

## On the dynamics of solutions for autonomous reaction-diffusion equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with multivalued nonlinearity

ALEKSANDR N. STANZHITSKY, NATALIYA V. GORBAN

(Presented by A. M. Samoilenko)

**Abstract.** We consider the dynamics of solutions for autonomous reaction-diffusion equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with multivalued nonlinearity. The a priori estimates for solutions are obtained. The existence of compact invariant global attractor for  $m$ -semiflow was justified.

**2000 MSC.** 35B40, 35K55, 37B25.

**Key words and phrases.** Reaction-diffusion equation, global attractor,  $m$ -semiflow, unbounded domain.

### Introduction

The theory of global attractors for infinite-dimensional dynamical systems was founded in 70th of the last century in O. A. Ladyzhenskaya's works on studying the dynamics of two-dimensional system of Navier-Stokes's equations and in J. K. Hale's works, which concerned with investigation of high-quality behavior of functional-differential equations. However, swift development of this theory, which proceeds till today, came in the middle of 80th, when it turned out that at abstract level of those characteristic features which allowed to investigate the Navier-Stokes's equation and equations with delay from the point of global attractors theory, are peculiar to the wide class of evolution equations, which describe real natural phenomena: flow of viscid incompressible liquid, processes of chemical kinetics, various wave processes, physical processes assuming phase transitions, vibrations of shells in ultrafast gas streams, etc. An important contribution to foundation and development

---

Received 10.03.2009

Partially supported by SFFI-BRFFI, Grant no.  $\Phi 29.1/025$  and by SFFI-RFFI, Grant no.  $\Phi 28.1/031$ .

of classic theory of global attractors of the infinite-dimensional dynamic systems was made by M. I. Vishik, O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. S. Melnik, I. D. Chueshov, J. M. Ball, J. K. Hale, R. Temam, B. Wang, S. V. Zelik and their apprentices [1]– [18].

The results concerning with existence and properties of solutions of reaction-diffusion equation in the case of smooth by the phase variable nonlinear term as well as the results dealing with existence of global attractor under these conditions are classic and contain in [1, 16], for non-autonomous equations with almost periodic dependence on time variable — in [8], for inclusions in bounded domain in — [5, 10], for equations in unbounded domain — in [14, 17]. The global attractor for inclusions in unbounded domain with continuous multivalued nonlinearity was considered in [19].

## 1. Problem definition

In the present paper it is investigated the asymptotic behavior of solutions of reaction-diffusion equation with multivalued nonlinearity of the next view:

$$y_t \in \Delta y - f(x, y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$y(0) = y_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y$  is unknown function,  $y_t = \partial y / \partial t$ .

For numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $[a, b] = \{\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b \mid \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ . Let us specify the conditions for parameters of the problem:

$\alpha_1$ ) for almost each (a.e.)  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$   $f(x, u) = [\underline{f}(x, u), \overline{f}(x, u)]$ , where  $\underline{f}, \overline{f} : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable functions such that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$   $\underline{f}(x, \cdot)$  is lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.), and  $\overline{f}(x, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.).

$\alpha_2$ )  $\exists C_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ : for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{f}(x, u)u &\geq \alpha|u|^2 - C_1(x), & u \geq 0, \\ \overline{f}(x, u)u &\geq \alpha|u|^2 - C_1(x), & u \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

$\alpha_3$ )  $\exists C_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $C_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\exists \beta > 0$ : for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{f}(x, u)|^2 &\leq C_2(x) + \beta|u|^2, \\ |\overline{f}(x, u)|^2 &\leq C_2(x) + \gamma|u|^2, \\ \underline{f}(x, u) &\leq \overline{f}(x, u). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Let us consider real spaces  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $V = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $V^* = H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with corresponding norms  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_V$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{V^*}$ . The norm in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , inner product in  $H$  and in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  we will denote by  $|\cdot|$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  respectively [14, p. 112].

The goal of this work is to prove the existence of compact global attractor for the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) in the phase space  $H$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

From (1.4) it follows, that for arbitrary  $u, g \in L^2(0, T; H)$ :  $g(x, t) \in f(x, u(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq K_1 \left( T + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H)}^2 \right). \tag{2.5}$$

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $y(x, t)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  is called the weak solution of (1.1) on  $[0, T]$ , if  $y \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$  and for some selector  $d \in L^2(0, T; H)$ :  $d(x, t) \in f(x, y(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ , the relation

$$-\int_0^T (y, v_t) dt - \int_0^T (y, \Delta v) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d(x, t)v(x, t) dx dt = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

holds true for every  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])$ .

