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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a group and $X$ be a $G$-space with the action $G \times X \rightarrow X,(g, x) \mapsto g x$. A subset $A$ of $X$ is called a kaleidoscopical configuration if there is a coloring $\chi: X \rightarrow \kappa$ (i.e. a mapping of $X$ onto a cardinal $\kappa$ ) such that the restriction $\left.\chi\right|_{g A}$ is a bijection for each $g \in G$. We survey some recent results on kaleidoscopical configurations in metric spaces considered as $G$-spaces with respect to the groups of its isometries and in groups considered as left regular $G$-spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a set $\mathfrak{F}$ be a family of subsets of $X$. The pair $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is called a hypergraph. Following [9], we say that a coloring $\chi: X \rightarrow \kappa$ (i.e. a mapping of $X$ onto a cardinal $\kappa$ ) is kaleidoscopical if $\left.\chi\right|_{F}$ is bijective for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. A hypergraph $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is called kaleidoscopical if there exists a kaleidoscopical coloring $\chi: X \rightarrow \kappa$. The adjective "kaleidoscopical" appeared in definition [13] of an $s$-regular graph $\Gamma(V, E)$ (each vertex $v \in V$ has degree $s$ ) admitting a vertex $(s+1)$-colloring such that each unit ball $B(v, 1)=\{u \in V: d(u, v)=1\}$ has the vertices of all colors ( $d$ is the path metric on $V$ ). These graphs define the kaleidoscopical hypergraphs $(V,\{B(v, 1): v \in V\})$ and can be considered as the graph counterparts of the Hamming codes [10].

In this paper we survey some recent results and open problems on kaleidoscopical configurations in $G$-spaces.

Let $G$ be a group. A $G$-space is a set $X$ endowed with an action $G \times X \rightarrow X,(g, x) \mapsto g x$. All $G$-spaces are suppose to be transitive: for any $x, y \in X$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g x=y$. For a subset $A \subseteq X$, we denote $G[A]=\{g A: g \in G\}$ where $g A=\{g a: a \in A\}$.

A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called a kaleidoscopical configuration if the hypergraph $(X, G[A])$ is kaleidoscopical, in words, if there exists a coloring $\chi: X \rightarrow|A|$ such that $\left.\chi\right|_{g A}$ is bijective for every $g \in G$.

We note that finite kaleidoscopical configurations in a sense are antipodal to monochromatizable configurations defined and studied in $[9$, Chapter 8]: a subset $A$ of a $G$-space $X$ is called monochromatizable if, for any finite coloring of $X$, there is $g \in G$ such that $g A$ is monochrome.

In Section 2 we discus a relationship between the kaleidoscopical configurations in a $G$-space $X$ and transversals of the family $\{g A: g \in G\}$, $A \subseteq G$. We present also an effective method (namely, the splitting), of construction of kaleidoscopical configurations in a $G$-space $X$ from the finite chains of $G$-invariant equivalence relations on $X$.

The main results of Section 3 are about kaleidoscopical configurations in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ considered as a $G$-space with respect to the group $G=\operatorname{Iso}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of all Euclidean isometries. For $n=1$, it is easy to find a kaleidoscopical configuration in $\mathbb{R}$ of any size $\leq$ the cardinality of the continuum. The problem is much more difficult for $n \geq 2$. Surprisingly, the subsets $\mathbb{Z} \times\{0\}, \mathbb{Q} \times\{0\}, \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are kaleidoscopical in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The most intriguing open problem: for $n \geq 2$, does there exist a finite kaleidoscopical configuration $K,|K| \geq 2$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We show that if such a $K$ exists in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ then $|K| \geq 5$.

Each group $G$ can be considered as a (left) regular $G$-space $X=G$, where $(g, x) \longmapsto g x$ is the group product. In Section 4 we show that kaleidoscopical configurations in $G$ are tightly connected with factorizations of $G=A B$ by subsets $A, B$. The factorizations were introduced by Hajoś [5] to solve the famous Minkowsky's problem on tiling of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by the copies of a cube. For modern state of factorizations see $[17,18]$. Also we establish a connection between kaleidoscopical configurations and $T$-sequences from [12].

## 2. Transversality and factorization

Let $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ be a hypergraph. A subset $T \subseteq X$ is called an $\mathfrak{F}$-transversal if $|F \bigcap T|=1$ for each $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. All results of this section are from [1].

Theorem 2.1. A hypergraph $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is kaleidoscopical if and only if $X$ can be partitioned into $\mathfrak{F}$-transversals.

