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#### Abstract

The method of finite-difference approximations, advanced by C . Bardos and H . Brezis for the nonlinear evolutionary equations, is generalized on differentialoperational inclusions which are tightly connected to evolutionary variational inequalities in Banach spaces.


## INTRODUCTION

At studying of nonlinear evolutionary equations the some spread methods are used: Faedo-Galerkin, singular perturbations, difference approximations, nonlinear semigroups of operators and others [1, 2]. The dissemination of these approaches on evolutionary inclusions and variational inequalities encounters a series of basic difficulties. The method of nonlinear semigroups of operators in Banach spaces was developed for evolutionary inclusions in works of A.A. Tolstonogov [3], A.A. Tolstonogov and J.I. Umanskij [4], V. Barbu [2] and others. A method of singular perturbations H. Brezis [5] and Yu. Dubinskiy [6] on evolutionary inclusions have disseminated in A.N. Vakulenko's and V.S. Mel'nik works [7-9], a method of Galerkin's approximations in P.O. Kasyanov's works [10, 11].

In the present work the attempt to disseminate a method of difference approximations [1] on evolutionary inclusions and variational inequalities is undertaken for the first time.

## PROBLEM FORMALIZATION

Let $\Phi$ be separable locally convex linear topological space; $\Phi^{\prime}$ be the space identified to topologically conjugate to $\Phi$ space such, that $\Phi \subset \Phi^{\prime} ;(f, \varphi)$ is the inner product (canonical pairing) of devices $f \in \Phi^{\prime}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Let the three spaces $V, H$ and $V^{\prime}$ are given, moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \subset V \subset \Phi^{\prime}, \quad \Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^{\prime}, \quad \Phi \subset V^{\prime} \subset \Phi^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with continuous and dense embedding;
$H$ is a Hilbert space (with inner product $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)_{H}$ and corresponding norm $\|h\|_{H}$ );
$V$ be reflexive separable Banach space with norm $\|v\|_{V}$;
$V^{\prime}$ is the conjugate to $V$ space with dual norm $\|f\|_{V^{\prime}}$.
If $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$, that $(\varphi, \psi)=(\varphi, \psi)_{H}$ is inner product of devices $\varphi \in V$ and $\psi \in V^{\prime}$.

Let $V=V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{V}=\|\cdot\|_{V_{1}^{\prime}}+\|\cdot\|_{V_{2}^{\prime}}$, where $\left(V_{i},\|\cdot\|_{V_{i}}\right), i=\overline{1,2}$ is reflexive separable Banach spaces, embedding $\Phi \subset V_{i} \subset \Phi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi \subset V_{i}^{\prime} \subset \Phi^{\prime}$ is dense and continuous. Spaces $\left(V_{i}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right), i=\overline{1,2}$ are topologically conjugate to $\left(V_{i},\|\cdot\|_{V_{i}}\right)$ concerning the bilinear form ( $(\cdot)$. Then $V^{\prime}=V_{1}^{\prime}+V_{2}^{\prime}$.

Let $A: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}, \varphi: V_{2} \rightarrow R$ be a functional, $\Lambda$ is non-bounded operator, which operates from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ with definitional domain $D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)$. The following problem on searching of solutions by a method of finite differences is considered (see [1, chapter 2.7]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right),  \tag{2}\\
\Lambda u+A(u)+\partial \varphi(u) \ni f, \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f \in V^{\prime}$ fixed element; $\partial \varphi: V_{2} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} V_{2}^{\prime}$ is subdifferential from the functional $\varphi$ (see [13]).

## THE BASIC GUESSES

Let us assume, that a set $\Phi$ is dense in space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V \cap V^{\prime},\|v\|_{V}+\|v\|_{V^{\prime}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. From (4) it follows, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \cap V^{\prime} \subset H . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Really, if $v \in \Phi$, that $\|v\|_{H}^{2} \leq\|v\|_{V^{\prime}}\|v\|_{V}$ whence, due to (4) it follows (5).
Remark 2. If $V \subset H$, it is possible to not introduce $\Phi$ and identifying $H$ and $H^{\prime}$, at once receive the following line-up of embeddings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \subset H \subset V^{\prime} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. The family of maps $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ refers to as a continuous semigroup in a Banach space $X$, if $\forall s \geq 0 \quad G(s) \in L(X ; X), \quad G(0)=I d$, $G(s+t)=G(s) \circ G(t) \forall s, t \geq 0, G(t) x \xrightarrow{w} x$ as $t \rightarrow 0+\forall x \in X$.

