

©2006. P.O. Kasyanov, V.S. Mel'nik

DIFFERENTIAL-OPERATOR INCLUSIONS IN BANACH SPACES WITH W_λ -PSEUDOMONOTONE MAPS

Differential-operator inclusions are studied rather strongly. By analogy with differential-operator equations are known, at the least, four methods of attack: Galerkin method, elliptic normalization, theory of semigroups, difference approximations. In present work we introduce some constructions to prove the resolvability for class of differential-operator inclusions with set-valued maps of w_λ -pseudomonotone type by Faedo-Galerkin (FG) method.

Keywords and phrases: Differential-operator inclusion, w_λ -pseudomonotone map, Faedo-Galerkin method, Shauder basis.

MSC (2000): 34A45, 34A40, 65L60, 65L10, 65L70, 46B15.

1. Introduction.

At study of nonlinear evolutionary equations in infinite-dimensional (functional) spaces there is a series of methods, one of which is FG method [1–3]. In paper [4] the given method is disseminated to a wide class of the nonlinear differential-operational equations that contains, in particular, a multidimensional system of Navier-Stokes equations.

In present paper the substantiation of Galerkin method for differential-operator is given. Remark that evolutionary inclusions in Banach spaces, generated by the strong solutions of variational inequalities are investigated by FG method in [5–7]. The given results are generalization of [5–7].

2. Problem definition.

Let $(V_1, \|\cdot\|_{V_1})$ and $(V_2, \|\cdot\|_{V_2})$ be a reflexive separable Banach spaces, continuously embedded in a Hilbert space $(H, (\cdot, \cdot))$ such that

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \text{ is dense in spaces } V_1, V_2 \text{ and } H. \quad (1)$$

After identification $H \cong H^*$ we get

$$V_1 \subset H \subset V_1^*, \quad V_2 \subset H \subset V_2^*, \quad (2)$$

with continuous and dense embedding [2], where $(V_i^*, \|\cdot\|_{V_i^*})$ is topologically conjugate to V_i space with respect to the canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_i^*} : V_i^* \times V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) which coincides on H with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on H . Let us consider the functional spaces $X_i = L_{r_i}(S; H) \cap L_{p_i}(S; V_i)$, where $S = [0, T]$, $0 < T < +\infty$; $1 < p_i \leq r_i$, $p_i < +\infty$ ($i = 1, 2$). Spaces X_i are Banach spaces with norms $\|y\|_{X_i} = \|y\|_{L_{p_i}(S; V_i)} + \|y\|_{L_{r_i}(S; H)}$. Moreover if $r_i < +\infty$, then X_i is reflexive space ($i = 1, 2$). Let us also consider the Banach space $X = X_1 \cap X_2$ with norm $\|y\|_X = \|y\|_{X_1} + \|y\|_{X_2}$. In virtue of spaces $L_{q_i}(S; V_i^*) + L_{r_i}(S; H)$ and X_i^* ($i = 1, 2$) are isometrically isomorphic, we identify them. Analogously, $X^* = X_1^* + X_2^* = L_{q_1}(S; V_1^*) + L_{q_2}(S; V_2^*) + L_{r_1'}(S; H) + L_{r_2'}(S; H)$, where $r_i^{-1} + r_i'^{-1} = p_i^{-1} + q_i^{-1} = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let us define duality form on $X^* \times X$

$$\langle f, y \rangle = \int_S (f_{11}(\tau), y(\tau))_H d\tau + \int_S (f_{12}(\tau), y(\tau))_H d\tau + \int_S \langle f_{21}(\tau), y(\tau) \rangle_{V_1} d\tau +$$

Supported by Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, State fund of Fundamental Investigations, project No. 01.07/0081

$$+ \int_S \langle f_{22}(\tau), y(\tau) \rangle_{V_2} d\tau = \int_S (f(\tau), y(\tau)) d\tau,$$

where $f = f_{11} + f_{12} + f_{21} + f_{22}$, $f_{1i} \in L_{r'_i}(S; H)$, $f_{2i} \in L_{q_i}(S; V_i^*)$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Let $A : X_1 \rightrightarrows X_1^*$ and $B : X_2 \rightrightarrows X_2^*$ are multi-valued maps. We consider the next problem:

$$\begin{cases} y' + A(y) + B(y) \ni f, \\ y(0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $f \in X^*$, $y_0 \in H$ arbitrary elements, y' is derivative of $y \in X$ in sense of scalar distribution space $D^*(S; V^*) = L(D(S); V_w^*)$, with $V = V_1 \cap V_2$, V_w^* equals to V^* with topology $\sigma(V^*, V)$ [8].

Let us enter Banach space $W = \{y \in X \mid y' \in X^*\}$ with norm $\|y\|_W = \|y\|_X + \|y'\|_{X^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{X^*} &= \\ &= \inf_{\substack{f = f_{11} + f_{12} + f_{21} + f_{22} : \\ f_{1i} \in L_{r'_i}(S; H), \\ f_{2i} \in L_{q_i}(S; V_i^*) (i = 1, 2)}} \max \left\{ \|f_{11}\|_{L_{r'_1}(S; H)}; \|f_{12}\|_{L_{r'_2}(S; H)}; \|f_{21}\|_{L_{q_1}(S; V_1^*)}; \|f_{22}\|_{L_{q_2}(S; V_2^*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that

$$X = L_{\max\{r_1, r_2\}}(S; H) \cap L_{\max\{p_1, p_2\}}(S; V)$$

and

$$X^* = L_{\min\{r'_1, r'_2\}}(S; H) + L_{\min\{q_1, q_2\}}(S; V^*).$$

So the space W is continuously and densely enclosed in $C(S; H)$ (hence condition (4) have a sense, since the solutions of the problem (3)-(4) we will search in class W). Moreover,

$$\langle u', v \rangle + \langle v', u \rangle = \left(u(T), v(T) \right) - \left(u(0), v(0) \right) \quad \forall u, v \in W. \quad (5)$$

Under $u = v$ we have:

$$\langle u', u \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|u(T)\|_H^2 - \|u(0)\|_H^2 \right) \quad \forall u \in W. \quad (6)$$

3. Classes of maps.

Let $C_v(X^*)$ be a family of all nonempty closed convex bounded subsets in X^* . Let us consider classes of multi-valued maps $A : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*)$. For this map let us define upper $a_+(y, \omega) = [A(y), \omega]_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \omega \rangle$ and lower $a_-(y, \omega) = [A(y), \omega]_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} \langle d, \omega \rangle$ forms,

where $y, \omega \in X$, and also, upper $\|A(y)\|_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} \|d\|_{X^*}$ and lower $\|A(y)\|_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} \|d\|_{X^*}$ norms.

The next properties immediately follows from mentioned above constructions and Hahn-Banach theorem.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $A, B : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*)$. Then $\forall y, v, v_1, v_2 \in X$ the next proportions take place:*

- 1) functional $X \ni v \rightarrow [A(y), v]_+$ is convex opositively homogeneous and lower semicontinuous;
- 2) $[A(y), v_1 + v_2]_+ \leq [A(y), v_1]_+ + [A(y), v_2]_+$, $[A(y), v_1 + v_2]_- \geq [A(y), v_1]_- + [A(y), v_2]_-$,
 $[A(y), v_1 + v_2]_+ \geq [A(y), v_1]_+ + [A(y), v_2]_-$, $[A(y), v_1 + v_2]_- \leq [A(y), v_1]_- + [A(y), v_2]_-$;
- 3) $[A(y) + B(y), v]_+ = [A(y), v]_+ + [B(y), v]_+$, $[A(y) + B(y), v]_- = [A(y), v]_- + [B(y), v]_-$;
- 4) $[A(y), v]_+ \leq \|A(y)\|_+ \|v\|_X$, $[A(y), v]_- \leq \|A(y)\|_- \|v\|_X$;
- 5) functional $\|\cdot\|_+ : C_v(X^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defines norm on $C_v(X^*)$;
- 6) functional $\|\cdot\|_- : C_v(X^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies such conditions:
 - a) $\bar{0} \in A(y) \Leftrightarrow \|A(y)\|_- = 0$,
 - b) $\|\alpha A(y)\|_- = |\alpha| \|A(y)\|_- \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, y \in X$,
 - c) $\|A(y) + B(y)\|_- \leq \|A(y)\|_- + \|B(y)\|_-$;
- 7) $\|A(y) + B(y)\|_+ \geq \left| \|A(y)\|_+ - \|B(y)\|_- \right|$, $\|A(y) - B(y)\|_- \geq \|A(y)\|_- - \|B(y)\|_+$;
- 8) $d \in A(y) \Leftrightarrow \forall \omega \in X \quad [A(y), \omega]_+ \geq \langle d, \omega \rangle$.

REMARK 1. Together with forms a_+ , a_- we consider affirmative forms

$$\bar{a}_+(y, \omega) = [[A(y), \omega]]_+ = \sup_{d \in A(y)} |\langle d, \omega \rangle|$$

and

$$\bar{a}_-(y, \omega) = [[A(y), \omega]]_- = \inf_{d \in A(y)} |\langle d, \omega \rangle| \quad \forall y, \omega \in X.$$

Thus it is obvious

$$\begin{aligned} [A(y), \omega]_+ &\leq [[A(y), \omega]]_+ \leq [[A(y), \omega]]_+ \leq \|A(y)\|_+ \|\omega\|_X, \\ [A(y), \omega]_- &\leq [[A(y), \omega]]_- \leq [[A(y), \omega]]_- \leq \|A(y)\|_- \|\omega\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that $y_n \rightharpoonup y$ in Y means y_n is weakly converges to y in space Y . If Y is not reflexive, then $y_n \rightharpoonup y$ in Y^* means y_n is *-weakly converges to y in space Y^* .