For a cardinal $\kappa, c f \kappa$ denotes the cofinality of $\kappa$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal, $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ be a hypergraph such that $|\mathfrak{F}|=\kappa$ and $|F|=\kappa$ for each $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $\left|F \cap F^{\prime}\right|<c f \kappa$ for all distinct $F, F^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{F}$ then there is a disjoint family $\mathfrak{T}$ of $\mathfrak{F}$-transversals such that $|\mathfrak{T}|=\kappa$ and $|T|=\kappa$ for each $T \in \mathfrak{T}$.

For a hypergraph $(X, \mathfrak{F}), x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
S t(x, \mathfrak{F}) & =\bigcup\{F \in \mathfrak{F}: x \in F\} \\
S t(A, \mathfrak{F}) & =\bigcup\{S t(a, F): a \in A\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.3. A hypergraph $(X, \mathfrak{F})$ is kaleidoscopical provided that, for some infinite cardinal $\kappa$, the following two conditions are satisfied:
(i) $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq \kappa$ and $|F|=\kappa$ for each $F \in \mathfrak{F}$;
(ii) for any subfamily $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ of cardinality $|\mathfrak{A}|<\kappa$ and any subset $B \subset$ $X \backslash(\bigcup \mathfrak{A})$ of cardinality $|B|<\kappa$ the intersection $\operatorname{St}(B, \mathfrak{F}) \cap(\bigcup \mathfrak{A})$ has cardinality less than $\kappa$.

Now we present some construction of kaleidoscopical configurations in arbitrary $G$-space, called the splitting. The kaleidoscopical configurations obtained in this way will be called splittable.

Given an equivalence relation $E \subseteq X \times X$ on a set $X$, let $X / E=$ $\left\{[x]_{E}: x \in X\right\}$ be the quotient space consisting of the equivalence classes $[x]_{E}=\{y \in X:(x, y) \in E\}, x \in X$. Denote by $q_{E}: X \rightarrow X / E$, $q_{E}(x)=[x]_{E}$, the quotient mapping. For a subset $K$ of $X$, let $K / E=$ $\left\{[x]_{E}: x \in K\right\} \subseteq X / E$ and $[K]_{E}=\bigcup_{x \in K}[x]_{E} \subseteq X$.

Let $E$ be an equivalence relation on a set $X$. A subset $K \subseteq X$ is defined to be

- E-parallel if $K \cap[x]_{E}=[x]_{E}$ for all $x \in K$;
- E-orthogonal if $K \cap[x]_{E}=\{x\}$ for all $x \in K$.

Given two equivalence relations $E, F$ on $X$ such that $F \subseteq E$, we generalize these two notions defining $K \subseteq X$ to be

- E/F-parallel if $[K]_{F} \cap[x]_{E}=[x]_{E}$ for all $x \in K$;
- E/F-orthogonal if $[K]_{F} \cap[x]_{E}=[x]_{F}$ for all $x \in K$.

We observe that $K \subseteq X$ is $E$-parallel ( $E$-orthogonal) if it is $E / \Delta_{X^{-}}$ parallel $\left(E / \Delta_{X}\right.$-orthogonal), where $\Delta_{X}=\{(x, x): x \in X\}$.

An equivalence relation $E$ on a $G$-space $X$ is called $G$-invariant if, for each $(x, y) \in E$ and every $g \in G$ we have $(g x, g y) \in E$. For a $G$-invariant equivalence relation $E$ on $X$, the quotient space $X / E$ is a $G$-space under the induced action

$$
G \times X / E \rightarrow X / E, \quad\left(g,[x]_{E}\right) \mapsto[g x]_{E}
$$

of the group $G$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Delta_{X}=E_{0} \subset E_{1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{m}=\{X \times X\}$ be a chain of $G$-invariant equivalence relations on a $G$-space $X$. A subset $K$ of $X$ is kaleidoscopical provided that, for every $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}, K$ is either $E_{i+1} / E_{i}$-parallel or $E_{i+1} / E_{i}$-orthogonal.

A subset $K$ of a $G$-space $X$ is called splittable if there is a chain $\Delta_{X}=E_{0} \subset E_{1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{m}=\{X \times X\}$ of $G$-invariant equivalence relations on $X$ such that, for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}, K$ is either $E_{i+1} / E_{i^{-}}$ parallel or $E_{i+1} / E_{i}$-orthogonal. By Theorem 2.4, each splittable subset of $X$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration.

