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We consider the $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ real symmetric and hermitian matrices $M_{n}$, which are equal to the sum $m_{n}$ of tensor products of the vectors $X^{\mu}=$ $B\left(Y^{\mu} \otimes Y^{\mu}\right), \mu=1, \ldots, m_{n}$, where $Y^{\mu}$ are i.i.d. random vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ with zero mean and unit variance of components, and $B$ is an $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ positive definite non-random matrix. We prove that if $m_{n} / n^{2} \rightarrow c \in[0,+\infty)$ and the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of $B J B$, where $J$ is defined below in (2.6), converges weakly, then the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of $M_{n}$ converges weakly in probability to a non-random limit, and its Stieltjes transform can be found from a certain functional equation.
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## 1. Introduction

Sample covariance matrices appeared initially in statistics in the 1920s-1930s. Nowadays these random matrices are widely used in statistical mechanics, probability theory and statistics, combinatorics, operator theory and theoretical computer science in mathematics, as well as in telecommunication theory, qualitative finances, structural mechanics, etc. (see, e.g., [2]).

We consider the sample covariance matrices of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=\frac{1}{n} X T X^{*} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is an $n \times m$ matrix whose entries are i.i.d. random variables such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left\{X_{i j}\right\}=0, \quad \mathbf{E}\left\{X_{i j}^{2}\right\}=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and $T$ is an $m \times m$ positive definite matrix. We begin considering the ensemble of random matrices with studying Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues which is defined by the formula

$$
N_{n}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{i \in[1, n]: \lambda_{i} \in \Delta\right\} / n
$$

where

$$
-\infty<\lambda_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n}<\infty
$$

are the eigenvalues of $M_{n}$. Also let $\sigma_{m}$ be the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of $T$.

The first rigorous result on the model (1.1) was obtained in [9], where it was proved that if $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive integers such that

$$
m_{n} \rightarrow+\infty, n \rightarrow+\infty, c_{n}=m_{n} / n \rightarrow c \in[0,+\infty)
$$

and the sequence $\sigma_{m}$ converges weakly to the probability measure $\sigma$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{m}=\sigma,
$$

then the Normalized Counting Measure $N_{n}$ of eigenvalues $M_{n}$ converges weakly in probability to a non-random measure $N(N(\mathbb{R})=1)$. The Stieltjes transform $f$ of $N$,

$$
f(z)=\int \frac{N(d \lambda)}{\lambda-z}, \quad \Im z \neq 0
$$

is uniquely determined by the equation

$$
f(z)=\left(c \int \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f(z)}-z\right)^{-1}
$$

By now, a number of ensembles have been considered. We mention two versions of ensembles of sample covariance matrices that are similar to (1.1). The first is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B X X^{*} B \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is an $n \times m$ matrix whose entries are i.i.d. random variables satisfying (1.2) and $B$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. Note that while studying the eigenvalues of (1.3) we can consider the matrices $X^{*} B^{2} X$ instead of (1.3) coinciding with (1.1) for $T=B^{2}$. The second version is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{n}+a X_{n}\right)\left(R_{n}+a X_{n}\right)^{*}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{n}$ is an $n \times m$ matrix whose entries are i.i.d. random variables satisfying (1.2), $a>0$ constant, and $R_{n}$ is an $n \times m$ random matrix independent of $X_{n}$.

Numerous results and references on the eigenvalue distribution of these random matrices can be found in [3], [4].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present our result. In Section 3, we give the proof of the main theorem and in Section 4 we prove all the technical results which we use in Section 3. We denote by $C$, $c$, etc., various constants appearing below, which can be different in different formulas.