DEFINITION 1. Multi-valued map $A : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*)$ refers to:

- a) λ -pseudomonotone on W (w_λ -pseudomonotone), if for every such sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset W$ that $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ in W (i.e. $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ in X and $y'_n \rightharpoonup y'_0$ in X^*) from inequality

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \leq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $d_n \in A(y_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$ the existence such $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ from $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - w \rangle \geq [A(y), y_0 - w]_- \quad \forall w \in X \quad (8)$$

is follows;

- b) λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W (w_{λ_0} -pseudomonotone), if for every such sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ from W that $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ in W and $d_n \rightharpoonup d_0$ in X^* , where $d_n \in A(y_n) \forall n \geq 1$ from inequality (7) the existence of such subsequences $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that (8) is true is follows;
- c) $+$ -coercive, if $\|y\|_X^{-1} [A(y), y]_+ \rightarrow +\infty$ at $\|y\|_X \rightarrow +\infty$;
- d) quasi-bounded, if $\forall y_0 \in X \forall k_1, k_2 > 0 \exists N = N(k_1, k_2, y_0) > 0$:

$$\forall y \in X : \|y\|_X \leq k_1 \quad \forall d \in A(y) \quad \langle d, y - y_0 \rangle \leq k_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|d\|_{X^*} \leq N < +\infty;$$

- e) bounded, if A converts every bounded set in X to bounded in X^* ;

satisfies:

- f) property (κ) , if for every bounded set D in X there exists such $c \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$[A(v), v]_+ \geq c \|v\|_X \quad \forall v \in D.$$

REMARK 2. Bounded multi-valued map $A : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*)$ is quasi-bounded and satisfies property (κ) ; λ -pseudomonotone on W map is λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W . The converse statement is correct for bounded multi-valued maps.

Let us define $W_i = \{y \in X_i \mid y' \in X^*\}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

PROPOSITION 2. Let $A : X_1 \rightarrow C_v(X_1^*)$ and $B : X_2 \rightarrow C_v(X_2^*)$ be λ -pseudomonotone on W_1 and correspondingly on W_2 multi-valued maps. Then multi-valued map $C := A + B : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*)$ is λ -pseudomonotone on W .

DEFINITION 2. Multi-valued maps $A : X_1 \rightarrow C_v(X_1^*)$ and $B : X_2 \rightarrow C_v(X_2^*)$ is called s -mutually bounded, if for every $M > 0$ there exists such $K(M) > 0$ that from $\|y\|_X \leq M$ and $\langle d_1(y) + d_2(y), y \rangle \leq M$ we have or $\|d_1(y)\|_{X_1^*} \leq K(M)$ or $\|d_2(y)\|_{X_2^*} \leq K(M)$. Here $d_1 \in A$ and $d_2 \in B$ are some selectors.

REMARK 3. If the pair $(A; B)$ is s -mutually bounded, then proposition 2 takes place for λ_0 -pseudomonotone (correspondingly on W_1 and on W_2) maps.

REMARK 4. Obviously, if one of operators from the pair $(A; B)$ is bounded, then the pair $(A; B)$ is s -mutually bounded. Moreover, if the pair $(A; B)$ is s -mutually bounded, then operator $C = A + B : X \rightarrow X^*$ has property (π) [3].

Proof. Let us prove this statement 2 for λ_0 -pseudomonotone maps. It is obvious that $C(y) \in C_v(X^*) \forall y \in X$.

Let it be $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ in X , $y'_n \rightharpoonup y'_0$ in $X_1^* + X_2^* = X^*$ and $C(y_n) \ni d_n \rightharpoonup d_0$ in X^* , moreover the inequality (7) takes place. Hence, $d_n = d'_n + d''_n$, where $d'_n \in A(y_n)$, $d''_n \in B(y_n)$. Because of the pair $(A; B)$ is s -mutually bounded from estimation $\langle d_n(y), y \rangle = \langle d'_n(y) + d''_n(y), y \rangle \leq M$ we have or $\|d'_n(y)\|_{X_1^*} \leq K(M)$ or $\|d''_n(y)\|_{X_2^*} \leq K(M)$. Then passing, if it is necessary to a subsequence, we claim

$$d'_n \rightharpoonup d'_0 \text{ in } X_1^* \quad \text{i} \quad d''_n \rightharpoonup d''_0 \text{ in } X_2^*.$$

From inequality (7) we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \leq 0,$$

or symmetrically

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \leq 0.$$

Further let us consider the last inequality. It is obvious there exists such subsequence $\{y_m\} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_n, y_n - y_0 \rangle \geq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \quad (9)$$

Hence, it follows:

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \leq 0. \quad (10)$$

Without loss of generality we consider that $\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \leq 0$. Then, in virtue of λ_0 -pseudomonotony A on W_1 , there exists such subsequence $\exists \{y_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ from $\{y_m\}_m$ that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - v \rangle \geq [A(y), y_0 - v]_- \quad \forall v \in X_1. \quad (11)$$

Let us take in last inequality $v = y_0$. We find $\langle d'_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - y_0 \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Then, due to (9), $\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \leq 0$. In virtue of λ_0 -pseudomonotony B on W_2 , passing to a subsequence $\{y'_{m'_k}\} \subset \{y_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ we find

$$\liminf_{m'_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_{m'_k}, y'_{m'_k} - w \rangle \geq [B(y), y - w]_- \quad \forall w \in X_2. \quad (12)$$

Then from proportions (11), (12) finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{m'_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{m'_k}, y'_{m'_k} - x \rangle &\geq \liminf_{m'_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d'_{m'_k}, y'_{m'_k} - x \rangle + \liminf_{m'_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d''_{m'_k}, y'_{m'_k} - x \rangle \geq \\ &\geq [A(y), y - x]_- + [B(y), y - x]_- = [C(y), y - x]_- \quad \forall x \in X = X_1 \cap X_2. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $A : X_1 \rightrightarrows X_1^*$ and $B : X_2 \rightrightarrows X_2^*$ be multi-valued coercive maps, that satisfies condition (κ) . Then multi-valued operator $C := A + B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is coercive.*

Proof. We obtain this statement arguing by contradiction. Let $\exists \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X : \|x_n\|_X = \|x_n\|_{X_1} + \|x_n\|_{X_2} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{[C(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} < +\infty. \quad (13)$$

Case 1. $\|x_n\|_{X_1} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\|x_n\|_{X_2} \leq c \forall n \geq 1$.

$$\gamma_A(r) := \inf_{\|v\|_{X_1}=r} \frac{[A(v), v]_+}{\|v\|_{X_1}}, \quad \gamma_B(r) := \inf_{\|w\|_{X_2}=r} \frac{[B(w), w]_+}{\|w\|_{X_2}}, \quad r > 0.$$

Note that $\gamma_A(r) \rightarrow +\infty$, $\gamma_B(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $\forall n \geq 1$ $\|x_n\|_{X_1}^{-1}[A(x_n), x_n]_+ \geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_{X_1})\|x_n\|_{X_1}$ and $\frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_{X_1})\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_1}}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|x_n\|_{X_1} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|x_n\|_{X_2} \leq c$.

Due to condition (κ) for every $n \geq 1$

$$\frac{[B(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq \gamma_B(\|x_n\|_{X_2})\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_2}}{\|x_n\|_X} \geq c_1\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_2}}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant in condition (κ) with $D = \{y \in X_2 \mid \|y\|_{X_2} \leq c\}$. It is obvious that

$$\frac{[C(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} = \frac{[A(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} + \frac{[B(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is a contradiction with (13).

Case 2. Case when $\|x_n\|_{X_1} \leq c \forall n \geq 1$ and $\|x_n\|_{X_2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ examines analogously.

Case 3. Let us consider situation, when $\|x_n\|_{X_1} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|x_n\|_{X_2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty > \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{[C(x_n), x_n]_+}{\|x_n\|_X} &\geq \gamma_A(\|x_n\|_{X_1})\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_1}}{\|x_n\|_{X_1} + \|x_n\|_{X_2}} + \\ &+ \gamma_B(\|x_n\|_{X_2})\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_2}}{\|x_n\|_{X_1} + \|x_n\|_{X_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is obvious, that $\forall n \geq 1$ $\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_1}}{\|x_n\|_X} > 0$ and $\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_2}}{\|x_n\|_X} > 0$ and moreover, if even one of the boundaries, for example, $\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_1}}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 0$, then $\frac{\|x_n\|_{X_2}}{\|x_n\|_X} = 1 - \frac{\|x_n\|_{X_1}}{\|x_n\|_X} \rightarrow 1$. We have a contradiction in (14).

The proposition is proved.

DEFINITION 3. Operator $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow X^*$ refers to:

- 1) *monotone*, if for every $y_1, y_2 \in X$ $\langle L(y_1) - L(y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$;
- 2) *maximal monotone*, if it is monotone and from $\langle w - L(u), v - u \rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in D(L)$ follows that $v \in D(L)$ and $L(v) = w$.