From (2.5), [14, p. 114] and from the Definition 2.1 of the weak solution  $y$  of the inclusion (1.1) it follows, that  $y_t \in L^2(0, T; V^*)$ ,  $y \in C(0, T; V^*)$  and  $y \in C(0, T; H_w)$ . Thus, for every  $v \in L^2(0, T; V)$  the weak solution  $y$  satisfies such relation:

$$\int_0^T \langle y_t, v \rangle_V dt + \int_0^T (\nabla y, \nabla v) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d(x, t)v(x, t) dx dt = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  is the pairing in the space  $V$ , that coincides on  $H \times V$  with the inner product in  $H$  [2, p. 29].

The conditions  $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$  don't provide the uniqueness of the solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) [10, p. 68], so let us introduce the definition of multivalued, in the general case, semiflow and its global attractor (see for example [10, p. 14]), that describe the dynamics of the solutions of initial problem as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A map  $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times H \rightarrow P(H)$  is called the multivalued semiflow ( $m$ -semiflow) on  $H$ , if

- 1)  $G(0, \cdot) = I_H$  is identical motion  $H$ ;
- 2)  $G(t + s, x) \subset G(t, G(s, x)) \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall x \in H$ .

$M$ -semiflow is called the strict, if  $G(t + s, x) = G(t, G(s, x)) \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall x \in H$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([14, p. 123]).  $M$ -semiflow  $G$  is assipectically compact, if for any bounded  $B \in P(H)$  such, that  $\gamma_T^+(B)$  is bounded for some  $T = T(B) \geq 0$ , an arbitrary sequence  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\xi_n \in G(t_n, B)$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , is pre-compact in  $H$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A set  $\mathcal{A} \subset H$ , that satisfies the next properties:

- 1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is absorbing set, i.e.,

$$\text{dist}(G(t, B), \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for any bounded set  $B$ , where  $\text{dist}(C, A) = \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{a \in A} \|c - a\|$ .

- 2)  $\mathcal{A}$  is semi-invariant, i.e.,

$$A \subset G(t, A), \quad \text{for every } t \geq 0,$$

- 3)  $\mathcal{A}$  is minimal closed absorbing set (i.e. for any closed absorbing set  $C$  we have, that  $A \subset C$ )

is called the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $m$ -semiflow  $G$ .

The global attractor is called invariant, if  $A = G(t, A)$ , for every  $t \geq 0$ .

Let now  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is bounded domain,  $T > 0$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = L^2(Q)$ . The next lemma is necessary for proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f$  satisfies  $\alpha_1$ , and  $\{y_n, d_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{Y}$  such, that

- 1) for a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$   $y_n(x, t) \rightarrow y_0(x, t)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,
- 2)  $d_n \rightarrow d_0$  weakly in  $\mathcal{Y}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,
- 3)  $\forall n \geq 1$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$   $d_n(x, t) \in f(x, y_n(x, t))$ .

Then for a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$   $d_0(x, t) \in f(x, y_0(x, t))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{y_n, d_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{Y}$  satisfy the lemma conditions. Let us select the complete measure set  $Q_1 \subset Q$  such, that

$$\forall (x, t) \in Q_1 \quad y_n(x, t) \rightarrow y_0(x, t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

As the space  $L_2(Q)$  is a Hilbert space, then in view of [2, Remark I.6.2] it is uniformly convex (see for example [2, Definition I.5.9]). From the proof of [3, Theorem 1, p. 64–66] it follows that from weakly convergent to  $\bar{0}$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  sequence  $\{d_n - d_0\}_{n \geq 1}$  we can choose a subsequence  $\{d_{n_k} - d_0\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{d_n - d_0\}_{n \geq 1}$ , for which the arithmetical means converge by norm to  $\bar{0}$  in  $L_2(Q)$  (in mentioned theorem from [3] it is proved stronger statement than the Banach–Saks property), i.e.

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (d_{n_j} - d_0) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It means, that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n_j} \rightarrow d_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(Q) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.9)$$

Further,  $\exists Q_2 \subset Q_1$  such, that  $Q_2$  is measurable,  $\text{mes}(Q_1 \setminus Q_2) = 0$  and  $\forall (x, t) \in Q_2 \quad \forall k \geq 1$

$$\underline{f}(x, y_{n_k}(x, t)) \leq d_{n_k}(x, t) \leq \bar{f}(x, y_{n_k}(x, t)).$$

So,  $\forall k \geq 1, \forall (x, t) \in Q_2$

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \underline{f}(x, y_{n_j}(x, t)) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n_j}(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \bar{f}(x, y_{n_j}(x, t)). \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) there exists a subsequence  $\{\frac{1}{k_l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} d_{n_j}\}_{l \geq 1} \subset \{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n_j}\}_{k \geq 1}$  and a complete measure set  $Q_3 \subset Q_2$ :

$$\forall (x, t) \in Q_3 \quad \frac{1}{k_l} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} d_{n_j}(x, t) \rightarrow d_0(x, t) \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.11)$$

