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Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space, $A$ be a positive-definite selfadjoint operator in $H$. The domain of definition of the operator $A^{\gamma}, \gamma \geq 0$, becomes a Hilbert space $H_{\gamma}$ with respect to the scalar product $(x, y)_{\gamma}=\left(A^{\gamma} x, A^{\gamma} y\right), x, y \in$ $H_{\gamma}\left(H_{0}=H\right)$.

By $L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H_{\gamma}\right)$ we denote a Hilbert space of the vector functions $f(t)$ with values in $H_{\gamma}$, determined almost everywhere in $R_{+}=(0, \infty)$, measurable by Bochner, for which

$$
\|f\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H_{\gamma}\right)}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\|f(t)\|_{\gamma}^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

Further, by $L(X, Y)$ denote a space of linear bounded operators acting from the space $X$ to the space $Y, \sigma(\cdot)$ is a spectrum of the operator $(\cdot), \rho(\cdot)$ is a regular set of the operator $(\cdot), E$ is a unique operator in $H$.

In the sequel, everywhere $\frac{d u}{d t}=u^{\prime}, \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}=u^{\prime \prime}$ are derivatives of the vector function $u(t)$ in the sense of distribution theory [1].

Let us introduce the following spaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)=\left\{u: u \in L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H_{2}\right), u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)\right\}, \\
\stackrel{\circ}{2}_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right), u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0\right\}, \\
W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right), u(0)=T u^{\prime}(0), T \in L\left(H_{1 / 2} ; H_{3 / 2}\right)\right\}, \\
W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; K\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right), u^{\prime}(0)=K u(0), K \in L\left(H_{3 / 2} ; H_{1 / 2}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

(in these denotation the spaces $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$ and $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; K\right)$ depend on the choice of the letters $T$ and $K$, but it does not lead to misunderstandings in the text).

Each of these linear sets becomes a Gilbert space with respect of the norm [1, p. 23-29]

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}=\left(\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}+\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

For $T=0$ we get the space

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right), u(0)=0\right\},
$$

and for $K=0$ we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 1\right)=\left\{u: u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right), u^{\prime}(0)=0\right\} .
$$

Notice that it follows from the theorem on traces [1, Sect. 1, Th. 3.2] that $u(0) \in H_{3 / 2}, u^{\prime}(0) \in H_{1 / 2}$.

The space $W_{2}^{2}(R ; H)$, where $R=(-\infty, \infty)[1]$, is defined in the similar way.
By the theorem on intermediate derivatives [1, Sect. 1, Th. 2.3], the operator

$$
A \frac{d}{d t}: W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right) \rightarrow L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)
$$

is bounded.
In this paper we will find the exact values of the norm of intermediate derivative operators acting from the indicated spaces to the space $L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$. Notice that for the scalar functions ( $H=R, A=E$ ) the exact values of the operator

$$
\frac{d}{d t}: W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+}\right) \rightarrow L_{2}\left(R_{+}\right)
$$

were found in $[2-5]$. Similar problems were considered in $[6,7]$ for some abstract spaces.

Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{0,0} & =\sup _{0 \neq u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-1},  \tag{1}\\
N & =\sup _{0 \neq u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-1},  \tag{2}\\
N_{T} & =\sup _{0 \neq u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-1},  \tag{3}\\
N_{K} & =\sup _{0 \neq u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; K\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for $T=0$ and $K=0$ we denote the norms by $N_{0}$ and $N_{1}$, respectively. Find the exact values of these norms.