## 2. Problem and Main Results

Let us define multi-indexes $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}=\overline{1, n}$, and inversion in multi-indexes $\overline{\mathbf{i}}=\left(i_{2}, i_{1}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{n}=\left\{B_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ real symmetric or hermitian matrix.
We consider the real symmetric or hermitian random matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} X^{\mu} \otimes \bar{X}^{\mu} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vectors $X^{\mu}$ are given by the formula (cf. (1.3))

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\mu}=B\left(Y^{\mu} \otimes Y^{\mu}\right), \mu=1, \ldots, m \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Y^{\mu}=\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}, \mu=1, \ldots, m$, are the vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ such that $\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\right\}$ (or $\left\{\Re Y_{i}^{\mu}, \Im Y_{i}^{\mu}\right\}$ ) are i.i.d. random variables for all $i=\overline{1, n}, \mu=\overline{1, m}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\right\}=0, \quad \mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu} Y_{k}^{\nu}\right\}=\delta_{i k} \delta_{\mu \nu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the real symmetric case, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\right\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu} Y_{k}^{\nu}\right\}=0, \quad \mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{k}^{\mu}\right\}=\delta_{i k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the hermitian case. Introduce the $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}=\delta_{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{q}}+\delta_{\overline{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{q}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $N_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of $M_{n}$ and $B J B$, respectively.

In what follows, by saying that the matrix is bounded, we will mean that its euclidian (or hermitian) norm $|\ldots|<c$ for some constant $c$. The main result of the paper is

Theorem 1. Let $M_{n}$ be a random matrix defined by (2.1)-(2.2). Assume that the sequence $\sigma_{n}$ converges weakly to a probability measure $\sigma$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\sigma
$$

$B$ is bounded uniformly in $n$, and $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive integers such that

$$
m_{n} \rightarrow+\infty, n \rightarrow+\infty, c_{n}=m_{n} / n^{2} \rightarrow c \in[0,+\infty) .
$$

Then the Normalized Counting Measures $N_{n}$ of eigenvalues of $M_{n}$ converge weakly in probability to a non-random probability measure $N$, and if $f^{(0)}$ is the Stieltjes transform of $\sigma$, then the Stieltjes transform $f$ of $N$ is uniquely determined by the equation

$$
f(z)=f^{(0)}\left(\frac{z}{c-z f(z)-1}\right)(c-z f(z)-1)^{-1}
$$

in the class of Stieltjes transforms of probability measures.

## 3. Proof of the Main Result

We will prove the theorem for the technically simpler case of hermitian matrices. The case of real symmetric matrices is analogous. The next Proposition sets a one-to-one correspondence between the finite nonnegative measures and their Stieltjes transforms.

Proposition 1. Let $f$ be the Stieltjes transform of a finite nonnegative measure $m$. Then:
(i) $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$, and $\overline{f(z)}=f(\bar{z})$;
(ii) $\Im f(z) \Im z>0$ for $\Im z \neq 0$;
(iii) $|f(z)| \leq m(R) /|\Im z|$, in particular, $\lim _{\eta \rightarrow+\infty} \eta|f(i \eta)| \leq \infty$;
(iv) for any function $f$ possessing the above properties there exists a nonnegative finite measure $m$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ is its Stieltjes transform, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow+\infty} \eta|f(i \eta)|=m(\mathbb{R}) ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) if $\Delta$ is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ whose edges are not atoms of the measure $m$, then we have the Stieltjes-Perron inversion formula

$$
m(\Delta)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \Im f(\lambda+i \varepsilon) d \lambda ;
$$

(vi) the above one-to-one correspondence between the finite nonnegative measures and their Stieltjes transforms is continuous if we use the uniform convergence of analytic functions on a compact set of infinite cardinality of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ for Stieltjes transforms and the vague convergence for measures in general and the weak convergence of probability measures if the r.h.s. of (3.1) is 1;

For the proofs of assertions see [1, Section 59] and [5]. Now recall some facts from linear algebra on the resolvent of real symmetric or hermitian matrix:

Proposition 2. Let $M$ be a real symmetric (hermitian) matrix and

$$
G_{M}(z)=(M-z)^{-1}, \Im z \neq 0
$$

be its resolvent. We have:
(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{M}(z)\right| \leq|\Im z|^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) if $G_{1}(z)$ and $G_{2}(z)$ are the resolvents of real symmetric (hermitian) matrices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(z)=G_{1}(z)-G_{1}(z)\left(M_{2}-M_{1}\right) G_{2}(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) if $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{M+Y \otimes \bar{Y}}=G_{M}-\frac{G_{M}(Y \otimes \bar{Y}) G_{M}}{1+\left(G_{M} Y, Y\right)}, \quad \Im z \neq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we need

$$
Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau)}=Y_{i}^{\mu} \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{i}^{\mu}\right| \leq \tau \sqrt{n}}, \quad Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}=Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau)}-\mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau)}\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that these random variables satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}\left\{Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right)^{2}\right\}=0, \quad \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right|^{2}\right\}=1+o(1), n \rightarrow+\infty  \tag{3.5}\\
& \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{i}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right|^{k}\right\} \leq n^{(k-2) / 2} \tau^{k-2} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly to $X^{\mu}$ and $M_{n}$, we can define

$$
X^{\mu(\tau)}=B\left(Y^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \otimes Y^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right), \quad M_{n}^{\tau}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} X^{\mu(\tau)} \otimes \bar{X}^{\mu(\tau)}
$$

Consider the $n^{2} \times n^{2}$ matrices

$$
K_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} C^{\mu} \otimes \bar{C}^{\mu}, \quad \widehat{K}_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} C^{\mu} \otimes \bar{X}^{\mu}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu}=\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{p}}\left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\left(1-\delta_{p_{1}, p_{2}}\right)+Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \delta_{p_{1}, p_{2}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $\sum_{\mathbf{p}}=\sum_{p_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{p_{2}=1}^{n}$.
We need the following simple fact, a version of the min-max principle of linear algebra (see [7], Section I.6.10).

Proposition 3. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be the $n \times n$ hermitian matrices and $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be the Normalized Counting Measures of their eigenvalues. Then for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{1}(\Delta)-N_{2}(\Delta)\right| \leq \operatorname{rank}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) / n . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{n}, N_{n}^{(1)}$ and $\widehat{N}_{n}^{(1)}$ be the Normalized Counting Measures of eigenvalues of matrices $M_{n}, K_{n}$ and $\widehat{K}_{n}$, respectively. Then, according to (3.8) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N_{n}-N_{n}^{(1)}\right| & \leq\left|N_{n}-\widehat{N}_{n}^{(1)}\right|+\left|\widehat{N}_{n}^{(1)}-N_{n}^{(1)}\right| \\
& \leq \operatorname{rank}\left(M_{n}-\widehat{K}_{n}\right) / n^{2}+\operatorname{rank}\left(\widehat{K}_{n}-K_{n}\right) / n^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\operatorname{rank}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{p}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{m}\left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right) \delta_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \bar{X}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mu}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}\right\}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{q}}\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{rank}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{q}}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} C_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu}\left(\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}-\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right) \delta_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\operatorname{rank}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{m}\left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right) \delta_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \bar{X}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mu}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{rank}\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} C_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu}\left(\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}-\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right) \delta_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}\right)=\frac{2}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $G^{(1)}(z)$ and $G^{\tau}(z)$ be the resolvents of the matrices $K_{n}$ and $M_{n}^{\tau}$, respectively. Then

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left|\mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{(1)}(z)-G^{\tau}(z)\right)\right\}\right|=o(1), n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Proof. Consider the $\left(n^{2}+m\right) \times\left(n^{2}+m\right)$ block matrices $\widetilde{M}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau}$ such that

$$
\widetilde{M}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A^{*}  \tag{3.9}\\
A & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(A^{\tau}\right)^{*} \\
A^{\tau} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A, A^{\tau}$ are the $n^{2} \times m$ matrices, and

$$
A_{\mathbf{i}, \mu}=n^{-1} C_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu}, \quad A_{\mathbf{i}, \mu}^{\tau}=n^{-1} X_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu(\tau)}
$$

