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## THE BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF THE MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR A LINEAR SECOND-ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN A NEIGHBOURHOOD OF INTERSECTING EDGES


#### Abstract

In this paper we deals with the mixed boundary value problem for secondorder elliptic equations in a polyhedral domain. We obtain exact estimates for solutions of the problem in a neighbourhood of an vertex. A special section is dedicated to the examples.
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## 1. Introduction.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a bounded domain. We consider the problem with mixed boundary conditions

$$
\begin{cases}L u:=a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) u_{x_{i}}+a(x) u=f(x), & x \in G  \tag{1.1}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}=0, & x \in \Gamma_{1}, \\ u(x)=0, & x \in \partial G \backslash \Gamma_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Here and throughout summation from 1 to 3 over repeated indices is assumed. The problem with boundary conditions (1.2)-(1.3), also known as the Zaremba problem. The main purpose of this paper is to analyze the behavior of solutions, in the case when the boundary of $G$ contain singular points having the type of the vertex of a polyhedron. The assumptions on the coefficients of the equation are essential for obtaining sharp estimates of the modulus of a solution. Elliptic boundary problems in nonsmooth domains have been studied in many works. In particular, the exact solution estimates for boundary value problems in domains with angular and conical points at the boundary were obtained in [1]. Mixed boundary problem with conormal derivative was studied in [6] and in [7]. There the authors have obtained Schauder and weighted $L^{p}$ estimates of solutions for equations with constant coefficients in polyhedral domains. The vast bibliography of elliptic boundary problems in nonsmooth domains was compiled by authors of [1].

## 2. Basic symbols, definitions and assumptions.

Let us introduce the following notations: $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ : an element of $\mathbb{R}^{3} ;(r, \omega)=\left(r, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ : spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, defined by: $x_{1}=r \cos \omega_{1} \sin \omega_{2}, x_{2}=r \sin \omega_{1} \sin \omega_{2}, x_{3}=r \cos \omega_{2} ; \vec{n}$ : exterior unit normal vector on $\partial G ; \delta_{i}^{j}$ : Kronecker's delta; the quasi-distance function $r_{\varepsilon}(x):=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(x_{i}+\delta_{i}^{3} \varepsilon\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} ; u_{x}:=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{x_{i}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} ; u_{x x}:=$ $\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. On the unit sphere $S^{2}$ we consider the domain $\Omega=$ $\left\{\omega: \omega_{1} \in\left[0, \varpi_{1}\right], \omega_{2} \in\left[0, \varpi_{2}\right]\right\}$, where $\varpi_{1} \in(0,2 \pi), \varpi_{2} \in(0, \pi)$. Our domain $G$ coincides in some neighbourhood of the boundary point $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ (point of origin) with the domain $\{(r, \omega): \omega \in \Omega\} . \partial G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \bar{\Gamma}_{i}$, $\Gamma_{1}$ coincides in some neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ with the set $\{(r, \omega): \omega \in$ $\left.\partial \Omega, \omega_{1}=0\right\}, \Gamma_{2}$ with the set $\left\{(r, \omega): \omega \in \partial \Omega, \omega_{1}=\varpi_{1}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ with the set $\left\{(r, \omega): \in \partial \Omega \omega_{2}=\varpi_{2}\right\}$. $\ell_{i}$ are edges of boundary, $\ell_{1}:=\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{2}, \ell_{2}:=\bar{\Gamma}_{2} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{3}, \ell_{3}:=\bar{\Gamma}_{2} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{3}, \ell:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \ell_{i}$. Edges $\ell_{i}$ intersect at the vertices $\mathcal{O}:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \ell_{i}$ of the polyhedron. We suppose that $\mathcal{O}=$ $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}\right\}$ and analyze the behavior in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{1} . \Gamma_{i}$ are smooth surfaces everywhere except at $\mathcal{O}$. We introduce the notation $G_{a}^{b}:=\{x \in G: 0 \leq a<r<b\}$. We denote the following spaces. $C^{k}(G)$ : the Banach space of functions having all the derivatives of order at most $k(k \in \mathbb{N})$ continuous in $G ; C_{0}^{k}(G)$ : the set of functions in $C^{k}(G)$ with compact support in $G ; L^{p}(G)$ : the space of functions whose absolute value raised to the $p$-th power $(p \geq 1)$ has a finite Lebesgue integral; $W^{k, p}(G)$ : Sobolev space, is defined to be the subset of $L^{p}(G)$ such that function and its weak derivatives up to some order $k(k \in \mathbb{N})$ have a finite $L^{p}$ norm, for given $p \geq 1 ; W_{0}^{k, p}(G)$ : is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(G)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, p}(G)} \| ; W_{\text {loc }}^{k, p}(G)$ : the space of functions that belong to $W^{k, p}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, for all $G^{\prime} \subset G ; V_{2, \alpha}^{2}(G)$ : weighted Sobolev space, is defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\bar{G} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{V_{2, \alpha}^{2}(G)}=\left\|r^{\alpha / 2} u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|r^{\alpha / 2-1} u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|r^{\alpha / 2-2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(G)},
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
Definition 2.1. A (strong) solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) in
domain $G$ is a function $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{2,2}(\bar{G} \backslash \mathcal{O}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{G})$, which satisfies the equations (1.1) for almost $x \in G$, boundary condition (1.2) in the sense of traces and the boundary condition (1.3) for all $x \in \bar{\Gamma}_{2} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{3}$.

