Self-resonant modes in Josephson junctions with a phase discontinuity
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We extend the theory of self-resonances in short Josephson junctions to the case of a piecewise constant critical current density and a \( \kappa \) discontinuity of the Josephson phase. We calculate the amplitudes of self-resonances as a function of applied magnetic field by using an extension of the approach introduced by Kulik for conventional Josephson junctions (I.O. Kulik, JETP Lett. 2, 84 (1965)). The presented theory agrees with existing experiments on superconducting–insulator–ferromagnet–superconductor 0–\( \pi \) Josephson junctions. The results are relevant for the characterization of all modern 0–\( \pi \) junctions as well as 0–\( \kappa \) junctions with artificially created phase discontinuity: high-temperature grain boundary junctions, junctions with ferromagnetic barrier and the junction with current injectors.

PACS: 85.25.Cp Josephson devices;
61.72.Mm Grain and twin boundaries;
85.25.–j Superconducting devices.
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1. Introduction

Kulik’s explanation [1] of Fiske steps [2] in short Josephson junctions (JJs) has revealed a key to the understanding of important features on the \( I-V \) characteristic (IVC) of a JJ.

The theory accounts for the nonlinear coupling of currents and fields in the Josephson junction and allows to predict the IVC of the JJ when magnetic field is applied. In particular, the dependence of the amplitudes of Fiske steps versus the applied magnetic field can be predicted [3]. In the course of the years this model has been generalized to more complex Josephson structures: two-dimensional JJs [4], annular JJs [5], SQUIDs or arrays of JJs [6], etc.

A well documented [7] early generalization of the Kulik’s model, is the case in which the critical current density is nonuniform, i.e., smooth or even steplike function of the coordinate [8,9].

The steplike case has been recently revisited in context of the novel 0–\( \pi \) JJs [10], where the critical current density \( j_c \) in some parts of JJs may become negative, which corresponds to an additional Josephson phase shift of \( \pi \) [11].

While in conventional JJ with \( j_c > 0 \) the ground state corresponding to the minimum of the Josephson energy density

\[
U(\varphi) = -\frac{\Phi_0 j_c}{2\pi} \cos \varphi
\]

is \( \varphi = 0 \), the ground state of the JJ with \( j_c < 0 \) is \( \varphi = \pi \). Thus, in a sufficiently long 0–\( \pi \) JJ, the ground state Josephson phase \( \varphi(x) \) bends from 0 to \( \pi \) in a \( \lambda_J \) vicinity of a 0–\( \pi \) boundary, where \( \lambda_J \) is the Josephson penetration depth. As a result, a spontaneous localized magnetic flux \( \Phi \leq \Phi_0 / 2 \), where \( \Phi_0 = 2.07 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{Wb} \) is a magnetic flux quantum, appears at a 0–\( \pi \) boundary. For very long 0–\( \pi \) JJ, \( \Phi \to \Phi_0 / 2 \) and one speaks about semifluxons pinned at 0–\( \pi \) boundary [12–14]. However, in this paper we focus on short JJs with \( L \lesssim 4\lambda_J \).

The possibility to make experiments with novel JJs in which 0–\( \pi \) phase jumps may be naturally observed or artificially created (like in a specially oriented submicron HTS grain boundary junctions with only several facets or in superconductor–insulator–ferromagnet–superconductor SIFS)
JJs or JJs with current injectors) makes the self-resonances argument of renewed topical interest.

In this paper we revisit the theory of self-resonances in 0–π junctions [10] and generalize it to the case of an arbitrary \( \kappa \) discontinuity, relevant in the context of JJs with artificial discontinuity [15], and to the case of unequal critical current densities \( j_1 \neq j_2 \) in two parts of a JJ, relevant in the context of SIFS JJs [16]. Finally we compare the presented model with the experiment results obtained using 0–π SIFS JJs [17].

