

UDK 517.5

©2016. O. S. Afanas'eva

## FINITE LIPSCHITZ MAPPINGS ON FINSLER MANIFOLDS

We consider ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms with respect to  $p$ -modulus on Finsler manifolds,  $n - 1 < p < n$ , and establish sufficient conditions for these mappings to be finitely Lipschitzian.

**Key words:** *Finsler manifolds, ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms,  $p$ -modulus, finite Lipschitz mappings.*

**1. Introduction.**

In this article we continue our study of mappings on Finsler manifolds  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$  started in [1]. For historical remarks and needed definitions, we refer to [1]. The main tools involve the method of moduli applied to ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms and the method of  $p$ -capacities recently developed for Finsler manifolds. For the latter see [2]–[4].

Recall that a mapping  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  between Finsler manifolds  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$  and  $(\mathbb{M}_*^n, \Phi_*)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is called *Lipschitz* if there is a finite constant  $C > 0$  such that the inequality  $d_{\Phi}^*(f(x), f(y)) \leq C \cdot d_{\Phi}(x, y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}^n$ , cf. [5]. We say that a continuous mapping  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is *finitely Lipschitzian* on the domain  $D$  if

$$L(x, f) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d_{\Phi}^*(f(x), f(y))}{d_{\Phi}(x, y)} < \infty$$

for all  $x \in D$ , cf. [6].

The main result of the paper is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be domains in  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$  and  $(\mathbb{M}_*^n, \tilde{\Phi}_*)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , respectively. Assume that  $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a locally integrable function such that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) < \infty \quad (1)$$

and  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism with respect to a  $p$ -modulus at any  $x_0 \in D$ ,  $n - 1 < p < n$ . Then  $f$  is finitely Lipschitzian on  $D$ .

The similar results for homeomorphisms and mappings with branching were earlier obtained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , see [7]. The Lipschitzian continuity for mappings in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with a uniformly bounded function  $Q$  has been established by Gehring [8]. The same condition for Riemannian manifolds was proved in [9].

**2. Definitions and preliminary results.**

Recall some needed definitions. By *domain* in a topological space  $T$  we mean an open linearly connected set. The domain  $D$  is called *locally connected at a point*  $x_0 \in \partial D$ , if for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $V \cap D$  is connected, cf. [10, c. 232]. Similarly, we say that a domain  $D$  is *locally linearly connected*

at a point  $x_0 \in \partial D$ , if for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  there exists a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $V \cap D$  is linearly connected. Recall that the  $n$ -dimensional topological manifold  $\mathbb{M}^n$  is a Hausdorff topological space with a countable base such that every point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The manifold of the class  $C^r$  with  $r \geq 1$  is called *smooth*.

Let further  $D$  denote a domain in the Finsler space  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $T\mathbb{M}^n = \cup T_x \mathbb{M}^n$  be a tangent bundle of  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{M}^n$ . By a *Finsler manifold*  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we mean a smooth manifold of class  $C^\infty$  with defined Finsler structure  $\Phi(x, \xi)$ , where  $\Phi(x, \xi) : T\mathbb{M}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a function satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $\Phi \in C^\infty(T\mathbb{M}^n \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- 2)  $\Phi(x, a\xi) = a\Phi(x, \xi)$  holds for all  $a > 0$  and  $\Phi(x, \xi) > 0$  holds for  $\xi \neq 0$ ;
- 3) the  $n \times n$  Hessian matrix  $g_{ij}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^2(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j}$  is positive defined at every point of  $T\mathbb{M}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , cf. [4].

By the *geodesic distance*  $d_\Phi(x, y)$  we mean the infimum of lengths of piecewise-smooth curves joining  $x$  and  $y$  in  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \Phi)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . It is well-known that for such metric only two axioms of metric spaces hold, namely identity and triangle inequality axioms. Therefore, the Finsler manifold provides a quasimetric space for which symmetry axiom fails (see, e.g. [11]).

**Remark 1.** Consider a Finsler structure of the type

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(x, \xi) + \Phi(x, -\xi)). \quad (2)$$

In this case we obtain a Finsler manifold  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$  with symmetrized (reversible) function  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Clearly, if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is reversible, then the induced distance function  $d_{\tilde{\Phi}}$  is reversible, i.e.,  $d_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x, y) = d_{\tilde{\Phi}}(y, x)$ , for all pairs of points  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}^n$ . It is also known that the reversible Finsler metric coincides with the Riemannian one, see, e.g., [11]. Therefore, in our further discussion we can rely on the results of [12].

Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^n$  be a piecewise-smooth curve and  $x(t)$  be its parametrization. An *element of length* in  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we define as a differential of path for infinitesimal measured part of a curve  $\gamma \in D$  by  $ds_{\tilde{\Phi}}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(x, \xi) d\eta_i d\eta_j$ ; see, e.g. [13]. So, the distance  $ds_{\tilde{\Phi}}$  in the Finsler space, as in the case of a Riemannian space, is determined by a metric tensor. Using the quadratic form  $ds_{\tilde{\Phi}}$ , we determine the length of  $\gamma \subset D$  by  $s_{\tilde{\Phi}}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} ds_{\tilde{\Phi}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{\Phi}(x, dx) dt$ , see, e.g. [11]. The invariance of this integral requires the restrictions 2)-3), given above, on the Lagrangian  $\tilde{\Phi}(x, dx)$ .

In the Finsler geometry there are various definitions for the volume: by Holmes-Thompson, Loewner, Busemann and others. In this paper we agree with the volume definition by Busemann (Busemann-Hausdorff). Following [14], an element of *volume* on the Finsler manifold is defined by  $d\sigma_\Phi(x) = \frac{|B^n|}{|B_x^n|} dx^1 \dots dx^n$ , where  $|B^n|$  denotes the Euclidean volume of the unit  $n$ -ball, whereas  $|B_x^n|$  is the Euclidean volume of the set

$B_x^n = \left\{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left( x, \sum_1^n (\xi_i, e_i(x)) \right) < 1 \right\}$  with an arbitrary basis  $\{e_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  depending on  $x$ . It is known that the volume in the Finsler space coincides with its Hausdorff measure induced by metric  $d_\Phi(x, y)$ , if  $\Phi(x, \xi)$  is an invertible function, see, e.g. [14]. In view of Remark 1, we have  $d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(x, \xi)} dx^1 \dots dx^n$ , cf. [15].

Let  $\Gamma$  be a family of curves in a domain  $D$ . By the family of curves  $\Gamma$  we mean a fixed set of curves  $\gamma$ , and for arbitrary mapping  $f : \mathbb{M}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_*^n$ ,  $f(\Gamma) := \{f \circ \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

The  $p$ -modulus of the family  $\Gamma$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , is defined by

$$M_p(\Gamma) = \inf_{\mathbb{M}^n} \int \rho^p(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x), \quad (3)$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative Borel functions  $\rho$  such that the condition  $\int_\gamma \rho \tilde{\Phi}(x, dx) = \int_\gamma \rho ds_{\tilde{\Phi}} \geq 1$  holds for any curve  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The functions  $\rho$ , satisfying this condition, are called *admissible* for  $\Gamma$ , cf. [4].

The quantity (3) can be interpreted as an outer measure in the space of curves.

For sets  $A, B$  and  $C$  from  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , by  $\Delta(A, B; C)$  we denote a set of all curves  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^n$ , which join  $A$  and  $B$  in  $C$ , i.e.  $\gamma(a) \in A$ ,  $\gamma(b) \in B$  and  $\gamma(t) \in C$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ .

**Remark 2.** One can apply the following well-known facts: Proposition 1 and Remark 1 in [12] (due to Remark 1), and thus assume that the geodesic spheres  $S(x_0, r)$ , geodesic balls  $B(x_0, r)$  and geodesic rings  $A = A(x_0, r_1, r_2)$  lie in a normal neighborhood of a point  $x_0$ .

Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be domains in  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$  and  $(\mathbb{M}_*^n, \tilde{\Phi}_*)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , respectively, and  $Q : \mathbb{M}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function,  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $x_0 \in D$ . We say that a homeomorphism  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is a *ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism* with respect to a  $p$ -modulus at the point  $x_0$  if the inequality

$$M_p(\Delta(f(S_\varepsilon), f(S_{\varepsilon_0}); D')) \leq \int_{\mathbb{A}} Q(x) \cdot \eta^p(d_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x, x_0)) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) \quad (4)$$

holds for every geodesic ring  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 < d_0 = \text{dist}(x_0, \partial D)$ , and for every measurable function  $\eta : (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , such that  $\int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_0} \eta(r) dr \geq 1$ . Here  $S_\varepsilon = S(x_0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $S_{\varepsilon_0} = S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . We also say that  $f$  is a *ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism* with respect to a  $p$ -modulus in the domain  $D$  if  $f$  is a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism at every point  $x_0 \in D$ .