In the following we assume that the coefficients $a_{i j}(x), a_{i}(x)$ and $a(x)$ satisfy the following conditions.
Assumption 2.2. The uniform ellipticity condition

$$
\nu|\xi|^{2} \leq a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leq \mu|\xi|^{2}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, x \in \bar{G}
$$

with some $\nu, \mu>0$.
Assumption 2.3. $a_{i j}(0)=\delta_{i}^{j}$.
Assumption 2.4. $a_{i j} \in C^{0}(\bar{G}), a_{i} \in L^{p}(G)$ and $a \in L^{p / 2}(G)$, where $p>3$.

Assumption 2.5. There exists a monotonically increasing nonnegative function $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(y)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \mathcal{A}(|x-y|) \\
& \quad r\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}+r^{2}|a(x)| \leq \mathcal{A}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x, y \in \bar{G}$.

## 3. Some auxiliary assertions.

We denote by $\left.\tilde{G}:=\left\{x: \omega_{1} \in[0,2 \pi], \omega_{2} \in\left[0, \varpi_{0}\right]\right\}\right\}, \varpi_{0} \in(0, \pi)$. Obviously, there exists an $\varpi_{0}$, such that $G \subset \tilde{G}$. It is easy to see (see lemma 1.11 [1], lemma 1.4.1 [2]) that $r_{\varepsilon}(x)$ has the following properties.
Lemma 3.1. There exists an $h>0$ such that

$$
r_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq h \cdot r, \quad r_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq h \cdot \varepsilon
$$

for all $x \in \bar{G}$, where $h=1$ if $\varpi_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2]$ and $h=\sin \left(\varpi_{0}\right)$ if $\varpi_{0} \in(\pi / 2, \pi)$.

We consider the problem of the eigenvalues for the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\omega}$ on the unit sphere.

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{\omega} v+\vartheta v=0, & \omega \in \Omega,  \tag{3.1}\\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \omega_{1}}=0, & \omega_{1}=0, \\ v\left(\varpi_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=v\left(\omega_{1}, 0\right)=v\left(\omega_{1}, \varpi_{2}\right)=0 . & \end{cases}
$$

According to the variational principle of eigenvalues we have the Wirtinger inequality (see 2.3.1, 2.4.6 [1], 2.2.1 [2]).

Theorem 3.2. (the Wirtinger inequality). The following inequality is valid for all $v \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, that satisfies (3.2)-(3.3) in the sense of traces

$$
\int_{\Omega} v^{2}(\omega) d \Omega \leq \frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \omega_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \omega_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \omega_{2}}\right)^{2}\right) d \Omega
$$

where $\vartheta_{0}$ is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the problem (3.1)(3.3).

Let us define the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4 \vartheta_{0}}}{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vartheta_{0}$ is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the problem (3.1)(3.3). Next theorem follows from the Wirtinger inequality (see 2.5.22.5.9, corollary 2.29 [1], 2.3.2-2.3.9, corollary 2.3.6 [2]).