Operator $\Lambda$. Let the family of maps $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ be such that $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ is continuous semigroup on $V, H, V^{\prime}$, that is there are three semigroups, defined in spaces $V, H$, and $V^{\prime}$ correspondingly, which coincide on $\Phi$. Each of them we shall designate as $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0} \text { is non-expanding semigroup in } H, \\
\text { that is }\|G(s)\|_{L(H ; H)} \leq 1 \quad \forall s \geq 0 . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further let $-\Lambda$ be the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $\{G(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ with a definitional domain $D(\Lambda ; V)$ (accordingly $D(\Lambda ; H)$ or $D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right)$ ) in $V$ (accordingly in $H$ or in $V^{\prime}$ ). In virtue of [14, theorem 13.35] such generator exists, moreover, it is densely defined closed linear operator in space $V$ (accordingly in $H$ or in $V^{\prime}$ ).

Let $\left\{G^{*}(s)\right\}_{s \geq 0}$ be the semigroup conjugated to $G(s)$, which operates accordingly in $V, H$, and $V^{\prime}$. Let $-\Lambda^{*}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup $\left\{G^{*}(s)\right\}_{s \geq 0}$ with definitional domain $D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V\right)$ in $V, D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; H\right)$ in $H$ and $D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right)$ in $V^{\prime}$. The operator $\Lambda^{*}$ in $H$ (accordingly in $V$ or in $V^{\prime}$ ) is conjugated in sense of the theory of unlimited operators to the operator $\Lambda$ in $H$ (accordingly in $V$ or in $V^{\prime}$ ). It takes place the following.

Lemma 1. The sets $D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap V$ and $D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap V$ are dense in $V$.
Proof. Really, $\forall u \in V \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \exists \varphi \in \Phi: \quad\|u-\varphi\|_{V}<\varepsilon$, $\quad \varphi_{n}:=$ $=\left(I-\frac{1}{n} \Lambda\right)^{-1} \varphi \in D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap V, \varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $V$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The lemma is proved.
Now we define $\Lambda$ as non-bounded operator, which operates from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ with definitional domain $D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)$. Let us put

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v \in V \mid \text { the form } w \rightarrow\left(v, \Lambda^{*} w\right)\right. \text { is continuous on } \\
& \left.D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap V \text { in topology, induced from space } V\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there is unique element $\xi_{V} \in V^{\prime}:\left(v, \Lambda^{*} w\right)=\left(\xi_{v}, w\right)$. If $v \in D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap$ $\cap V$, that $\xi_{v}=\Lambda v$. Thus, generally we can put $\xi_{V}=\Lambda v$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v, \Lambda^{*} w\right)=(\Lambda v, w) \quad \forall w \in D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap V \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we enter on $D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)$ the norm $\|v\|_{V}+\|\Lambda v\|_{V^{\prime}}$, we receive a Banach space. Let us similarly define space $D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V, V^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark 3. If $V \subset H$, then

$$
D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)=V \cap D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V, V^{\prime}\right)=V \bigcap D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

In case when $V$ does not include in $H$ we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& V \cap D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \text { dense in } D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right) \\
& V \cap D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right) \text { dense in } D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4. ([1, chapter 2, remark 7.5., 7.6.]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Lambda v, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(\Lambda^{*} v, v\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us enter some new denotations. Let $Y$ be some reflexive Banach space. As $C_{v}(Y)$ we designate the system of all nonempty convex closed bounded subsets from $Y$. For nonempty subset $B \subset Y$ we consider the closed convex hull of the given set $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(B):=\mathrm{cl}_{Y}(\operatorname{co}(B))$. With multi-valued map $A$ it is comparable upper $[A(y), \omega]_{+}=\sup _{d \in A(y)}\langle d, w\rangle_{Y}$ and lower $[A(y), \omega]_{-}=$ $=\inf _{d \in A(y)}\langle d, w\rangle_{Y}$ function of support, where $y, \omega \in Y$. Properties of the given maps are considered in works [15-17]. Later on $y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y$ in $Y$ will mean, that $y_{n}$ weakly converges to $y$ in space $Y$.