First, we prove the following statement.
Lemma 1. For any $u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$ there exists the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^{2}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u=\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+\sqrt{2-\beta} A \frac{d u}{d t}+A u^{2},  \tag{6}\\
\tilde{R}(\beta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{2-\beta} E & E \\
E & \sqrt{2-\beta} E
\end{array}\right)=R(\beta) \otimes \tilde{E}, \\
R(\beta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{2-\beta} & 1 \\
1 & \sqrt{2-\beta}
\end{array}\right), \tilde{E}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. By $D\left(R_{+} ; H_{2}\right)$ we denote a set of all infinitely differentiable in $H$ vector functions with values in $H_{2}$ that have compact supports in $R_{+}$. Then by the theorem on density [1, Sect. 1, Th. 2.1] this set is everywhere dense in $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$. Since the operators $A^{j} \frac{d^{2-j}}{d t^{2-j}}, j=\overline{0,2}$, are bounded from $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$ to $L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$, then it follows from the theorem on traces that it suffices to prove validity of equality (5) for the functions from the class $D\left(R_{+} ; H_{2}\right)$. Obviously, for $u \in D\left(R_{+} ; H_{2}\right)$ there holds the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}+\sqrt{2-\beta} A u^{\prime}+A u^{2}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+(2-\beta)\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+2 R e\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \sqrt{2-\beta} R e\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A u^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}+2 \sqrt{2-\beta} R e\left(A u^{\prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts, we get the validity of the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)} & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{H} d t=R e\left[-\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A u^{\prime}, A u^{\prime}\right)_{H} d t\right] \\
& =-\operatorname{Re}\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{0}\right)-\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A u^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A u^{\prime}\right)_{H} d t=-\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right)_{H} d t \\
=-\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left(A u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}, \quad \varphi_{1}=A^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(0) \\
2 R e\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A u^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}=-\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

i.e.,

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 R e\left(A u^{\prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}=-\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad \varphi_{0}=A^{3 / 2} u(0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (8)-(10) in equality (7), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\left[2 R e\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\sqrt{2-\beta}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}+\sqrt{2-\beta}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}\right]+\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, there hold the equalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right)\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}},\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{H^{2}}, \\
\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
E & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}},\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{H^{2}} \\
\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & E \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}},\binom{\varphi_{0}}{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{H^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the equality
$\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^{2}}$
holds. The lemma is proved.
Hence we get the following corollaries.
Corollary 1. For $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$ there holds the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. For $u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$ and for $\beta \in(0,2)$ there holds the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)=2 \operatorname{Re}(C \varphi, \varphi)+\sqrt{2-\beta}\left(\|C \varphi\|^{2}+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
C=A^{3 / 2} T A^{-1 / 2}, \varphi=A^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(0) \in H
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, when $T=0(C=0)$, for $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W} \underset{2}{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0\right)$ and for $\beta \in(0,2)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\sqrt{2-\beta}\|\varphi\|^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3. For $u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; K\right)$ and for $\beta \in(0,2)$ there holds the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\left(R_{K}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$ where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(R_{K}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)=2 \operatorname{Re}(S \varphi, \varphi)+\sqrt{2-\beta}\left(\|S \varphi\|^{2}+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right)  \tag{17}\\
S=A^{1 / 2} K A^{-3 / 2}, \varphi=A^{3 / 2} u(0) \in H
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, when $K=0(S=0)$, for $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}{ }_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 1\right)$ and for $\beta \in(0,2)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}=\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\sqrt{2-\beta}\|\varphi\|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the lemma below holds
Lemma 2. $\sigma(\tilde{R}(\beta))=\sigma(R(\beta))$ as a geometrical set, where $\tilde{R}(\beta)$ and $R(\beta)$ are determined in Lemma 1.

Hence it follows that $\tilde{R}(\beta)$ may have only eigenvalues that coincide with $R(\beta)$.

Now we find the exact values of the norms of intermediate derivative operators $N_{0,0}, N_{T}, N_{K}, N_{0}, N_{1}$ and $N$, defined by formulae (1)-(4).

