

On the Equivalence of Module Categories over a Group-Theoretical Fusion Category

Sonia NATALE

Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, CIEM-CONICET, Córdoba, Argentina

E-mail: natale@famaf.unc.edu.ar

URL: <http://www.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~natale/>

Received April 28, 2017, in final form June 14, 2017; Published online June 17, 2017

<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2017.042>

Abstract. We give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of group cohomology for two indecomposable module categories over a group-theoretical fusion category \mathcal{C} to be equivalent. This concludes the classification of such module categories.

Key words: fusion category; module category; group-theoretical fusion category

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18D10; 16T05

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we shall work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over k . The notion of a \mathcal{C} -module category provides a natural categorification of the notion of representation of a group. The problem of classifying module categories plays a fundamental role in the theory of tensor categories.

Two fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are called *categorically Morita equivalent* if there exists an indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} such that \mathcal{D}^{op} is equivalent as a fusion category to the category $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{C} -module endofunctors of \mathcal{M} . This defines an equivalence relation in the class of all fusion categories.

Recall that a fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *pointed* if every simple object of \mathcal{C} is invertible. A basic class of fusion categories consists of those which are categorically Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category; a fusion category in this class is called *group-theoretical*. Group-theoretical fusion categories can be described in terms of finite groups and their cohomology.

The purpose of this note is to give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of group cohomology for two indecomposable module categories over a group-theoretical fusion category to be equivalent. For this, it is enough to solve the same problem for indecomposable module categories over pointed fusion categories.

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed fusion category. Then there exist a finite group G and a 3-cocycle ω on G such that $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$, where $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ is the category of finite-dimensional G -graded vector spaces with associativity constraint defined by ω (see Section 2.3 for a precise definition). Let \mathcal{M} be an indecomposable right \mathcal{C} -module category. Then there exists a subgroup H of G and a 2-cochain $\psi \in C^2(H, k^\times)$ satisfying

$$d\psi = \omega|_{H \times H \times H}, \quad (1.1)$$

such that \mathcal{M} is equivalent as a \mathcal{C} -module category to the category $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)$ of left $A(H, \psi)$ -modules in \mathcal{C} , where $A(H, \psi) = k_\psi H$ is the group algebra of H with multiplication twisted by ψ [8], [1, Example 9.7.2].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H, L be subgroups of G and let $\psi \in C^2(H, k^\times)$ and $\xi \in C^2(L, k^\times)$ be 2-cochains satisfying condition (1.1). Then $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)$ are equivalent as \mathcal{C} -module categories if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $H = {}^g L$ and the class of the 2-cocycle*

$$\xi^{-1} \psi^g \Omega_g|_{L \times L} \tag{1.2}$$

is trivial in $H^2(L, k^\times)$.

Here we use the notation ${}^g x = gxg^{-1}$ and ${}^g L = \{{}^g x : x \in L\}$. The 2-cochain $\psi^g \in C^2(L, k^\times)$ is defined by $\psi^g(g_1, g_2) = \psi({}^g g_1, {}^g g_2)$, for all $g_1, g_2 \in L$, and $\Omega_g : G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$ is given by

$$\Omega_g(g_1, g_2) = \frac{\omega({}^g g_1, {}^g g_2, g) \omega(g, g_1, g_2)}{\omega({}^g g_1, g, g_2)}.$$

Observe that [8, Theorem 3.1] states that the indecomposable module categories considered in Theorem 1.1 are parameterized by conjugacy classes of pairs (H, ψ) . However, this conjugation relation is not described loc. cit. (compare also with [7] and [1, Section 9.7]).

Consider for instance the case where \mathcal{C} is the category of finite-dimensional representations of the 8-dimensional Kac Paljutkin Hopf algebra. Then \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical. In fact, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}(G, \omega, C, 1)$, where $G \cong D_8$ is a semidirect product of the group $L = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $C = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and ω is a certain (nontrivial) 3-cocycle on G [9]. Let ξ represent a nontrivial cohomology class in $H^2(L, k^\times)$. According to the usual conjugation relation among pairs (L, ψ) , the result in [8, Theorem 3.1] would imply that the pairs $(L, 1)$ and (L, ξ) , not being conjugated under the adjoint action of G , give rise to two inequivalent \mathcal{C} -module categories. These module categories both have rank one, whence they give rise to non-isomorphic fiber functors on \mathcal{C} . However, it follows from [4, Theorem 4.8(1)] that the category \mathcal{C} has a unique fiber functor up to isomorphism. In fact, in this example there exists $g \in G$ such that $\Omega_g|_{L \times L}$ is a 2-cocycle cohomologous to ξ . See Example 3.6.