## THE CLASSES OF MAPS

Let us consider the next classes of maps of pseudomonotone type:
Definition 2. Operator $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ refers to pseudomonotone, if from $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V, \quad y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y_{0}$ in $V$, and $\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A\left(y_{n}\right), y_{n}-y_{0}\right) \leq 0$ it follows, that $\exists\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}:$

$$
\varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(A\left(y_{n_{k}}\right), y_{n_{k}}-w\right) \geq\left(A\left(y_{0}\right), y_{0}-w\right) \quad \forall w \in V .
$$

Definition 3. The next set:

$$
\partial \varphi(v)=\left\{p \in V^{\prime} \mid<p, u-v>\leq \varphi(u)-\varphi(v) \quad \forall u \in V\right\}
$$

refers to subdifferential map form functional $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ in point $v \in V$.
Definition 4. Multi-valued map $A: V \rightrightarrows V^{*}$ refers to:

1) $\lambda$-pseudomonotone, if from $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V, \quad y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y_{0} \quad$ in $\quad V$ and $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}-y_{0}\right) \leq 0$, where $d_{n} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right) \quad \forall n \geq 1$ it follows, that it is possible to choose such $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 0} \subset\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0},\left\{d_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 0} \subset\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ that

$$
\forall w \in V \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}-w\right) \geq\left[A\left(y_{0}\right), y_{0}-w\right]_{-} ;
$$

2) bounded, if $A$ translates arbitrary bounded in $V$ set in bounded in $V^{*}$;
3) coercive, if $\|v\|_{V}^{-1}[A(v), v]_{+} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|v\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$;
4) satisfies condition ( $\kappa$ ) if the map $V \ni v \rightarrow\|v\|_{V}^{-1}[A(v), v]_{+} \in \mathrm{R}$ is bounded from below on bounded in $V \backslash \overline{0}$ sets, that is

$$
\forall D \subset V \backslash\{\overline{0}\} \text { - bounded in } V \quad \exists c_{1} \in \mathrm{R}: \quad \frac{[A(v), v]_{+}}{\|v\|_{V}} \geq c_{1} \quad \forall v \in D
$$

Remark, that the bounded multi-valued maps and monotone multi-valued operators, including subdifferential maps, are satisfying condition ( $\kappa$ ).

Definition 5. Multivalued map $A: V \rightarrow C_{v}\left(V^{*}\right)$ satisfies property ( $M$ ), if from $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V, d_{n} \in A\left(y_{n}\right) \quad \forall n \geq 1: y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y_{0}$ in $V, \quad d_{n} \xrightarrow{w} d_{0} \quad$ in $V^{\prime}$, $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(d_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ it follows, that $d_{0} \in A\left(y_{0}\right)$.

Definition 6. Operator $L: D(L) \subset V \rightarrow V^{*}$ refers to maximally monotone, if it is monotone and from $(w-L(u), v-u) \geq 0 \forall u \in D(L)$ it follows, that $v \in D(L)$ and $L(v)=w$.

Lemma 2. Let $V, W$ be Banach spaces, densely and continuously embedded in locally convex linear topological space $Y, A: V \rightrightarrows V^{\prime}, B: W \rightrightarrows W^{\prime}$ -multi-valued $\lambda$-pseudomonotone maps and one of them is bound-valued. Then the multi-valued operator $A:=A+B: V \cap W \rightrightarrows V^{\prime}+W^{\prime}$ is $\lambda$-pseudomonotone.

Proof. Let $y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y$ in $X:=V \cap W$ (that is $y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y$ in $V$ and $y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y$ in $W$ ) and the next inequality is holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n}, y_{n}-y>_{x} \leq 0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right)+\overline{\operatorname{co}} B\left(y_{n}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove the last equality. It is obvious, that co $A\left(y_{n}\right)=\operatorname{co} A\left(y_{n}\right)+$ $+\operatorname{co} B\left(y_{n}\right)$ and, moreover, $\overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right) \supset \overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right)+\overline{\operatorname{co}} B\left(y_{n}\right)$. Let us prove the inverse inclusion. Let $x$ is a frontier point of $A\left(y_{n}\right)$. Then $\exists\left\{x_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \operatorname{co} A\left(y_{n}\right)=$ $=\operatorname{co} A\left(y_{n}\right)+\cos \left(y_{n}\right): x_{m} \xrightarrow{w} x$ in $X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, because of Mazur theorem (see [14]), for an arbitrary convex set its weak and the strong closure is coincide. Hence, $\forall m \geq 1 \exists v_{m} \in A\left(y_{n}\right), \exists w_{m} \in B\left(y_{n}\right): v_{m}+w_{m}=x_{m}$ and, taking into account bound-valuededness of one of the maps and Banach-Alaoglu theorem, we obtain, within to a subsequence, $v_{m} \xrightarrow{w} v$ in $V, w_{m} \xrightarrow{w} w$ in $W$ for some $v \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right), w \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} B\left(y_{n}\right)$. The statement (13) is proved. Consequently $d_{n}=d_{n}^{\prime}+d_{n}^{\prime \prime}$, where $d_{n}^{\prime} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} A\left(y_{n}\right), d_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} B\left(y_{n}\right)$. From here, within to a subsequence, we obtain one of two inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}-y>_{V} \leq 0, \quad \overline{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}}<d_{n}^{\prime \prime}, y_{n}-y>_{W} \leq 0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, let us consider, that (within to a subsequence) $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle d_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}-y\right\rangle_{V} \leq 0$. Then, due to $\lambda$-pseudomonotony of $A$, $\exists\left\{y_{m}\right\}_{m} \subset\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}:$