4. Auxiliary statements.

In virtue of (1) and (2) $V = V_1 \cap V_2 \subset H$ with continuous and dense embedding. As V is separable Banach space, then there exists complete in V and consequently in H countable vector system $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset V$. Let for every $n \geq 1$ H_n be a linear capsule stretched on $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$. On H_n we consider the inner product induced from H that we also denote as (\cdot, \cdot) . Let $P_n : H \rightarrow H_n \subset H$ be an operator of orthogonal projection from H to H_n , i.e. $\forall h \in H \quad P_n h = \arg \min_{h_n \in H_n} \|h - h_n\|_H$.

DEFINITION 4. We say that the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; V; H)$ satisfies *condition* (γ) if

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|P_n\|_{L(V, V)} < +\infty,$$

i.e. there exists such $C \geq 1$ that for every $v \in V_1 \cap V_2$ and $n \geq 1$

$$\|P_n v\|_{V_1} \leq C \cdot \|v\|_{V_1} \quad \|P_n v\|_{V_2} \leq C \cdot \|v\|_{V_2}. \quad (15)$$

REMARK 5. In case when vector system $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset V$ is orthogonal in H condition (γ) means that the given system is a Schauder base in space V (in particular in V_1 and in V_2) [9].

Due to equivalence of H^* and H it follows that $H_n^* \cong H_n$. Further for every $n \geq 1$ let us consider the Banach space $X_n = L_{p_0}(S; H_n) \subset X$ (where $p_0 := \max\{r_1, r_2\}$) with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_n}$ induced from space X . This norm is equivalent to the natural norm in $L_{p_0}(S; H_n)$ [2]. The space $L_{q_0}(S; H_n)$ ($q_0^{-1} + p_0^{-1} = 1$) with norm $\|f\|_{X_n^*} := \sup_{x \in X_n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{\|x\|_X} = \sup_{x \in X_n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle_{X_n}|}{\|x\|_{X_n}}$ is isometrically isomorphic to conjugate to X_n space X_n^* (further the given spaces are identified).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} \ni \langle f, x \rangle &= \int_S (f(\tau), x(\tau)) d\tau = \int_S (f(\tau), x(\tau))_{H_n} d\tau = \\ &= \langle f, x \rangle_{X_n} \leftarrow \{f, x\} \in X_n^* \times X_n \end{aligned}$$

be duality form on $X_n^* \times X_n$. This statement is correct due to $X_n^* = L_{q_0}(S; H_n) \subset L_{q_0}(S; H) \subset L_{r_1'}(S; H) + L_{r_2'}(S; H) + L_{q_1}(S; V_1^*) + L_{q_2}(S; V_2^*) = X^*$ (see [2]).

For every $n \geq 1$ as I_n we denote the canonical embedding X_n in X , i.e. $\forall x \in X_n \quad I_n x = x$. It is necessary to notice that $I_n \in L((X_n, \|\cdot\|_{X_n}); (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$ and, moreover,

$$\|I_n\|_{L((X_n, \|\cdot\|_{X_n}); (X, \|\cdot\|_X))} = 1.$$

From [10] follows, that conjugate operator $I_n^* \in L((X^*, \|\cdot\|_{X^*}); (X_n^*, \|\cdot\|_{X_n^*}))$ and

$$\langle I_n^* y, x \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle_X \quad \forall x \in X_n \quad \forall y \in X^*, \quad \|I_n^*\|_{L((X^*, \|\cdot\|_{X^*}); (X_n^*, \|\cdot\|_{X_n^*}))} = 1. \quad (16)$$

LEMMA 1. Let the condition (γ) is satisfied. Then for the constant $C \geq 1$ from (15) and norm $\|\cdot\|_n^*$, induced from space X^* to X_n^* , it is fulfilled:

$$\text{a) } \|f_n\|_{X_n^*} \leq \|f_n\|_n^* \leq C \cdot \|f_n\|_{X_n^*} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall f_n \in X_n^*;$$

$$\text{b) } \forall f_n \in X_n^* \quad I_n^* f_n = f_n;$$

moreover, the following takes place:

$$\text{c) } \text{if for arbitrary } u \in X \text{ to put } u_n(\cdot) := P_n u(\cdot) \in X_n, \text{ then}$$

$$\forall f \in X_n^* \quad \langle f, u_n \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle, \quad \|u_n\|_X \leq C \cdot \|u\|_X. \quad (17)$$

Proof. b) This statement is correct. It is remained to prove that for every $f_n \in X_n^*$ and $x_n \in X_n$ $\langle f_n - I_n^* f_n, x_n \rangle = 0$. From definitions I_n^* and I_n follows

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x_n \in X_n \quad \langle f_n - I_n^* f_n, x_n \rangle &= \langle f_n, x_n \rangle - \langle I_n^* f_n, x_n \rangle = \\ &= \langle f_n, x_n \rangle - \langle f_n, I_n x_n \rangle = \langle f_n, x_n \rangle - \langle f_n, x_n \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

c) For every $u \in X$ let $u_n(\cdot) := P_n u(\cdot) \in X_n$, i.e. $u_n(t) = P_n u(t)$ almost everywhere (a.e.) in S . Because of P_n is linear and continuous on V_1, V_2 and H we have that $u_n \in X_n \subset X$. In virtue of (15) and definitions of $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p_i}(S;V_i)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L_{r_i}(S;H)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) it follows: $\|u_n\|_{L_{p_i}(S;V_i)} \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{L_{p_i}(S;V_i)}$, $\|u_n\|_{L_{r_i}(S;H)} \leq \|u\|_{L_{r_i}(S;H)}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Thus $\|u_n\|_X \leq C \cdot \|u\|_X$.

Now prove that for all $f \in X_n^*$ $\langle f, u_n \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$. As $f \in L_{q_0}(S; H_n)$ then

$$\langle f, u \rangle = \int_S (f(\tau), u(\tau)) d\tau = \int_S (f(\tau), P_n u(\tau)) d\tau = \int_S (f(\tau), u_n(\tau)) d\tau = \langle f, u_n \rangle,$$

because of for all $n \geq 1$ $h \in H$ $v \in H_n$ $(h - P_n h, v)_H = (h - P_n h, v) = 0$. So, (17) is proved.

a) For every $f \in X_n^* \subset X^*$

$$\|f\|_n^* = \|f\|_{X^*} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{\|x\|_X} \geq \sup_{x \in X_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{\|x\|_X} = \sup_{x \in X_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{\|x\|_{X_n}} = \|f\|_{X_n^*}. \quad (18)$$

In virtue of (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_n^* &= \|f\|_{X^*} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{|\langle f, x \rangle|}{\|x\|_X} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{C \cdot |\langle f, x_n(x) \rangle|}{\|x_n(x)\|_X} \leq \sup_{x_n \in X_n \setminus \{\bar{0}\}} \frac{C \cdot |\langle f, x_n \rangle|}{\|x_n\|_{X_n}} = C \cdot \|f\|_{X_n^*}, \end{aligned}$$

that with (18) finishes the proof of a).

The lemma is proved.

COROLLARY 1. For every $f \in X^*$ and $n \geq 1$

$$\|I_n^* f\|_{X^*} \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{X^*}. \quad (19)$$

The proof immediately follows from (16) and lemma 1 a).

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us define the Banach space $W_n = \{y \in X_n \mid y' \in X_n^*\}$ with norm $\|y\|_{W_n} = \|y\|_{X_n} + \|y'\|_{X_n^*}$, where the derivative y' is considered in sense of scalar distributions space $D^*(S; H_n)$. As far as $D^*(S; H_n) = L(D(S); H_n) \subset L(D(S); V_\omega^*) = D^*(S; V^*)$ the derivative of an element $y \in X_n$ it is possible to consider in sense of $D^*(S; V^*)$. From lemma 1 it follows that $W_n \subset W$.

5. Faedo-Galerkin method.

For every $n \geq 1$ let us enter $A_n := I_n^* A I_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n^*$, $B_n := I_n^* B I_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n^*$, $f_n := I_n^* f \in X_n^*$. We consider such sequence $\{y_{0n}\}_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ that

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad H_n \ni y_{0n} \rightarrow y_0 \text{ in } H \text{ at } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (20)$$

With problem (3)–(4) we consider the following class of problems:

$$y_n' + A_n(y_n) + B_n(y_n) \ni f_n, \quad (21_n)$$

$$y_n(0) = y_{0n}. \quad (22_n)$$

DEFINITION 5. We say that the solution of (3)–(4) $y \in W$ turns out by *Faedo-Halerkin method*, if y is a weak limit of some subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ form $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W and

- a) for every $n \geq 1$ $W_n \ni y_n$ is a solution of the problem $(21)_n - (22)_n$;
- b) $y_{0n} \rightarrow y_0$ in H as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- c) $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ in $L_{r_i}(S; H)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, 2$.