Theorem 3.3. Let $v \in W^{2,2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$ satisfy the boundary value condition (1.2)-(1.3) in the sense of traces. Then following estimates are held

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{d}} r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x \leq H(\lambda, \alpha) \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{d}} r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x, \quad \alpha \leq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H(\lambda, \alpha)=\left((1-\alpha)^{2} / 4+\lambda(\lambda+1)\right)^{-1}, \varepsilon \in[0, d] i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{0}^{d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} r^{-2} v^{2} d x \leq\left(\frac{3}{h}\right)^{2-\alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \int_{G_{0}^{d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a number from the lemma 0.0, also, if $V(\rho):=\int_{G_{0}^{\rho}} r^{-1} v_{x}^{2} d x<$ $\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{\Omega}\left(\rho v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right)\right|_{r=\rho} d \Omega \leq \frac{\rho}{2 \lambda} V^{\prime}(\rho), \quad \rho \in(0, d) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Integral estimates.

At first, we will obtain a local integral estimate in the neighbourhood of an edge.
Lemma 4.1. Let $u(x)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Suppose that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+0} \mathcal{A}(r)=0$ and that $f \in L^{2}(G)$. Then there are $d>0$ and constant $c>0$ depends only on $\nu, \mu, \alpha, \lambda, \max _{x \in G} \mathcal{A}(|x|)$ and $G$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d}^{2 d}\right)} \leq c\left(\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2} 3 d\right.}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us introduce the function $v(x)=u(x) \eta(x)$, where $\eta(x) \in$ $C^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 d}\right)$ is a cutoff function such that: $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ if $r(x) \in[d, 2 d]$, $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ if $r(x) \in(d / 2, d) \cup(2 d, 3 d)$ and $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ when $r(x) \in$ $[0, d / 2] \cup[3 d, \infty)$. Then the function $v$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}(x) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) v_{x_{i}}+a(x) v=f_{1}(x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}=f \eta+a_{i j}\left(2 u_{x_{i}} \eta_{x_{j}}+u \eta_{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)+a_{i} u \eta_{x_{i}}$. Since $a_{i j}(0)=\delta_{i}^{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=f_{1}(x)-\left(a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(0)\right) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}-a_{i}(x) v_{x_{i}}-a(x) v:=f_{2}(x) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the equation (4.3) we use (7.19) [5] $\left(f_{2} \in V_{2,0}^{0}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)\right)$, applying it for the domains $G_{d / 2}^{3 d}$ with edges on the boundary

$$
\left\|v_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)} \leq c_{1}\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3}\right)}
$$

Using the assumption (0.0) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)} \leq c_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{2}(3 d)\left\|v_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}+ \\
& \quad+c_{3}\left(\left\|v_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}+\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{d / 2}^{3 d}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $d>0$ chosen according to the inequality $c_{2} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{2}(3 d)<1$, then from properties of the cutoff function we obtain (4.1).
Theorem 4.2. Let $u(x)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.3) and $\lambda$ be defined by (3.4). Suppose that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+0} \mathcal{A}(r)=0, f \in V_{2, \alpha}^{0}(G)$, where $\alpha \in(1-$ $2 \lambda, 2]$. Then $u \in V_{2, \alpha}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{V_{2, \alpha}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{V_{2, \alpha}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{V_{2, \alpha}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)}+\|f\|_{V_{2, \alpha}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $\nu, \mu, \alpha, \lambda, \max _{x \in G} \mathcal{A}(|x|) i G$.
Proof. Let us introduce the function $v(x)=u(x) \eta(x)$, where $\eta(x) \in$ $C^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 d}\right)$ is a cutoff function such that: $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ if $r(x) \in[0, d]$, $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ if $r(x) \in(d, 2 d)$ and $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ when $r(x) \in[2 d, \infty)$.
Case I: $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. We multiply both parts of the (4.3) by $r^{\alpha-2} v(x)$ and integrate over the domain $G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}$. Twice integrating by parts we obtain the analog of (4.3.6) [1] (see also (4.2.6) [2])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} d \Omega_{\varepsilon}+\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x+\frac{(2-\alpha) \varepsilon^{\alpha-3}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^{2} d \Omega_{\varepsilon}+ \\
+\frac{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)}{2} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x= \\
=\frac{2-\alpha}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1} \cap \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} x_{i} \cos \left(\vec{n}, x_{i}\right) d \sigma+  \tag{4.5}\\
+\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-2} v\left(-f_{1}(x)+\left(a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(0)\right) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) v_{x_{i}}+a(x) v\right) d x .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $d \sigma$ area element of $\Gamma_{1}$. Since $x_{i} \cos \left(\vec{n}, x_{i}\right)=x_{2} \cos \left(\vec{n}, x_{2}\right)=$ $-x_{2}=0, \forall x \in \Gamma_{1} \cap \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma_{1} \cap \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} x_{i} \cos \left(\vec{n}, x_{i}\right) d \sigma=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us estimate in the above equation the integrals over $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$. We consider the set $G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}$ and we have $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \subset \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}$. Now we use the inequality (6.23) [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}|w| d \Omega_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{1} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}}\left(|w|+\left|w_{x}\right|\right) d x \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $w=v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ we find (see (4.3.8) [1], (4.2.8) [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right| d \Omega_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{2} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}}\left(r^{2} v_{x x}^{2}+v_{x}^{2}+r^{-2} v^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Twice using (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}}\left(v_{x}^{2}+r^{-2} v^{2} d x\right) d x \leq c_{3} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} v_{x}^{2} d x \leq \\
\leq 4 c_{3} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} r^{-2} v_{x}^{2} d x \leq c_{4} \varepsilon^{2} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{5} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} r^{2} v_{x x}^{2} d x,
\end{gathered}
$$