Certainly, the condition given in Theorem 1.1 and the usual conjugacy relation agree in the case where the 3-cocycle ω is trivial, and it reduces to the conjugation relation among subgroups when they happen to be cyclic.

As explained in Section 3.1, condition (1.2) is equivalent to the condition that $A(L, \xi)$ and ${}^g A(H, \psi)$ be isomorphic as algebras in \mathcal{C} , where $\underline{G} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$, $g \mapsto {}^g(\)$, is the adjoint action of G on \mathcal{C} (see Lemma 3.2).

Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Two \mathcal{C} -module categories $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)$ are equivalent if and only if the algebras $A(H, \psi)$ and $A(L, \xi)$ are conjugated under the adjoint action of G on \mathcal{C} .*

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3.3. Our proof relies on the fact that, as happens with group actions on vector spaces, the adjoint action of the group G in the set of equivalence classes of \mathcal{C} -module categories is trivial (Lemma 3.1). In the course of the proof we establish a relation between the 2-cocycle in (1.2) and a 2-cocycle attached to g , ψ and ξ in [8] (Remark 3.4 and Lemma 3.5).

We refer the reader to [1] for the main notions on fusion categories and their module categories used throughout.

2 Preliminaries and notation

2.1

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over k . A (right) \mathcal{C} -module category is a finite semisimple k -linear abelian category \mathcal{M} equipped with a bifunctor $\bar{\otimes}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms

$$\mu_{M,X,Y}: M\bar{\otimes}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow (M\bar{\otimes}X)\bar{\otimes}Y, \quad r_M: M\bar{\otimes}\mathbf{1} \rightarrow M,$$

$X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\mu_{M\bar{\otimes}X,Y,Z}\mu_{M,X,Y\otimes Z}(\text{id}_M \bar{\otimes} a_{X,Y,Z}) = (\mu_{M,X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z)\mu_{M,X\otimes Y,Z}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(r_M \otimes \text{id}_Y)\mu_{M,\mathbf{1},Y} = \text{id}_M \bar{\otimes} l_Y, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where $a: \otimes \circ (\otimes \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \otimes \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \otimes)$ and $l: \mathbf{1} \otimes ? \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, denote the associativity and left unit constraints in \mathcal{C} , respectively.

Let A be an algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then the category ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ of left A -modules in \mathcal{C} is a right \mathcal{C} -module category with action $\bar{\otimes}: {}_A\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{C}$, given by $M\bar{\otimes}X = M \otimes X$ endowed with the left A -module structure $(m_M \otimes \text{id}_X)a_{A,M,X}^{-1}: A \otimes (M \otimes X) \rightarrow M \otimes X$, where $m_M: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is the A -module structure in M . The associativity constraint of ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ is given by $a_{M,X,Y}^{-1}: M\bar{\otimes}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow (M\bar{\otimes}X)\bar{\otimes}Y$, for all $M \in {}_A\mathcal{C}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

A \mathcal{C} -module functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ between right \mathcal{C} -module categories $(\mathcal{M}, \bar{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{M}', \bar{\otimes}')$ is a pair (F, ζ) , where $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ is a functor and $\zeta_{M,X}: F(M\bar{\otimes}X) \rightarrow F(M)\bar{\otimes}'X$ is a natural isomorphism satisfying

$$(\zeta_{M,X} \otimes \text{id}_Y)\zeta_{M\bar{\otimes}X,Y}F(\mu_{M,X,Y}) = \mu'_{F(M),X,Y}\zeta_{M,X\otimes Y}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$r'_{F(M)}\zeta_{M,\mathbf{1}} = F(r_M), \quad (2.4)$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' be \mathcal{C} -module categories. An *equivalence* of \mathcal{C} -module categories $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ is a \mathcal{C} -module functor $(F, \zeta): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ such that F is an equivalence of categories. If such an equivalence exists, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' are called *equivalent \mathcal{C} -module categories*. A \mathcal{C} -module category is called *indecomposable* if it is not equivalent to a direct sum of two nontrivial \mathcal{C} -submodule categories.

Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ be indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module categories. Then $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ is a fusion category with tensor product given by composition of functors and the category $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ is an indecomposable module category over $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ in a natural way. If A and B are indecomposable algebras in \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{M} \cong_A {}_A\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}' \cong_B {}_B\mathcal{C}$, then $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to the fusion category ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ of (A, A) -bimodules in \mathcal{C} and there is an equivalence of ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ -module categories ${}_B\mathcal{C}_A \cong \text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$, where ${}_B\mathcal{C}_A$ is the category of (B, A) -bimodules in \mathcal{C} .

2.2

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{C} -module category. Every tensor autoequivalence $\rho: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a \mathcal{C} -module category structure \mathcal{M}^ρ on \mathcal{M} in the form $M\bar{\otimes}^\rho X = M\bar{\otimes}\rho(X)$, with associativity constraint

$$\mu_{M,X,Y}^\rho = \mu_{M,\rho(X)\otimes\rho(Y)}(\text{id}_M \bar{\otimes} \rho_{X,Y}^2)^{-1}: M\bar{\otimes}^\rho(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow (M\bar{\otimes}^\rho(X))\bar{\otimes}^\rho(Y),$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\rho_{X,Y}^2: \rho(X) \otimes \rho(Y) \rightarrow \rho(X \otimes Y)$ is the monoidal structure of ρ . See [7, Section 3.2].

Suppose that A is an algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then $\rho(A)$ is an algebra in \mathcal{C} with multiplication

$$m_{\rho(A)} = \rho(m_A)\rho_{A,A}^2: \rho(A) \otimes \rho(A) \rightarrow \rho(A).$$

The functor ρ induces an equivalence of \mathcal{C} -module categories $\rho_{(A)}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow ({}_A\mathcal{C})^\rho$ with intertwining isomorphisms

$$\rho_{M,X}^2{}^{-1}: \rho(M \otimes X) \rightarrow \rho(M) \otimes^\rho X.$$

2.3

Let G be a finite group. Let X be a G -module. Given an n -cochain $f \in C^n(G, X)$ (where $C^0(G, M) = M$), the coboundary of f is the $(n+1)$ -cochain $df = d^n f \in C^{n+1}(G, X)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d^n f(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) &= g_1 \cdot f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G$. The kernel of d^n is denoted $Z^n(G, M)$; an element of $Z^n(G, M)$ is an n -cocycle. We have $d^n d^{n-1} = 0$, for all $n \geq 1$. The n th cohomology group of G with coefficients in M is $H^n(G, M) = Z^n(G, M)/d^{n-1}(C^{n-1}(G, M))$. We shall write $f \equiv f'$ when the cochains $f, f' \in C^n(G, k^\times)$ differ by a coboundary.

We shall assume that every cochain f is *normalized*, that is, $f(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$, whenever one of the arguments g_1, \dots, g_n is the identity. If H is a subgroup of G and $f \in C^n(H, k^\times)$, we shall indicate by f^g the n -cochain in ${}^g H$ given by $f^g(h_1, \dots, h_n) = f({}^g h_1, \dots, {}^g h_n)$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$.

Let $\omega: G \times G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$ be a 3-cocycle on G . Let $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ denote the fusion category of finite-dimensional G -graded vector spaces with associativity constraint defined, for all $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$, as

$$a_{X,Y,Z}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) = \omega^{-1}(g_1, g_2, g_3)u \otimes (v \otimes w),$$

for all homogeneous vectors $u \in U_{g_1}$, $v \in V_{g_2}$, $w \in W_{g_3}$, $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$. Any pointed fusion category is equivalent to a category of the form $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$.

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *group-theoretical* if it is categorically Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category. Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical if and only if there exist a finite group G and a 3-cocycle $\omega: G \times G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$ such that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the fusion category $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi) = {}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)_{A(H, \psi)}$, where H is a subgroup of G such that the class of $\omega|_{H \times H \times H}$ is trivial and $\psi: H \times H \rightarrow k^\times$ is a 2-cochain on H satisfying condition (1.1).