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle d_{m}^{\prime}, y_{m}-v\right\rangle_{V} \geq[A(y), y-v]_{-} \quad \forall v \in V .
$$

Let us put in last equality $v=y$, then

$$
\left.\varliminf_{m \rightarrow \infty}<d_{m}^{\prime}, y_{m}-y\right\rangle_{V} \geq[A(y), y-y]_{-}=0 .
$$

Hence, $\exists \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}<d_{m}^{\prime}, y_{m}-y>_{V}=0$. Then, due to (12), $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}<d_{m}^{\prime}, y_{m}-$ $-y>_{W} \leq 0$. Taking into account (14), $\lambda$-pseudomonotony of $A$ and $B$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n_{k}}^{\prime}, y_{n_{k}}-v>_{V} \geq[A(y), y-v]_{-} & \forall v \in V, \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n_{k}}^{\prime \prime}, y_{n_{k}}-w>_{W} \geq[B(y), y-w]_{-} & \forall w \in W .
\end{array}
$$

Then from last two relations it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{l i m}_{k \rightarrow \infty} & <d_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}-x>_{X} \geq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n_{k}}^{\prime}, y_{n_{k}}-x>_{V}+\varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty}<d_{n_{k}}^{\prime \prime}, y_{n_{k}}-x>_{W} \geq \\
& \geq[A(y), y-x]_{-}+[B(y), y-x]_{-}=[A(y), y-x]_{-} \quad \forall x \in V \cap W
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma is proved.
Lemma 3. Let $V, W$ be Banach spaces, densely and continuously embedded in locally convex linear topological space $Y, A: V \rightrightarrows V^{\prime}, B: W \rightrightarrows W^{\prime}$ are multi-valued coercive maps, which satisfies condition ( $\kappa$ ). Then the multi-valued operator $A:=A+B: V \cap W \rightrightarrows V^{\prime}+W^{\prime}$ is coercive.

Proof. We obtain this statement arguing by contradiction. Let's assume, that $\exists\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}:\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}=\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}<$ $<+\infty$.

Case 1. $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty,\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W} \leq c \quad \forall n \geq 1$;

$$
\gamma_{A}(r):=\inf _{\|v\|_{V}=\gamma} \frac{[A(v), v]_{+}}{\|v\|_{V}}, \quad \gamma_{B}(r):=\inf _{\|w\|_{W}=\gamma} \frac{[B(w), w]_{+}}{\|w\|_{W}}, \quad r>0 .
$$

Remark, that $\gamma_{A}(r) \rightarrow+\infty, \gamma_{B}(r) \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Then $\forall n \geq 1$ $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}^{-1}\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+} \geq \gamma_{A}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V} \quad$ and $\quad \frac{\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \geq \gamma_{A}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}\right) \times$ $\times \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $\quad\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ and $\quad\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W} \leq c$.

In this case, due to condition $(\kappa), \forall n \geq 1$

$$
\frac{\left[B\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \geq \gamma_{B}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}\right) \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \geq c_{1} \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { at } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathrm{R}$ is the constant from condition $(\kappa)$. It is clear, that

$$
\frac{\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}=\frac{\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}+\frac{\left[B\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

We have an inconsistency with boundedness of the left part of the given expression.

Case 2. The case $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V} \leq c \quad \forall n \geq 1$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is investigated similarly.

Case 3. Let us consider the situation, when $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
+\infty>\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{\left[A\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right]_{+}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \geq \gamma_{A}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}\right) \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}+ \\
+\gamma_{B}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}\right) \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}+\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}} . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is obvious, that $\forall n \geq 1 \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}>0$ and $\frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}>0$. And, if even one of limits, for example $\frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \rightarrow 0$, that $\frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{W}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}}=1-\frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{V}}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{X}} \rightarrow 1$. We have an inconsistency with (15).