therefore from (4.8) we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right| d \Omega_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{6} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} r^{2} v_{x x}^{2} d x
$$

Applying the local integral estimate (4.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right| d \Omega_{\varepsilon} \leq \\
& \quad \leq c_{6} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} r^{2} v_{x x} d x \leq c_{7} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 2}^{3 \varepsilon}} r^{2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq c_{8} \varepsilon^{2-\alpha} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 2}^{3 \varepsilon}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x \leq  \tag{4.9}\\
& \quad \leq c_{8} \varepsilon^{2-\alpha} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 2}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Let us apply again (4.7), in analogy to (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^{2} d \Omega_{\varepsilon} \leq \\
& \leq c_{9} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}}\left(v^{2}+|v|\left|v_{x}\right|\right) d x \leq c_{10} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}}\left(r v_{x}^{2}+r^{-1} v^{2}\right) d x \leq \\
& \leq c_{11} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 \varepsilon}} r^{3} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{12} \varepsilon^{3-\alpha} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 2}^{3 \varepsilon}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x \leq  \tag{4.10}\\
& \quad \leq c_{12} \varepsilon^{3-\alpha} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 2}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Writing the inequality (4.1) for the $\rho \in(0, d)$ and taking into account that $\rho \sim r$ in $G_{\rho}^{2 \rho}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{G_{\rho}^{2 \rho}} r^{\alpha} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{13} \int_{G_{\rho / 2}^{3 \rho}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
$$

We replace $\rho$ by $2^{-k} d$. Summing up this inequalities for $k=0,1, \ldots$, $\left[\log _{2}(d / \varepsilon)\right]+1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{14} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 4}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying assumption (0.0) together with the Hölder and the Cauchy inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} v\left(\left(a_{i j}(x)-\delta_{i}^{j}\right) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) v_{x_{i}}+a(x) v\right) \leq \\
\leq c_{15} \mathcal{A}(r)\left(r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} r^{2} v_{x x}^{2}+r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2}+r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} r^{-2} v^{2}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} v f_{1} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} r_{\epsilon}^{\alpha-2} r^{-2} v^{2}+c_{16} r^{\alpha} f_{1}^{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\delta>0, \epsilon \geq 0$. Let $\epsilon=0$. From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.9)-(4.12)
follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha} v_{x x}^{2} d x+\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x+\frac{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)}{2} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x \leq \\
\leq c_{17} \int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}}\left(\mathcal{A}(2 d)\left(r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2}+r^{\alpha-4} v^{2}\right)+\delta r^{\alpha-4} v^{2}\right) d x+ \\
\quad+c_{18} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 4}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\delta>0$ and $0<\varepsilon<d$. Furthermore, if $(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)=0$, then we apply the inequality(3.5). Now, let $\delta>0, d>0$ are small enough. Then we obtain

$$
\int_{G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}}\left(r^{\alpha} v_{x x}^{2}+r^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2}+r^{\alpha-4} v^{2}\right) d x \leq c_{19} \int_{G_{\varepsilon / 4}^{2 d}} r^{\alpha}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
$$

where the constants $c_{19}$ do not depend on $\varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$ we obtain the assertion of our theorem in the case I.
Case II: $1-2 \lambda<\alpha<1, \alpha \geq 0$. From the inequality (4.1) we have

$$
\int_{G_{\rho}^{2 \rho}} \rho^{2}(\rho+\varepsilon)^{\alpha-2} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{20} \int_{G_{\rho / 2}^{3 \rho}} \rho^{2}(\rho+\varepsilon)^{\alpha-2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
$$