Let $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi) \cong \mathcal{C}(G, \omega)_{\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)}^*$ be a group-theoretical fusion category. Then there is a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi)$ -module categories and equivalence classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ -module categories. This correspondence attaches to every indecomposable $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ -module category \mathcal{M} the $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi)$ -module category

$$\mathcal{M}(H, \psi) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)}(\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi), \mathcal{M}).$$

3 Indecomposable module categories over $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$

Throughout this section G is a finite group and $\omega: G \times G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$ is a 3-cocycle on G .

3.1

Let $g \in G$. Consider the 2-cochain $\Omega_g: G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$ given by

$$\Omega_g(g_1, g_2) = \frac{\omega({}^g g_1, {}^g g_2, g)\omega(g, g_1, g_2)}{\omega({}^g g_1, g, g_2)}.$$

For all $g \in G$ we have the relation

$$d\Omega_g = \frac{\omega}{\omega^g}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ and let $g \in G$. For every object V of \mathcal{C} let gV be the object of \mathcal{C} such that ${}^gV = V$ as a vector space with G -grading defined as $({}^gV)_x = V_{gx}$, $x \in G$. For every $g \in G$, we have a functor $\text{ad}_g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, given by $\text{ad}_g(V) = {}^gV$ and $\text{ad}_g(f) = f$, for every object V and morphism f of \mathcal{C} . Relation (3.1) implies that ad_g is a tensor functor with monoidal structure defined by

$$(\text{ad}_g^2)_{U,V}: {}^gU \otimes {}^gV \rightarrow {}^g(U \otimes V), \quad (\text{ad}_g^2)_{U,V}(u \otimes v) = \Omega_g(h, h')^{-1}u \otimes v,$$

for all $h, h' \in G$, and for all homogeneous vectors $u \in U_h$, $v \in V_{h'}$.

For every $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$, let $\gamma(g_1, g_2): G \rightarrow k^\times$ be the map defined in the form

$$\gamma(g_1, g_2)(g) = \frac{\omega(g_1, g_2, g)\omega(g_1g_2g, g_1, g_2)}{\omega(g_1, g_2g, g_2)}.$$

The following relation holds, for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$:

$$\Omega_{g_1g_2} = \Omega_{g_1}^{g_2}\Omega_{g_2}d\gamma(g_1, g_2). \quad (3.2)$$

In this way, $\text{ad}: \underline{G} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$, $\text{ad}(g) = (\text{ad}_g, \text{ad}_g^2)$, gives rise to an action by tensor autoequivalences of G on \mathcal{C} where, for every $g, x \in G$, $V \in \mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$, the monoidal isomorphisms $\text{ad}_V^2: {}^g({}^{g'}V) \rightarrow {}^{gg'}V$ are given by

$$\text{ad}_V^2(v) = \gamma(g, g')(x)v,$$

for all homogeneous vectors $v \in V_x$, $h \in G$. The equivariantization \mathcal{C}^G with respect to this action is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional representations of the twisted quantum double $D^\omega G$ (see [5, Lemma 6.3]).

For each $g \in G$, and for each \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} , let \mathcal{M}^g denote the module category induced by the functor ad_g as in Section 2.2. Recall that the action of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M}^g is defined by $M \otimes {}^gV = M \otimes ({}^gV)$, for all objects V of \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in G$ and let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{C} -module category. Then $\mathcal{M}^g \cong \mathcal{M}$ as \mathcal{C} -module categories.*

Proof. For each $g \in G$, let $\{g\}$ denote the object of \mathcal{C} such that $\{g\} = k$ with degree g . In what follows, by abuse of notation, we identify $\{g\} \otimes \{h\}$ and $\{gh\}$, $g, h \in G$, by means of the canonical isomorphisms of vector spaces.