Denote by $\widetilde{G}(z)$ and $\widetilde{G}^{\tau}(z)$ the resolvents of the matrices $\widetilde{M}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau}$, respectively. Using the formula of inversion of block matrix, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{(1)}\left(z^{2}\right)-G^{\tau}\left(z^{2}\right)\right)=-\frac{z}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G}(z)-\widetilde{G}^{\tau}(z)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we should estimate the last expression. From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G}-\widetilde{G}^{\tau}\right)\right| & =\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G} \widetilde{G}^{\tau}\left(\widetilde{M}_{n}-\widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G} \widetilde{G}^{\tau} \widetilde{G}^{*} \widetilde{G}^{\tau *}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{M}_{n}-\widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau}\right)\left(\widetilde{M}_{n}^{*}-\widetilde{M}_{n}^{\tau *}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and below we drop the argument $z$. Relations (3.2) and (3.9) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G}-\widetilde{G}^{\tau}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{n}{\Im z^{2}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(2\left(A-A^{\tau}\right)\left(A^{*}-\left(A^{\tau}\right)^{*}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n \Im z^{2}}\left(2 \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\left(C_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu}-X_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu(\tau)}\right)\left(\bar{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu}-\bar{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mu(\tau)}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{n}{\Im z^{2}}\left(2 \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} B_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{p}}\left(1-\delta_{p_{1}, p_{2}}\right)\left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}}\left(1-\delta_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\right)\left(\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}-\bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Im z^{2}}\left(2 \sum _ { \mu = 1 } ^ { m } \sum _ { p _ { 1 } \neq p _ { 2 } } B _ { \mathbf { q } , \mathbf { p } } ^ { 2 } \left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}+Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that in view of (3.5) and (2.5), the entries where one of the indexes $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ differs from others are equal to zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G}-\widetilde{G}^{\tau}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\Im} z^{2}\left(2 \sum _ { \mu = 1 } ^ { m } \sum _ { \mathbf { p } = \mathbf { \mathbf { p } } = \mathbf { q } } B _ { \mathbf { q } , \mathbf { p } } ^ { 2 } \left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}+Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Relations (3.5) and (2.5) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{p_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}}^{\mu}-Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}+\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu(\tau) \circ}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
& =1-(1))-(1+o(1))+(1+o(1))=o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all above, we get

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left|\mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{G}-\widetilde{G}^{\tau}\right)\right\}\right|<\frac{\left(2 m \operatorname{Tr}(J B)^{2} o(1)\right)^{1 / 2}}{N \Im z^{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 m}}{n \Im z^{2}} o(1) .
$$

Finally, in view of (3.10), we have

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left|\mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(G(z)^{(1)}-G^{\tau}(z)\right)\right\}\right|<\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{2} n|\Im z|} o(1)=o(1) .
$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that for our purposes it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for the matrix $M_{n}^{\tau}$. Hence below we will assume that $M_{n}$ is replaced by $M_{n}^{\tau}$. To simplify the notations, we drop the index $\tau$ and denote

$$
G(z)=\left(M_{n}-z\right)^{-1}, G^{\mu}(z)=\left.G\right|_{X^{\mu}=0}, N=n^{2} .
$$

In the proof of the main theorem we need some results
Lemma 2. If $F$ is a non-random $N \times N$ matrix such that $|F| \leq c$, then (i)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(F G^{\mu} B J B\right), \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left\{N^{-1}\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right\}=o(1), n \rightarrow+\infty ; \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}\left|\operatorname{Tr} F\left(G-G^{\mu}\right)\right|=O\left(N^{-1}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left\{N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(F G)\right\} \leq \frac{c}{N} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the lemma is given in Section 4..
According to (3.4), we have

$$
G_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mu}-N^{-1} \frac{\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}}\left(G^{\mu} \bar{X}^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{j}}}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(G X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}}=\frac{\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}}}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)} .
$$

Take any $N \times N$ bounded matrix $K$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(K G M)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} K_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}}\left(G X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}} \bar{X}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mu} \\
& =\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\left(K G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{j}} \bar{X}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mu}}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \frac{\left(K G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