6. Choice of basic.

We say that the vector system $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ from separable Hilbert space $(V; (\cdot, \cdot)_V)$, continuously and densely embedded in a Hilbert space $(H; (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$, is called *special basis* for the pair of spaces $(V; H)$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is orthonormal in $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$;
- ii) $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is orthogonal in $(V, (\cdot, \cdot)_V)$;
- iii) $\forall i \geq 1 \quad (h_i, v)_V = \lambda_i (h_i, v)_H \quad \forall v \in V$, where $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ at $j \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2. *If V is a Hilbert space, compactly and densely embedded in a Hilbert space H , then there exists a special basis $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ for $(V; H)$. Moreover, for an arbitrary such system, the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; V; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant $C = 1$.*

Proof. From [11, page 54–58] under these assumptions it is well-known, that there exists a special basis $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ for the pair $(V; H)$. So, in order to complete the proof it is enough to show that the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; V; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant $C = 1$ for an arbitrary special basis $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ for $(V; H)$. Therefore, let us take as H_n a linear span, stretched on $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We point out H_n is a finite-dimensional space. Thus, the norms $\|\cdot\|_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_V$ are equivalent on H_n (see [8]). From here it follows $\forall n \geq 1 \exists c_n > 0, \exists C > 0 : \forall h \in H_n \quad \|P_n h\|_V \leq c_n \|P_n h\|_H \leq c_n \|h\|_H \leq c_n C \|h\|_V$. It also means that $P_n \in L(V, V)$.

Further let us prove that $\forall n \geq 1$

$$\|P_n h\|_V \leq \|h\|_V \quad \forall h \in \bigcup_{m \geq 1} H_m. \quad (23)$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed, then $\forall h \in \bigcup_{m \geq 1} H_m \Rightarrow \exists m_0 \geq n + 1 : h \in H_{m_0}$. From here, taking

into account i) and ii), we have $h = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} (h, h_i)_H h_i$, $P_n h = \sum_{i=1}^n (h, h_i)_H h_i$. In order to obtain (23) it is necessary to show that $P_n h$ is orthogonal to $(h - P_n h)$ in V . Because of $(P_n h, h - P_n h)_V = (\sum_{i=1}^n (h, h_i)_H h_i, \sum_{j=n+1}^{m_0} (h, h_j)_H h_j)_V = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{m_0} (h, h_i)_H (h, h_j)_H (h_i, h_j)_V = 0$, $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is orthogonal in V . So, in virtue of continuity of $\|\cdot\|_V$ and P_n on $V \forall n \geq 1$ we have that for all $n \geq 1$ and $v \in V \quad \|P_n v\|_V \leq \|v\|_V$.

The lemma is proved.

For interpolating pair A_0, A_1 (i.e. for Banach spaces A_0 and A_1 , that are linearly and continuously embedded in some linear topological space) on a set $A_0 + A_1$ let us consider the functional

$$K(t, x) = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1: x_0 \in A_0, x_1 \in A_1} \left(\|x_0\|_{A_0} + t \|x_1\|_{A_1} \right), \quad t \geq 0, x \in A_0 + A_1.$$

For fixed $x \in A_0 + A_1$, this map is monotone increasing, continuous and convex upwards function of the variable $t \geq 0$ (see [9, lemma 1.3.1]).

For $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < +\infty$ let us consider the following space:

$$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p} = \left\{ x \in A_0 + A_1 \mid \int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta} K(t, x) \right]^p \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty \right\}. \quad (24)$$

$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p}$ with $\|x\|_{\theta, p} = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} [t^{-\theta} K(t, x)]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is a Banach space (for more details see [9,1.3]) and it results in (see [9, theorem 1.3.3]):

$$A_0 \cap A_1 \subset (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p} \subset A_0 + A_1 \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1), \forall 1 < p < +\infty \quad (25)$$

with dense and continuous embedding.

DEFINITION 6. Let it be $1 \leq r < 2$. We say that the filter of Banach spaces $\{Z_p\}_{p \geq r}$ and Hilbert space H satisfy *main conditions*, if

- a) $\forall p_2 > p_1 > r$ $Z_{p_2} \subset Z_{p_1} \subset H$ with continuous and dense embedding;
- b) $\forall p_2 > p > p_1 > r$ $(Z_{p_1}, Z_{p_2})_{\theta, p} = Z_p$, where $\theta = \theta(p) \in (0, 1) : \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}$;
- c) Z_2 is a Hilbert space.

LEMMA 3. Let us assume: $1 \leq r < 2$, filter of Banach spaces $\{Z_p\}_{p \geq r}$ and Hilbert space H satisfy main conditions, vector system $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset Z_2$ such that the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_2; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant $C \geq 1$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset Z_p$ for all $p > r$. Then, for all $p > r$ the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_p; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant C .

REMARK 6. In the case $Z_2 \subset H$ with compact embedding, thanks to lemma, as a vector system $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ we can choose a special basis for the pair $(Z_2; H)$. In particular, the above result means that the special basis for $(Z_2; H)$ is a Schauder basis for an arbitrary space Z_p at $r < p \leq 2$.

Proof. For $1 \leq r < 2$ let $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset Z_r$ be a vector system such that the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_2; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant $C \geq 1$. Let us prove that $\forall p > r$ the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_p; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant C .

At first we consider the case $p \geq 2$. Let $N > 2$ be an arbitrary fixed number. We check, that $\forall p \in [2, N)$ the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_p; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant C . For the proof of this fact we benefit from transfinite induction method. The set $W = [2, N)$ is well ordered by order " $<$ " := " \leq ".

For an arbitrary $p \in W$ the statement $G(p)$ consists of the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_p; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant C . So,

1) as $p = 2$ (for the first element of W) the statement $G(p)$ holds, thanks to conditions of this theorem;

2) let p be an arbitrary element in W . Assuming $G(q)$ is true for all $q \in I(p) = [2, p)$, we prove that from here the statement $G(p)$ follows. Let x be a fixed element in the space Z_a , dense in Z_p ($a \in (r, 2)$ is arbitrary). Then $\forall q \in [2, p)$, in virtue of (24) and the main

condition b) for $\{Z_p\}_{p \geq r}$ and H with $p = q$, $p_1 = a$, $p_2 = N$, it results in:

$$\|x\|_{Z_q} = \|x\|_{(Z_a, Z_p)_{\theta, q}} = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta} K(t, x) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (26)$$

where $\theta = \theta(q) = \frac{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{q}}{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{N}} \in [\theta(2), \theta(p)] = \left[\frac{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{N}}, \frac{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{N}} \right] \subset (0, 1)$, i.e. $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{a} + \frac{\theta}{N}$.

In the following we prove

$$\|x\|_{Z_q} \rightarrow \|x\|_{Z_p} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow p \quad (q \in [2, p]). \quad (27)$$

Denoted by

$$f(t, q) = \left[t^{-\theta(q)} K(t, x) \right]^q \frac{1}{t}, \quad \forall (t, q) \in [0, +\infty) \times [2, p],$$

from (24) and (26) it obviously follows that for every $\forall q \in [2, p]$ $f(\cdot, q) \in L_1[0, +\infty)$; moreover for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$ $f(t, \cdot) \in C[2, p]$. Furthermore, pointing out that for every $t > 0$ and $q \in [2, p]$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[t^{-\theta(q)} K(t, x) \right]^q \frac{1}{t} &\leq \max \left\{ \left[t^{-\theta(2)} K(t, x) \right]^2; \left[t^{-\theta(2)} K(t, x) \right]^p; \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[t^{-\theta(p)} K(t, x) \right]^2; \left[t^{-\theta(p)} K(t, x) \right]^p \right\} \frac{1}{t} =: g(t); \end{aligned}$$

having in mind (25) and $x \in Z_a = Z_a \cap Z_N$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} |g(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} g(t) dt \leq \max \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta(2)} K(t, x) \right]^2 \frac{dt}{t}; \int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta(2)} K(t, x) \right]^p \frac{dt}{t}; \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta(p)} K(t, x) \right]^2 \frac{dt}{t}; \int_0^{+\infty} \left[t^{-\theta(p)} K(t, x) \right]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right\} = \\ &= \max \left\{ \|x\|_{(Z_a, Z_N)_{\theta(2), 2}}^2; \|x\|_{(Z_a, Z_N)_{\theta(2), p}}^p; \|x\|_{(Z_a, Z_N)_{\theta(p), 2}}^2; \|x\|_{(Z_a, Z_N)_{\theta(p), p}}^p \right\} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the theorem of continuous dependence of Lebesgue integral on parameter all conditions of the theorem on continuous association of an integral of Lebesgue on parameter [12, theorem 8.1.1] assures the convergence (27).

By using the induction assumption

$$\forall q \in [2, p) \quad \forall x \in Z_a \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad \|P_n x\|_{Z_q} \leq C \|x\|_{Z_q}$$

and passing to the limit as $q \nearrow p$ in the last inequality, we obtain

$$\|P_n x\|_{Z_p} \leq C \|x\|_{Z_p} \quad \forall x \in Z_a \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Then from density Z_a in Z_p and continuity P_n on Z_p $\forall n \geq 1$ the statement $G(p)$ follows. So, for all $p \in [2, N)$ the statement $G(p)$ is true. Because of N is arbitrary greater than 2, the triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; Z_p; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with C for every $p \geq 2$.

In order to conclude the proof of the theorem it is necessary to remark that the case $p \in (r, 2]$ can be proved similarly to the case $p \geq 2$, by replacing " \prec " with " \succ " and setting $W = (N, 2]$, where $N \in (r, 2)$ is arbitrary.