2. Josephson junctions with a phase discontinuity

Let us consider a Josephson junction, extending from 0 to \( L \) along the \( y \) coordinate, with two adjacent regions \([0, l]\) and \([l, L]\), characterized by two different critical current densities, \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \). We also assume that passing from the first region to the second region a jump in the phase difference occurs, so that the value of the overall phase difference changes abruptly from the value \( \phi \) to the value \( \phi + \kappa \) at \( y = l \). Two possible realizations of such a physical system, in the specific case of a 0–π phase jump, are schematically shown in Fig. 1 together with the coordinate system used in this work. Figure 1, a represents a two facet section of a grain boundary junction based on high critical temperature superconducting films and Fig. 1, b a SIFS overlap type junction.

The supercurrent in these system is given by

\[
j(y) = \begin{cases} 
  j_1 \sin \phi & \text{if } 0 < y < l \\
  j_2 \sin (\phi + \kappa) & \text{if } l < y < L.
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

In the case of a \( \pi \) phase jump, \( \sin(\phi + \pi) = -\sin \phi \), and, thus, the \( \pi \)-jump is equivalent to a sign change in the critical current density. The above schematization is sufficiently general to cover all existing Josephson systems relevant for this work: (i) HTS 0–π grain boundary junctions [10,18,19] where \( \kappa = \pi \) and \( j_1 = j_2 \); (ii) JJs with ferromagnetic barrier [16,17] where \( \kappa = 0 \), \( j_1 \neq j_2 \); and (iii) 0–\( \kappa \) JJ \( j_1 = j_2 \) and with artificial and tunable \( \kappa \)-discontinuity of the phase created by current injectors (not shown in Fig. 1). Actually the first case is equivalent to the second one with \( j_1 = -j_2 \).

Therefore, below we assume that \( j_1 > 0 \) and \( j_2 > 0 \) and the possible different sign of \( j_c \), if necessary, will be substituted by additional phase shift of \( \pi \) in the corresponding part of the JJ.

3. Calculations

The equation for the phase difference \( \phi(y,t) \) in the reference frame shown in Fig. 1 and with the above assumptions may be written (0 < \( y < L \))

\[
(j_1 y)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{\langle \omega_p \rangle^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{RC(\langle \omega_p \rangle^2)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -j_c(y) \sin \left[ \phi + \kappa (y - l) \right] - \gamma,
\]

(3)

where we have introduced the specific normal resistance \( R \) and capacitance \( C \) of the junction. Function \( 0(y) \) is a Heaviside step function, \( \gamma = j_c \langle \langle j_c \rangle \rangle \) is the normalized external bias current density. \( j_c \) is the critical current density that, in turn is assumed a discontinuous function of the position as well

\[
j_c(y) = \begin{cases} 
  j_1 & \text{if } 0 < y < l \\
  j_2 & \text{if } l < y < L.
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

Accordingly we have introduced the average critical current density

\[
\langle j_c \rangle = \frac{j_1 l + j_2 (L - l)}{L},
\]

the average Josephson penetration depth

\[
\langle \lambda_j \rangle = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi \langle \langle j_c \rangle \rangle d_{\text{eff}}},
\]

and the average plasma frequency

\[
\langle \omega_p \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \langle \langle j_c \rangle \rangle}{\Phi_0 C}},
\]

respectively. All lengths and time variables are from now on normalized to \( \langle \lambda_j \rangle \) and to the inverse average plasma frequency \( \langle \omega_p \rangle \), respectively, so that Eq. (3) becomes

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of investigated JJs. (a) A grain boundary junction. (b) A SIFS overlap junction.
\[ \begin{align*}
\phi_{yy} - \phi_H - \alpha \phi_t &= \frac{j_c(y)}{j_c} \sin[k \theta(y - l)] - \gamma, \\
\end{align*} \]

where \( \alpha = 1/\langle \omega_p \rangle RC \) is the dimensionless damping coefficient.