For a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q_3$  let us set  $a_k = \bar{f}(x, y_{n_k}(x, t))$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $a_0 = \bar{f}(x, y_0(x, t))$ . From  $\alpha_1$  and (2.8) it follows, that  $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k \leq a_0$ . Thus,

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \bar{f}(x, y_{n_j}(x, t)) \leq \bar{f}(x, y_0(x, t)).$$

Similarly,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \underline{f}(x, y_{n_j}(x, t)) \geq \underline{f}(x, y_0(x, t)).$$

Taking into account (2.10)–(2.11), we obtain that for a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$   $d_0(x, t) \in f(x, y_0(x, t))$ .  $\square$

### 3. Main results

Firstly we will obtain some a priori estimations of solutions.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For some weak solution  $y$  of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) we have:*

$$\|y\|_X \leq K_1(\|y_0\|, T), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\|y_t\|_U \leq K_2(\|y_0\|, T), \quad (3.13)$$

where  $K_i$  are nondecreasing by each variable functions,  $X = L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T], H)$  and  $U = L^2(0, T; V^*)$ .

*Proof.* From [14, Lemma 3] it follows, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|y\|^2 = -(\nabla y, \nabla y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d \cdot y \, dx, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $d \in L^2(0, T; H)$ :  $d(x, t) \in f(x, y(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ . From  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|y\|^2 + \|\nabla y\|^2 \leq -\alpha \|y\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C_1(x) \, dx. \quad (3.15)$$

So,

$$\forall t \in [0, T] \quad \|y(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla y\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|y\|^2 ds \leq \|y_0\|^2 + 2MT, \quad (3.16)$$

from here it follows (3.12).

On the other hand, as  $-\Delta : V \rightarrow V^*$  is linear bounded operator, then from (3.12) it follows, that

$$\|-\Delta y\|_{L^2(0, T; V^*)} \leq K \|y\|_{L^2(0, T; V)} \leq KK_1.$$

Finally, from  $y_t = \Delta y - d$  it follows (3.13).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the suppositions  $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$  hold true. Then for any  $y_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $T > 0$ , the problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one weak solution.*

*Proof.* In order to prove the solvability let us consider the homogeneous Dirichlet’s problem in bounded domain

$$y_t \in \Delta y - f(x, y), \quad x \in \Omega_R, t > 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$y|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{3.18}$$

$$y(0) = y_{0,R}, \quad x \in \Omega_R, \tag{3.19}$$

where  $\Omega_R = B(0; R)$  is open ball of the radius  $R \geq 1$  with the center in zero,  $u_{0,R}(x) = u_0(x)\psi_R(|x|)$  and  $\psi_R$  is smooth function, which satisfies:

$$\psi_R(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi \leq R - 1, \\ 0 \leq \psi_R(\xi) \leq 1, & \text{if } R - 1 \leq \xi \leq R, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi > R. \end{cases}$$

Let us set  $R \geq 1$ ,  $Q_{R,T} = \Omega_R \times (0, T)$   $H_R = L^2(\Omega_R)$ ,  $V_R = H^1(\Omega_R)$ ,  $V_R^* = H^{-1}(\Omega_R)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_R = L^2(0, T; V_R)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_R^* = L^2(0, T; V_R^*)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_R \equiv \mathcal{Y}_R = L^2(Q_R)$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R$  is pairing in  $\mathcal{X}_R$ , coinciding on  $\mathcal{Y}_R \times X_R$  with the inner product in  $\mathcal{Y}_R$  [2, p. 29],  $W_R = \{y \in \mathcal{X}_R \mid y' \in \mathcal{X}_R^*\}$ , where the derivative  $y'$  of an element  $y \in \mathcal{X}_R$  is considered in the sense of distributions space  $\mathcal{D}^*(0, T; V_R^*)$  [2, p. 168]. Let  $A : \mathcal{X}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_R^*$  is energetic extension  $-\Delta$ ,  $B : \mathcal{Y}_R \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Y}_R^*$  is the Nemitsky operator for  $f$ , i.e.

$$B(u) = \{v \in \mathcal{Y}_R^* \mid v(t, x) \in f(x, u(x, t)) \text{ for a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_{R,T}\}, \quad u \in \mathcal{Y}_R.$$

Let us denote, that  $A$  is linear, strongly monotone, bounded [2, 87–88]. From  $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$  and Lemma 2.1 it follows, that  $B$  is bounded, and its graph is closed in  $(\mathcal{Y}_R^*)_w \times \mathcal{Y}_R$  [6, p. 576]. Thus, there exist such  $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ , that

$$\langle A(y), y \rangle_R = \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}_R}^2, \quad \|A(y)\|_{\mathcal{X}_R^*} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}_R}, \quad y \in \mathcal{X}_R;$$

