$$

If  $\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau p(q) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\tau p(q) = \emptyset$ . It is impossible. Therefore, there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau p(q) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $q \in \pi(\mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p))$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p)$ . But by Theorem 1.3.12 [3] we have  $\mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i$ . Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{H}_{i_\infty}^\tau(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation, we see that  $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathfrak{H}$ . □

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{L}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations such that  $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{L}$  is an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{L}/\tau \mathfrak{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{L}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complemented in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau \mathfrak{X}$  by  $\mathfrak{H}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \bigvee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}$ . From Lemma 4 it follows that

$$\mathfrak{M} \bigvee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{M} \bigvee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{L}) = (\mathfrak{M} \bigvee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{H}) \cap (\mathfrak{M} \bigvee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}.$$

Besides,

$$\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{M} \cap (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{X}.$$

But then  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  is an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{L}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{X}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations,  $\mathfrak{h}$  be some  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}$  has an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$  has an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then by definition  $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  are elements of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_1 &= \mathfrak{h}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) = (\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) = \\ &= (\mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) \cap \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{M}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides,

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{F}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  has an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$ . Hence, by definition,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For an arbitrary  $l_\infty^\tau$ -formation  $\mathfrak{L}$ , we denote by  $\Omega(\mathfrak{L})$  the set of all its  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformations.

Assume that for  $\mathfrak{F}$  Condition 1) is true, and  $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{h} | \mathfrak{h} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{F})))$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{M} \neq \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an element of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/\tau_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . If  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{M}$ , which contradicts to our assumption. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{L} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ . Hence, by Lemma 8, the formation  $\mathfrak{L}$  contains at least one  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{h} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . But then  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$ .

Now we show that Condition 2) implies Condition 3). Let  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  be an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation of the formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\Sigma = \Omega(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{h}_1\}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{L} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{h}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{h} | \mathfrak{h} \in \Sigma)).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{L} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} \neq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ . Then by Lemma 8,  $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M}$  contains some  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation  $\mathfrak{h}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ , it follows

from Lemma 9 that  $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_1$ . But  $\mathfrak{h}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . Hence by Lemma 9,  $\mathfrak{h}_2 \in \Sigma$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . It means that the formation  $\mathfrak{L}$  is  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complemented in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . So, Condition 3) is true for  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Now we assume that for  $\mathfrak{F}$  Condition 3) is true. We show that Condition 1) is true. By Lemma 4, the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is distributive. Therefore, it is enough to establish that  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is a complemented lattice.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -irreducible  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ . We prove that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical formation. Suppose that it is false, and let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  be a maximal  $l_\infty^\tau$ -subformation in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is non- $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical,  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ . Hence, by Lemma 8 the formation  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  has at least one  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\tau$ -critical subformation  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{L}$  is an element of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{L}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{R} \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . By Lemma 11,  $\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}))$  is an  $l_\infty^\tau$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{L}$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})/_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Therefore,

$$(\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}))) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

By Lemma 4,

$$\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) = (\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{M}) \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

It means that

$$\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$  we have that

$$(\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}))) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

But  $(\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}))) \vee_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . Hence,

$$\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

The inverse inclusion is obvious. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}).$$

But by Lemma 5 we have a lattice isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) /_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) &= \mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) /_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \simeq \\ &\simeq \mathfrak{M} /_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M} \cap (\mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) = \mathfrak{M} /_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{M}_1) \vee_\infty^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = \\ &= \mathfrak{M} /_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{M} /_\infty^\tau \mathfrak{M}_1. \end{aligned}$$



Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$  is a maximal  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated subformation of the formation  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . We obtain a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation.

We show now that for any  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -formation  $\mathfrak{R}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  such that the set of all its  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations is finite, the following equality is true:

$$\mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{H} | \mathfrak{H} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{R}))), \quad (\alpha)$$

We shall prove  $(\alpha)$  by induction on  $|\Omega(\mathfrak{R})|$ . If  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -irreducible formation, then from above we know that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation, and  $(\alpha)$  is true. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -reducible formation. Since  $\mathfrak{R} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , we have by Lemma 8 that  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains some  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  has an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ .

By Lemma 11,  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}$  is a complement to  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{R}/_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . Then

$$(\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}) \cap \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \text{ and } (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{R}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{H} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ , the number of  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}$  is less than the number of  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Therefore, by induction we can conclude that

$$\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{B} | \mathfrak{B} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}))).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R} &= (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{H}_1 = \\ &= ((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{B} | \mathfrak{B} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R})))) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{H} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})) = \\ &= (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{B} | \mathfrak{B} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{R}))), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $(\alpha)$  is true.

Let now  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ . Assume that

$$\mathfrak{L} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{H} | \mathfrak{H} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \Omega(\mathfrak{M}))).$$

We show that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ .

It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{L}$ . If  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{L} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , then by Lemma 8,  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{L}$  has at least one  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformation  $\mathfrak{H}$ . But then, using Lemma 9, we have that  $\mathfrak{H} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{M}) \cap (\Omega(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \Omega(\mathfrak{M})) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{L} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \neq \mathfrak{F}$  and  $G$  is a group in  $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{F}_1$ .

Since  $\pi(G)$  is a finite set, by Lemma 7 the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations of the formation  $\mathfrak{R} = l_{\infty}^{\tau} \text{form} G$  is finite. Denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  the formation  $\mathfrak{R} \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X})$ . By Lemma 9, the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical

subformations of the formation  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is finite. Therefore, by  $(\alpha)$  we have that

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{H} | \mathfrak{H} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{R}))).$$

Since  $\Omega(\mathfrak{R}_1) \subseteq \Omega(\mathfrak{F}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{L}) \cup \Omega(\mathfrak{M})$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Therefore,  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ , a contradiction. So,  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is a complemented lattice.  $\square$

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{X} = (1)$ , from Theorem 1 we deduce the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $L_{\infty}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 7, any  $(1)_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical formation  $\mathfrak{H}$  has a form  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Therefore by Theorem 1,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{N}_p | p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})) = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}.$$

Thus, Conditions 1) and 2) are equivalent to Conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem 1.

Now we show that any subformation  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By Theorem 1, Condition 2) is equivalent to the following: every subformation  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{N}_p \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathfrak{M} = (1)$ . By Theorem 1.3.16 [3, p. 34],  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{form}(\cup_{q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})} \mathfrak{N}_q \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(q))$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ , we have by Theorem 1.3.14 [3, p. 33] that  $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\tau}(q) = (1)$ . It means that  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{form}(\cup_{q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})} \mathfrak{N}_q)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{N}$  and is an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -formation, we have by Theorem 1.3.16 [3, p. 34] that

$$\mathfrak{M} = \text{form}(\cup_{q \in \pi(\mathfrak{M})} \mathfrak{N}_q) = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \{p\}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F} &= \text{form}(\mathfrak{N}_p \cup (\cup_{q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \{p\}} \mathfrak{N}_q)) = \\ &= \text{form}(\mathfrak{N}_p \cup \text{form}(\cup_{q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F}) \setminus \{p\}} \mathfrak{N}_q)) = \text{form}(\mathfrak{N}_p \cup \mathfrak{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a complement to  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a complement to  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{N}_p \vee \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathfrak{L} = (1)$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{L}$  is an  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M} = l_{\infty}^{\tau} \text{form} \mathfrak{L}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ , and let  $A$  be a group of minimal order in  $\mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{L}$ . Then  $A$  is a monolithic group, and  $R = \text{Soc}(A) = A^{\mathfrak{L}}$ . Since  $A \in \mathfrak{N}$ , we conclude that  $A$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $A \neq R$ , then from  $A/R \in \mathfrak{L}$  we have

$\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathfrak{L} \neq (1)$ , a contradiction. It means that  $A = R$ , and  $A$  is a group of order  $p$ . By Theorem 1.1.5 [3, p. 14],  $\pi(\mathfrak{M}) = \pi(\mathfrak{L})$ . Therefore,  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{L})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathfrak{L} \neq (1)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{L}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{L}$  is an  $l_\infty^r$ -complement to  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2 gives the answer to Question 4.3.16 [3, p. 178].