The lemma is proved.

COROLLARY 2. *Let V_1, V_2 be Banach spaces, continuously embedded in the Hilbert space H . Let us assume that for some filters of Banach spaces $\{Z_p^i\}_{p \geq r_i}$ ($r_i \in [1; 2)$, $i = 1, 2$), that together with H satisfy main conditions, there exist $p_i > r_i$ such that $V_i = Z_{p_i}^i$ ($i = 1, 2$), within to equivalence of norms. Moreover, there exist Hilbert space $Z \subset V_1 \cap V_2$, compactly embedded in H , such that for special basis $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ for pair $(Z; H)$, for some $0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2, \dots, \mu_j \rightarrow \infty$ at $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$)*

$$Z_2^i = \left\{ u \in H \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^{s_i} (u, h_j)^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

be a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u, v)_{Z_2^i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^{2s_i} (u, h_j)(v, h_j). \quad (28)$$

Then triple $(\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}; V_i; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) with constant $C = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Proof. Having in mind lemma 2 and lemma 3, it is enough to show that $\{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a special basis for $(Z_2^i; H)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Condition i) of definition 1 is obviously satisfied. Using (7) and condition i) we have

$$\forall i, j \geq 1 \quad (h_i, h_j)_{Z_2^i} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{2s_i} (h_i, h_k)(h_j, h_k) = \mu_i^{2s_i} \delta_{ij} = \mu_i^{2s_i} \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

so the condition ii) holds. Finally condition iii) follows from the last equality.

The lemma is proved.

REMARK 7. Further we shall consider, that the triple of spaces V_1, V_2 and H satisfies conditions of corollary 2! To obtain convergence c) in definition 5 we need to assume $V_1 \subset H$ or $V_2 \subset H$ with compact embedding.

7. The main result.

THEOREM. *Let $A : X_1 \rightarrow C_v(X_1^*)$ and $B : X_2 \rightarrow C_v(X_2^*)$ be such multi-valued maps that*

- 1) *A is λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W_1 , bounded and $+$ -coercive on X_1 ;*
- 2) *B is λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W_2 , quasi-bounded, satisfies condition (κ) and $+$ -coercivity condition on X_2 , contraction of B on arbitrary finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset W$ is locally bounded.*

Moreover let $\{h_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset V_1 \cap V_2$ is complete vector system, that exists by corollary 2, and $\forall i = 1, 2$ the triple $(\{h_j\}_{j \geq 1}; V_i; H)$ satisfies condition (γ) . Then for every $f \in X^*$ and $y_0 \in H$ the set

$$K_H(f) := \left\{ y \in W \mid y \text{ the solution of (3)-(4), obtained by Faedo-Halerkin method} \right\}$$

is non-empty and presentation

$$K_H(f) = \bigcup_{\{y_{0n}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H, \text{ that satisfies (20)}} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \left[\bigcup_{m \geq n} K_m(f_m)(y_{0m}) \right]_{X_w}, \quad (29)$$

with $\forall n \geq 1$ $K_n(f_n)(y_{0n}) = \left\{ y_n \in W_n \mid y_n \text{ solution of } (21)_n - (22)_n \right\}$, where $[\cdot]_{X_w}$ is closure operator in space X with weak topology, is true.

Proof. Let us consider the map:

$$X \ni y \rightarrow C(y) := A(y) + B(y) \subset X^*.$$

Due to proposition 2 and proposition 3 the multi-valued map

$$C : X \rightarrow C_v(X^*) \text{ is } \lambda_0\text{-pseudomonotone on } W, \\ \text{+coercitive and satisfies condition } (\kappa). \quad (30)$$

Let $\{y_{0n}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H$ be an arbitrary sequence that satisfies (20). Then there exists such $\delta > 0$ that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|y_{0n}\|_H \leq \delta. \quad (31)$$

For every $n \geq 1$ we search such $x_n \in W_n \subset C(S; H)$ that $x_n(0) = y_{0n}$ and $\|x_n\|_W \leq 1$. Now use the +coercivity condition. Let us define $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ in such way: $\gamma(r) = \inf_{\|y\|_X=r} \|y\|_X^{-1} \left([C(y), y]_+ \right)$. It is obvious that $\gamma(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Remark that for all $n \geq 1$ and $y \in X$ $[C(y) - f + x'_n, y]_+ \geq$

$$\geq \left(\gamma(\|y\|_X) - \|f\|_{X^*} - \|x'_n\|_{X^*} \right) \|y\|_X \geq \left(\gamma(\|y\|_X) - \|f\|_{X^*} - 1 \right) \|y\|_X.$$

So, there exists such $r_0 > 0$ that

$$r_0 > 1 \geq 0, [C(y) - f + x'_n, y]_+ \geq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad \forall y \in X : \|y\|_X \geq r_0. \quad (32)$$

Let us put $R = 3r_0$. Then for every $z \in \overline{B}_1(\overline{0}) \subset \overline{B}_{r_0}(\overline{0})$

$$\overline{B}_{r_0}(\overline{0}) \subset \overline{B}_{2r_0}(z) = \left\{ y \in X \mid \|y - z\|_X \leq 2r_0 \right\} \subset \overline{B}_R(\overline{0}). \quad (33)$$

7.1. Resolvability of approximating problems.

LEMMA 4. For all $n \geq 1$ there exists a solution of the problem $(21)_n - (22)_n$ $y_n \in W_n$ such that $\|y_n\|_X \leq R$.

Proof. Let us for every $n \geq 1$ define $D_n(\cdot) := C_n(\cdot + x_n) : X_n \rightrightarrows X_n^*$. Now prove that the given map satisfies the next properties:

- $i_1)$ $C_n, D_n : X_n \rightarrow C_v(X_n^*)$;
- $i_2)$ C_n, D_n is λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W_n , locally finite-dimensional bounded;
- $i_3)$ $[D_n(y) - f_n + x'_n, y]_+ \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in X_n : \|y\|_{X_n} = 2r_0$.

Let us prove $i_1)$. The convexity and completeness of $C_n(y)$ and $D_n(y)$ are evident. Now prove that for every $\omega, y \in X_n$ and $n \geq 1$

$$[C_n(\omega) - f_n + x'_n, y]_+ = [C(\omega) - f + x'_n, y]_+.$$

In virtue of definitions of $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ and I_n^* we have

$$\begin{aligned} [C_n(\omega) - f_n + x'_n, y]_+ &= [I_n^*(C(\omega) - f + x'_n), y]_+ = \sup_{d \in C(\omega)} \langle I_n^*(d - f + x'_n), y \rangle = \\ &= \sup_{d \in C(\omega)} \langle d - f + x'_n, y \rangle = [C(\omega) - f + x'_n, I_n y]_+ = [C(\omega) - f + x'_n, y]_+. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put in last $\omega = y + x'_n$. Due to (33) and (32) we receive $i_3)$.

Let us consider $i_2)$. Because of boundedness of $I_n \in L(X_n; X)$, $A : X_1 \rightarrow C_v(X_1^*)$, $I_n^* \in L(X^*; X_n^*)$ and locally finite-dimensional boundedness of $B : X_2 \rightarrow C_v(X_2^*)$ follows the locally finite-dimensional boundedness of C_n .

Now prove the λ_0 -pseudomonotony of C_n on W_n . Let $\{y_m\}_{m \geq 0} \subset W_n$ be an arbitrary such sequence that $y_m \rightharpoonup y_0$ in W_n , $I_n^* C(y_m) = C_n(y_m) \ni d_m \rightharpoonup d \in X_n^*$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and inequality (7) is holds. As $W_n \subset W$ with continuous embedding then

$$y_m \rightharpoonup y_0 \text{ in } W \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (35)$$

From (34) follows that for every $m \geq 1$ there exists such $g_m \in C(y_m)$ that $I_n^* g_m = d_m$. So, for all $m \geq 1$

$$g_m \in C(y_m) \text{ and } I_n^* g_m = d_m. \quad (36)$$

Inasmuch as $\forall m \geq 1$

$$\langle d_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle = \langle I_n^* g_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle = \langle g_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle,$$

then

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle = \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle g_m, y_m - y_0 \rangle \leq 0. \quad (37)$$

In virtue of boundedness of A and quasi-boundedness of B we have that the sequence $\{g_m\}_m$ is bounded in X^* . Consequently from (35)–(37) and (30) the existence of such subsequences $\{y_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\{g_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{g_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $g \in X^*$ that $\forall w \in X$

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle g_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - w \rangle \geq [C(y_0), y_0 - w]_- \text{ and } g_{m_k} \rightharpoonup g \text{ in } X^* \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (38)$$

is follows. Remark that for every $k \geq 1$ and $w \in X_n$

$$\langle g_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - w \rangle = \langle I_n^* g_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - w \rangle = \langle d_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - w \rangle; \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [C(y_0), y_0 - w]_- &= \inf_{g \in C(y_0)} \langle g, y_0 - w \rangle = \inf_{g \in C(y_0)} \langle I_n^* g, y_0 - w \rangle = \\ &= \inf_{I_n^* g \in C_n(y_0)} \langle I_n^* g, y_0 - w \rangle = [C_n(y_0), y_0 - w]_-. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

From (38)–(40) follows that $\forall w \in X_n$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{m_k}, y_{m_k} - w \rangle \geq [C_n(y_0), y_0 - w]_- \text{ and } d_{m_k} \rightharpoonup I_n^* g \text{ in } X^* \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, C_n is λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W_n . Due to properties of C_n and from $x_n \in W_n$ it follows that D_n is finite-dimensional locally bounded and λ_0 -pseudomonotone on W_n .