Let $R_g: \mathcal{M}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the functor defined by the right action of $\{g\}$: $R_g(M) = M \otimes \{g\}$. Consider the natural isomorphism $\zeta: R_g \circ \bar{\otimes}^g \rightarrow \bar{\otimes} \circ (R_g \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$, defined as

$$\zeta_{M,V} = \mu_{M, \{g\}, V} \mu_{M, {}^gV, \{g\}}^{-1}: R_g(M \bar{\otimes}^g V) \rightarrow R_g(M) \bar{\otimes} V,$$

for all objects M of \mathcal{M} and V of \mathcal{C} , where μ is the associativity constraint of \mathcal{M} .

The functor R_g is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse given by the functor $R_{g^{-1}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^g$.

A direct calculation, using the coherence conditions (2.1) and (2.2) for the module category \mathcal{M} , shows that ζ satisfies conditions (2.3) and (2.4). Hence (R_g, ζ) is a \mathcal{C} -module functor. Therefore $\mathcal{M}^g \cong \mathcal{M}$ as \mathcal{C} -module categories, as claimed. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.2. *Let H be a subgroup of G and let ψ be a 2-cochain on H satisfying (1.1). Let $A(H, \psi)$ denote the corresponding indecomposable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then, for all $g \in G$, ${}^gA(H, \psi) \cong A({}^gH, \psi^{g^{-1}}\Omega_{g^{-1}})$ as algebras in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. By definition, ${}^gA(H, \psi) = A({}^gH, \psi^{g^{-1}}(\Omega_g^{g^{-1}})^{-1})$. It follows from formula (3.2) that $(\Omega_g^{g^{-1}})^{-1}$ and $\Omega_{g^{-1}}$ differ by a coboundary. This implies the lemma. \blacksquare

3.2

Let H, L be subgroups of G and let $\psi \in C^2(H, k^\times)$, $\xi \in C^2(L, k^\times)$, be 2-cochains such that $\omega|_{H \times H \times H} = d\psi$ and $\omega|_{L \times L \times L} = d\xi$.

Let B be an object of the category ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(L, \xi)}$ of $(A(H, \psi), A(L, \xi))$ -bimodules in \mathcal{C} . For each $z \in G$, let $\pi_l(h): B_z \rightarrow B_{hz}$ and $\pi_r(s): B_z \rightarrow B_{zs}$, denote the linear maps induced by the actions of $h \in H$ and $s \in L$, respectively. Then the following relations hold, for all $h, h' \in H$, $s, s' \in L$:

$$\pi_l(h)\pi_l(h') = \omega(h, h', z)\psi(h, h')\pi_l(hh'), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\pi_r(s')\pi_r(s) = \omega(z, s, s')^{-1}\xi(s, s')\pi_r(ss'), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\pi_l(h)\pi_r(s) = \omega(h, z, s)\pi_r(s)\pi_l(h). \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g \in G$ and let B_g denote the homogeneous component of degree g of B . Then the map $\pi: H \cap {}^g L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(B_g)$, defined as $\pi(x) = \pi_r({}^{g^{-1}}x)^{-1}\pi_l(x)$ is a projective representation of $H \cap {}^g L$ with cocycle α_g given, for all $x, y \in H \cap {}^g L$, as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g(x, y) &= \psi(x, y)\xi^{-1}({}^{g^{-1}}x, {}^{g^{-1}}y) \frac{\omega(x, y, g)\omega(x, yg, {}^{g^{-1}}(y^{-1}))}{\omega(xyg, {}^{g^{-1}}(y^{-1}), {}^{g^{-1}}(x^{-1}))} du_g(x, y) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\omega({}^{g^{-1}}y, {}^{g^{-1}}(y^{-1}), {}^{g^{-1}}(x^{-1}))}{\omega({}^{g^{-1}}x, {}^{g^{-1}}y, {}^{g^{-1}}(y^{-1}x^{-1}))}, \end{aligned}$$

where the 1-cochain u_g is defined as $u_g(x) = \omega(xg, {}^{g^{-1}}x, {}^{g^{-1}}(x^{-1}))$.