The lemma is proved.

## THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem. Let a) $A: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$ be bounded pseudomonotone on $V_{1}$ operator, which satisfies the following coercive condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(A(u), u)}{\|u\|_{V_{1}}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad\|u\|_{V_{1}} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) functional $\varphi: V_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is convex, lower semicontinuous and the following takes place:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(v)}{\|v\|_{V_{2}}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad\|v\|_{V_{2}} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) The operator $\Lambda$ satisfies all listed above conditions, including conditions (7) and (10).

Then for every $f \in V^{\prime}$ there exists such $u$, that satisfies (2) and (3).
Remark 5. If $V \subset H$, inclusion (2) implies, that $u \in V \cap D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. The approximate solutions. Natural approximation of inclusion (3) is inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I-G(h)}{h} u_{h}+A\left(u_{h}\right)+\partial \varphi\left(u_{h}\right) \ni f \quad(h>0) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though, if $V$ does not include in $H$ (18), generally speaking, has no solutions, and it is necessary to modify the given inclusion in appropriate way. We choose such sequence $\theta_{h} \in(0,1)$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\theta_{h}}{h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad h \rightarrow 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put $\theta_{h}=1$ when $\mathrm{V} \subset H$. Further, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{h}=\frac{I-\theta_{h} G(h)}{h} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also replace (18) with the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{h} u_{h}+A\left(u_{h}\right)+\partial \varphi\left(u_{h}\right) \ni f . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. Inclusion (21) has a solution $u_{h} \in V \cap H$.
Proof. Let us enter the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\Lambda_{h}+A: H \cap V_{1} \rightarrow H+V_{1}^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following variation inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B\left(u_{h}\right), v-u_{h}\right)+\varphi(v)-\varphi\left(u_{h}\right) \geq\left(f, v-u_{h}\right) \quad \forall v \in V \cap H \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove the existence of such $u_{h} \in V \bigcap H$, that is a solution of the given inequality. The given statement follows from [15, theorem 7], if to put $V=H \bigcap V_{1}, W=V_{2}, A=B, \varphi=\varphi$ and under condition of realization

Lemma 5. Operator $B$ satisfies to the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i) } \frac{(B(u), u)}{\|u\|_{H \cap V_{1}}} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\|u\|_{H \cap V_{1}} \rightarrow \infty \text {; }  \tag{24}\\
& \text { ii) } B \text { is pseudomonotone on } H \cap V_{1} \text {; }  \tag{25}\\
& \text { iii) } B \text { is bounded on } H \cap V_{1} \text {. } \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. i) As $G(s)$ is non-stretched on $H$, then $\forall v \in H$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Lambda_{h} v, v\right)=\frac{1}{h}\left(v-\theta_{h} G(h) v, v\right) \geq \frac{1}{h}\left(\|v\|_{H}^{2}-\theta_{h}\|G(s) v\|_{H}\|v\|_{H}\right) \geq \\
\geq \frac{1-\theta_{h}}{h}\|v\|_{H}^{2} . \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

From here it follows the coercive condition and condition ( $\kappa$ ) for $\Lambda_{h}$ on $H$. Thus, due to (2), we can use lemma 3 for maps $A=\Lambda_{h}$ on $V=H$ and $B=A$ on $W=V_{1}$, whence it follows (24), if we prove, that $A$ satisfies condition $(\kappa)$. Really, if it is not true, then $\exists\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset V_{1} \backslash \overline{0}$ such bounded in $W$, that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{V_{1}}^{-1}\left[A\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right]_{+} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but in virtue of boundedness of $A$, we have

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{V_{1}}^{-1}\left[A\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right]_{+}=\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{V_{1}}^{-1}\left(A\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right) \geq-\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|A\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{V_{1}}>-\infty
$$

iii) The boundedness of $B$ on $H \cap V_{1}$ follows from the boundedness of $\Lambda_{h}$ on $H$ and $A$ on $V_{1}$. The boundedness of $\Lambda_{h}$ on $H$ immediately follows from the definition of $\Lambda_{h}$ and estimation (6).
ii). Let us prove the pseudomonotony of $B$ on $H \bigcap V_{1}$. For this purpose we use lemma 2 with $A=\Lambda_{h}$ on $V=H$ and $B=A$ on $W=V_{1}$. From here, due to the pseudomonotony and to the property of bound-valuedness of $A$ on $V_{1}$, it is enough to prove pseudomonotony of $\Lambda_{h}$ on $H$. Let

$$
y_{n} \rightarrow y \quad \text { in } \quad H, \quad \overline{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{n}-y\right) \leq 0
$$