Simultaneously with the problem (21)_n–(22)_n let us consider the next

$$\begin{cases} z'_n + D_n(z_n) \ni f_n - x'_n, & (41)_n \\ z_n(0) = \bar{0}. & (42)_n \end{cases}$$

problem on searching solution z_n in W_n .

Let $L_n : D(L_n) \subset X_n \rightarrow X_n^*$ with $D(L_n) = \{y \in W_n \mid y(0) = \bar{0}\} = W_n^0$ be such operator that for every $y \in W_n^0$ $L_n y = y'$. The derivative y' of an element y we understand in sense of scalar distributions space $D^*(S; H_n)$.

LEMMA 5. For every $n \geq 1$ the operator L_n satisfies next properties:

- $i_4)$ L_n is linear;
- $i_5)$ for every $y \in W_n^0$ $\langle L_n y, y \rangle \geq 0$;
- $i_6)$ L_n is maximal monotone.

Proof. Property $i_4)$ is obvious. Now prove $i_5)$. Due to (6) for all $y_n \in W_n^0$ we have

$$\langle L_n y_n, y_n \rangle = \langle y'_n, y_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\|y_n(T)\|_H^2 - \|y_n(0)\|_H^2) = \frac{1}{2} \|y_n(T)\|_H^2 \geq 0. \quad (43)$$

In virtue of the linearity of L_n on W_n^0 and (43) the monotony of L_n on W_n^0 is follows.

Let us prove the maximal monotony of L_n on W_n^0 . For such $v \in X_n$, $w \in X_n^*$ that for every $u \in W_n^0$ $\langle w - L_n u, v - u \rangle \geq 0$ is true let us prove that $v \in W_n$ and $v' = w$. If we take $u = h\varphi x \in W_n^0$ with $\varphi \in D(S)$, $x \in H_n$ and $h > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle w - \varphi' h x, v - \varphi h x \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle - \\ &- \left(\int_S (\varphi'(s)v(s) + \varphi(s)w(s)) ds, h x \right) + \langle \varphi' h x, \varphi h x \rangle = \\ &= \langle w, v \rangle + h \langle v'(\varphi) - w(\varphi), x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $v'(\varphi)$, $w(\varphi)$ are values of distributions v' and w on $\varphi \in D(S)$. So, for every $\varphi \in D(S)$ and $x \in X_n$ $\langle v'(\varphi) - w(\varphi), x \rangle \geq 0$ is true. Thus we obtain $v'(\varphi) = w(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in D(S)$. It means that $v' = w \in X^*$. Now prove $v(0) = \bar{0}$. Due to (6) with $u(t) = v(T) \cdot \frac{t}{T} \in W_n^0$ we receive $0 \leq \langle v' - L_n u, v - u \rangle = \langle v' - u', v - u \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\|v(T) - u(T)\|_H^2 - \|v(0) - u(0)\|_H^2) = -\|v(0)\|_H^2 \leq 0$ and $\|v(0)\|_{X_n} = 0$.

Lemma 5 is proved.

Now let us continue the proof of lemma 4. In virtue of [13, theorem 2.1] with $V = W = X = X_n$, $A = D_n$, $B \equiv \bar{0}$, $L = L_n$, $D(L) = W_n^0$, $f = f_n - x'_n$, $r = 2r_0$ and properties i_1)– i_6) the problem (41)_n–(42)_n has such solution $z_n \in W_n$ that $\|z_n\|_X \leq 2r_0$. Remark that under boundedness condition on A_n and quasi-boundedness condition on B_n it is easy to find the estimate for selectors (similar to (55)) to apply the λ_0 -pseudomonotony for A and B on W_n . Because of (33) and $z_n \in W_n$ it follows that $y_n := z_n + x_n \in W_n$ is such solution of (21)_n–(22)_n that $\|y_n\|_X \leq R$.

Lemma 4 is proved.

7.2. Boundary transition.

Due to lemma 4 we have a sequence of Halerkin approximate solutions $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that satisfies next conditions

$$\text{a) } \forall n \geq 1: \quad \|y_n\|_X \leq R; \quad (44)$$

$$\text{b) } \forall n \geq 1: \quad y_n \in W_n \subset W, \quad y'_n + C_n(y_n) \ni f_n; \quad (45)$$

$$\text{c) } \forall n \geq 1: \quad y_n(0) = y_{0n} \rightarrow y_0 \text{ in } H \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (46)$$

From (45) it follows

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \exists d_n \in C(y_n): \quad I_n^* d_n =: d_n^1 = f_n - y'_n \in C_n(y_n) = I_n^* C_n(y_n). \quad (47)$$

LEMMA 6. In virtue of (44)–(47) there exist such subsequences $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that for some $y \in W$, $d \in X^*$, $z \in H$ the next

$$1) \quad y_{n_k} \rightarrow y \text{ in } X \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (48)$$

$$2) \quad y_{n_k} \rightarrow y \text{ in } L_{p_0}(S; H) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (49)$$

$$3) \quad y'_{n_k} \rightarrow y' \text{ in } X^* \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (50)$$

$$4) \quad d_{n_k} \rightarrow d \text{ in } X^* \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty; \quad (51)$$

$$5) \quad y_{n_k}(T) \rightarrow z \text{ in } H \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (52)$$

is true. Moreover, in (48)–(52):

$$\text{(i) } y(0) = y_0, \quad \text{(ii) } z = y(T), \quad \text{(iii) } d = f - y'. \quad (53)$$

Proof. 1°. At first we prove that $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in X^* . In virtue of (47) and definition of C it follows that for every $n \geq 1$ there exists such $d'_n \in A(y_n)$ and $d''_n \in B(y_n)$ that $d'_n + d''_n = d_n$. Due to boundedness of A there exists such $c_1 > 0$ that

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \|d'_n\|_{X_1^*} \leq c_1. \quad (54)$$

From (47), (6), (54) and (31) it results in for all $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty > \|f\|_{X^*} R &\geq \|f\|_{X^*} \|y_n\|_X \geq \langle f, y_n \rangle = \langle f_n, y_n \rangle = \langle y'_n, y_n \rangle + \\ &+ \langle d_n^1, y_n \rangle = \langle y'_n, y_n \rangle + \langle d'_n, y_n \rangle + \langle d''_n, y_n \rangle \geq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|y_n(T)\|_H^2 - \|y_n(0)\|_H^2 \right) - c_1 R + \langle d_n'', y_n \rangle \geq \\ &\geq -\delta^2/2 - c_1 R + \langle d_n'', y_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $n \geq 1$

$$\langle d_n'', y_n \rangle \leq \|f\|_{X^*} R + \delta^2/2 + c_1 R =: c_2 < +\infty.$$

From here, taking into account $X_1 \subset X$ with continuous embedding, estimation (44) and quasi-boundedness of B it follows that

$$\exists c_3 > 0 : \forall n \geq 1 \quad \|d_n''\|_{X_2^*} \leq c_3.$$

With help of (54) we have:

$$\exists c_4 > 0 : \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad \|d_n\|_{X^*} \leq c_4. \quad (55)$$

2°. Now let us prove the boundedness of $\{y_n'\}_{n \geq 1}$ in X^* . From (47) it follows that for every $n \geq 1$ $y_n' = I_n^*(f - d_n)$ and so, with help of (55) and (19), for all $n \geq 1$

$$\|y_n'\|_{X^*} = \|I_n^*(f - d_n)\|_{X^*} \leq C \cdot \|f - d_n\|_{X^*} \leq C(\|f\|_{X^*} + c_4) =: c_5 < +\infty, \quad (56)$$

where $C \geq 1$ is the constant from condition (γ) .

3°. Here we prove the precompactness of $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $L_{r_i}(S; H)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Without loss of generality it is enough to prove the existence of such subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that converges in $L_{r_i}(S; H)$.