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{Y}_R, \forall d \in B(y) \quad \langle d, y \rangle_R \geq c_1 \|y\|_{\mathcal{Y}_R} - c_2, \quad \|d\|_{\mathcal{Y}_R^*} \leq c_3 (\|y\|_{\mathcal{Y}_R} + 1).$$

As the embedding  $V_R \subset H_R$  is compact, then  $W_R \subset \mathcal{Y}_R$  compactly [7, p. 70]. From [6, p. 557] the  $\lambda_0$ -pseudomonotony of  $C = A + B : \mathcal{X}_R \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}_R^*$  on  $W_R$  [6, p. 543] follows. So, from upper considered suppositions and from [4, Theorem 6.1.1] it follows, that the problem (3.17)–(3.19) has at least one weak (generalized) solution for any  $y_{0,R} \in L^2(\Omega_R)$  (the definition of the weak solution is the same as in the definition 2.1, but

there we considered  $\Omega_R$  instead  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ). So, there exists  $d_R \in L^2(0, T; H_R)$  such, that  $\forall v \in \mathcal{X}_R$

$$\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial y_R}{\partial t}, v \right\rangle_V dt + \int_0^T (\nabla y_R, \nabla v) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d_R(x, t)v(x, t) dx dt = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

$$d_R(x, t) \in f(x, y_R(x, t)) \text{ for a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega_R \times (0, T). \tag{3.21}$$

Let  $y_{r_j}, r_j \rightarrow +\infty$  be the sequence of solutions of (3.17)–(3.19) in the sense of (3.20)–(3.21). Let us denote, that

$$\begin{aligned} |y_0 - y_{0,r_j}|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \psi_{r_j}(|x|))^2 |y_0|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \geq r_j - 1} |y_0|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad r_j \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Repeating the same suppositions as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\|_{H_{r_j}}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla y\|_{r_j}^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|y\|_{r_j}^2 ds \\ \leq \|y_{0,r_j}\|^2 + 2T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C_1(x) dx, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.22) it follows

$$\|y_{r_j}\|_{X_{r_j}} \leq K_1(\|y_{0,r_j}\|, T) \leq \tilde{K}_1(\|y_0\|, T), \tag{3.23}$$

where  $X_{r_j} = L^2(0, T; V_{r_j}) \cap C([0, T], H_{r_j})$ .

Let us continue solutions of (3.17)–(3.19) onto  $\mathbb{R}^N$ :

$$\hat{y}_{r_j}(x, t) = \begin{cases} y_{r_j}(x, t)\psi_{r_j}(|x|) & \text{in } B(0, r_j) \times (0, T), \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{d}_{r_j}(x, t) = \begin{cases} d_{r_j}(x, t) & \text{in } B(0, r_j - 1) \times (0, T), \\ \bar{f}(x, \hat{y}_{r_j}(x, t)), & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$\hat{d}_{r_j}(x, t) \in f(x, \hat{y}_{r_j}(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ . As  $y_{r_j}$  uniformly bounded in  $X_{r_j}$  by  $r_j$ , then  $\{\hat{y}_{r_j}, \hat{d}_{r_j}\}$  is uniformly bounded sequence in



$X \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$ . Thus, there exists a subsequence, which we denote again by  $\{y_{r_j}, d_{r_j}\}$  such, that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{r_j} &\rightarrow y_\infty \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ y_{r_j} &\rightarrow y_\infty \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H), \\ d_{r_j} &\rightarrow d_\infty \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Let us further prove, that  $y_\infty$  is the weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Let us fix an arbitrary  $r_k$ . As  $r_j \rightarrow +\infty$ , then we can suppose, that  $r_k \leq r_j - 1$ . The projection  $y_{r_j}$  on  $B(0, r_k)$  we will denote by:

$$y_{k,j} = L_k y_{r_j}.$$

From (3.23) it is obviously follows, that  $\{y_{k,j}\}_j$  is bounded in  $X_{r_k}$ . So, up to the subsequence (let us denote it again by  $y_{r_j}$ ),  $y_{k,j} = L_k y_{r_j} \rightarrow y_{k,\infty}$  weakly in  $L^2(0, T; V_{r_k})$  and weakly star in  $L^\infty(0, T; H_{r_k})$ . Following by [14, p. 118], we obtain, that  $L_k y_\infty = y_{k,\infty}$ . In order to show, that  $y_\infty$  is the weak solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2), it is sufficiently to check, that  $L_k y_\infty$  is the weak solution on  $\Omega_{r_k} \times (0, T)$  [14, p. 118].