Then, from estimation (27) we have
$\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{n}-y\right) \geq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}-\Lambda_{h} y, y_{n}-y\right)+\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y, y_{n}-y\right) \geq 0+0=0$.
Hence $\exists \lim \left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{n}-y\right)=0$. Further, $\forall u \in H, \forall s>0$ let $w:=y+$ $n \rightarrow \infty$
$+s(u-y)$. Then

$$
s\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y-u\right) \geq-\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{n}-y\right)+\left(\Lambda_{h} w, y_{n}-y\right)-s\left(\Lambda_{h} w, u-y\right) \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

and

$$
s \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y-u\right) \geq-s\left(\Lambda_{h} w, u-y\right) \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y-u\right) \geq-\left(\Lambda_{h} w, u-y\right) .
$$

Let $s \rightarrow 0+$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y-u\right) \geq-\left(\Lambda_{h} y, u-y\right)=\left(\Lambda_{h} y, y-u\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{h}-u\right) \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y_{h}-y\right)+ \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{h} y_{n}, y-u\right) \geq\left(\Lambda_{h} y, y-u\right) \quad \forall u \in H .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have the required statement.
The lemma is proved.
To complete the proof of lemma 4 it is necessary to show, that for fixed $u_{h} \in H \bigcap V_{1}$ the variation inequality (23) is equivalent to inclusion (22). If $v \in H \bigcap V_{1}$ is arbitrary, then, by definition of subdifferential map, the inequality (23) is equivalent to $f-B\left(u_{h}\right) \in \partial \varphi\left(u_{h}\right)$, that in turn, by definition of $B$, it is equivalent to (22).

The lemma is proved.
The boundary transition on $h$. From lemma 4 for every $h>0$ the existence of such $u_{h} \in H \cap V_{1}$ and $d_{h} \in \partial \varphi\left(u_{h}\right)$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{h} u_{h}+A\left(u_{h}\right)+d_{h}=f . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is follows. If we put in (23) $v=\overline{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B\left(u_{h}\right), u_{h}\right)+\varphi\left(u_{h}\right) \leq\left(f, u_{h}\right)+\varphi(\overline{0}) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove boundedness of $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h>0}$ in $V$ as $h$ close to zero. For this purpose we use advantage coercive conditions (16) and (24). Let us assume, that $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}=\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}+\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}} \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 1. $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}} \rightarrow \infty,\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}} \leq c ;$

$$
\gamma_{B}(r):=\inf _{\|u\|_{V_{1}}=r} \frac{(B(u), u)}{\|u\|_{V_{1}}}, \quad \gamma_{\varphi}(r):=\inf _{\|u\|_{V_{2}}=r} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\|u\|_{V_{2}}}, \quad r>0 .
$$

Remark, that $\gamma_{B}(r) \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ and $\quad \gamma_{\varphi}(r) \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Then $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}^{-1}\left(B\left(u_{h}\right), u_{h}\right) \geq \gamma_{B}\left(\|u\|_{V_{1}}\right)\|u\|_{V_{1}}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{V^{\prime}} \leftarrow\|f\|_{V^{\prime}}+\frac{\varphi(\overline{0})}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \geq \frac{\left(f, u_{h}\right)+\varphi(\overline{0})}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \geq \frac{\left(B\left(u_{h}\right), u_{h}\right)+\varphi\left(u_{h}\right)}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \geq \\
\geq \frac{\gamma_{B}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}}+\frac{\gamma_{\varphi}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \geq \\
\geq \frac{\gamma_{B}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}+c}+\frac{\gamma_{\varphi}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have an inconsistency with boundedness of the left part of the given inequality. It is necessary to notice, that last item in a right-side of last inequality tends to zero. It follows from boundedness from below of $\varphi$ on the bounded sets (see [13]).