To analyze the r.h.s. of (3.14), let us show first that if $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are random variables such that $\mathbf{E}\left\{|\mathcal{C}|^{2}+|\mathcal{D}|^{2}\right\}<c$ and

$$
\overline{\mathcal{C}}=\mathbf{E}\{\mathcal{C}\}, \quad \mathcal{C}^{\circ}=\mathcal{C}-\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}}=\mathbf{E}\{\mathcal{D}\}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\circ}=\mathcal{D}-\overline{\mathcal{D}}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}\right\}=\frac{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}+O\left(\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\left|\mathcal{C}^{\circ}\right|^{2}}{|\overline{\mathcal{D}}|^{2}}+\frac{\left|\mathcal{D}^{\circ}\right|^{2}}{|\overline{\mathcal{D}}|^{2}}\right\}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}=\frac{\overline{\mathcal{C}}+\mathcal{C}^{\circ}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}-\frac{\left(\overline{\mathcal{C}}+\mathcal{C}^{\circ}\right) \mathcal{D}^{\circ}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{2}}+O\left(\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\circ}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}\right\}=\frac{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}+\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \mathcal{D}^{\circ}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{2}}\right\}+O\left(\frac{\left|\mathcal{D}^{\circ}\right|^{3}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{3}}\right) \leq \frac{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}+\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\left|\mathcal{C}^{\circ}\right|^{2}}{|\overline{\mathcal{D}}|^{2}}+c \frac{\left|\mathcal{D}^{\circ}\right|^{2}}{|\overline{\mathcal{D}}|^{2}}\right\}
$$

The last inequality implies (3.15).
Let $\mathcal{C}=N^{-1}\left(K G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right), \mathcal{D}=1+2 N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)$. Since the matrix $K$ is bounded, it follows from (3.11) that

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left|\mathcal{C}^{\circ}\right|^{2}\right\}=\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}^{\circ}\right|^{2}\right\}=o(1), n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

This, (3.14) and (3.15) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G M)\}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m}\left(\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(K G^{\mu} B J B\right)}{1+N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(G^{\mu} B J B\right)}\right\}+o(1)\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the r.h.s. of (3.16) result (3.12) allows us to replace $G^{\mu}$ with $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G M)\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{c_{n} N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(K G B J B)}{1+N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(G B J B)}+o(1)\right)\right\} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last step is to replace $N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(K G B J B)$ and $N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(G B J B)$ in (3.17) with their expectations. We use again (3.15) with $\mathcal{C}=N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(K G B J B), \mathcal{D}=1+$ $N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(G B J B)$. It follows from (3.17) and (3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G M)\}=\frac{c_{n} N^{-1} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G B J B)\}}{1+N^{-1} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(G B J B)\}}+o(1) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that
$\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G M)\}=\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K(G(M-z)+G z))\}=\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr} K\}+\frac{z}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(K G)\}$.
This and (3.18) imply that for any bounded matrix $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr} K\}=\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(K G\left(c_{n} b_{n}^{-1} B J B-z\right)\right)\right\}+o(1) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=1+N^{-1} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(G B J B)\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $K=\left(c_{n} b_{n}^{-1} B J B-z\right)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(c_{n} b_{n}^{-1} B J B-z\right)^{-1}\right\}=f_{n}(z)+o(1), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(G(z)), \quad f_{n}(z)=\mathbf{E}\left\{g_{n}(z)\right\} .
$$

It follows from (3.19) with $K=I$ that

$$
\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr}(I+z G)\}=\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}\left(b_{n}-1\right)+o(1) .
$$

Then we get

$$
1+z f_{n}(z)=c_{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{b_{n}}\right)+o(1) .
$$

Now we can find $b_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\frac{c_{n}}{c_{n}-z f_{n}(z)-1+o(1)} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and (3.21) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=f_{n}^{(0)}\left(\frac{z}{c_{n}-z f_{n}(z)-1}\right)\left(c_{n}-z f_{n}(z)-1\right)^{-1}+o(1), \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{n}^{(0)}(z)=\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}(B J B-z)^{-1}\right\} .
$$

The sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ consists of the functions that are analytic and uniformly bounded in $n$ and $z$. Hence, there exists an analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ function $f$ and a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{j}}\right\}$ that converges to $f$ uniformly on any compact set of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. In addition, we have

$$
\Im f_{n}(z) \Im z>0, \Im z \neq 0,
$$

and thus $\Im f(z) \Im z \geq 0$, $\Im z \neq 0$. By Proposition 1(vi) and the hypothesis of the theorem on the weak convergence of the sequence $\sigma_{n}$ to $\sigma$, the sequence $f_{n}^{(0)}$ of their Stieltjes transforms consists of analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ functions that converge uniformly on a compact set of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ to the Stieltjes transform $f^{(0)}$ of the limiting counting measure $\sigma$ of matrices $B J B$. This allows us to pass to the limit $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (3.23) and to obtain that the limit $f$ of any converging subsequence of the sequence $f_{n}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f^{(0)}\left(\frac{z}{c-z f(z)-1}\right)(c-z f(z)-1)^{-1} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Im f(z) \Im z \geq 0, \Im z \neq 0$. The proof of the uniqueness of solution of the equation in the class of functions, analytic for $\Im z \neq 0$ and such that $\Im f(z) \Im z \geq$ $0, \Im z \neq 0$, is analogues to that from [9]. Hence, the whole sequence $f_{n}$ converges uniformly on a compact set of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ to the unique solution $f$ of the equation. Let us show that the solution possesses the properties $\Im f(z) \Im z \geq 0, \Im z \neq 0$ and $\lim _{\eta \rightarrow+\infty} \eta|f(i \eta)|=1$. Assume that $\Im f\left(z_{0}\right)=0, \Im z_{0} \neq 0$. Then (3.24) implies that

$$
\Im \int \frac{d \sigma(\lambda)}{(c-1) \lambda-z_{0}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)-1\right)}=C \Im f^{(0)}(\tilde{z})=0,
$$

where $C$ is some real constant and $\Im \tilde{z} \neq 0$. This is impossible because, according to Proposition 1(ii), $\Im f^{(0)}(z)$ is strictly positive for any nonreal $z$. Since $|f(i \eta)|<$ $\eta^{-1}$, we have

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow+\infty} \eta|f(i \eta)|=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow+\infty} \int \frac{\eta d \sigma(\lambda)}{(c-1) \lambda-i \eta-i \eta f(i \eta)}=1 .
$$

This and Proposition 1(iv) imply that $f$ is the Stieltjes transform of a probability measure.

## 4. Proofs of Lemma 2

(i) It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(F G^{\mu} B J B\right) .
$$

Denote

$$
r_{n}^{\mu}=\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(F G^{\mu} B J B\right) .
$$

We need to show that $\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left(N^{-1} r^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\}=o(1), n \rightarrow+\infty$. Rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n}^{\mu}= & \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{q}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}}\left(Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}-J_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} B_{\overline{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{i}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q} \\
\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q}}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}}(J B)_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q} \\
\overline{\mathbf{p}} \neq \mathbf{q}}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G^{\mu}$ is independent of $Y^{\mu}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left(N^{-1} r^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\}= & \frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{( \sum _ { \mathbf { i } , \mathbf { j } } ( F G ^ { \mu } ) _ { \mathbf { i } , \mathbf { j } } ) ^ { 2 } \left(\sum_{\mathbf{p}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}}(J B)_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q} \\
\overline{\mathbf{p}} \neq \mathbf{q}}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\right. & \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(\bar{F} \bar{G}^{\mu} \overline{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \times\left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \neq \neq \mathbf{q}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}} \sum_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}} Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} B_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{i}} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}} \bar{Y}_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{i}^{\prime}} Y_{q_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \Psi_{q_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(F \bar{G}^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\times \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}}(J B)_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}} \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}}(J \bar{B})_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{i}^{\prime}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+\frac{2}{N^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\left(F G^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(F \bar{G}^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{q}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}} B_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}}(J B)_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{i}}\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right) \bar{B}_{\mathbf{j}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}} \bar{Y}_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{i}^{\prime}} Y_{q_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} Y_{q_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right)\right\} \\
& = \\
& = \\
& \frac{1}{N^{2}}\left(R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
H=B F G^{\mu} B
$$

and introduce an $N \times N$ matrix $\Delta$ such that

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\delta_{i_{1} j_{2}} \delta_{i_{2} j_{1}}
$$

It is easy to check that for any $N \times N$ matrix $A$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i_{2 i} i_{1}, j_{1} j_{2}}=(\Delta A)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}},  \tag{4.25}\\
& A_{i_{1} i_{2}, j_{2} j_{1}}=(A \Delta)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define the set $E=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Notice that if in the set $E$ there are more than 4 different numbers, then