Let  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{r_k} \times [0, T])$ . As  $B(0, r_k) \subset B(0, r_j)$ , then  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{r_j} \times [0, T])$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{r_k}} (-L_k y_{r_j} \cdot v_t - L_k y_{r_j} \cdot \Delta v + L_k d_{r_j} \cdot v) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{r_j}} (-L_k y_{r_j} \cdot v_t - L_k y_{r_j} \cdot \Delta v + L_k d_{r_j} \cdot v) \, dx \, dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Following by the proof of the Lemma 3.1 and [14, Theorem 5], we will obtain:

$$L_k \frac{\partial y_{r_j}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial L_k y_{r_j}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial y_{k,\infty}}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_{r_k}^*). \quad (3.26)$$

As the embedding  $H_0^1(\Omega_{r_k}) \subset L^2(\Omega_{r_k})$  is compact, and the embedding  $L^2(\Omega_{r_k}) \subset H^{-1}(\Omega_{r_k})$  is continuous, using the compactness lemma [7, p. 70] and Lemma 2.1, from convergences (3.12), (3.26) and from conditions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_3$ , similarly to [14, p. 119] we will obtain, that  $d_\infty(x, t) \in f(x, y_\infty(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \Omega_{r_k} \times (0, T)$ . In order to complete the proof it remains to pass to the limit in (3.25) as  $r_j \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$

Since in the Theorem 3.1  $T > 0$  is arbitrary, as the concatenation of solutions is the solution (see the proof of 1° of the Theorem 3.2), then by analogical suppositions to [14, p. 119], each solution can be continued to the global, that is defined on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

Let us denote the family of all global solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.2), corresponding to the initial condition  $y_0$  by  $\mathcal{D}(y_0)$ . It is obviously, that  $\mathcal{D}(y_0) \subset L^2_{loc}(0, +\infty; V) \cap C([0, +\infty), H)$ . Let us show, that  $\mathcal{D}(y_0) \subset L^\infty(0, +\infty; H) \forall y_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $y$  is the weak solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2), then*

$$\forall t \geq 0 \quad \|y(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|\nabla y\|^2 ds \leq \|y(0)\|^2 e^{-2\alpha t} + D, \quad (3.27)$$

where  $D = \|C_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}/\alpha$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from (3.15) and from the Gronwall–Bellman lemma. □

Let  $y_0 \in H, P(H) = 2^H \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . We define (in general the multivalued) map  $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times H \rightarrow P(H)$ :

$$G(t, y_0) = \{z \in H \mid \exists u \in \mathcal{D}(y_0) : y(0) = y_0, y(t) = z\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under the conditions  $\alpha_1$ – $\alpha_3$ , the problem (1.1)–(1.2) defines  $m$ -semiflow in the phase space  $H$ , that possesses the invariant global attractor.*

*Proof.* 1° Firstly we will show, that  $G$  is the strict  $m$ -semiflow. The proof of  $G(t + s, x) \subset G(t, G(s, x))$  repeats the proof of similar inclusion from [14, Lemma 7]. Let us check  $G(t, G(s, x)) \subset G(t + s, x)$ . Let  $u \in G(t, G(s, x))$ . Then there exist  $z_1, y_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(x), y_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(z_1), d_1, d_2$  such, that

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(0) &= x, & y_1(s) &= z_1, \\ y_2(0) &= z_1, & y_2(t) &= u, \end{aligned}$$

$$d_1 = \Delta y_1 - \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t}, \quad d_1(\xi, \zeta) \in f(\xi, y_1(\xi, \zeta)) \text{ for a.e. } (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$d_2 = \Delta y_2 - \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t}, \quad d_2(\xi, \zeta) \in f(\xi, y_2(\xi, \zeta)) \text{ for a.e. } (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let us show, that there exists  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(y_0)$ :  $y(0) = x, y(t + s) = u$ . Let us define  $y$  by:

$$y(r) = \begin{cases} y_1(r), & 0 \leq r \leq s, \\ y_2(r - s), & s \leq r. \end{cases}$$

For a.e.  $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+$  let us set

$$d(\xi, \zeta) = \begin{cases} d_1(\xi, \zeta), & 0 \leq \zeta \leq s, \\ d_2(\xi, \zeta - s), & s \leq \zeta. \end{cases}$$

We remark, that

$$d(\xi, \zeta) \in f(\xi, y(\xi, \zeta)) \text{ for a.e. } (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The next suppositions complete the proof

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}, v \right\rangle_V dr + \int_0^T \left[ (\nabla y, \nabla v) dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d(x, r)v(x, r) dx \right] dr \\ &= \int_0^s \left\langle \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial r}, v \right\rangle_V dr + \int_0^s \left[ (\nabla y_1, \nabla v) dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d_1(x, r)v(x, r) dx \right] dr \\ & \quad + \int_s^T \left\langle \frac{\partial y_2(r-s)}{\partial r}, v \right\rangle_V dr + \int_s^T \left[ (\nabla y_2(r-s), \nabla v) dr \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d_2(x, r-s)v(x, r-s) dx \right] dr = 0 + \int_0^{T-s} \left\langle \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial r}, v \right\rangle_V dr \\ & \quad + \int_0^{T-s} \left[ (\nabla y_2, \nabla v) dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d_2(x, r)v(x, r) dx \right] dr = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall T > s + t, \forall v \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

For fixed  $k > 0$  the ball of the radius  $k$  with the center in 0 we denote by  $\Omega_k$ .