Case 2. The case $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}} \leq c,\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ is investigated similarly.
Case 3. Let us consider the situation, when $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}} \rightarrow \infty,\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}} \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{V^{\prime}} \leftarrow\|f\|_{V^{\prime}}+\frac{\varphi(\overline{0})}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V}} \geq \frac{\gamma_{B}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}+\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}}+\frac{\gamma_{\varphi}\left(\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}\right)\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}}{\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{1}}+\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{V_{2}}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious, that $\frac{\|u\|_{V_{1}}}{\|u\|_{V}}>0$ and $\frac{\|u\|_{V_{2}}}{\|u\|_{V}}>0$. And, if even one of boundaries, for example, $\frac{\|u\|_{V_{1}}}{\|u\|_{V}} \rightarrow 0$, that $\frac{\|u\|_{V_{2}}}{\|u\|_{V}}=1-\frac{\|u\|_{V_{1}}}{\|u\|_{V}} \rightarrow 1$. We have an inconsistency in (30). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{h} \text { are bounded in } V \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prove, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{h} \text { are bounded in } V_{2}^{\prime} \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, from equality (28) we receive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, u_{h_{n}}\right)<\infty \quad \forall\left\{h_{n}\right\} \subset(0,+\infty): h_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to $u_{h} \in H$, from equality (28), estimation (31) and boundednesses of an operator $A$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, u_{h_{n}}\right)=\sup _{n}\left(f, u_{h_{n}}\right)+\sup _{n}\left(-A\left(u_{h_{n}}\right), u_{h_{n}}\right)+ \\
+\sup _{n}\left(-\Lambda_{h_{n}} u_{h_{n}}, u_{h_{n}}\right) \leq\|f\|_{V}^{\prime} \sup _{n}\left\|u_{h_{n}}\right\|_{V}+\sup _{n}\left\|A\left(u_{h_{n}}\right)\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \sup _{n}\left\|u_{h_{n}}\right\|_{V}<+\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, in virtue of (33), we prove (32). From $d_{h_{n}} \in \partial \varphi\left(y_{h_{n}}\right)$ and from definition of subdifferential map, $\forall v \in V_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, v\right) \leq \sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, y_{h_{n}}\right)+\sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, v-y_{h_{n}}\right) \leq \sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, y_{h_{n}}\right)+\varphi(v)-\varphi\left(y_{h_{n}}\right) \leq \\
\quad \leq \sup _{n}\left(d_{h_{n}}, y_{h_{n}}\right)+\varphi(v)-\inf _{n} \varphi\left(y_{h_{n}}\right)<+\infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

as functional $\varphi$ is bounded from below on bounded sets. From here, under Ba-nach-Steingauss theorem (32) is follows.

From (31) and boundedness of an operator $A$ on $V_{1}$ it follows, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(u_{h}\right) \text { are bounded in } V_{1}^{\prime} \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equality (28), estimates (31), (32) and (34), under Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the existence of such subsequences $\left\{u_{h_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h>0},\left\{d_{h_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset$ $\subset\left\{d_{h}\right\}_{h>0},\left\{A\left(u_{h_{n}}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset\left\{A\left(u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h>0}\left(0<h_{n} \rightarrow 0\right)$, which further we will designate simply as $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h>0},\left\{d_{h}\right\}_{h>0},\left\{A\left(u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h>0}$ accordingly, and elements $u \in V, \chi \in V_{1}, d \in V_{2}$ the next convergences

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{h} \xrightarrow{w} u \quad \text { in } \quad V \quad A\left(u_{h}\right) \xrightarrow{w} \chi \quad \text { in } \quad V_{1}^{\prime} \quad d_{h} \xrightarrow{w} d \\
\text { in } \quad V_{2}^{\prime} \quad L_{h} u_{h} \xrightarrow{w} L u \quad \text { in } \quad V^{\prime} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

are follows, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{h}:=A\left(u_{h}\right)+d_{h} \xrightarrow{w} \chi+d=: w \text { in } V^{\prime} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us enter the following map: $C(v)=A(v)+\partial \varphi(v): V \rightarrow C_{v}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$. Now prove, that the given map satisfies property $(M)$. For this purpose it is enough to show $\lambda$-pseudomonotony of $C$ on $V$. If $C$ is $\lambda$-pseudomonotone on $V$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \subset V, d_{n} \in C\left(y_{n}\right) \quad \forall n \geq 1$ :

$$
y_{n} \xrightarrow{w} y_{0} \quad \text { in } V, \quad d_{n} \xrightarrow{w} d_{0} \quad \text { in } V^{\prime} \text { and } \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\lim _{n}}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(d_{0}, y_{0}\right),
$$

then
$\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}-y_{0}\right) \leq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n},-y_{0}\right) \leq\left(d_{0}, y_{0}\right)-\left(d_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0$.
Hence, due to $\lambda$-pseudomonotony of $C$ it follows, that $\exists\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset$ $\subset\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1},\left\{d_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}:$

$$
\forall w \in V \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}-w\right) \geq\left[C\left(y_{0}\right), y_{0}-w\right]_{-} .
$$