Due to estimates (44) and (56) the boundedness of $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in W is holds, i.e.

$$\exists c_6 > 0 : \quad \|y_n\|_W = \|y_n\|_X + \|y_n'\|_{X^*} \leq c_6 < +\infty \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (57)$$

In virtue of $W \subset C(S; H)$ with continuous embedding the existence of such $c_7 > 0$ that

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in S \quad \|y_n(t)\|_H \leq c_7 < +\infty \quad (58)$$

is follows. Now use [1, theorem I.5.1] with $r_i = p_1$, $p_1 = \min\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$, $B_0 = V_1$ or $B_0 = V_2$, $B = H$ and $B_1 = V^* = V_1^* + V_2^*$. Because of $X \subset L_{p_1}(S; V_1)$ and $X^* \subset L_{\min\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}}(S; V^*)$ with continuous embedding, thanks to (57), it follows that $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is precompact in $L_{p_1}(S; H)$. Let $\{y_m\}_m$ be such subsequence from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ that tends to some y in $L_{p_1}(S; H)$. Setting $\psi_m(t) = \|y_m(t) - y(t)\|_H : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ for all m and $t \in S$ ($\psi_m \rightarrow \bar{0}$ in $L_{p_1}(S)$) it follows the existence of such $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{y_m\}$ that for almost all $t \in S$ $|\psi_{n_k}(t)|^{p_1} \rightarrow 0$ (see [14]). Consequently, $\psi_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in S . Due to (58) we have $\|y\|_{C(S; H)} \leq c_7$. So, the sequence $\psi_{n_k}^{r_i}(\cdot)$ satisfies the conditions of the Lebesgue theorem with the integrable majorant $g(\cdot) \equiv (2c_7)^{r_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Therefore, the sequence

$$\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \quad \text{is precompact in } L_{r_i}(S; H) \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (59)$$

4°. In virtue of inequalities (6), (57) and a priory estimates (44), (55) and (31), the boundedness of $\{y_n(T)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in H is follows. For every $n \geq 1$ $\langle y_n', y_n \rangle + \langle d_n^1, y_n \rangle = \langle f_n, y_n \rangle$. Thus,

$$\|y_n(T)\|_H^2 \leq \|y_{0n}\|_H^2 + 2\langle f - d_n, y_n \rangle \leq \delta^2 + 2(\|f\|_{X^*} + c_4)R =: c_8 < +\infty, \quad (60)$$

where $c_8 > 0$ is not depends on $n \geq 1$.

5°. Due to (57), (59), (55), (60) and to the Banach-Alaoglu theorem it follows the existence of such $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ from $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ from $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, y from W , d from X^* and z from H that (48)–(52) are true.

6°. Let us prove (iii). For $\varphi \in D(S)$, $n \geq 1$ and $h \in H_n$ let $\psi(\cdot) = h \cdot \varphi(\cdot) \in X_n \subset X$. Then for every such $k \geq 1$ that $n_k \geq n$ due to lemma 1 b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)(y'_{n_k}(\tau) + d_{n_k}(\tau))d\tau, h \right) = \int_S \left(\varphi(\tau)(y'_{n_k}(\tau) + d_{n_k}(\tau)), h \right) d\tau = \\ & = \int_S \left(y'_{n_k}(\tau) + d_{n_k}(\tau), \varphi(\tau)h \right) d\tau = \langle y'_{n_k} + d_{n_k}, \psi \rangle = \langle y'_{n_k} + d_{n_k}, I_{n_k} \psi \rangle = \\ & = \langle I_{n_k}^* (y'_{n_k} + d_{n_k}), \psi \rangle = \langle y'_{n_k} + d_{n_k}^1, \psi \rangle = \langle f_{n_k}, \psi \rangle = \langle f, I_{n_k} \psi \rangle = \\ & = \int_S (f(\tau), \varphi(\tau)h) d\tau = \int_S (\varphi(\tau)f(\tau), h) d\tau = \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)f(\tau) d\tau, h \right). \end{aligned}$$

So, for all such $k \geq 1$ that $n_k \geq n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)y'_{n_k}(\tau) d\tau, h \right) = \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)(f(\tau) - d_{n_k}(\tau)) d\tau, h \right) = \\ & = \int_S ((f(\tau) - d_{n_k}(\tau)), \varphi(\tau)h) d\tau = \langle f - d_{n_k}, \psi \rangle \rightarrow \langle f - d, \psi \rangle = \\ & = \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)(f(\tau) - d(\tau)) d\tau, h \right) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

It follows from $d_{n_k} \rightarrow d$ in X^* . In virtue of (50) we have

$$\left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)y'_{n_k}(\tau) d\tau, h \right) \rightarrow \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)y'(\tau) d\tau, h \right) = (y'(\varphi), h) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{62}$$

Due to (61)–(62) we obtain

$$\forall \varphi \in D(S) \quad \forall h \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n \quad (y'(\varphi), h) = \left(\int_S \varphi(\tau)(f(\tau) - d(\tau)) d\tau, h \right).$$

The set $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$ is dense in V , then

$$\forall \varphi \in D(S) \quad y'(\varphi) = \int_S \varphi(\tau)(f(\tau) - d(\tau)) d\tau.$$

So, $y' = f - d$ and $d = f - y'$.

7°. Now prove (i). Let us for every $n \geq 1$ and $h \in H_n$ define $\psi(\cdot)$ as $(T \cdot)h \in X_n$. From (51) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y', \psi \rangle &= \int_S (y'(\tau), \psi(\tau)) d\tau = \int_S (f(\tau) - d(\tau), \psi(\tau)) d\tau = \langle f - d, \psi \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f - d_{n_k}, I_{n_k} \psi \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle I_{n_k}^* (f - d_{n_k}), \psi \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_{n_k} - d_{n_k}^1, \psi \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle y'_{n_k}, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us use (5). Remark that $\psi'(\tau) = -h$ a.e. in S . Then, taking into account $y_{n_k} \rightharpoonup y$ in X and $y_{n_k 0} \rightarrow y_0$ in H as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle y'_{n_k}, \psi \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ -\langle \psi', y_{n_k} \rangle + (y_{n_k}(T), \psi(T)) - (y_{n_k 0}, \psi(0)) \right\} = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_S (y_{n_k}(\tau), h) d\tau - (y_{n_k 0}, Th) \right\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S (y_{n_k}(\tau), h) d\tau - \\ &- \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y_{n_k 0}, Th) = \int_S (y(\tau), h) d\tau - (y_0, Th) = -\langle \psi', y \rangle - (y_0, Th). \end{aligned}$$

Let us use (5) again: $-\langle \psi', y \rangle - (y_0, Th) = \langle y', \psi \rangle - (y(T), \psi(T)) +$

$$+(y(0), \psi(0)) - (y_0, Th) = \langle y', \psi \rangle + T(y(0) - y_0, h).$$

So, for every $h \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$ $\langle y', \psi \rangle = \langle y', \psi \rangle + T(y(0) - y_0, h)$. Hence, $(y(0) - y_0, h) = 0$. From density $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$ in H it follows that $y(0) = y_0$.

8°. It is remain to prove (ii). The proof is similar to 7°. Let us take $\psi(\cdot) \equiv h \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$.

Hence, $\psi \in X_{n_0}$ for some n_0 . Due to (5), (52) and (i) from (53)

$$\begin{aligned} (y(T) - y(0), h) &= \int_S (y'(\tau), h) d\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S (y'_{n_k}(\tau), h) d\tau = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y_{n_k}(T) - y_{n_k}(0), h) = (z - y(0), h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $h \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$ $(y(T) - z, h) = 0$. Hence, $y(T) = z$.

The lemma is proved.

To prove y is a solution of problem (3)–(4), obtained by FG method, it is remain to show (due to lemma 6) that $d \in C(y)$. At first we make sure that

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - y \rangle \leq 0. \quad (63)$$

In virtue of (6) and (iii) for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - y \rangle &= \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle d_{n_k}, y \rangle = \langle d_{n_k}^1, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle d_{n_k}, y \rangle = \\ &= \langle f_{n_k} - y'_{n_k}, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle d_{n_k}, y \rangle = \langle f_{n_k}, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle y'_{n_k}, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle d_{n_k}, y \rangle = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle f, y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle d_{n_k}, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\|y_{n_k}(0)\|_H^2 - \|y_{n_k}(T)\|_H^2). \quad (64)$$

Due to lemma 6, (6), [2, lemma I.5.3], (52), (53) and (64)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{n_k}, y_{n_k} - y \rangle &\leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, y_{n_k} \rangle + \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_{n_k}, -y \rangle + \\ &+ \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} (\|y_{n_k}(0)\|_H^2 - \|y_{n_k}(T)\|_H^2) \leq \langle f, y \rangle - \langle d, y \rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\|y(0)\|_H^2 - \|y(T)\|_H^2) = \langle f - d, y \rangle - \langle y', y \rangle = \langle y' - y', y \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (63) is proven.

In virtue of (48), (50), (51), (63) and due to λ_0 -pseudomonotony of C on W it follows that there exist such subsequences $\{d_m\} \subset \{d_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ and $\{y_m\} \subset \{y_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ that $\forall \omega \in X$

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m, y_m - \omega \rangle \geq [C(y), y - \omega]_-. \quad (65)$$

To finish the proof of the theorem it is enough to show

$$\langle d, y \rangle \geq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m, y_m \rangle. \quad (66)$$

Because of (65), (66), (51) and (48) we obtain that for every $\omega \in X$ $[C(y), y - \omega]_- \leq \langle d, y - \omega \rangle$. It is equivalent to $[C(y), \omega]_+ \geq \langle d, \omega \rangle \forall \omega \in X$. It means that $d \in C(y)$. So, y is the solution of (3)–(4).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now prove (66): } \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m, y_m \rangle &= \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m, I_m y_m \rangle = \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle d_m^1, y_m \rangle = \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_m - y'_m, y_m \rangle \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_m, y_m \rangle + \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-\langle y'_m, y_m \rangle) = \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, y_m \rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_m(0)\|_H^2 - \|y_m(T)\|_H^2) \leq \langle f, y \rangle - \frac{1}{2} (\|y(T)\|_H^2 - \|y(0)\|_H^2) = \end{aligned}$$

$\langle f, y \rangle - \langle y', y \rangle = \langle d, y \rangle$. So, we proved that $y \in W$ is the solution of (3)–(4).

Remark that (29) is immediately follows from boundary transition, [15, property 2.29. IV.8] and definition 5.