In the case when  $\tau(G) = S(G)$  is the set of all subgroups of  $G$ , from Theorem 1 we have the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a hereditary totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $L_\infty^S(\mathfrak{F})$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

If  $\tau(G) = S_n(G)$  is the set of all normal subgroups of  $G$ , from Theorem 1 we have

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a normal hereditary totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $L_\infty^{S_n}(\mathfrak{F})$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 3.** [3, p. 177]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a soluble totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $L_\infty(\mathfrak{F})$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $\tau$  be a trivial subgroup functor. Then from Theorem 1 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be totally saturated formations,  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty (\vee_\infty (\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_\infty \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_\infty \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 4) any  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 5.** [12]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $L_\infty^\tau(\mathfrak{F})$  is a complemented lattice;

- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi(\mathfrak{F})}$ ;
- 3) the lattice  $L_{\infty}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$  is Boolean;
- 4) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

In the case when  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{N}$  from Theorem 1 we have

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} (\vee_{\infty}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{\tau} \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .
- 4) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{\tau}$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 7.** [6]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2) formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is soluble, and the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is algebraic; furthermore,  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty} (\vee_{\infty}(\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}$ -critical subformations in  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean.

*Proof.* By Lemma 7, every  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}$ -critical formation is soluble. Then from Condition 2) of Theorem 1 the formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is soluble. By Lemma 6, the lattice  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$  is algebraic for every subgroup functor  $\tau$ . Therefore, the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\tau}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is also algebraic (it is a sublattice of complete algebraic lattice  $l_{\infty}^{\tau}$ ). Applying Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 we conclude that Conditions 1) and 3) are equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be hereditary totally saturated formations,  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^S\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^S (\vee_{\infty}^S(\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^S$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^S \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^S\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^S$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 4) any  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^S$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^S$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be normal hereditary totally saturated formations,  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{S_n}\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$  is Boolean;

- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{S_n} (\vee_{\infty}^{S_n} (\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}) \vee_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{X}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 4) any  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -critical subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent hereditary totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty}^S (\vee_{\infty}^S (\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^S$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty}^S \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^S \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^S$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 4) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^S$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent normal hereditary totally saturated formation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty}^{S_n} (\vee_{\infty}^{S_n} (\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I))$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{H}_i | i \in I\}$  is the set of all  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3) every subformation of the form  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complemented in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{S_n} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is some  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -critical subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 4) every subformation of the form  $\mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{N}_q$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an  $\mathfrak{N}_{\infty}^{S_n}$ -complement in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

## References

- [1] Shemetkov L.A., *Formations of finite groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
- [2] Shemetkov L.A., Skiba A.N., *Formations of algebraic systems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [3] Skiba A.N., *Algebra of formations*, Belarus. Navuka, Minsk, 1997.
- [4] Doerk K., Hawkes T., *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.
- [5] Skiba A.N., *Characteristics of soluble groups with given nilpotent length*, Problems in Algebra, N.3, 1987, pp.21-31.
- [6] Guo W., Shum K.P. *On totally local formations of groups*, Comm. Algebra, vol. 30, N 5, 2002, pp. 2117–2131.
- [7] Safonov V.G. *On properties of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations*, Preprint/ F. Scorina Gomel State University, Gomel, N. 3, 2004, 26 pp.
- [8] Safonov V.G. *A characterization of soluble one-generated totally saturated formations of finite groups*, Siberian Math. Journal, vol. 48, N. 1, pp. 185–191.

- [9] Safonov V.G. *On distributivity of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations of finite groups*, Preprint/ F. Scorina Gomel State University, Gomel, N. 5, 2004, 18 pp.
- [10] Safonov V.G. *On modularity of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations of finite groups*, Ukr. Math. Journal, vol. 58, N. 6, 2006, pp. 852–858.
- [11] Safonov V.G. *On algebraicity of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations*, Algebra and Logic, vol. 45, N. 5, 2006, pp. 620–626.
- [12] Safonov V.G. *On two questions of totally saturated formations theory*, Dokl. NAN Belarusi, vol. 49, N. 5, 2005, pp. 16–20.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**V.G. Safonov**

Department of Mathematics,  
F. Scorina Gomel State University,  
Sovetskaya Str., 104,  
246019 Gomel,  
Belarus  
*E-Mail:* safonov@minedu.unibel.by

Received by the editors: 15.10.2007  
and in final form 15.07.2008.