Let us recall that the idea to introduce the ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms goes back to Gehring's ring definition of quasiconformality in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n = 3$ , see [16]. These homeomorphisms first appeared in the plane for study of the Beltrami equations (see, e.g. [17]), and later in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , cf. [18]. Further, the notion of ring homeomorphisms was extended to boundary points of domains in the plane [19] and then in the space [20]. It

is well known that the theory of boundary behavior is one of the difficult and interesting parts of the mapping theory; see the monographs [19, 6] and references therein. Note also that the ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms have rich applications in the theory of boundary behavior of Sobolev and Orlic–Sobolev classes of mappings on Riemannian manifolds; see [21]. The notion of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms at boundary points with respect to  $p$ -modulus for  $p = 2$  was introduced and applied for study the Beltrami equations with a degenerate condition of strong ellipticity in [22]. Later a criterium for arbitrary homeomorphisms to be ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms with respect to  $p$ -modulus,  $p \neq n$ , at interior points of domains in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was established in [23].

### 3. $p$ -capacities and Finsler manifolds.

By a *condenser* we mean a pair  $\mathcal{E} = (A, G)$ , where  $A \subset \mathbb{M}^n$  is open and  $G \subset \mathbb{M}^n$  is a non-empty compact set contained in  $A$ . We shall say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a *ringlike condenser* if  $B = A \setminus G$  is a geodesic ring, i.e.  $B$  is a domain whose complement  $\overline{D} \setminus B$  has exactly two components. We shall say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a *bounded condenser* if  $A$  is bounded. A condenser  $\mathcal{E} = (A, G)$  lies in a domain  $D$  if  $A \subset D$ .

Each condenser has  $p$ -capacity (where  $p \geq 1$ ) defined by the equality

$$\text{cap}_p \mathcal{E} = \text{cap}_p(A, G) = \inf_u \int_{A \setminus G} |\nabla u|^p d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x), \quad (5)$$

where the infimum is taken over all Lipschitz functions  $u$  with compact support in  $A$ . In the local coordinates, the *gradient* at a point  $x \in \mathbb{M}^n$  is defined by  $(\nabla f)^i = g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where the matrix  $g^{ij}$  is the inverse matrix of the matrix  $g_{ij}$ ; see [24].

Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , for  $1 < p < n$ ,

$$\text{cap}_p \mathcal{E} \geq n\Omega_n^{\frac{p}{n}} \left( \frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} [m(G)]^{\frac{n-p}{n}}, \quad (6)$$

see, e.g. (8.9) in [25]. Finally, for  $n-1 < p \leq n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the following lower bound

$$(\text{cap}_p \mathcal{E})^{n-1} \geq \gamma \frac{d(G)^p}{m(A)^{1-n+p}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $d(G)$  is the diameter of the compact set  $G$  and  $\gamma$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$  (see Proposition 6 in [26]) holds.

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.

It suffices to show the following. Let  $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a locally integrable function and  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism with respect to a  $p$ -modulus ( $n-1 < p < n$ ) at an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in D$  satisfying

$$Q_0 = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) < \infty.$$

We show that

$$L(x_0, f) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^*(f(x_0), f(x))}{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x_0, x)} \leq \lambda_{n,p} Q_0^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where  $\lambda_{n,p}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ .

Consider a geodesic ring  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(x_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \subset D$  with  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$  such that  $\mathbb{A}(x_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  lies in a normal neighborhood at  $x_0$  (see Remark 2). Of course, if  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is open and  $\mathcal{E} = (A, G)$  is a condenser in  $D$ , then  $f(\mathcal{E}) = (f(A), f(G))$  is also condenser in  $D'$ , see Lemma A.1 in [6] and [27]. Then  $(f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)), \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_1))})$  is the ringlike condenser in  $D'$ , in view of Remark 1. Follow the Theorem 2 in [4] we have

$$\text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)), \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_1))}) = M_p(\Delta(\partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_1)); f(\mathbb{A}))).$$

This equality is invariant with respect to change of the local coordinates. Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism, then

$$\Delta(\partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)), \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_1)); f(\mathbb{A})) = f(\Delta(\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon_2), \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon_1); \mathbb{A})).$$

Letting

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1}, & t \in (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2), \\ 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2), \end{cases}$$

and applying the definition of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms with respect to  $p$ -module, we obtain

$$\text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)), \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon_1))}) \leq \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)^p} \int_{\mathbb{A}(x_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x). \quad (8)$$

Choose  $\varepsilon_1 = 2\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = 4\varepsilon$ , then

$$\text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, 4\varepsilon)), \overline{f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))}) \leq \frac{1}{(2\varepsilon)^p} \int_{B(x_0, 4\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x). \quad (9)$$

Due to Remark 1 (see also proposition 5.11 (d) [28]), inequality (6) holds in sufficiently small neighborhoods of the point  $x_0$  with respect to the normal coordinates, i.e.

$$\text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, 4\varepsilon)), \overline{f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))}) \geq C_{n,p} [\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(fB(x_0, 2\varepsilon))]^{\frac{n-p}{n}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $C_{n,p}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ . Combining (9) and (10) and taking into account the local  $n$ -regularity of measures (see Lemma 2.1 in [1]), we obtain

$$\frac{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)))}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))} \leq c_{n,p} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 4\varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, 4\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) \right]^{\frac{n}{n-p}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $c_{n,p}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ .

Now choosing in (8),  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = 2\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)), \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))}) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x). \quad (12)$$

Arguing similar to above, one gets from (7) the following lower bound

$$\left( \text{cap}_p (f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)), \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))}) \right)^{n-1} \geq \tilde{C}_{n,p} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^p(f(B(x_0, \varepsilon)))}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{1-n+p}(f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)))}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{n,p}$  is a positive constant that depends only on  $n$  and  $p$ . Combining (12) and (13) and taking again into account the Lemma 2.1 in [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^*(f(B(x_0, \varepsilon)))}{\varepsilon} &\leq \gamma_{n,p} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(f(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)))}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))} \right)^{\frac{1-n+p}{p}} \times \\ &\times \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) \right)^{\frac{n-1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $\gamma_{n,p}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ . The estimates (11) and (14) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^*(f(B(x_0, \varepsilon)))}{\varepsilon} &\leq \lambda_{n,p} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 4\varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, 4\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) \right)^{\frac{n(1-n+p)}{p(n-p)}} \times \\ &\times \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B(x_0, 2\varepsilon))} \int_{B(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} Q(x) d\sigma_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x) \right]^{\frac{n-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the desired estimate

$$L(x_0, f) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^*(f(x_0), f(x))}{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x_0, x)} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{\tilde{\Phi}}^*(f(B(x_0, \varepsilon)))}{\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_{n,p} Q_0^{\frac{1}{n-p}}$$

with a positive constant  $\lambda_{n,p}$  depending on  $n$  and  $p$ .

Since  $x_0$  was chosen arbitrary, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be domains in  $(\mathbb{M}^n, \tilde{\Phi})$  and  $(\mathbb{M}_*^n, \tilde{\Phi}_*)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , respectively, and  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be a ring  $Q$ -homeomorphism with respect to a  $p$ -modulus,  $n-1 < p < n$ . Assume that  $Q(x)$  is bounded almost everywhere (a.e.) in  $D$  by a positive constant  $K$ . Then  $f$  is locally Lipschitzian and, moreover,*

$$L(x_0, f) \leq \lambda_{n,p} K^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where  $\lambda_{n,p}$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  and  $p$ .

**Remark 4.** Condition (1) in Theorem 1 is sufficient. However, it cannot be omitted. Here we refer to an example of homeomorphism in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [7] which does not satisfy (1) and fails to be finitely Lipschitzian.

**Remark 5.** Finitely Lipschitz mappings possess the property of the absolute continuity on surfaces of any dimension (see, e.g. [6]).

