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Microstructure and Salt Fog Corrosion Behaviour of AA2219 Friction Stir Welded Aluminium Alloy
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Aluminium alloy 2219 is a favourite age hardenable alloy considered for fabrication of earth storable and cryogenic propellant tanks of launch vehicles. In the current study, 8.1 mm thick AA2219-T87 aluminium alloy plates are joined using friction stir welding. Friction stir welding produces three different microstructural zones, and these zones exhibit different microstructural characteristics. Therefore, it is expected that the various zones will exhibit different corrosion susceptibility. The corrosion behaviour of the base material and friction stir welded joints is investigated using salt fog test (ASTM B117) at different pH value and spraying times. Optical microscopy and transmission electron microscopy are used to observe the corrosion attack at various zones of the weld. As observed, the welds exhibit excellent corrosion resistance in basic and neutral solution than in acidic solution. As found, the corrosion rate decreases with increase in time of exposure at all pH values. As observed, the corrosion rate is predominant in acidic solution for first 24 hrs of spraying time. As found, the corrosion attack is greater in the base material than weld metal at all pH value and spraying times. Within the weld, the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is found to be more susceptible to corrosion compared to the weld nugget and thermomechanically affected regions. The results obtained from the transmission electron microscopy confirm that the increased rate of corrosion of HAZ in acidic solution is due to the precipitation of second phase particles (CuAl2) at the grain boundaries causes depletion of copper near the grain boundaries, making these regions anodic to the grain centre.
Алюмінієвий сплав 2219 являє собою вибір з двох, в основному, сплавів, описаної в попередніх роботах. Наведені дани експериментальних досліджень свідчать про те, що алюмінієвий сплав 2219 має більш високі властивості при створенні алюмінієвого сплаву з більш високим вмістом кремнію.
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1. INTRODUCTION

AA2219 is an age hardenable Al–Cu alloy widely used in aerospace and defence applications due to its excellent weldability and high strength to weight ratio. However, its only disadvantage is its poor resistance to corrosion owning to the galvanic coupling between noble CuAl2 precipitates and the matrix. If there could be some means by which CuAl2 could be taken into solution, the corrosion resistance of the alloy can be improved, though this might lower the mechanical properties to some extent. Friction stir welding (FSW) is a solid state welding process in which melting does not occur but temperatures are sufficiently high enough to cause dissolution and coarsening of strengthening precipitates in heat treatable aluminium alloys [1].

Friction stir welding produces three different microstructural zones: the weld nugget, the thermomechanically affected zone (TMAZ) and heat affected zone (HAZ): the weld nugget (WN) where the material experiences severe stirring and heating resulted in fine equiaxed grains, the thermomechanically affected zone, which is subjected to both deformation and heating but that temperature is not sufficient to cause recrystallization, and the heat affected zone, where the material experiences only heat with no mechanical deformation. These zones exhibit different microstructural characteristics. Therefore, it is expected that the various zones will exhibit different corrosion susceptibility. There have been a number of reports [2–20] highlighting the microstructural changes due to the plastic deformation and frictional heat associated with FSW. Dissolution and coarsening of strengthening precipitates [2–5, 11, 16–20] as well as the formation of wide precipitate-free zones [3, 5, 11, 18] have been found in the weld region. Mechanical failure of the welds can take place in the WN, HAZ, or TMAZ regions depending on the amount of heat input, which is governed by process parameters such as rotation and travel speeds [12–14]. The dependence of weld microstructure on processing parameters has been also observed [15–17]. Although no solid–liquid phase transformation occurs during FSW, relatively high temperatures, up to 475°C for AA7075 aluminium alloy, are generated by friction between
the tool and the work piece [21, 22]. This produces modified micro-
structures that may be more susceptible to corrosion than the parent
alloy.