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu} Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{1}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{q_{2}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu} Y_{q_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} Y_{q_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right\}=0
$$

Hence we need to consider the sets $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$ of all multi-indexes $\left\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right\}$ of the special form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right\}=\{(a, b),(a, c),(d, b),(d, c)\}\right\}, \\
& I_{2}=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right\}=\{(a, b),(c, d),(a, b),(c, d)\}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all pairwise different,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{3}=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right\}: \text { there are } 3 \text { different numbers }(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \text { in the set } E\right\}, \\
I_{4}=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right\}: \text { there are } 2 \text { different numbers }(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \text { in the set } E\right\}
\end{array}
$$

or any inversion in the multi-indexes of the same form. Since $B, F, \Delta$ and $G^{\mu}$ (in view of (3.2)) are bounded, then there exists a constant $c$ such that $|H|<c$.

Hence, in view of (4.25) and (3.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} \leq \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\sum_{I_{1}} H_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}\right. & \bar{H}_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}\left|Y_{a}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{b}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{c}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{d}^{\mu}\right|^{2}+\sum_{I_{2}} H_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \bar{H}_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}\left|Y_{a}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{b}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{c}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{d}^{\mu}\right|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{I_{3}} H_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \bar{H}_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}\left(\left|Y_{i}^{\mu}\right|^{4}\left|Y_{j}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{k}^{\mu}\right|^{2}+\left.Y_{i}^{\mu}\right|^{3}\left|Y_{j}^{\mu}\right|^{3}\left|Y_{k}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{I_{4}} H_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \bar{H}_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}\left(\left|Y_{i}^{\mu}\right|^{4}\left|Y_{j}^{\mu}\right|^{4}+\left|Y_{i}^{\mu}\right|^{6}\left|Y_{j}^{\mu}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{i}^{\mu}\right|^{5}\left|Y_{j}^{\mu}\right|^{3}\right)\right\} \\
\leq \tilde{c}\left(\sum_{p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}, q_{2}}(H\right. & +\Delta H+H \Delta+\Delta H \Delta)_{p_{1} p_{2}, q_{1} q_{2}}(\bar{H}+\Delta \bar{H}+\bar{H} \Delta+\Delta \bar{H} \Delta)_{p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime} q_{2}} \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}(H+\Delta H+H \Delta+\Delta H \Delta)(H+\Delta H+H \Delta+\Delta H \Delta)^{*} \\
& \left.+\left|I_{3}\right| c^{2} n \tau^{2}+\left|I_{4}\right| c^{2} n^{2} \tau^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Delta^{2}=I$ and $\left|I_{3}\right|=c_{1} n^{3},\left|I_{2}\right|=c_{2} n^{2}$, we have

$$
R_{1} \leq \tilde{c}\left(\sum_{p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}, q_{2}} C_{p_{1} p_{2}, q_{1} q_{2}} C_{p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime} q_{2}}^{*}+\operatorname{Tr} H H^{*}+\operatorname{Tr} \Delta H H^{*}+c n^{4} \tau\right),
$$

where

$$
C=H+\Delta H+H \Delta+\Delta H \Delta .
$$

Denote by $\tilde{C}$ an $n \times n$ matrix with the coordinates

$$
\tilde{C}_{p_{2} q_{2}}=\sum_{p_{1}=1}^{n} C_{p_{1} p_{2}, p_{1} q_{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
R_{1} \leq c\left(\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{C} \tilde{C}^{*}+\operatorname{Tr} H H^{*}+\operatorname{Tr} \Delta H H^{*}+c n^{4} \tau\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $|\tilde{C}|<n|H|<n c$, hence