Theorem 1. The norm $N_{0,0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.
Proof. For $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$ equality (12) holds. In this equality passing to the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 2$ we can find that for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)$ the inequality

$$
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}
$$

holds, i.e., $N_{0,0} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Prove that $N_{0,0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Show that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists such a vector function $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \equiv\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}(R ; H)}^{2}-(2+\varepsilon)\left\|A u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}(R ; H)}^{2}<0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Find $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ in the form $u_{\varepsilon}(t)=g(t) \psi_{\varepsilon}$, where $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in H_{4}\left(\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0}=1\right)$, but $g(t)$
is a scalar function from $W_{2}^{2}(R)$. Then by the Plancharel theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}\left(g(t) \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t) \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L_{2}(R ; H)}^{2}+\left\|g(t) A^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L_{2}(R ; H)}^{2}-(2+\varepsilon)\left\|g^{\prime}(t) A \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L_{2}(R ; H)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left(\xi^{4} E+A^{4}-(2+\varepsilon) \xi^{2} A^{2}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)|\widehat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)|\widehat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)=\xi^{4}+\left\|A^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-(2+\varepsilon) \xi^{2}\left\|A \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}$, and $\widehat{g}(\xi)$ is a Fourier transform of the function $g(t)$.

It is obvious that the function $q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)$ takes its minimal value at the points $\xi= \pm(2+\varepsilon)$ equal to $h\left(\varepsilon, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\|A^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(2+\varepsilon)^{2}\left\|A \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}$.

If the operator $A$ has at least one eigenvector responding to eigenvalue $\mu$, we can take this normed eigenvector as $\psi_{\varepsilon}$.

Thus in this case $h\left(\varepsilon, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mu^{4}-\frac{1}{4}(2+\varepsilon)^{2} \mu^{4}<0$. If $\mu$ is a point of a continuous spectrum, we can find such a vector $\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|=1\right)$ that $A^{l} \psi_{\varepsilon}=\lambda^{l} \psi_{\varepsilon}+$ $o(\delta), l=1,2, \ldots$, for $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, for small $\delta$ the function $h\left(\varepsilon, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$. Now let us fix the vector $\psi_{\varepsilon}$, for which $h\left(\varepsilon, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$, and find the function $g(t)$.

Since the function $q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is continuous with respect to the argument $\xi$, there can be found $\left(\eta_{0}(\varepsilon), \eta_{1}(\varepsilon)\right)$, where $q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$, i.e.,

$$
\varepsilon\left(g(t) \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)=\int_{\eta_{0}(\varepsilon)}^{\eta_{1}(\varepsilon)} q\left(\xi, \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)|\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi<0
$$

Further, from the continuity of the functional $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ in the space $W_{2}^{2}(R ; H)$ by the theorem on density of finite infinitely differentiable vector function $[1$, p. 29] there exists a vector function $u_{N, \varepsilon}(t) \in W_{2}^{2}(R ; H)$ with the support $(-N, N) \subset R$, for which $\mathcal{E}\left(u_{N, \varepsilon}(t)\right)<0$. Assuming $u_{\varepsilon}(t)=u_{N, \varepsilon}(t+2 N)$, we get $u_{\varepsilon}(t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{W_{2}^{2}}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(u_{N, \varepsilon}(t+2 N)\right)<0$. Thus, $N_{0,0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. The theorem is proved.

Since $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; 0,1\right) \subset W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$, then $N_{T} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Analogously, $N \geq N_{K} \geq N_{0,0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Explain when $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ or $N_{K}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. The following holds.

Theorem 2. The norm $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(N_{K}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ iff for all $\beta \in(0,2)$ and $\varphi \in H \quad\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0 \quad\left(\left(R_{K}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0\right)$.

Proof. Let $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then for any $u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \\
=\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\left(1-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-2}\right) \\
\geq\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\left(1-\beta \sup _{u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-2}\right) \\
=\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\left(1-\beta \frac{1}{2}\right)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it follows from equality (13) that for any $u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0, \forall \varphi \in H\left(\varphi=A^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(0) \in H\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the characteristically polynomial $\Phi(\lambda: \beta: A)=\lambda^{2} E+\sqrt{2-\beta} \lambda A+A^{2}$ is represented in the form

$$
\Phi(\lambda: \beta: A)=\left(\lambda E-\omega_{1}(\beta) A\right)\left(\lambda E-\omega_{2}(\beta) A\right)
$$