A number of authors [23–35] have investigated the corrosion behav-
iour of FSW in 2xxx, 7xxx, and 5xxx series aluminium alloys. Corro-
sion attack in the WN has been found for AA2024-T3 [32], AA2024-
T351, AA5456-H116, and AA7010–T7651 [35]. For AA7050-T7651
[25], attack was found at the interface between the WN and the partial-
ly recrystallized zone (TMAZ). A number of studies have shown attack
to be predominantly in the HAZ, for example in AA7075-T651 [26],
AA7075-T6 [27], AA2024-T351 [24, 34, 35] and AA7010-T7651 [23].
It has also been found that the weld region can show no worse corrosion
susceptibility than the base metal (in AA2024-T3 and AA2195 welds
[30]), and even in some cases, improved corrosion resistance compared
with the base material (AA5454 [29], AA5083 [31], AA2024-T3 [33],
AA2195, and AA2219 [28]). While many studies have been carried out
to investigate the effect of FSW on the microstructure and corrosion
properties of aluminium alloys, there are very few studies on the effect
of varying pH value of the salt solution and spraying times used.
Moreover, there has not been any systematic study reporting the rela-
tionship between exposure times and corrosion behaviour. Thus, the
aim of this work is to investigate how corrosion behaviour changes
with pH value, microstructure and time of exposure.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Base material used in this study is aluminium alloy AA2219-T87 plates
of 8.1 mm in thickness. Chemical composition of the material is given
in Table 1. FSW trials were carried out on a Friction Stir Welder at
DMRL, Hyderabad. A pair of work pieces of dimension 300×110×8.1
mm³ are abutted and clamped rigidly on the backing plate. The friction
stir welding tool was machined from M2 tool steel. After conducting
several trials with different tool pin profiles, it was found that tapered
threaded pin offers ease of welding and sound joints. The geometry of
the tool and the process parameters used in this study are presented in
Table 2. Transverse sections were cut from welded plates for corrosion
studies with a size of 50×20×8.1 mm³ and prepared for metallographic
examination following standard metallographic practices. The polished
specimens were etched with Keller’s (5% HNO₃, 2% HCl, 1% HF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Rest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reagent to reveal the microstructure. The macro- and microstructures of different zones were observed using a Leica DMLM light microscope. Images were taken from different locations of the weld joint before corrosion.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is employed to ascertain the shape, size, distribution, and the status of the precipitates of the base material and different zones of the weld. There are several stages involved in the preparation of samples for TEM. Sections were initially cut from different zones of the etched sample of the weldment using electric discharge machining (EDM) as shown in Fig. 1, so that the samples consist of fully weld nugget, thermomechanically affected zone, and heat affected zone. These samples were polished to \( \approx 100 \, \mu m \) thickness by attaching the sample on TEM wax. Both processes mentioned above were carried out in flowing coolant and water to avoid raise in temperature.

The disc punch is used to extract 3 mm diameter specimens from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Tool geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taper threaded pin (left hand metric threads with 1.5 mm pitch); pin diameter: 7 mm (shoulder end) and 4 mm (tip end); Pin length: 6.8 mm; shoulder diameter: 20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool rotational Speed</td>
<td>800 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding speed</td>
<td>200 mm/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool tilt</td>
<td>1.5°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The tool geometry and process parameters used for friction stir welding.

![Macrostructure of 8.1 mm thick friction stir welded AA 2219-T87 joint.](image)
thin sections and further ground mechanically using disc grinder. Finally, ion milling is used to create a dimple on each side of the disc, which is enlarged and forms a hole surrounded by a thin electron-transmissive region. Electron microscope JEM-2100 with EDX capabilities is used to study the samples. The samples were loaded into the machine on a double tilting, low background, beryllium holder.

The salt spray (fog) test was conducted on the welds as well as on the base materials according to ASTM B 117–07 [36] standards. This apparatus consists of a fog chamber, a salt solution reservoir, a supply of suitably conditioned compressed air, two atomizing nozzles, and specimen supports. The salt solution is prepared by dissolving 5 ± 1 parts by mass of sodium chloride in 95 parts of water and keeping pH at different levels as 2, 7, and 11. The salt fog is produced in the chamber by simultaneously spraying salt solution from reservoir and compressed air from the air compressor. As the spray is continuous, the samples are continuously wet and therefore uniformly subjected to corrosion. Specimens are hanged 15° to 35° from vertical in the fog chamber in such a way that salt solution from one specimen should not drip on any other specimen. The weight loss of the material is measured after a period of time, and corrosion rate (in mils per year—mpy) is calculated using formula. Specimens, which have shown higher corrosion rate, are considered as less resistant to general corrosion. The specimens are taken out for every 24 hrs. The corroded layer on the surface of the specimen is carefully removed using ultrasonic cleaner, chemical reagents & distilled water. The corrosion rate is calculated by weight loss measurement. Weight loss is measured by considering difference in initial weight ($W_i$) and final weight ($W_f$) of the specimens. The corrosion rate is calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Corrosion rate (mm/year)} = 8.76 \cdot 10^4 w/(ADT),$$

where $w$ is the weight loss in grams, $A$—the surface area of the specimen in cm$^2$, $D$—the density of the material in gram/cm$^3$, $T$ is the time of exposure or spraying time in hours. The corroded samples are polished once again by disc polishing for scratch free surfaces and the surface is observed at 500X magnification.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Macrostructure