Since $r_{\varepsilon} \leq r+\varepsilon \leq 2 r_{\varepsilon} / h$ in $\bar{G}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{G_{\rho}^{2 \rho}} r^{2} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{21} \int_{G_{\rho / 2}^{3 \rho}} r^{2} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
$$

Let $\rho=2^{-k} d$. Summing up this inequalities for $k=0,1, \ldots$, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r^{2} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x x}^{2} d x \leq c_{22} \int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r^{2} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.3) by $r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v(x)$ and integrating by parts
twice we obtain (compare with case I)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x=\frac{(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha)}{2} \int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x+ \\
+\frac{2-\alpha}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1} \cap \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-4} v^{2}\left(x_{i}+\varepsilon \delta_{i}^{3}\right) \cos \left(\vec{n}, x_{i}\right) d \sigma+ \\
+\int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v\left(-f_{1}(x)+\left(a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(0)\right) v_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) v_{x_{i}}+a(x) v\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where $d \sigma$ area element of $\Gamma_{1}$. The second integral on the right is equal to zero (see (4.6)). Therefore from (4.12) for $\epsilon=\varepsilon$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x \leq \frac{(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha)}{2} \int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-4} v^{2} d x+ \\
+c_{23} \int_{G_{0}^{Q^{2 d}}}\left(r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2}\left(\delta r^{-2} v^{2}+\mathcal{A}(2 d)\left(r^{2} v_{x x}^{2}+v_{x}^{2}+r^{-2} v^{2}\right)\right)+r^{\alpha} f_{1}^{2}\right) d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since by case I $u \in V_{2,2}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$ and $f_{1} \in V_{2, \alpha}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)(\alpha \geq 0)$ the integral from the right side is finite. Therefore from (3.6), (3.7) and (4.13) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(\lambda, \alpha) \int_{G_{0}^{2 d}} r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2} v_{x}^{2} d x \leq \\
\leq c_{24} \int_{G_{0}^{2 d}}\left(r_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-2}\left((\mathcal{A}(2 d)+\delta) v_{x}^{2}+r^{2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v^{2}+f_{1}\right)\right)+r^{\alpha} f_{1}^{2}\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C(\lambda, \alpha)=1-\frac{1}{2}(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha) H(\lambda, \alpha)>0$. Choosing $\delta>0$ and $d>0$ small enough and passing to the limits as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by the Fatou Theorem we obtain the assertion, if we recall (3.6) and (4.13). Case III: $1-2 \lambda<\alpha<1, \alpha<0$. We take any $\alpha_{0} \in[\max (-2, \alpha), 0]$. Then we have $u, u_{x}, f_{1} \in V_{2, \alpha_{0}+2}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$. Now, we can repeat verbatim the proof of case II. We get $u \in V_{2, \alpha_{0}}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$ and (4.4). Repeating the stated process $k$ times we obtain $u \in V_{2, \alpha_{k}}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k-1}-2$. Obviously, we can find such an integer $k$ that $\alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{k}$. Finally, repeating the proof of case II once again, we obtain the assertion.
Corollary 4.3. Let $u(x)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Suppose that
$\lim _{r \rightarrow+0} \mathcal{A}(r)=0$ and $f \in L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 d}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{V_{2,0}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{d}\right)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 d}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 d}\right)}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us fix $d>0$, such that the inequality (4.4) would be fulfilled. We take $\rho \in(0, d / 2)$ and $\varsigma \in(0,1)$. Let us introduce the cutoff function $\eta \in C^{2}\left(G_{0}^{2 \rho}\right)$, such that $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ if $r(x) \in[0, \varsigma \rho]$, $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ if $r(x) \in\left(\varsigma \rho, \varsigma^{\prime} \rho\right), \eta(x) \equiv 0$ when $r(x) \in\left[\varsigma^{\prime} \rho, \infty\right)$, $\left|\eta_{x}\right| \leq 4 /((1-\varsigma) \varepsilon),\left|\eta_{x x}\right| \leq 16 /\left((1-\varsigma)^{2} \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, where $\varsigma^{\prime}=(1+\varsigma) / 2$. Now, if $v=\eta u$ we apply the estimate (4.4) to the solution $v$ of the (4.2) with $\alpha=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)} \leq c_{1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{s^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{s^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{s^{\prime} \rho}\right)}\right)= \\
=c_{1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{s^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{s^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\right. \\
\left.+\left\|a_{i j}\left(2 u_{x_{i}} \eta_{x_{j}}+u \eta_{x_{i} x_{j}}\right)+a_{i} u \eta_{x_{i}}+f \eta\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma^{\prime} \rho}\right)}\right) \leq \\
\leq c_{2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\left.\zeta^{\prime} \rho\right)}\right.}+\frac{1}{(1-\varsigma) \rho}\left\|u_{x}+r^{-1} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\delta^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{1}{(1-\varsigma)^{2} \rho^{2}}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\delta^{\prime} \rho}\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Rewriting this inequality in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0<\varsigma<1}(1-\varsigma)^{2} \rho^{2}\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)} \leq \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(\rho^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}(1-\varsigma) \rho\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma^{\prime} \rho}\right)}+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma^{\prime} \rho}\right)}\right)= \\
& =c_{3}\left(\rho^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}+\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sup _{1 / 2<\varsigma^{\prime}<1}\left(1-\varsigma^{\prime}\right) \rho\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\left.\varsigma^{\prime} \rho\right)}\right.}+2 \sup _{1 / 2<\varsigma^{\prime}<1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma^{\prime} \rho}\right)}\right) \leq \\
& \leq c_{4}\left(\rho^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}(1-\varsigma) \rho\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}\right) \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