Proof. It follows from (3.4) that $\pi_r(s)^{-1} = \omega(z, s, s^{-1})\xi(s, s^{-1})^{-1}\pi_r(s^{-1})$, for all $z \in G$, $s \in L$. In addition, for all $h, h' \in L$, we have the following relation:

$$\xi(h'^{-1}, h^{-1})\xi(h, h') = df(h, h') \frac{\omega(h', h'^{-1}, h^{-1})}{\omega(h, h', h'^{-1}h^{-1})},$$

where f is the 1-cochain given by $f(h) = \xi(h, h^{-1})$. A straightforward computation, using this relation and conditions (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), shows that $\pi(x)\pi(y) = \alpha_g(x, y)\pi(xy)$, for all $x, y \in H \cap {}^g L$. This proves the lemma. \blacksquare

Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 is a version of [8, Proposition 3.2], where it is shown that B is a simple object of ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(L, \xi)}$ if and only if B is supported on a single double coset HgL and the projective representation π in the component B_g is irreducible.

For all $g \in G$, $\psi^g\Omega_g$ is a 2-cochain in ${}^{g^{-1}}H$ such that $\omega|_{{}^{g^{-1}}H \times {}^{g^{-1}}H \times {}^{g^{-1}}H} = d(\psi^g\Omega_g)$. Then the product $\xi^{-1}\psi^g\Omega_g$ defines a 2-cocycle of ${}^{g^{-1}}H \cap L$.

Lemma 3.5. *The class of the 2-cocycle $(\xi^{-1}\psi^g\Omega_g)^{g^{-1}}$ in $H^2(H \cap {}^g L, k^\times)$ coincides with the class of the 2-cocycle α_g in Lemma 3.3.*

Proof. A direct calculation shows that for all $x, y \in G$,

$$\frac{\omega(y, y^{-1}, x^{-1})}{\omega(x, y, y^{-1}x^{-1})} \frac{\omega({}^g x, {}^g y, g)\omega({}^g x, {}^g yg, y^{-1})}{\omega({}^g x {}^g yg, y^{-1}, x^{-1})} = \Omega_g(x, y)d\theta_g(x, y),$$

where the 1-cochain θ_g is defined as $\theta_g(x) = \omega(g, x, x^{-1})^{-1}$. This implies that $\alpha_g^g \equiv \xi^{-1}\psi^g\Omega_g$, as was to be proved. \blacksquare

3.3

In this subsection we give a proof of the main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let H, L be subgroups of G and let $\psi \in C^2(H, k^\times)$ and $\xi \in C^2(L, k^\times)$ be 2-cochains satisfying condition (1.1). Let $A(H, \psi), A(L, \xi)$ be the associated algebras in \mathcal{C} and let $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi), \mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)$ be the corresponding \mathcal{C} -module categories.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)$. For every $g \in G$, let \mathcal{M}^g denote the module category induced by the autoequivalence $\text{ad}_g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M}^g is equivalent to ${}^g A(L, \xi)\mathcal{C}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{M}^g \cong \mathcal{M}_0({}^g L, \xi^{g^{-1}}\Omega_{g^{-1}})$.

Suppose that there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $H = {}^g L$ and the class of the cocycle $\xi^{-1}\psi^g\Omega_g$ is trivial on L . Relation (3.2) implies that $\Omega_g^{g^{-1}} = \Omega_{g^{-1}}^{-1}$, and thus the class of $\psi^{-1}\xi^{g^{-1}}\Omega_{g^{-1}}$ is trivial on H . Then $\psi = \xi^{g^{-1}}\Omega_{g^{-1}}df$, for some 1-cochain $f \in C^1(H, k^\times)$. Therefore ${}^g A(L, \xi) = A(H, \xi^{g^{-1}}\Omega_{g^{-1}}) \cong A(H, \psi)$ as algebras in \mathcal{C} . Thus we obtain equivalences of \mathcal{C} -module categories

$$\mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi) \cong \mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)^g \cong {}^g A(L, \xi)\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi),$$

where the first equivalence is deduced from Lemma 3.1.

Conversely, suppose that $F: \mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)$ is an equivalence of \mathcal{C} -module categories. Recall that there is an equivalence

$$\text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi), \mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)) \cong {}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(L, \xi)}.$$

Under this equivalence, the functor F corresponds to an object B of ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(L, \xi)}$ such that there exists an object B' of ${}_{A(L, \xi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(H, \psi)}$ satisfying

$$B \otimes_{A(L, \xi)} B' \cong A(H, \psi), \tag{3.6}$$

as $A(H, \psi)$ -bimodules in \mathcal{C} , and

$$B' \otimes_{A(H, \psi)} B \cong A(L, \xi), \tag{3.7}$$

as $A(L, \xi)$ -bimodules in \mathcal{C} .