Figure 1 shows macrostructure of 8.1 mm thick friction stir welded joint without voids and cracks. The weld has an elliptical cross section with a zone around the weld nugget that has undergone severe plastic deformation.
It is generally known that the fusion welding of aluminium alloys, accompanied by defects such as porosity, slag inclusions and solidification cracks deteriorate the weld quality and joint properties. Usually, friction stir welded joints are free from solidification-related defects, since no melting takes place during welding and the metals are joined together due to the heat generated by friction and the flow of metal by the stirring action. However, FSW joints are prone to other defects such as pinhole, tunnel defect, piping defect, kissing bond, zigzag line and cracks due to improper flow of metal and insufficient consolidation of metal in the FSW weld nugget region [39]. As can be seen from Fig. 1, no obvious welding defect was found in the joint, indicating that a sound weld is produced.

Based on the microstructural characterization of grains and precipitates, three distinct zones have been identified: stir zone (weld nugget), thermomechanically affected zone, and heat affected zone. A typical macrographs showing various microstructural zones of the elliptical cross section with a zone around the weld nugget, which seems to be a common feature in aluminium alloy friction stir welds has been reported by various investigators [4, 11].

3.2. Microstructures

Figures 2 and 3 show the results of optical microscopy (OM) and transmission electron microscopy respectively. The microstructure of the base material consists of elongated grains in the rolling direction and large second-phase particles are distributed randomly in the base material (see Fig. 2, a). The measured grain size for the base material in the rolling direction is 100 \( \mu m \). The transmission electron micrograph of the base material is shown in Fig. 3, a. For the alloy AA2219, copper is a principal alloying element and the primary strengthening precipitates are the metastable \( \theta^1 \) and \( \theta^{11} \) phases [37]. In the current study, the measured size of these precipitates ranged from 25 to 100 nm.

The optical micrograph of the weld nugget is shown in Fig. 2, b. It has been observed that the initial elongated grains of the base material are mechanically converted into a new recrystallized equiaxed fine grain structure, which is formed as a result of severe plastic deformation and high temperatures caused by the rotational speed of the tool involved in the stirring process. The average value of these recrystallized fine equiaxial grains is in the range of 2—4 \( \mu m \). The value obtained is in good agreement with Ref. [38]. The TEM image of the weld nugget (see Fig. 3, b) is marked by the absence of well-aligned disc-shaped precipitates. It indicates that the temperature experienced in the weld nugget during FSW process is about 500°C, which is above the solution temperature and below the melting temperature of the alloy [39, 40]. At this temperature, these precipitates have gone into solu-
tion during the welding process. This result is in good agreement with Ref. [38].

Thermomechanical affected zone is the region surrounding the weld nugget on either side, which experiences both temperature and deformation during friction stir welding as shown in (Fig. 2, c). It is found that the elongated grains in the base material are deformed in an upward flowing pattern on either side of the weld nugget. Though this region underwent plastic deformation, recrystallization did not occur due to insufficient deformation strain.

Figure 3, c shows the micrograph of the TMAZ. It can be seen that the TEM image of the TMAZ is similar to that of the weld nugget with the morphology of the precipitates. It indicates that the dissolution of the metastable phases is not as extensive as that in weld nugget due to lower temperatures (460°C) obtained during welding process [38].

Heat affected zone is shown in Fig. 2, d. Beyond the TMAZ there is a heat-affected zone. This zone experiences heat about 250°C exerted a significant effect on the precipitate structure. It is found that the HAZ retains the same grain structure as the base material.

Fig. 2. Optical micrographs of friction stir welded AA2219-T87 (a); base material (b); weld nugget (c); TMAZ (d) HAZ.
Figure 3 shows the TEM image of HAZ. It can be seen that the structural form of the precipitates in HAZ is the same as that of the base material. If Al–Cu alloys are aged above 190°C, the equilibrium CuAl$_2$ intermetallic compound (θ) is formed and the alloy is severely overaged and weaker than base material [38]. With temperatures in the range of 150 to 250°C in the HAZ region of the welded plates [38], the coarsening of precipitates in this region in turn forms precipitated free zones. These zones are obviously regions of weakness.

3.3. Corrosion Properties

The corrosion rates of base material and friction stir welds for pH val-

---

Fig. 3. Transmission electron micrographs of friction stir welded AA2219-T87 (a); base material (b); weld nugget (c); TMAZ (d) HAZ.
ue at different times of exposure (28, 48 and 72 hrs) are presented in Table 3. It is observed that the corrosion rate of the weld decreases with increase in time of exposure.

The same trend found to occur for pH value of 7 (Table 4) and pH value of 11 (Table 5). It is found that the corrosion resistance of the weld is better than that of the base material. It has been found that the corrosion resistance of the weld increases with an increase in pH value.