1. *Afanas'eva E. S.* The boundary behavior of  $Q$ -homeomorphisms on the Finsler spaces // Ukr. Mat. Vis. – 2015. – V. 12, no. 3. – P. 311–325; transl. in J. Math. Sci. – 2016. – V. 214, no. 2. – P. 161–171.
2. *Bidabad B., Hedayatian S.* Capacity on Finsler Spaces // Iranian journal of science and technology transaction A-science – 2008. – V. 32, N A1. – P. 17–24.
3. *Borcea V. T., Neagu A.*  $p$ -modulus and  $p$ -capacity in a Finsler space // Math. Report – 2000. – 52. – P. 431–439.
4. *Dymchenko Yu. V.* Equality of the capacity and modulus of a condenser in Finsler spaces // Mat. Zametki. – 2009. – V. 85, no. 4. – P. 594–602; transl. in Math. Notes. – 2009. – V. 85, no. 3–4. – P. 566–573.
5. *Garrido M. I., Jaramillo J. A., Rangel Y. C.* Smooth Approximation of Lipschitz Functions on Finsler Manifolds // Journal of Function Spaces and Applications V. 2013. – 2013. – 10 pp.
6. *Martio O., Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E.* Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory. – Springer, New York, 2009.
7. *Salimov R.* On Finitely Lipschitz space // Sib. Electr. Math. Rep. – 2011. – V. 8. – P. 284–295.
8. *Gehring F. W.* Lipschitz mappings and the  $p$ -capacity of ring in  $n$ -space // Advances in the theory of Riemann surfaces. – Proc. Conf. Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969. – P. 175–193; Ann. of Math. Studies. – 1971. – V. 66.
9. *Nakai M.* Existence of quasiisometric mappings and royden compactifications // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. AI, Math. – 2000. – V. 25, no. 1. – P. 239–260.
10. *Kuratowski K.* Topology. Vol. II. – Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
11. *Bao D., Chern S., Shen Z.* An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry. – Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 200. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
12. *Afanas'eva E. S.* Boundary behavior of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms on Riemannian manifolds // Ukr. Math. J. – 2011. – V. 63, no. 10, P. 1–15; transl. in J. Math. Sci. – 2012. – V. 63, no. 10. – P. 1479–1493.
13. *Rutz S. F., Paiva F. M.* Gravity in Finsler spaces // Finslerian geometries. – Edmonton, AB, 1998. – P. 223–244; Fund. Theories Phys., 109, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
14. *Shen Z.* Lectures on Finsler geometry. – World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2001.
15. *Rund H.* The differential geometry of Finsler spaces. – Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 101 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Güttingen-Heidelberg, 1959.
16. *Gehring F. W.* Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1962. – V. 103. – P. 353–393.
17. *Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E.* On ring solutions of Beltrami equations // J. Anal. Math. – 2005. – V. 96. – P. 117–150.
18. *Ryazanov V., Sevost'yanov E.* Equicontinuous classes of ring  $Q$ -homeomorphisms // Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. – 2007. – V. 48, no. 6. – P. 1361–1376; transl. in Siberian Math. J. – 2007. – V. 48, no. 6. – P. 1093–1105.
19. *Gutlyanskii V., Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E.* The Beltrami equation. A geometric approach. – Developments in Mathematics, 26. Springer, New York, 2012.
20. *Golberg A.* Differential properties of  $(a, Q)$ -homeomorphisms // Further progress in analysis. – World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009. – P. 218–228.
21. *Afanas'eva E. S., Ryazanov V. I. and Salimov R. R.* On mappings in Orlicz-Sobolev classes on

- Riemannian manifolds // Ukr. Mat. Visn. – 2011. – V. 8, no. 3. – P. 319–342, 461; transl. in J. Math. Sci. – 2012. – V. 181, no. 1. – P. 1–17.
22. Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E. On strong solutions of the Beltrami equations // Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. – 2010. – V. 55, no. 1–3. – P. 219–236.
  23. Salimov R. R. Estimation of the measure of the image of the ball // Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. – 2012. – V. 53, no. 4. – P. 920–930; transl. in Sib. Math. J. – 2012. – V. 53, no. 4. – P. 739–747.
  24. Grigor'yan A. Heat Kernel and Analysis on Manifolds // AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 47. – Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
  25. Maz'ya V. Lectures on isoperimetric and isocapacitary inequalities in the theory of Sobolev spaces // Contemp. Math. – 2003. – V. 338. – P. 307–340.
  26. Kruglikov V. I. Capacity of condensers and spatial mappings quasiconformal in the mean // Math. USSR Sb. – 1987. – V. 58, no. 1. – P. 185–205.
  27. Martio O., Rickman S., Väisälä J. Definitions for quasiregular mappings // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1. Math. – 1969. – V. 448, no. 40. – P. 1–40.
  28. Lee J. M. Riemannian Manifolds: An Introduction to Curvature. – New York, Springer, 1997. – 224 pp.

### Е. С. Афанасьева

#### Конечно липшицевы отображения на финслеровых многообразиях.

Рассматриваются кольцевые  $Q$ -гомеоморфизмы относительно  $p$ -модуля на финслеровых многообразиях,  $n - 1 < p < n$ , устанавливаются достаточные условия конечной липшицевости этих отображений.

**Ключевые слова:** Финслеровы многообразия, кольцевые  $Q$ -гомеоморфизмы,  $p$ -модули, конечно липшицевы отображения.

### О. С. Афанасьева

#### Кінцево ліпшицеві відображення на фінслерових многовидах.

Розглядаються кільцеві  $Q$ -гомеоморфізми відносно  $p$ -модуля на фінслерових многовидах,  $n - 1 < p < n$ , та встановлюються достатні умови кінцевої ліпшицевості таких відображень.

**Ключові слова:** Фінслерові многовиди, кільцеві  $Q$ -гомеоморфізми,  $p$ -модулі, кінцево ліпшицеві відображення.

Ин-т прикл. математики и механики НАН Украины, Славянск  
es.afanasjeva@yandex.ru

Received 25.10.16