Let $\text{FPdim}_{A(H, \psi)} M$ denote the Frobenius–Perron dimension of an object M of ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(H, \psi)}$. Then we have

$$\dim M = \dim A(H, \psi) \text{FPdim}_{A(H, \psi)} M = |H| \text{FPdim}_{A(H, \psi)} M.$$

Taking Frobenius–Perron dimensions in both sides of (3.6) and using this relation we obtain that $\dim(B \otimes_{A(L, \xi)} B') = |H|$.

On the other hand, $\dim(B \otimes_{A(H, \psi)} B') = \frac{\dim B \dim B'}{\dim A(L, \xi)} = \frac{\dim B \dim B'}{|L|}$. Thus

$$\dim B \dim B' = |H||L|. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $A(H, \psi)$ is an indecomposable algebra in \mathcal{C} , then it is a simple object of ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(H, \psi)}$. Then (3.7) implies that B is a simple object of ${}_{A(H, \psi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(L, \xi)}$ and B' is a simple object of ${}_{A(L, \xi)}\mathcal{C}_{A(H, \psi)}$.

In view of [8, Proposition 3.2], the support of B is a two sided (H, L) -double coset, that is, $B = \bigoplus_{(h, h') \in H \times L} B_{hgh'}$, where $g \in G$ is a representative of the double coset that supports B . Moreover, the homogeneous component B_g is an irreducible α_g -projective representation of the group ${}^g L \cap H$, where the 2-cocycle α_g satisfies $\alpha_g \equiv (\xi^{-1}\psi^g\Omega_g)^{g^{-1}}$; see Remark 3.4 and Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5.

Notice that the actions of $h \in H$ and $h' \in L$ induce isomorphisms of vector spaces $B_g \cong B_{hg}$ and $B_g \cong B_{gh'}$. Hence

$$\dim B = |HgL| \dim B_g = \frac{|H||L|}{|H \cap {}^g L|} \dim B_g = [H : H \cap {}^g L] |L| \dim B_g. \quad (3.9)$$

In particular, $\dim B \geq |L|$. Reversing the roles of H and L , the same argument implies that $\dim B' \geq |H|$. Combined with relations (3.8) and (3.9) this implies

$$|H||L| = \dim B \dim B' \geq |H|[H : H \cap {}^g L]|L| \dim B_g.$$

Hence $[H : H \cap {}^g L] \dim B_g = 1$, and therefore $[H : H \cap {}^g L] = 1$ and $\dim B_g = 1$. The first condition means that $H \subseteq {}^g L$, while the second condition implies that the class of α_g is trivial in $H^2(H \cap {}^g L, k^\times)$. Since the rank of $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \psi)$ equals the index $[G : H]$ and the rank of $\mathcal{M}_0(H, \xi)$ equals the index $[G : L]$, then $|H| = |L|$. Thus we get that $H = {}^g L$ and that the class of the 2-cocycle (1.2) is trivial in $H^2(L, k^\times)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

Example 3.6. Let B_8 be the 8-dimensional Kac Paljutkin Hopf algebra. The Hopf algebra B_8 fits into an exact sequence

$$k \longrightarrow k^C \longrightarrow B_8 \longrightarrow kL \longrightarrow k,$$

where $C = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $L = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. See [3]. This exact sequence gives rise to mutual actions by permutations

$$C \xleftarrow{\triangleleft} C \times L \xrightarrow{\triangleright} L,$$

and compatible cocycles $\tau: L \times L \rightarrow (k^C)^\times$, $\sigma: C \times C \rightarrow (k^L)^\times$, such that B_8 is isomorphic to the bicrossed product $kC^\tau \#_\sigma kL$. The data \triangleleft , \triangleright , σ and τ are explicitly determined in [4, Proposition 3.11] as follows. Let $C = \langle x: x^2 = 1 \rangle$, $L = \langle z, t: z^2 = t^2 = ztz^{-1}t^{-1} = 1 \rangle$. Then $\triangleleft: C \times L \rightarrow C$ is the trivial action of L on C , $\triangleright: C \times L \rightarrow L$ is the action defined by $x \triangleright z = z$ and $x \triangleright t = zt$,