2° Let us prove, that for an arbitrary nonempty bounded set  $B \subset H$ , an arbitrary  $y_0 \in B$ , an arbitrary weak solution  $y \in \mathcal{D}(y_0)$ , an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $T(\varepsilon, B), K(\varepsilon, B)$  such, that

$$\forall t \geq T, k \geq K \quad \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{2}k} |y(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon.$$

Indeed, let  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let us define the smooth function

$$\theta(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq \theta(s) \leq 1, & 1 \leq s \leq 2, \\ 1, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

such, that  $|\theta'(s)| \leq C \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, let us suppose, that  $\sqrt{\theta}$  is smooth too.

Let us apply [14, Lemma 3] to  $\rho(x) = \sqrt{\theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right)}$ . From the definition of the weak solution of the equation (1.1) it follows, that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for a.e. } t \geq 0 \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |y|^2 dx = \langle y_t, \rho^2 y \rangle_V \\ & = \langle \Delta y, \rho^2 y \rangle_V - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) d(x, t) y(x, t) dx, \quad (3.28) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d = \Delta y - \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}, \quad d(\xi, \zeta) \in f(\xi, y(\xi, \zeta)) \text{ for a.e. } (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

Similarly to [14, p. 122–123], the first term in the right part of the last relation is estimated by the next way:

$$\langle \Delta y, \rho^2 y \rangle_V \leq \varepsilon' (1 + \|\nabla y\|^2) \quad (3.29)$$

for an arbitrary  $k \geq K_1(\varepsilon')$ , where  $\varepsilon' > 0$  is an arbitrary and rather small.

For the second term from (3.28) with the help of  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  we will obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) d(x, t) y(x, t) dx \\ & \leq -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |y(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) C_1(x) dx \\ & \leq -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |y(x, t)|^2 dx + 2\varepsilon', \quad (3.30) \end{aligned}$$

as soon as  $k \geq K_2(\varepsilon')$ . Let us set

$$Y(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |y(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Then from (3.28)–(3.30) it follows, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} Y(t) + \alpha Y(t) \leq 3\varepsilon' + \varepsilon \|\nabla y\|^2,$$

as soon as  $k \geq \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ . By using the Gronwall–Bellman lemma and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$Y(t) \leq Y(0)e^{-2\alpha t} + \frac{3}{\alpha}\varepsilon' + \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}(\|y_0\|^2 + D).$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon', T(\varepsilon, B)$  such, that

$$\frac{3}{\alpha}\varepsilon' + \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}(\|y_0\|^2 + D) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad Y(0)e^{-2\alpha t} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall y_0 \in B, t \geq T,$$

we will obtain  $Y(t) \leq \varepsilon$  and

$$\int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{2}k} |y(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |y(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon.$$

3° For bounded set  $B \subset H$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$  let us consider

$$\gamma_T^+(B) = \bigcup_{t \geq T} G(t, B).$$

Following by the proof of [14, Lemma 8] and the proof of the Theorem 3.1 we obtain the next result, that is necessity for the proof of asymptotic compactness of  $m$ -semiflow  $G$ , namely, the graph of  $G(t, \cdot)$  is weakly closed, i.e. if  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_\infty, \beta_n \rightarrow \beta_\infty$  weakly in  $H$ , where  $\xi_n \in G(t, \beta_n) \forall n \geq 1$ , then  $\xi_\infty \in G(t, \beta_\infty)$ .

4° Let us show, that  $m$ -semiflow  $G$  is assiptotically compact. Let  $\xi_n \in G(t_n, v_n) v_n \in B, B$  be bounded set in  $H$ . Since  $\gamma_{T(B)}^+(B)$  is bounded and  $\xi_n \in G(t_n, v_n) \subset \gamma_{T(B)}^+(B)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ , then there exists the weakly convergent in  $H$  subsequence (let us denote it by  $\xi_n$  again) to some  $\xi$ . Let  $T_0 > 0$  be an arbitrary number. Using 1°, we have, that  $\xi_n \in G(t_n, v_n) = G(T_0, G(t_n - T_0, v_n))$ . Then there exists such  $\beta_n \in G(t_n - T_0, v_n)$ , that  $\xi_n \in G(T_0, \beta_n)$ . Let us choose  $N(B, T_0)$  such, that  $\forall n \geq N(B, T_0) t_n - T_0 \geq T(B)$  and such, that  $G(t_n - T_0, v_n) \subset \gamma_{T(B)}^+(B)$  is bounded,  $\beta_n \rightarrow \xi_{T_0}$  weakly in  $H$ . From 3° it follows, that the graph  $G(T_0, \cdot)$  is weakly closed. So,  $\xi \in G(T_0, \xi_{T_0})$  and  $\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| \geq \|\xi\|$ . If we show, that up to subsequence,  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| \leq \|\xi\|$ , then, up to subsequence,  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  strongly in  $H$ , that we need to show.