where $\omega_{1}(\beta)=\overline{\omega_{2}}(\beta)=(-\sqrt{2-\beta}-i \sqrt{2+\beta}) / 2,\left(\operatorname{Re\omega }_{1}(\beta)<0, \operatorname{Re\omega }_{2}(\beta)<0\right)$, we get that the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u=0, u(0)=T u^{\prime}(0), u^{\prime}(0)=A^{-1 / 2} \varphi, \forall \varphi \in H \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution from the space $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t, \beta) & =\frac{1}{\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}\left\{e^{\omega_{1}(\beta) t A}\left(\omega_{2}(\beta) T A^{-1 / 2} \varphi-A^{-3 / 2} \varphi\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{\omega_{2}(\beta) t A}\left(A^{-3 / 2} \varphi-\omega_{1}(\beta) T A^{-1 / 2} \varphi\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\|u(t, \beta ; \varphi)\| \leq d_{1}(\beta)\|\varphi\|, d_{1}(\beta)>0$. Using the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem and also using Banach's theorem on invertible operator, we get $\|u(t, \beta ; \varphi)\| \geq d_{2}(\beta)\|\varphi\|$. Thus, it follows from equality (20) that $\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0$ for $\beta \in(0,2)$ and $\forall \varphi \in H$.

Inversely, if $\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0$, then from equality (13) it follows that

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\beta\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}>0\left(\forall \beta \in(0,2), \forall u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)\right) .
$$

By passing to the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 2$, we get $N_{T} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. We prove in a similar way that $N_{K}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{iff}\left(R_{K}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0$ for $\beta \in(0,2)$ and $\forall \varphi \in H$.

Using this theorem we get the following statement.
Theorem 3. The norm $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ iff $R e C \geq 0$ (see (14)).
In fact, if $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then $\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0, \beta \in(0,2), \varphi \in H$. By passing to the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 2$, we get $\operatorname{Re} C \geq 0$. Inversely, if $\operatorname{Re} C \geq 0$, then $\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)>0$, for $\beta \in(0,2)$, i.e., $N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Similarly is proved
Theorem 4. The norm $N_{K}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ iff $\operatorname{Re} S \geq 0$ (see (17)).
Notice that if $R e C$ is not a non negative operator, then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5. Let $\inf _{\varphi \in H} \operatorname{Re}(C \varphi, \varphi)<0,\left(\inf _{\varphi \in H} \operatorname{Re}(S \varphi, \varphi)<0\right)$. Then the norm

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-2\left|\inf _{\|\varphi\|=1} \frac{R e(C \varphi, \varphi)}{1+\|C \varphi\|^{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{22}\\
\left(N_{K}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-2\left|\inf _{\|\varphi\|=1} \frac{R e(S \varphi, \varphi)}{1+\|S \varphi\|^{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

(see (14), (17)).
Proof. Let $\inf _{\varphi \in H} \operatorname{Re} C<0$. Then by Theorem $3 N_{T}>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Therefore $N_{T}^{-2} \in(0,2)$. Then if in equality (13) as $u(t)$ we take the solution of the Cauchy problem (see (21)), for $\beta \in\left(0, N_{T}^{-2}\right)$ and $\|\varphi\|=1$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi, \varphi\right)=\|u(t, \beta ; \varphi)\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\left\|A u^{\prime}(t, \beta ; \varphi)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \\
\geq\|u(t, \beta ; \varphi)\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\left(1-\beta N_{T}^{-2}\right)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, for $\beta \in\left(0, N_{T}^{-2}\right)$ the function

$$
m(\beta)=\inf _{\|\varphi\|=1}(R(\beta) \varphi, \varphi)>0
$$

And for $\beta \in\left(N_{T}^{-2}, 2\right)$, by definition of $N_{T}$, there can be found a vector function $v(t, \beta) \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H ; T\right)$ such that

$$
\|v(t, \beta)\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\left\|A v^{\prime}(t, \beta)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}<0
$$