The appropriate boundary conditions to Eq. (5) are

\[ \phi_y(0, t) = \phi_y(L, t) = -h, \]

where \( h = H_c/ \langle \lambda_c \rangle j_c \) is the normalized external magnetic field aligned along the positive direction of the \( x \) axis. The quantity \( h \) determines the spatial modulation of the phase \( \phi(y) \) in the presence of the magnetic field \( H_c \), i.e., \( \phi(y) = -hy \).

The IVC can be derived starting from

\[ j = j_c \sin[\phi(y, t) + \kappa \theta(y - l)], \]

where \( \phi(y, t) \) is a continuous function. The Kulik approximation consists of writing the unknown part of the phase \( \phi \) as a sum of two terms \( \phi_0 + \phi_1 \), where \( \phi_0 \ll \phi_0 \) and \( v = (\Phi_0 / 2\pi) d\phi_1 / dt \) is the perturbation to the steady voltage \( V \):

\[ \phi(y, t) = \phi_0(y, t) + \phi_1(y, t) = \omega_t - hy + \phi_1(y, t). \]

Here \( \omega = (2\pi V / \Phi_0) / \langle \omega_p \rangle \) is the normalized Josephson frequency corresponding to the fixed voltage \( V \) applied between electrodes of the JJ. The linear equation providing \( \phi_1 \) is derived by substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (5) and then neglecting the perturbation \( \phi_1 \) in the argument of sine [20]:

\[ \phi_{1yy} - \phi_{1tt} - \alpha \phi_{1t} = \left\{ \begin{align*}
\left( j_1 / j_c \right) \sin (\omega_t - hy) & \text{ if } 0 < y < l \\
\left( j_2 / j_c \right) \sin (\omega_t - hy + \kappa) & \text{ if } l < y < L \\
\end{align*} \right. \]

Equation (8) is completed with the boundary conditions derived directly from Eq. (6) and expressing perfect edge, reflectivity,

\[ \phi_{1y}(0, t) = \phi_{1y}(L, t) = 0. \]

Following a standard procedure [1,7], we seek \( \phi_1(y, t) \) in the form

\[ \phi_1(y, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos \left( \frac{n\pi y}{L} \right) \cos \omega_t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \cos \left( \frac{n\pi y}{L} \right) \sin \omega_t. \]

The coefficients are straightforwardly obtained by substituting Eq. (10) in Eq. (8). They are given by

\[ a_n = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)} \frac{B_n - \alpha C_n}{\left( 1 - \frac{\omega_n^2}{\omega_c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2}}, \]

where

\[ b_n = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)} \frac{C_n - \alpha B_n}{\left( 1 - \frac{\omega_n^2}{\omega_c^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2}}. \]

This current is frequency modulated and contains a non-zero dc term which can be extracted by using a time, \( \omega \tau = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty \omega \cos \omega t d(\omega t) \), and space averaging

\[ J_{dc} \approx \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L j_{c}(y) \phi_1 \cos \left[ \omega t - \kappa \theta(y - l) \right] dy. \]

The result is

\[ J_{dc} = \frac{\langle j_c \rangle}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha / \omega}{\omega^2 + (1 - \alpha^2 / \omega^2)^2} [b_n^2 + c_n^2]. \]

At a fixed value of the external magnetic field this expression is a sum of many current peaks centered at the voltages \( V_n = \omega_n \langle \omega_p \rangle \Phi_0 / 2\pi \). We introduce the external flux \( \Phi = \mu_0 H_c \Lambda L \), where \( \Lambda \approx 2\kappa L \) is the effective penetration depth of the magnetic field into the junction and \( \kappa_L \) is the London penetration depth, so that \( hL = \neq 2\pi \Phi / \Phi_0 = 2\pi \phi \), where \( \phi \) is the normalized applied...
flux (frustration). We define $F_n^2(\phi) = B_n^2 + C_n^2$ and calculate the normalized maximum amplitude at $\omega = \omega_n$ (15) of the $n$th step as

$$J_n^M(\phi) = \frac{2}{4} \frac{Q_n}{n^2 \pi^2} F_n^2(\phi),$$

where the quality factor $Q_n = \omega_n / \alpha$ has been introduced. In particular in zero field ($\phi = 0$)