**TABLE 3.** Corrosion rates for pH value of 2 at various times of exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Weight before corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight after corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight loss, g</th>
<th>Corrosion rate, mm/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>B1 17.1504</td>
<td>17.1413</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>10.3723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2 17.2199</td>
<td>17.2116</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>5.4480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3 15.3467</td>
<td>15.3130</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>4.2452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>W1 16.8228</td>
<td>16.8169</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>7.0899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2 16.5021</td>
<td>16.4729</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>5.9082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W3 15.3405</td>
<td>15.3319</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>3.7637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4.** Corrosion rates for pH value of 7 at various times of exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Weight before corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight after corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight loss, g</th>
<th>Corrosion rate, mm/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>B1 16.8423</td>
<td>16.8374</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>6.4334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2 16.2003</td>
<td>16.1856</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>5.8426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3 15.3195</td>
<td>15.3108</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>3.8075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>W1 14.3510</td>
<td>14.3488</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>2.8884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2 16.0670</td>
<td>16.0649</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>1.3785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W3 14.2264</td>
<td>14.2245</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.8315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5.** Corrosion rates for pH value of 11 at various times of exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Weight before corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight after corrosion, g</th>
<th>Weight loss, g</th>
<th>Corrosion rate, mm/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>B1 14.3510</td>
<td>14.2188</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2 16.0670</td>
<td>16.0549</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.3282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3 14.2264</td>
<td>13.8474</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.3501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1 15.8173</td>
<td>15.8126</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.5251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>W2 14.4262</td>
<td>14.4255</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.4590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W3 15.4687</td>
<td>15.4679</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.3501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4 shows microstructures of the base material for all pH values at corresponding times of exposure. It was identified that corrosion attack on the base material is the highest for pH 2 at the spraying time of 24 h. Corrosion attack on base material seemed to be much less for pH value of 11. Aluminium alloy 2219 has two types of the second phase particles, viz., intermetallic phases formed during casting and those formed during aging. Both these second phase particles influence corrosion.

Corrosion attack on weld nugget is evident (Fig. 5) and no significant difference in the attack is seen based on pH value and spraying time. TMAZ of the weld region is also attacked by the corrosion (Fig. 6) and there remains no difference in the attack with reference to pH value of the solution and time of exposure.
Figure 7 shows the micrographs of the HAZ region of the weld. It can be clearly seen that the corrosion attack on the weld is predominant in the HAZ and various researchers [26, 27] have reported the same.

Figure 3 shows the TEM image of HAZ. It can be seen that the structural form of the precipitates in HAZ is the same as that of the base material.

If Al–Cu alloys are aged above 190°C, the equilibrium CuAl₂ intermetallic compound (θ) is formed and the alloy is severely overaged and weaker than the base material [38]. With temperatures in the range of 150 to 250°C in the HAZ region of the welded plates, the coarsening of precipitates in turn forms precipitated free zones.
These zones are obviously regions of weakness. It indicates that the precipitation of noble second phase particles (CuAl₂) at the grain boundaries causes depletion of copper near the grain boundaries, making these regions anodic with respect to the grain centre [41].

4. CONCLUSIONS

1. AA2219-T87 aluminium alloy 8.1 mm thick plates were successfully joined using friction stir welding.
2. The corrosion behaviour of the base material and friction stir welded joints was investigated using salt fog test (ASTM B117) at different pH values and spraying times.
3. It was observed that the welds exhibited excellent corrosion resistance in basic and neutral solution and not in acidic solution.
4. It was found that the corrosion rate decreases with increase in time of exposure at all pH values.
5. It has been observed that the corrosion rate was predominant in acidic solution for the first 24 hrs of spraying time.
6. It was found that corrosion attack is higher in the base material than in the weld metal at all pH values and spraying times. Within the weld, the heat-affected zone has been found to be more susceptible to corrosion, which is caused by the precipitation of the second phase particles (CuAl₂) at the grain boundaries, which causes depletion of copper near the grain boundaries, making these regions anodic in regard to the grain centre.

Fig. 7. Optical micrograph of the heat affected zone at pH 2 (a, b, c), pH 7 (d, e, f) and pH 11 (g, h, i) and corresponding spraying time (24, 48 and 72hrs).
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. G. Madhusudhan Reddy, Scientist G, of Defence Metallurgical Research Laboratory (DMRL), Hyderabad for providing FSW facility to carry out this investigation.

REFERENCES

29. G. S. Frankel and Z. Xia, Corrosion, 55: 139 (1999); DOI:10.5006/1.3283974.