from the interpolation inequality (see (7.61), example 7.19 [3])

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq c_{5}\left(\rho^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}(1-\varsigma) \rho\left(\varepsilon(1-\varsigma) \rho\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\varepsilon^{-1}(1-\varsigma)^{-1} \rho^{-1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}\right)+\sup _{0<\varsigma<1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, choosing $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, we can write

$$
\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)} \leq \frac{c_{6}}{(1-\varsigma)^{2} \rho^{2}}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\varsigma \rho}\right)}\right)
$$

Taking $\varsigma=1 / 2$ and using (3.5), we arrive to the sought estimate (4.14).

Theorem 4.4. Let $u(x)$ be a strong solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) and assumptions (2.2)-(0.0) are satisfied with $\mathcal{A}(r)$ Dini continuous at zero. Suppose, in addition $f \in V_{2,1}^{0}(G)$ and there exist real numbers $s>0, k_{s} \geq 0$ such that $k_{s}=\sup _{\rho>0} \rho^{-s}| | f \|_{V_{2,1}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}$. Then there are $d>0$ and a constant $c>0$ depends only on $\nu, \mu, \mathcal{A}(d), s, \lambda, G$ and on the quantity $\int_{0}^{d} t^{-1} \mathcal{A}(t) d t$ such that $\forall \rho \in(0, d)$