$$\tau_{x^n}(z^i t^j, z^{i'} t^{j'}) = (-1)^{nj i'},$$

for all $0 \leq n, i, i', j, j' \leq 1$, and

$$\sigma_{z^i t^j}(x^n, x^{n'}) = (\sqrt{-1})^j \binom{n+n'-\langle n+n' \rangle}{2},$$

for all $0 \leq i, j, n, n' \leq 1$, where $\langle n + n' \rangle$ denotes the remainder of $n + n'$ in the division by 2. Here we use the notation $\tau(a, a')(y) =: \tau_y(a, a')$ and, similarly, $\sigma(y, y')(a) =: \sigma_a(y, y')$, $a, a' \in L$, $y, y' \in C$.

In view of [9, Theorem 3.3.5] (see [6, Proposition 4.3]), the fusion category of finite-dimensional representations of $B_8^{\text{op}} \cong B_8$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, L, 1)$, where $G = L \rtimes C$ is the semidirect product with respect to the action \triangleright , and ω is the 3-cocycle arising from the pair (τ, σ) under one of the maps of the so-called *Kac exact sequence* associated to the matched pair.

In this example G is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_8 of order 8. The 3-cocycle ω is determined by the formula

$$\omega(x^n z^i t^j, x^{n'} z^{i'} t^{j'}, x^{n''} z^{i''} t^{j''}) = \tau_{x^n}(z^{i'} t^{j'}, x^{n'} \triangleright z^{i''} t^{j''}) \sigma_{z^{i''} t^{j''}}(x^n, x^{n'}), \quad (3.10)$$

for all $0 \leq i, j, i', j', i'', j'', n, n', n'' \leq 1$.

Notice that $\omega|_{L \times L \times L} = 1$. Hence, for every 2-cocycle ξ on L , the pair (L, ξ) gives rise to an indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module category $\mathcal{M}(L, \xi)$. Formula (3.10) implies that $\Omega_x|_{L \times L}$ is given by

$$\Omega_x(z^i t^j, z^{i'} t^{j'}) = (-1)^{ji'}, \quad 0 \leq i, i', j, j' \leq 1.$$

Then Ω_x is a 2-cocycle representing the unique nontrivial cohomology class in $H^2(L, k^\times)$. By Theorem 1.1, for any 2-cocycle ξ on L , $\mathcal{M}_0(L, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(L, \xi)$ are equivalent as $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega)$ -module categories, and therefore so are the corresponding \mathcal{C} -module categories $\mathcal{M}(L, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(L, \xi)$. This implies that indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module categories are in this example parameterized by conjugacy classes of subgroups of D_8 on which ω has trivial restriction, as claimed in [2, Section 6.4].

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by CONICET and SeCyT - Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.

References

- [1] Etingof P., Gelaki S., Nikshych D., Ostrik V., Tensor categories, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. 205, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [2] Marshall I., Nikshych D., On the Brauer–Picard groups of fusion categories, *Math. Z.*, to appear, [arXiv:1603.04318](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04318).
- [3] Masuoka A., Semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 6, 8, *Israel J. Math.* **92** (1995), 361–373.
- [4] Masuoka A., Cocycle deformations and Galois objects for some cosemisimple Hopf algebras of finite dimension, in *New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory* (La Falda, 1999), *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, 195–214.
- [5] Naidu D., Crossed pointed categories and their equivariantizations, *Pacific J. Math.* **247** (2010), 477–496, [arXiv:1111.5246](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.5246).
- [6] Natale S., On group theoretical Hopf algebras and exact factorizations of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 199–211, [math.QA/0208054](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0208054).
- [7] Nikshych D., Non-group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14** (2008), 145–161, [arXiv:0712.0585](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.0585).
- [8] Ostrik V., Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003** (2003), 1507–1520, [math.QA/0202130](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0202130).
- [9] Schauenburg P., Hopf bimodules, coquasibialgebras, and an exact sequence of Kac, *Adv. Math.* **165** (2002), 194–263.