Consequently, for $\beta \in\left(N_{T}^{-2}, 2\right)$ it follows from equality (13) that

$$
\left(R_{T}(\beta) \varphi_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta}\right)+\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) v(t, \beta)\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}<0
$$

$\left(\varphi_{\beta}=A^{-1 / 2} v(0, \beta)\right)$, i.e., $m(\beta)<0$ for $\beta \in\left(N_{T}^{-2}, 2\right)$. Thus, the continuous function $m(\beta)$, determined for $\beta \in(0,2)$, changes its sign at the point $N_{T}^{-2}$, i.e., $m\left(N_{T}^{-2}\right)=0$. Hence, it follows easily that

$$
\sqrt{2-N_{T}^{-2}}=-2 \inf _{\|\varphi\|=1} \operatorname{Re}(C \varphi, \varphi) /\left[1+\|C \varphi\|^{2}\right]
$$

i.e.,

$$
N_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-2\left|\inf _{\|\varphi\|=1} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(C \varphi, \varphi)}{1+\|C \varphi\|^{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

Formula (23) is proved in a similar way. The theorem is proved.
It follows from Theorems $3-5$ that $N_{0}=N_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(C=S=0)$.
Now we find the norm $N$.
There holds the following.
Theorem 6. The norm $N=1$, where $N$ is determined by formula (2).
Proof. It is obvious that $N \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Show that $N \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. In fact, if $N=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then it follows from equality (5) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^{2}} \geq\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2} \\
\times\left(1-\beta \sup _{u \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{-2}\right) \geq\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}\left(1-\beta \frac{1}{2}\right)>0 . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then for $\beta \in(0,2)$ the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u=0, u(0)=A^{-3 / 2} \varphi_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=A^{-1 / 2} \varphi_{1}, \forall \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1} \in H \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution from $W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$, therefore for $\beta \in(0,2)(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^{2}}$ $>0$. By Lemma 2 all eigenvalues of the matrix $R(\beta)$ are positive. But it is seen from the form $R(\beta)$ (see Lem. 1) that for $\beta \in(1,2), R(\beta)$ has also the negative eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(R(\beta))=1-\beta<0$. Thus, $N>\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $N^{-2} \in(0,2)$. Then for $\beta \in\left(0, N^{-2}\right)$ we have

$$
\|\Phi(d / d t: \beta: A) u\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}+(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}) \geq\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}}^{2}\left(1-\beta N^{-2}\right)>0
$$

Hence it follows that if in this inequality we replace $u$ by the solution of the Cauchy problem (25) for $\beta \in\left(0, N_{T}^{-2}\right)$, then we obtain $(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})>0$.

Consequently, all eigenvalues of the matrix $R(\beta)$ are positive for $\beta \in\left(0, N^{-2}\right)$. In particular, $\lambda_{1}(\beta)>0\left(\lambda_{1}(\beta)\right.$ is the first eigenvalue of the matrix $\left.R(\beta)\right)$. And for $\beta \in\left(N_{T}^{-2}, 2\right)$ it follows from the definition of $N$ that there exists such $v(t, \beta) \in W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$ that

$$
\|v\|_{W_{2}^{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}-\left\|A v^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)}^{2}<0
$$

Consequently, $\left(\tilde{R}(\beta) \tilde{\varphi_{\beta}}, \tilde{\varphi_{\beta}}\right)<0 \quad\left(\varphi_{\beta, 0}=A^{-3 / 2} v_{\beta}(0), \varphi_{\beta, 1}=A^{-1 / 2} v_{\beta}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.
We again obtain that $\lambda_{1}(\beta)$ is the first eigenvalue of the matrix $R(\beta)$, is negative for $\beta \in\left(N^{-2}, 2\right)$. Consequently, $\lambda_{1}\left(N^{-2}\right)=0$.
I.e.,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{2-N^{-2}} & 1 \\
1 & \sqrt{2-N^{-2}}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,=0
$$

Hence we find that $N^{-2}=1$, i.e., $N=1$. The theorem is proved.
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