$$
R_{1} \leq c\left(n^{3}+n^{2}+n^{4} \tau\right)
$$

Divide the set $\left\{\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ of all possible indexes into four sets $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{4}$ such that $\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \in I_{i}$ if there are exactly $i$ different numbers in the set $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. The matrices $H$ and $J$ are bounded and, in view of (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2} & \leq c \mathbf{E}\left\{\sum_{I_{1}}\left|Y_{1}^{\mu}\right|^{8}+\sum_{I_{2}}\left(\left|Y_{1}^{\mu}\right|^{4}\left|Y_{2}^{\mu}\right|^{4}+\left|Y_{1}^{\mu}\right|^{6}\left|Y_{2}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\right)+\sum_{I_{3}}\left|Y_{1}^{\mu}\right|^{4}\left|Y_{2}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{3}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{I_{4}}\left(\left|Y_{1}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{2}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(\left|Y_{3}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{4}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right\} \\
& =c\left(\left|I_{1}\right| n^{3} \tau^{6}+\left|I_{2}\right| n^{2} \tau^{4}+\left|I_{3}\right| n \tau^{2}+\left|I_{4}\right| o(1)\right)=c n^{4}(\tau+o(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that if the set of indexes $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ has more than 3 or less than 2 different numbers, then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\left|Y_{p_{1}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{p_{2}}^{\mu}\right|^{2}-1\right) \bar{Y}_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} \bar{Y}_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu} Y_{q_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu} Y_{q_{2}^{\prime}}^{\mu}\right\}=0 .
$$

Other terms are divided into the sets $I_{1}$ (3 different numbers) and $I_{2}$ (2 different numbers). Similarly to the previous case,

$$
R_{3} \leq c\left(\sum_{I_{1}} n \tau^{2}+\sum_{I_{2}} n^{2} \tau^{4}\right)=c n^{4} \tau
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left(N^{-1} r^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\} \leq o(1)+c \tau .
$$

Since this inequality is true for every $\tau$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\left\{\left(N^{-1} r^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\}=o(1) .
$$

(ii) According to (3.4),

$$
\left(F\left(G-G^{\mu}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=-\frac{N^{-1}\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{i}} \overline{\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)_{\mathbf{j}}}}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(F\left(G-G^{\mu}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\frac{N^{-1}\left(F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, G^{\mu} X^{\mu}\right)}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}\right| \leq \frac{|F|\left|\left(\left(G^{\mu}\right)^{*} G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right|}{\left|\Im\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right|} .
$$

On the other hand, by the spectral theorem,

$$
\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(v^{k}, X^{\mu}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{k}-z},
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ are the eigenvalues of $G^{\mu}$ and $\left\{v^{k}\right\}$ are the eigenvectors of $G^{\mu}$. Then

$$
\left|\Im\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)\right|=|\Im z| \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left|\left(v^{k}, X^{\mu}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{k}-z\right)\left(\lambda_{k}^{*}-z\right)} .
$$

Besides,

$$
\left(\left(G^{\mu}\right)^{*} G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left|\left(v^{k}, X^{\mu}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{k}-z\right)\left(\lambda_{k}^{*}-z\right)} .
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} F\left(G-G^{\mu}\right) \leq \frac{|F|}{N|\Im z|}=O(N)
$$

(iii) To prove the lemma, we need the following statement of martingale bounds (see [6] for results and references):

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{Y^{\mu}\right\}_{\mu=1}^{m}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Assume that the function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n m}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded Boreal function such that

$$
\sup _{X^{1}, \ldots, X^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)}\left|\phi-\phi^{\mu}\right| \leq c,
$$

where $\phi^{\mu}=\left.\phi\right|_{X^{\mu}=0}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{\phi\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{\mu}\right)\right\} \leq 4 c^{2} m
$$

Take $\phi=\operatorname{Tr}(F G)$. Then, using representation (3.4), we obtain

$$
\left|\phi-\phi^{\mu}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Tr} G-\operatorname{Tr} G^{\mu}\right|=\left|\frac{N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}\right| .
$$

Similarly to the proof of the previous result, we have

$$
\left|\frac{N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} F G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}{1+N^{-1}\left(G^{\mu} X^{\mu}, X^{\mu}\right)}\right| \leq c|\Im z|^{-1}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\phi-\phi^{\mu}\right| \leq c|\Im z|^{-1} .
$$

So, according to Lemma 3,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{g_{n}\right\} \leq 4 c^{2} c_{n} / N
$$
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