From here

$$
\left[C\left(y_{0}\right), y_{0}-w\right]_{-} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}-w\right) \leq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(d_{n}, y_{n}-w\right) \leq
$$

$$
\leq\left(d_{0}, y_{0}-w\right) \quad \forall w \in V
$$

Hence $d_{0} \in C\left(y_{0}\right)$. Thus $C$ satisfies condition ( $M$ ) on $V$.
In turn, lemma 2, pseudomonotony and bounded-valuedness of $A$ on $V_{1}$ provides the last, if to prove $\lambda$-pseudomonotony of $\partial \varphi$ on $V_{2}$. As it is known, the last statement follows from [20.III, lemma 2, remark 2].

We use the fact, that $C$ satisfies property $(M)$ on $V$. Let us take $v$ from $V \cap D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right)$. From (28) and (36) it follows, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{h}, \Lambda_{h}^{*} v\right)+\left(v_{h}, v\right)=(f, v) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{h}^{*} v=\frac{I-G(h)^{*}}{h} v+\frac{I-\theta_{h}}{h} G(h)^{*} v \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and due to (20), $\Lambda_{h}^{*} v \rightarrow \Lambda^{*} v$ in $V^{\prime}$; and consequently, as $h$ tends to zero in (37) we receive:

$$
\left(u, \Lambda^{*} v\right)+(w, v)=(f, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathrm{~V} \cap D\left(\Lambda^{*} ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

and (in virtue of (7), (8)) $u \in D\left(\Lambda, V, V^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\Lambda u+w=f
$$

and we prove the theorem, if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in C(u) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, because of (28) and (36) for $v \in \mathrm{~V} \cap D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(v_{h}, u_{h}-v\right)=\left(f, u_{h}-v\right)-\left(\Lambda_{h} v, u_{h}-v\right)-\left(\Lambda_{h}\left(u_{h}-v\right), u_{h}-v\right) \leq \\
\leq\left(f, u_{h}-v\right)-\left(\Lambda_{h} v, u_{h}-v\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

as $\Lambda_{h} \geq 0$ in $\Lambda(H ; H)$. From here

$$
\limsup \left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right) \leq(w, v)-(f, u-v)-(\Lambda v, u-v) \quad \forall v \in \mathrm{~V} \cap D\left(\Lambda ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

But, due to (9), the same inequality is fulfilled $\forall v \in D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right)$, and when $v=u$ we obtain

$$
\limsup \left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right) \leq(w, u),
$$

and also (39), because of $C$ is the operator of type ( $M$ ). The theorem is proved.
Example. Let $\Omega$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a bounded region with regular boundary $\partial \Omega$, $S=[0, T]$ be finite time interval, $Q=\Omega \times(0 ; T), \Gamma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0 ; T)$. As operator $A$ we take $(A u)(t)=A(u(t))$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\varphi)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+|\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1, chapter 2.9.5]); $V$ is closed subspace in Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega), p>1$ such, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset V \subset W^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
V_{1}=L_{p}(0, T ; V), \quad H=L_{2}\left(0, T ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad V_{2}=L_{2}\left(0, T ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

We consider convex lower semicontinuous coercive functional $\psi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and its subdifferential $\Phi: \mathbf{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{R}$, that satisfies growth condition.

If we put $V=V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ (from here $V^{\prime}=L_{q}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)+L_{2}\left(0, T ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ ), we obtain the situation (6), if $p \geq 2$. At $1<p<2$ the common case takes place, if to take $\Phi=D(0, T ; V)$ (see [1]).

As an operator $\Lambda$ we take the derivation operator in sense of space of scalar distributions $D^{*}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), D\left(\Lambda ; V, V^{\prime}\right):=W=\left\{y \in V \cap H \mid y^{\prime} \in H+V^{\prime}\right\}$

$$
G(s) \varphi(t):=\{\varphi(t-s) \text { at } t \geq s ; 0 \text { at } t \leq s\} .
$$

Due to [1, chapter 2.9.5] and to the theorem, the next problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial t}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+ \\
+|y(x, t)|^{p-2} y(x, t)+\Phi(y(x, t)) \ni f(x, t) \quad \text { a.e. on } Q,  \tag{42}\\
y(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega,  \tag{43}\\
\frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial v_{A}}=g(x, t) \quad \text { a.e. on } \Gamma_{T}, \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a solution $y \in W$, obtained by finite differences method. Remark, that in (42)-(44): $f \in V^{\prime}, y_{0} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ are fixed elements.
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