The theorem is proved.

8. Example.

Let us consider bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with rather smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$; $S = [0, T]$, $Q = \Omega \times (0; T)$, $\Gamma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0; T)$; N_1^i (correspondingly N_2^i) be a number of differentiations by x of order $\leq m_i - 1$ (correspondingly m_i) and let $A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi)$ be a family of real functions ($|\alpha| \leq m_i$) defined in $Q \times R^{N_1^i} \times R^{N_2^i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let

$D^k u = \{D^\beta u, |\beta| = k\}$ be differentiation by x ,

$$\delta u = \{u, Du, \dots, D^{m-1}u\},$$

$$A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^m v) : x, t \rightarrow A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u(x, t), D^m v(x, t)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex lower semicontinuous coercive functional, $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ be its subdifferential.

Let us consider the next problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial t} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_1} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (A_\alpha^1(x, t, \delta y, D^m y)) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_2} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (A_\alpha^2(x, t, \delta y, D^m y)) + \Phi(y(x, t)) \ni f(x, t) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q, \quad (67)$$

$$y(x, 0) = y_0(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (68)$$

$$y(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Gamma_T. \quad (69)$$

On some conditions on coefficients A_α^i the given problem is equivalent to the next differential-operator inclusion

$$y' + A_1(y) + A_2(y) + \partial\varphi(y) \ni f, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad (70)$$

where $f \in X^* = L_2(S; L_2(\Omega)) + L_{q_1}(S; W^{-m_1, q_1}(\Omega)) + L_{q_2}(S; W^{-m_2, q_2}(\Omega))$, $y_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$ are some fixed elements, $\partial\varphi$ is subdifferential from the integral functional

$$\varphi(y) = \int_Q \psi(y(x, t)) dx dt$$

in space $L_2(S; L_2(\Omega))$. The element $y \in X$ that satisfies (70) is called the generous solution of the problem (67)–(69).

Let us also take $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)) = (L_2(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot)_{L_2(\Omega)})$, $V_i := W_0^{m_i, p_i}(\Omega)$ ($i = 1, 2$). It follows that V_i ($i = 1, 2$) is a reflexive separable Banach space. Further, we consider that $p_i > 1$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

CHOICE OF BASIS. Due to the corollary 2 and [9, theorem 4.3.1.2] as complete vector system in spaces $W_0^{m_i, p_i}(\Omega)$ we can take the special basis for the pair $(H_0^{\max\{m_1, m_2\} + \varepsilon}(\Omega); L_2(\Omega))$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$.

DEFINITION OF OPERATORS A_i . Let the family of real functions $A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi)$ ($|\alpha| \leq m_i$) defined in $Q \times R^{N_1^i} \times R^{N_2^i}$ satisfies next conditions

for almost all $x, t \in Q$ the map $\eta, \xi \rightarrow A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi)$ is continuous on $R^{N_1^i} \times R^{N_2^i}$;

$$\text{for all } \eta, \xi \text{ the map } x, t \rightarrow A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi) \text{ is measurable on } Q. \quad (71)$$

$$\text{for all } u, v \in L^{p_i}(0, T; V_i(\Omega)) =: V_i \quad A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^m u) \in L^{q_i}(Q). \quad (72)$$

Then for every $u \in V_i$

$$w \rightarrow a_i(u, w) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_Q A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^m u) D^\alpha w dx dt, \quad (73)$$

is continuous and

$$\text{for every } u \in V_i \text{ there exists such } A_i(u) \in V_i' \text{ that } a_i(u, w) = \langle A_i(u), w \rangle. \quad (74)$$

CONDITIONS ON A_i . Similarly to [1, sections 2.2.5, 2.2.6, 3.2.1] we have

$$A_i(u) = A_i(u, u), \quad A_i(u, v) = A_{i1}(u, v) + A_{i2}(u),$$

where

$$\langle A_{i1}(u, v), w \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=m_i} \int_Q A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^{m_i} v) D^\alpha w dx dt, \quad (75)$$

$$\langle A_{i2}(u), w \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_i - 1} \int_Q A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^{m_i} u) D^\alpha w dx dt \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (76)$$

Now consider the next conditions:

$$\langle A_{i1}(u, u), u - v \rangle - \langle A_{i1}(u, v), u - v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in V_i; \quad (77)$$

if $u_j \rightarrow u$ in V_i , $u'_j \rightarrow u'$ in V_i^* $\langle A_{i1}(u_j, u_j) - A_{i1}(u_j, u), u_j - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$, then

$$A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u_j, D^{m_i} u_j) \rightarrow A_\alpha^i(x, t, \delta u, D^{m_i} u) \text{ in } L^{q_i}(Q); \quad (78)$$

coercivity.

REMARK 8. Similarly to [1, theorem 2.2.8] the sufficient conditions of (77), (78) are:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m_i} A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi) \xi_\alpha \frac{1}{|\xi| + |\xi|^{p_i-1}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty \quad (79)$$

for almost all x, t from Q and bounded η ;

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m_i} (A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi) - A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi^*)) (\xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha^*) > 0 \text{ as } \xi \neq \xi^* \quad (80)$$

for almost all $x, t \in Q$ and $\forall \eta$.

The next condition guarantees the coercivity:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m_i} A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq c |\xi|^{p_i} \text{ for rather large } |\xi|. \quad (81)$$

The sufficient condition of (72) (see [1, p. 336]) is:

$$|A_\alpha^i(x, t, \eta, \xi)| \leq c[|\eta|^{p_i-1} + |\xi|^{p_i-1} + k(x, t)], \quad k \in L_{q_i}(Q). \quad (82)$$

By analogy with the proof of [1, theorem 3.2.1] and [1, statement 2.2.6] for $i = 1, 2$ we can receive the next

PROPOSITION 4. *Let operator $A_i : V_i \rightarrow V_i^*$ ($i = 1, 2$), defined in (74), satisfies (71), (72), (77) and (78). Then A_i is pseudomonotone on W_i and bounded operator.*

Due to last statement and to the theorem it follows that under listed above conditions for all $f \in X^*$ there exists such $R > 0$ that $K_H(f) := \{y \in W \mid y \text{ is a generous solution of the problem (67)–(69), turned out by FG method}\}$ is non-empty weakly compact in $(\overline{B}_R, \|\cdot\|_X)$ set with representation (29).

1. *Lions J. L.* Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires.— Paris: Dunod Gauthier-Villars, 1969.—587 p.

2. *Gaevsky H, Greger K., Zaharias K.* Nonlinear The operator equations and the operator-differential equations.— M.:Myr, 1978.—337 p. (Russian translation)
3. *Skrypnik I.V.* Methods of research nonlinear Boundary value problems // M.:Nauka, 1990.—442 p. (in Russian)
4. *Mel'nik V.S., Toscano L.* About nonlinear differential-operator equations in Banach spaces with maps of pseudo-monotone type // System Research & Information Technologies.— 2004.— №3.— P.63–81.
5. *Kasyanov P.O.* Galerkin's method for one class of differential-operator inclusions.// Dopovidi Nacional'noy Akademiy nauk Ukrainy.—2005. (in Ukrainian) — № 9.— P. 20–24.
6. *Kasyanov P.O.* Galerkin method for the class of differential-operator inclusions with the set-valued map of pseudomonotone type //Naukovi visti Nacional'nogo tehnicnogo universitetu Ukraini "Kiivs'kij politehnicnij institut". - 2005. - № 2.— P. 139–151. (in Ukrainian)
7. *Kasyanov P.O., Mel'nik V.S.* Faedo-Galerkin method differential-operator inclusions in Banach spaces with maps of w_{λ_0} -pseudomonotone type // Zbirnik prats institutu mathamatiki Nacional'noy Akademiy nauk Ukrainy.— Part 2.— №1.— P. 82–105. (in Ukrainian)
8. *Reed M., Simon B.* Methods of modern mathematical physics.— M.: Myr, 1977.— Part.1.— 359 p. (Russian translation)
9. *Tribel H.* Theory of interpolation, functional Spaces, differential operators —M.:Myr.—1980.— 664 p. (Russian translation)
10. *Iosida K.* Functional analysis.—M.:Myr, 1967. (Russian translation)
11. *Temam R.* Infinite-dimentional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics .— New York,1988.
12. *Dorogovtsev A.Ya.* The elements of teory of measure and integral.—K.:Vishcha shcola, 1989.— 150 p. (in Russian)
13. *Mel'nik V.S.* About operational inclusions in Banach spaces with densely defined operators. // System Research & Information Technologies — 2003.— № 3.—P. 120–126.
14. *Halmosh P.R.* The measure theory.— M.: Izd-vo inostr. lit., 1953.— 292 p. (Russian translation)
15. *Kuratowski K.* Topology.— M.:Myr, 1966.— Part 1.— 595 p. (Russian translation)

P.O. Kasyanov
Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko university,
Kyiv, Ukraine;
02140 Kyiv, Mishuga str. 1/4, app. 362
kasyanov@univ.kiev.ua

Received 13.12.2005

V.S. Mel'nik
Institute for applied and system analysis NAS of Ukraine,
Kyiv, Ukraine;
Kyiv, Peremogy ave. 37, build. 35, app. 203
moreva@mmsa.ntu-kpi.kiev.ua