$$
\|u\|_{V_{2,1}^{2}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\|f\|_{V_{2,1}^{0}(G)}+k_{s}\right) \cdot \begin{cases}\rho^{\lambda}, & s>\lambda  \tag{4.15}\\ \rho^{\lambda} \ln ^{3 / 2}(1 / \rho), & s=\lambda \\ \rho^{s}, & s<\lambda\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We consider the equation (4.3) with $\eta \equiv 1(v \equiv u)$. Let us now multiply both parts of the (4.3) by $r^{-1} u$ and integrate over $G_{0}^{\rho}$; twice having applied the formula of integration by parts. As a result we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d \Omega-\int_{G_{0}^{o}} r^{-1} u_{x}^{2} d x= \\
\left.=\int_{G_{0}^{\rho}} r^{-1} u\left(\left(a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}(0)\right) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x) u_{x_{i}}+a(x) u\right)\right) d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $U(\rho):=\int_{G_{0}^{\rho}} r^{-1} u_{x}^{2} d x$. From the assumption (0.0), estimates (3.6), (3.8) and the Cauchy inequality we obtain for $\forall \delta>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(\rho) \leq \frac{\rho}{2 \lambda} V^{\prime}(\rho)+c_{1} \mathcal{A}(\rho) \int_{G_{0}^{\rho}} r u_{x x}^{2} d x+ \\
& +c_{2} \mathcal{A}(\rho) U(\rho)+\frac{\delta}{2} U(\rho)+\frac{1}{2 \delta}\|f\|_{V_{2,1}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take into account (4.4) and condition on the function $f$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
U(\rho) \leq \frac{\rho}{2 \lambda} U^{\prime}(\rho)+c_{3} \mathcal{A}(\rho) U(2 \rho)+  \tag{4.16}\\
+c_{4}(\mathcal{A}(\rho)+\delta) U(\rho)+c_{5} \frac{1}{\delta} k_{s}^{2} \rho^{2 s}, \quad \forall \delta>0, \rho \in(0, d) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, because of (4.14) in virtue of the obvious embedding $V_{2,0}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right) \subset V_{2,1}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)$, we have the initial condition $U(d) \equiv U_{0}<\infty$. The estimate (4.15) follow from (4.16), in the same way as (4.3.43) [1] from (4.3.47) [1] (see also (4.2.43) and (4.2.47) [2]).

## 5. The estimate of the solution modulus.

Theorem 5.1. Let $u(x)$ be a strong solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) and let the assumptions of theorem 4.4 be satisfied. Then there are $d>0$ and a constant $c>0$, depends on the same values as constant $c$ in the theorem 4.4, such that for $\forall x \in G_{0}^{d}$

$$
|u(x)| \leq c\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\|f\|_{V_{2,1}^{0}(G)}+k_{s}\right) \cdot \begin{cases}r^{\lambda}, & s>\lambda  \tag{5.1}\\ r^{\lambda} \ln ^{3 / 2}(1 / r), & s=\lambda \\ r^{s}, & s<\lambda\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let us introduce the function

$$
\psi(\rho)= \begin{cases}\rho^{\lambda}, & s>\lambda \\ \rho^{\lambda} \ln ^{3 / 2}(1 / \rho), & s=\lambda \\ \rho^{s}, & s<\lambda\end{cases}
$$

for $\rho \in(0, d)$. We make the transformation $x=\rho x^{\prime}, u(x)=v\left(\rho x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\psi(\rho) w\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. By the Sobolev Imbedding theorems (see (7.30) [3]) $W^{2,2}\left(G_{1 / 2}^{1}\right) \subset C^{0}\left(G_{1 / 2}^{1}\right)$ and we have

$$
\sup _{G_{1 / 2}^{1}}\left|w\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq c_{1}| | w \|_{W^{2,2}\left(G_{1 / 2}^{1}\right)}
$$

Returning to the variables $x, u$ considering the inequality (4.15), we have for $\forall \rho \in(0, d)$

$$
\sup _{G_{\rho / 2}^{\rho}} \psi^{-1}(\rho)|u(x)| \leq c_{2} \psi^{-2}(\rho)\|u\|_{V_{2,1}^{2}\left(G_{\rho / 2}^{\rho}\right)} \leq c_{3}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\|f\|_{V_{0,1}^{0}(G)}+k_{s}\right)
$$

Putting now $r=2 \rho / 3$, we obtain finally the desired estimate.

## 6. Remarks and examples.

Remark 6.1. The solution of (1.1)-(1.3) can be taken as a function from $W_{\text {loc }}^{2,2}(\bar{G} \backslash \ell) \cap C^{0}(\bar{G})$. Then from [8] we obtain $W_{\text {loc }}^{2,2}(\bar{G} \backslash \mathcal{O}) \cap$ $C^{0}(\bar{G})$.

Remark 6.2. The number $\lambda$ that is defined by (3.4) cannot in general be expressed as explicit functions of $\varpi_{1}$ and $\varpi_{2}$. There are a few examples (see below), where $\lambda$ can be calculated directly. They shows that the exponent $\lambda$ in (5.1) cannot be increased.
Example 6.3. Let $\Omega=\left\{\omega: \omega_{1} \in\left[0, \varpi_{1}\right], \omega_{2} \in\left[0, \varpi_{2}\right]\right\}$, where $\varpi_{1}=$ $\frac{\pi \cos ^{2} \varpi_{2}}{1-3 \cos ^{2} \varpi_{2}}, \varpi_{2}=\frac{5 \pi}{12}$ is domain on the unit sphere $S^{2}$. Then $\vartheta_{0}=$ $4(\gamma+2)(\gamma+3)$ is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (3.1)-(3.3) $\left(\gamma=\frac{\pi}{2 \varpi_{1}}\right)$ and $\lambda=\gamma+2$. Let us consider the function