From (3.14) it follows, that any weak solution  $y$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2 + \|\nabla y\|^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d \cdot y dx + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2, \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T],$$

where  $d \in L^2(0, T; H)$ :  $d(x, t) \in f(x, y(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ . Let  $y_n(\cdot)$  is the sequence of weak solutions, for which  $y_n(T_0) = \xi_n$  and  $y_n(0) = \beta_n$ . In view of the Gronwall–Bellman lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n\|^2 &= e^{-T_0} \|\beta_n\|^2 - 2 \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|\nabla y_n\|^2 ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(T_0-s)} d_n \cdot y_n dx ds + \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|y_n\|^2 ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where  $d_n \in L^2(0, T; H)$ :  $d_n(x, t) \in f(x, y_n(x, t))$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ . From the Lemma 3.1 and the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, up to subsequence (we denote it again by  $\{y_n, d_n\}$ ),  $y_n$  converges to some weak solution  $y$  by the next way:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &\rightarrow y \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ y_n &\rightarrow y \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H), \\ d_n &\rightarrow d \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V^*), \\ \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial t} &\rightarrow \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

From 3°,  $y(0) = \xi_{T_0}$ ,  $y(T_0) = \xi$ .

Since the sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  is bounded, then

$$\forall n \quad e^{-T_0} \|\beta_n\|^2 \leq e^{-T_0} M. \quad (3.33)$$

Further,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -2 \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|\nabla y_n\|^2 ds \right) \leq -2 \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|\nabla y\|^2 ds. \quad (3.34)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|y_n\|^2 ds &= \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Omega_k} e^{-(T_0-s)} |y_n|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \int_{|x| \geq k} |y_n|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

From 1° it follows, that  $y_n(s) \in G(s, G(t_n - T_0, v_n)) = G(s + t_n - T_0, v_n)$ .  
 From 2°, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist such  $T(\varepsilon, B), K_1(\varepsilon, B) > 0$ , that

$$\int_{|x| \geq k} |y_n(s)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon,$$

as soon as  $k \geq K_1, t_n - T_0 \geq T$ . Repeating corresponding suppositions from the proof of the Theorem 3.1, we have, that (up to subsequence)  $L_k y_n \rightarrow L_k y$  strongly in  $L^2(0, T; H_k)$ . So,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|y_n\|^2 ds \leq \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} \|y\|^2 dx ds + \varepsilon. \tag{3.35}$$

Let us consider the “nonlinear term” from (3.25). At first we remark, that from  $\alpha_3$  it follows that

$$-2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{|x| \geq k} e^{-(T_0-s)} d_n \cdot y_n dx ds \leq 4\varepsilon \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)} ds \leq 4\varepsilon,$$

as soon as  $k \geq K_2(\varepsilon)$ . Since  $y_n \rightarrow y$  strongly in  $L^2(0, T; H_k)$ , then up to the subsequence,  $y_n(t, x) \rightarrow y(t, x)$  for a.e.  $(t, x) \in (0, T_0) \times \Omega_k$ . From the Lemma 2.1 and (3.32) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Omega_k} e^{-(T_0-s)} d_n \cdot y_n dx ds \right) = -2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Omega_k} e^{-(T_0-s)} d \cdot y dx ds.$$

Thus,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Omega_k} e^{-(T_0-s)} d_n \cdot y_n dx ds \right) \leq -2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Omega_k} e^{-(T_0-s)} d \cdot y dx ds + 4\varepsilon. \tag{3.36}$$

Passing to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.36) and using (3.31) and (3.33)–(3.36) we find, that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 &\leq e^{-T_0} M - 2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(T_0-s)} |\nabla y|^2 dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(T_0-s)} |y|^2 dx ds - 2 \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(T_0-s)} d \cdot y dx ds + 5\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|\xi\|^2 + e^{-T_0} M - e^{-T_0} \|\xi_{T_0}\|^2 + 5\varepsilon. \quad (3.37)$$

Passing to the limit as  $T_0 \rightarrow +\infty$ , and then directing  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we will obtain the next inequality