Some partial answers to the following general question are in the next sections.

Question 2.1. Given a G-space $X$, how one can detect whether each kaleidoscopical configuration in $X$ is splittable?

For motivation of the following definition see [1, Section 4].
A $G$-space has the semi-Hajós property if, for every kaleidoscopical subset $K \subset X$, there is an equivalence relation $E$ on $X, E \neq \Delta_{X}$ such that $K$ is $E$-parallel or $E$-orthogonal and $K / E$ is kaleidoscopical in the $G$-space $K / E$.

Theorem 2.5. If each kaleidoscopical subset of a G-space $X$ is splittable, then $X$ has the semi-Hajós property.

On some partial conversions of Theorem 2.5 see [1, Section 4].
A $G$-space $X$ is called primitive if each $G$-invariant equivalence relation on $X$ is either $\Delta_{X}$ or $\{X \times X\}$. Clearly, each splittable configuration $K$ in a primitive $G$-space $X$ is trivial, i.e. either $K=X$ or $K$ is a singleton. It is natural to ask whether every kaleidoscopical configuration in a primitive $G$-space is trivial? The answer to this question is affirmative if $X$ is 2-transitive: for any $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in X^{2} \backslash \Delta_{X}$, there is $g \in G$ such that $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(g x, g y)$. But for $n \geq 2$, the primitive space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ endowed with the action of its group of all Euclidean isometries has a plenty of infinite kaleidoscopical configurations, see Section 3.

Question 2.2. Is every finite kaleidoscopical configuration in a (finite) primitive $G$-space trivial?

## 3. Kaleidoscopical configurations in metric spaces

Here we consider each metric space $(X, d)$ as a $G$-space endowed with the natural action of its isometry group $G=I \operatorname{so}(X)$. If this action is transitive, $X$ is called isometrically homogeneous.

Let us recall that a metric space $(X, d)$ is ultrametric if the metric $d$ satisfies the strong triangle inequality

$$
d(x, z) \leq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}
$$

for all $x, y, z$. In this case, for every $\varepsilon \geq 0$, the relation

$$
E_{\varepsilon}=\left\{(x, y) \in X^{2}: d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

is an invariant equivalence relation on $X$.
Theorem 3.1 ([1]). Let $(X, d)$ be an isometrically homogeneous ultrametric space with the finite distance scale $d(X \times X)=\left\{\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ where $0=\varepsilon_{0}<\varepsilon_{1}<\cdots<\varepsilon_{n}$. Then every kaleidoscopical configuration in $X$ is $\left(E_{\varepsilon_{0}}, E_{\varepsilon_{1}}, \ldots, E_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)$-splittable.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. By $S(x, r)=\{y \in X: d(x, y)=r\}$, we denote the sphere of radius $r$ centered at $x$.

A subset $K$ of $X$ is called rigid if, for any distinct points $x, y, z \in K$ and numbers $r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{z} \in d(K \times K)$ the spheres $S\left(x, r_{x}\right), S\left(y, r_{y}\right), S\left(z, r_{z}\right)$ have no common points in $X \backslash K$. A proof of the following theorem uses Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.2 ([1]). Let $X$ be a metric space and let $G \subseteq I s o(X)$ be a group of isometries of $X$. Then each infinite rigit subset $K$ of $X$ of cardinality $|K| \geq|G|$ is kaleidoscopical.

Now we consider the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as a $G$-space with respect to the group $G=\operatorname{Iso}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of all isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given a cardinal $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$, it is easy to find a kaleidoscopical configurations of cardinality $\kappa$ in $\mathbb{R}$, but the problem is much more delicate for $R^{n}, n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.3 ([1]). Any algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ subset $A$ of an affine line (identified with $\mathbb{R}$ ) in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is rigid. For any $n \geq 2, \mathbb{R}^{n}$ contains $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ kaleidoscopical configurations of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

Following [8], we say that a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the Steinhaus property if the family $\left\{g A: g \in \operatorname{Iso}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$ has a transversal $B$. In this case, $B$ is a transversal of the family $\left\{x+A: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. By Theorem 4.1 $\{B-a: a \in A\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since each subset $B-a$ is a transversal of the family $\left\{g A: g \in \operatorname{Iso}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$, by Theorem $2.1, A$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration.

Theorem $3.4([6,7])$. The subsets $\mathbb{Z} \times\{0\}, \mathbb{Q} \times\{0\}, \mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ have the Steinhaus property and hence are kaleidoscopical configurations.