$$
u(x)=r^{\gamma+2} \cos \gamma \omega_{1} \sin ^{\gamma} \omega_{2}\left(\cos ^{2} \omega_{2}-\cos ^{2} \varpi_{2}\right)
$$

in $G=\{(r, \omega): 0<r<\infty, \omega \in \Omega\}$. It is the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) for Laplacian.

Example 6.4. Let $\Omega=\left\{\omega: \omega_{1} \in\left[0, \varpi_{1}\right], \omega_{2}=\pi / 2\right\}$, where $\varpi_{1} \in$ $(0,2 \pi)$. Then $\vartheta_{0}=4(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)$ is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (3.1)-(3.3) $\left(\gamma=\frac{\pi}{2 \varpi_{1}}\right)$ and $\lambda=\gamma+1$. Let us consider the function

$$
u(x)=r^{\gamma+1} \cos \gamma \omega_{1} \sin ^{\gamma} \omega_{2} \cos \omega_{2}
$$

in domain $G=\{(r, \omega): 0<r<\infty, \omega \in \Omega\}$. It is the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) for Laplacian.

Example 6.5. Let $\gamma$ and domain $G$ be defined as in the example 6.4 and let

$$
u(x)=r^{\gamma+1} \ln (1 / r) \cos \gamma \omega_{1} \sin ^{\gamma} \omega_{2} \cos \omega_{2} .
$$

The function $u$ satisfies in the domain $G_{0}^{d}$ following equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}:= \\
=\left(\delta_{i}^{j}-\frac{2 \gamma+3}{\gamma(\gamma+1) \ln (1 / r)}\left(\frac{2 \gamma+1}{2 \gamma+3} \cdot \delta_{i}^{j}-\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{r^{2}}\right)\right) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}=0,  \tag{6.1}\\
\Delta u=-a_{i}(x) u_{x_{i}}:=-\frac{(2 \gamma+3) x_{i}}{r^{2}((\gamma+1) \ln (1 / r)-1)} u_{x_{i}},  \tag{6.2}\\
\Delta u=-a(x) u:=-\frac{2 \gamma+3}{r^{2} \ln (1 / r)} u,  \tag{6.3}\\
\Delta u=f(x):=-(2 \gamma+3) r^{\gamma-1} \cos \gamma \omega_{1} \sin ^{\gamma} \omega_{2} \cos \omega_{2} . \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $d<e^{(6 \gamma+7) /\left(\gamma^{2}+\gamma\right)}$, then the equation (6.1) is uniformly elliptic with ellipticity constants $\nu=1-\frac{6 \gamma+7}{\gamma(\gamma+1) \ln (1 / d)}, \mu=1+\frac{4 \gamma+8}{\gamma(\gamma+1) \ln (1 / d)}$.
Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}(r)=\frac{6 \gamma+9}{\gamma(\gamma+1) \ln (1 / r)}$. If $d<e^{-1}$, then for the equation (6.2) we have $\mathcal{A}(r)=\frac{6 \gamma+9}{\gamma \ln (1 / r)}$ and for the equation (6.3) we have $\mathcal{A}(r)=\frac{2 \gamma+3}{\ln (1 / r)}$. In all these cases $\int_{0}^{d} r^{-1} \mathcal{A}(r) d r=+\infty$, that is the leading coefficients of the (6.1) and the lower order coefficients of (6.2), (6.3) are continuous but not Dini continuous at zero. From the explicit form of the solution $u(x)$ we have $|u(x)| \leq c r^{\gamma+1-\varepsilon}=c r^{\lambda-\varepsilon}$, for all $\varepsilon>0, x \in G_{0}^{d}$. Thus the assumptions about the coefficients are essential. In the case of (6.4) all assumptions on the coefficients are satisfied, but $\|f\|_{V_{2,1}^{0}\left(G_{0}^{\rho}\right)} \leq c \rho^{s}$ with $s=\lambda$. This verifies the importance of conditions of our theorems.
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