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 \leq \|\xi\|^2.$$

5° Let us prove the semi-continuity of  $m$ -semiflow  $G$  [14, p. 126], namely, let us prove, that the map  $G(t, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous and has compact values for any  $t \geq 0$ . Indeed, let  $\xi_n \in G(t, x_n)$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ . Let us prove, that the sequence  $\xi_n$  is pre-compact in  $H$ . From the Lemma 3.2, the sequence  $\xi_n$  is bounded, so, up to the subsequence it is weakly convergent to some  $\xi$ . Supposing analogically to the proof of 4°, there exist weak solutions  $y_n(\cdot)$ ,  $y(\cdot)$  such, that  $y_n(t) = \xi_n$ ,  $y_n(0) = x_n$ ,  $y(t) = \xi$ ,  $y(0) = x_0$  and  $y_n$  converges to  $y$  in the sense of (3.32). Repeating the suppositions from 4° we will obtain, that  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\|^2 \leq \|\xi\|^2$ . Thus,  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$  strongly in  $H$ . So, taking into account 3°,  $G(t, x_0)$  is compact.

Now, if  $G(t, \cdot)$  is not upper semi-continuous, then there exists the point  $x_0$ , the neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of the set  $G(t, x_0)$  and the sequence  $\xi_n \in G(t, x_n)$  such, that  $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\xi_n \notin \mathcal{O} \forall n$ . Passing to the subsequences we have, that  $\xi_{n_k} \rightarrow \xi$ ,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$  strongly in  $H$ . From 3° it follows, that  $\xi \in G(t, x_0)$ . We obtained the contradiction.

From the properties 1°–5°, there exists the global compact invariant attractor for  $G$  (see [13, Theorem 3, Remark 8]), that is minimal closed absorbing set. Thus, the Theorem 3.2 is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. V. Babin, M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [2] H. Gajewski, K. Gröger, K. Zacharias, *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [3] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces. Selected Topics*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
- [4] M. Z. Zgurovsky, P. O. Kasyanov, V. S. Mel'nik, *Differential-Operator Inclusions and Variation Inequalities in Infinitesimal Spaces*, Naukova dumka, Kyiv, 2008.
- [5] A. V. Kapustyan, P. O. Kasyanov, *Global attractor of a nonautonomous inclusion with discontinuous right-hand side* // Ukrain. Mat. Zh., **55** (2003), 1467–1475 (Translated in Ukrainian Math. J., **55** (2003), 1765–1776).
- [6] P. O. Kasyanov, V. S. Melnik, *On solvability of differential-operator inclusions and evolution variation inequalities generated by  $w_{\lambda_0}$ -pseudomonotone maps type* // Ukr. Math. Bull., **4** (2007), N 4, 535–581.



- [7] J. L. Lions, *Quelques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires*, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [8] V. V. Chepyzhov, M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of nonautonomous dynamical systems and their dimension* // J. Math. Pures Appl. **73** (1994), N 3, 279–333.
- [9] V. V. Chepyzhov, M. I. Vishik, *Evolution equations and their trajectory attractors* // J. Math. Pures Appl. **76** (1997), N 10, 913–964.
- [10] O. V. Kapustyan, V. S. Mel'nik, J. Valero, V. V. Yasinsky, *Global attractors for multivalued dynamical systems*, Naukova dumka, Kyiv, 2008, 208 p.
- [11] O. V. Kapustyan, *The global attractors of multivalued semiflows, which are generated by some evolutionary equations* // Nonlinear boundary value problems, **11** (2001), N 11, 65–70.
- [12] V. S. Melnik, *Multivalued dynamics of nonlinear infinite-dimensional systems*, Preprint No. 94-17, Acad. Sci. Ukraine, Inst. Cybernetics, 1994.
- [13] V. S. Melnik, J. Valero, *On attractors of multivalued semiflows and differential inclusions*, Set-Valued Anal., **6** (1998), 83–111.
- [14] F. Morillas, J. Valero, *Attractors for reaction-diffusion equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with continuous nonlinearity* // Asymptotic Analysis **44** (2005), 111–130.
- [15] A. Rodríguez-Bernal, B. Wang, *Attractors for partly dissipative reaction-diffusion systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$*  // J. Math. Anal. Appl., **252** (2000), 790–803.
- [16] R. Teman, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, Berlin: Springer, 1988, 500 p.
- [17] B. Wang, *Attractors for reaction-diffusion equations in unbounded domains* // Physica D, **128** (1999), 41–52.
- [18] S. V. Zelik, *The attractor for nonlinear hyperbolic equation in the unbounded domain* // Discrete and continuous dynamical systems, **7** (2001), N 3, 593–641.
- [19] P. E. Kloeden, J. Valero, *Attractors of Weakly Asymptotically Compact Set-Valued Dynamical Systems* // Set-Valued Analysis, **13** (2005), 381–404.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Aleksandr**  
**N. Stanzhitsky,**  
**Nataliya V. Gorban**

Taras Shevchenko National  
University of Kyiv  
64, Volodymyrs'ka St.,  
01033 Kyiv,  
Ukraine  
*E-Mail:* gorbannv@i.ua