Theorem 3.5 ([2-4]). The subset $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has a Steinhaus property and hence is a kaleidoscopical configuration.

Theorem 3.6 ([15]). The subset $\mathbb{Z}^{m} \times\{0\}^{n-m}$ does not have the Steinhaus property for $4 \leq m<n$.

Question 3.1. Does there exist a non-trivial finite kaleidoscopical configuration in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 2$ ?

We put $k\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\min \{|F|:|F|>1$ and $F$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. It is easy to see that $\kappa\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \geq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a chromatic number of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We recall that $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the smallest number of colors for which there is a coloring of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ without monochrome points at the distance 1. It is well known that $4 \leq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \leq 7$ and there is a conjecture that $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=2^{n+1}-1$, see $[16, \S 47]$. Thus, $\kappa\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \geq 4$. We show that $\kappa\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \geq 5$.

For $n \geq 1$ and $d>0$, a rather red coloring of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with respect to $d$ is a 2-coloring of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with red and blue, such that no two blue points are a distance $d$ apart. Let $m_{c}=\min \left\{|F|: F \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ and each isometric copy of $F$ is forbidden for red by some rather red coloring of $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$. By [14, p. 102], $5 \leq m_{c} \leq 8$.

Now assume that there is a kaleidoscopical configuration $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of cardinality $|K|=4$. Let $\chi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow\{1,2,3,4\}$ be the corresponding kaleidoscopical coloring. We recolor $\chi^{\prime}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow\{$ red, blue $\}$ by the following rule $\chi^{\prime}(x)$ is blue if and only if $\chi^{\prime}(x)=4$. Let $d$ be a distance between some two points of $K$. Since $\chi$ is kaleidoscopical, we conclude that $\chi^{\prime}$ is rather red and each isometric copy of $F$ is forbidden for red, contradicting $m_{c} \geq 5$.

## 4. Kaleidoscopical configurations in groups

A subset $A$ of a group $G$ is defined to be complemented if there exists a subset $B$ of $G$ such that the multiplication mapping $\mu: A \times B \rightarrow G$, $(a, b) \mapsto a b$, is bijective. Following [18], we say that $B$ is a complementer factor to $A$ and $G=A B$ is a factorization of $G$. In this case, we have the partitions

$$
G=\bigsqcup_{a \in A} a B=\bigsqcup_{b \in B} A b .
$$

A subset $A \subseteq G$ is called doubly complemented if there are factorizations $G=A B=B C$ for some subsets $B, C$ of $G$.

The following interrelations between kaleidoscopical configurations and factorizations are observed in [1].

Theorem 4.1. Let $A, B$ be subsets of a group $G$. Then $B$ is $G[A]-$ transversal if and only if $G=A B^{-1}$ is a factorization of $G$. In particular, each kaleidoscopical configuration in $G$ is complemented.

Theorem 4.2. A subset $A$ of an Abelian group $G$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration if and only if $A$ is complemented.

Question 4.1. Is each complemented subset of a (finite) group kaleidoscopical?

The remaining results of this section are from [11]. We say that a subset $A$ of a group $G$ is rigid if, for each $g \in G \backslash A$, the set $g^{-1} A \cap A^{-1} A$ is finite. Applying Theorem 2.3 we get:

Theorem 4.3. If $A$ is a countable rigid subset of a group $G$ then $A$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration.

An injective sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \omega}$ in a group $G$ is called a $T$-sequence [12] if there exists a Hausdorff group topology in which $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \omega}$ converges to the identity $e$ of $G$.

Theorem 4.4. For every $T$-sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \omega}$ in a group $G$, the set $A=$ $\left\{e, a_{n}, a_{n}^{-1}: n \in \omega\right\}$ is a kaleidoscopical configuration. In particular, $A$ is complemented and $G$ can be partitioned into right translations of $A$.

Theorem 4.5. Every infinite subset $S$ of an Abelian group $G$ contains an infinite kaleidoscopical configuration.

Corollary 4.1. If $S$ is an infinite subset of an Abelian group, then $S$ contains an infinite complemented subset.

Let $G$ be a group defined by the following generators and relations

$$
\left\langle x_{m}, y_{m}: x_{m}^{2}=y_{m}^{2}=e, x_{n} x_{m} x_{n}=y_{m}, m<n<\omega\right\rangle .
$$

Then the subset $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \omega\right\}$ has no infinite rigid subsets.
Question 4.2. Does every infinite subset of an arbitrary infinite group contains an infinite kaleidoscopical (complemented) subset?
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