$$F_n^2(0) = \left[ (1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \kappa) \sin^2 \left( \frac{n\pi - L}{L} \right) \right]$$

$$\left[ s^2 \frac{L}{L} + 1 - \frac{L}{L} \right]^2 n^2 \pi^2$$

where $s = j_1 / j_2$. When $s = 1$ and the singularity is in the center ($L/L = 0.5$), Eq. (19) gives

$$F_n(0)^2 = \left[ 8(1 - \cos \kappa) / n^2 \pi^2, \quad n = 1, 3, ..., \right.$$  

$$\left. 0, \quad n = 2, 4, ... \right)$$

4. Results

Figures 2 show the dependence of the Fiske step amplitude $J_n^M(\phi)$, calculated using Eq. (18), for three different values of $\kappa$ ($\kappa = 0, \pi / 2, \pi$) and $n = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. Calculations have been carried out considering a junction with a normalized length $L = 2$ and a quality factor $Q = 1$ independent from the order $n$ of the self-resonance.

These figures illustrate a general feature of these kind of curves (we limit this discussion to the case $j_1 = j_2$). Each of them is, generally speaking, asymmetric with respect to the magnetic field inversion, i.e., $J_n^M(\phi, \kappa) \neq J_n^M(-\phi, \kappa)$ for generic values $0 < \kappa < 2\pi$. This can be appreciated in Figs. 2a–c, for the case $\kappa = \pi / 2$ (dashed lines). Only with $\kappa = 0$ (2\pi) or $\kappa = \pi$, the resulting curves are symmetric, i.e., $J_n^M(\phi, \kappa) = J_n^M(-\phi, \kappa)$ (solid line and dot-dashed line in Figs. 2a–c). Moreover couple of curves corresponding to two complementary values of $\kappa$, i.e., $\kappa$ and $2\pi - \kappa$, appear symmetric with respect to the magnetic field inversion, i.e., $J_n^M(\phi, \kappa) = J_n^M(-\phi, 2\pi - \kappa)$. This, for instance, would be the case of the curves $J_1^M(\phi, 3\pi / 2)$ (not shown for clarity in Fig. 2a) which would be symmetric of $J_1^M(\phi, \pi / 2)$, i.e., $J_1^M(-\phi, 3\pi / 2) = J_1^M(\phi, \pi / 2)$.

Looking at the first Fiske step (Fig. 2a) one sees that at $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ (or $\kappa \rightarrow 2\pi$) the step is absent at zero field, and obtains the largest amplitude at $\phi \sim 1$. Contrary, for $\kappa = \pi$, the first Fiske step is the most developed at zero applied field. Therefore, in the literature, this step is sometimes called half-integer zero field step [17,22,23]. For $0-\pi$ junction of moderate length it can be explained as a permanent flipping of semifluxon accompanied by the transfer of one flux quantum $\Phi_0$ through one of the JJ ends [21].

The third and, actually, all futher odd Fiske steps have similar behavior. For $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ or $\kappa \rightarrow 2\pi$ they are not visible at zero field and appear only when the field ($\phi \sim 1.8$ for $n = 3$) is applied to the junction. For $\kappa = \pi$, they are visible also in zero field. However, opposite to the $n = 1$ case, they have a minimum of finite amplitude rather than a maximum at $\phi = 0$.

The amplitudes of even Fiske steps, in particular of the second one shown in Fig. 2a always vanish at $\phi = 0$ regardless of $\kappa$ value, in agreement with Eq. (20). They also have smaller amplitude than the odd Fiske steps with comparable $n$.

5. Comparison with experimental results

In this section we compare our theory with experiments reported in Ref. 17, which were accomplished using SIFS 0–$\pi$ JJs, similar to the one schematically shown in Fig. 1,b,
to such new systems and describes experimental data (at least for the case of 0–π JJ) rather well.
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3. Fiske steps are often indicated as «self-resonances», because they are driven by the self-generated ac current in the JJ.
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